

*Exhibit No.:*  
*Issue(s):* Production Cost Model  
*Witness:* Shawn E. Lange  
*Sponsoring Party:* MoPSC Staff  
*Type of Exhibit:* Rebuttal Testimony  
*Case No.:* ER-2018-0145 and  
ER-2018-0146  
*Date Testimony Prepared:* July 27, 2018

FILED  
October 22, 2018  
Data Center  
Missouri Public  
Service Commission

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION  
ENGINEERING ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY  
OF  
SHAWN E. LANGE

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY  
CASE NO. ER-2018-0145

AND

KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS COMPANY  
CASE NO. ER-2018-0146

Jefferson City, Missouri  
July 2018

Staff Exhibit No. 208  
Date 9-25-18 Reporter TM  
File No. ER-2018-0145+0146

1 REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

2 OF

3 SHAWN E. LANGE

4 KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

5 CASE NO. ER-2018-0145

6 AND

7 KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS COMPANY

8 CASE NO. ER-2018-0146

9 Q. Please state your name and business address.

10 A. My name is Shawn E. Lange and my business address is Missouri Public Service  
11 Commission ("Commission"), P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

12 Q. Are you the same Shawn E. Lange that provided sections in Staff's Direct report  
13 in this proceeding?

14 A. Yes, I am.

15 Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?

16 A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to address the reasonableness of the  
17 Company's market prices used in fuel and production modeling.

18 Q. How did Kansas City Power and Light Company ("KCPL") and KCP&L  
19 Greater Missouri Operations Company ("GMO") establish the market prices that they used  
20 in fuel and production modeling?

21 A. Based on KCPL and GMO witness Burton Crawford's Direct Testimony, KCPL  
22 and GMO used Ventyx data<sup>1</sup> in the Midas<sup>TM</sup> model<sup>2</sup> to simulate most of the eastern

---

<sup>1</sup> Ventyx data is a National database of data that is collected and imputed by Ventyx. This data contains heat rates, ramp rates, and other unit specific generator specific operating properties. This data is generally collected from public sources of information but may be adjusted by Ventyx.

<sup>2</sup> The Midas<sup>TM</sup> model is an hourly dispatch model in the portfolio of software products that Ventyx offers.

Rebuttal Testimony of  
Shawn E. Lange

1 interconnect.<sup>3</sup> As a result of modeling most of the eastern interconnect, a series of market prices  
2 are determined based on the National Database<sup>4</sup> characteristics of generation that was dispatched  
3 in the simulation.

4 Q. Do the market prices KCPL and GMO used in this case most reasonably reflect  
5 the variation experienced in the Southwest Power Pool (“SPP”) Integrated Marketplace (“IM”)  
6 on an hourly basis?

7 A. No. Since KCPL and GMO used the same hourly market prices in their  
8 respective fuel models, Staff evaluated the market prices that were being used by KCPL and  
9 GMO and compared the prices used to calendar year 2015, 2016, and 2017 KCPL Hub Day  
10 Ahead prices. The comparison to KCPL Hub price is reasonable because KCPL and GMO are  
11 joint owners in Iatan 1 and Iatan 2, have contracts with Osborn and Rock Creek wind farms have  
12 many generating units in close proximity to each other as well as an effort by Staff to be  
13 consistent with KCPL’s and GMO’s use of one series of market prices for both cases. Please see  
14 the chart below.

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21 *continued on next page*  
22

---

<sup>3</sup> The eastern interconnect is electrical footprint consisting of the Grain Belt region of the Midwest extending to the east coast excluding a large portion of Texas.

<sup>4</sup> The National Database is the database of Ventyx data comprised of generators and their operating characteristics. This data is generally collected from public sources of information but may be adjusted by Ventyx.

Rebuttal Testimony of  
Shawn E. Lange

1           \*\*

2           \*\*

3           The minimum market price used by KCPL and GMO in their direct case(s) in any given  
4 hour is \*\* \_\_\_ \*\*. As shown, many KCPL hub prices in 2017 are lower than \*\* \_\_\_ \*\*, with  
5 approximately 400 hours of negative market prices and a minimum of (\$27.51). For 2016, there  
6 are many hours KCPL hub prices are lower than \*\* \_\_\_ \*\*, with a minimum price of (\$22.00).  
7 Similarly, the maximum market prices used by KCPL and GMO do not approach the maximums  
8 actually experienced in the SPP integrated market.

9           Q.     Mr. Crawford states, “the power price forecasts are fairly accurate when the  
10 forecasts of natural gas prices are accurate.”<sup>5</sup> Does his evaluation consider the hourly variation  
11 in prices?

---

<sup>5</sup> Mr. Burton Crawford Direct pg. 4 ln 20 through ln 21.

Rebuttal Testimony of  
Shawn E. Lange

1           A.    No. The chart included as Schedule BLC-1 (HC) of Mr. Crawford's  
2 direct testimony in ER-2018-0145 and ER-2018-0146, also included as Schedule SEL-r1 (HC),  
3 provides values on a monthly basis. \*\* \_\_\_\_\_  
4 \_\_\_\_\_  
5 \_\_\_\_\_

6 \_\_\_\_\_ . \*\*

7           While both simple and weighted monthly averages are important in evaluating the  
8 consistency of market power prices, neither of those metrics reasonably account for the hourly  
9 variations that exist in power market prices.

