Exhibit No.: Issue(s):

Witness/Type of Exhibit: Sponsoring Party:

Case No.:

Rate Case Expense/
Management Expense
Conner/Rebuttal
Public Counsel
ER-2019-0335

# **REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

### **OF**

# **AMANDA C. CONNER**

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

# UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A AMEREN MISSOURI

FILE NO. ER-2019-0335

January 21, 2020

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF THE MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Decrease Its Revenues for Electric Service	)	File No. ER-2019-0335
AFFIDAVIT OF AMAND	A C. (	CONNER

STATE OF MISSOURI	)	
	)	SS
COUNTY OF COLE	)	

Amanda C. Conner, of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

- 1. My name is Amanda C. Conner. I am a Public Utility Accountant I for the Office of the Public Counsel.
- 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my rebuttal testimony.
- 3. I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Amanda C. Conner

Public Utility Accountant I

Subscribed and sworn to me this 21st day of January 2020.

MOTARY SEAL ST

JERENE A. BUCKMAN My Commission Expires August 23, 2021 Cole County Commission #13754037

Jerene A. Buckman Notary Public

My Commission expires August 23, 2021.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Testimony	Page
Introduction	1
Rate