10          Q.    If it is possible that the monthly average of actual market prices and KCPL's and  
11 GMO's forecast is fairly accurate, why are KCPL's and GMO's hourly prices an issue?

12          A.    KCPL, GMO, and Staff use an hourly dispatch model to determine variable fuel  
13 expense. What happens hour to hour and the extremes are important in estimating not only  
14 which units will run, but also what margins those units would produce, and how net production  
15 costs relate to the costs of obtaining energy to serve load. Having market prices that are  
16 dampened, having a minimum higher than what is seen, and a maximum that is lower than what  
17 is seen can cause changes to which units get dispatched at what level and thus affect fuel  
18 expense.

19          Q.    Has SPP reported on negative market prices?

20          A.    Yes, in the State of the Market report for the fall of 2017, in regard to negative  
21 pricing, the Market Monitoring Unit states:

22                   The Market Monitoring Unit is concerned with the marked  
23 increase in the frequency of negative price intervals. Negative  
24 prices may not be a problem in and of themselves, they do indicate  
25 an increase in surplus energy on the system. This may be

Rebuttal Testimony of  
Shawn E. Lange

1 exacerbated by the practice of self-committing resources in the  
2 day-ahead market.<sup>6</sup>

3 Q. Has 2018 experienced the same level of negative intervals that were present in the  
4 test year?

5 A. No, the Market Monitor unit for SPP states in the State of the Market Spring 2018  
6 report that, "Spring 2018 had about four percent of all asset owner intervals with negative prices,  
7 compared to just over five percent spring 2016 and 10 percent in spring 2017."<sup>7</sup> They further  
8 state that, "The reduction can be attributed to higher load in the SPP system due to the weather,  
9 along with the energizing of Woodward – Tatonga – Matthewson 345kV project, which was  
10 completed in February 2018."<sup>8</sup>

11 Q. How much change in load did SPP experience?

12 A. Based on the SPP Market monitor in the State of the Market Spring 2018 report:

13 Overall, the hourly average load for spring 2018 was just over  
14 28,000 megawatts, which was up nearly eight percent from spring  
15 2017. While March and April 2018 were slightly higher than the  
16 prior year, May 2018 average loads were 14 percent higher than  
17 2017. This increase was primarily weather-driven...<sup>9</sup>

18 This change in load is largely driven by changes in weather.

19 During the first four months of 2018, heating degree days were  
20 well above normal as compared to prior years. During May 2018,  
21 heating degree days dropped below the prior years and the 30 year  
22 average. Conversely, cooling degree days in the first four months  
23 of 2018 mirrored levels of prior years. However, May 2018 saw a  
24 significant increase compared to both prior years and the 30 year  
25 average.<sup>10</sup>

<sup>6</sup> [https://www.spp.org/documents/56353/spp\\_mmu\\_quarterly\\_fall\\_2017\\_v2.pdf](https://www.spp.org/documents/56353/spp_mmu_quarterly_fall_2017_v2.pdf) Pg. 45.

<sup>7</sup> [https://www.spp.org/Documents/58275/SPP\\_MMU\\_quarterly\\_spring\\_2018.pdf](https://www.spp.org/Documents/58275/SPP_MMU_quarterly_spring_2018.pdf) Pg. 29.

<sup>8</sup> [https://www.spp.org/Documents/58275/SPP\\_MMU\\_quarterly\\_spring\\_2018.pdf](https://www.spp.org/Documents/58275/SPP_MMU_quarterly_spring_2018.pdf) Pg. 29.

<sup>9</sup> [https://www.spp.org/Documents/58275/SPP\\_MMU\\_quarterly\\_spring\\_2018.pdf](https://www.spp.org/Documents/58275/SPP_MMU_quarterly_spring_2018.pdf) Pg. 3.

<sup>10</sup> [https://www.spp.org/Documents/58275/SPP\\_MMU\\_quarterly\\_spring\\_2018.pdf](https://www.spp.org/Documents/58275/SPP_MMU_quarterly_spring_2018.pdf) Pg. 4.

Rebuttal Testimony of  
Shawn E. Lange

1           The abnormal weather seen in 2018 caused an increase in total MWh in SPP resulting in  
2 an increase in market prices. Even with the abnormal weather seen in 2018, there were negative  
3 market prices in each month of 2018 included in the State of the Market Spring 2018 report.

4           Q.     Does Staff consider KCPL's and GMO's market prices reasonable in the light of  
5 the SPP Market Monitor reports as well as projects in the SPP generation interconnection queue?

6           A.     While KCPL and GMO estimate similar variable fuel costs to those of Staff,  
7 KCPL's and GMO's lack of negative market prices in the relied-upon market prices is  
8 concerning and undercuts the reasonableness of their fuel and production modeling. In that  
9 Staff's market prices more reasonably represent the volatility and negative price potential of the  
10 SPP IM, Staff recommends the Commission rely upon Staff's models for estimating variable fuel  
11 expense in establishing revenue requirements in these cases.

12          Q.     Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

13          A.     Yes, it does.





**SCHEDULE SEL-r1**

**HAS BEEN DEEMED**

**CONFIDENTIAL**

**IN ITS ENTIRETY**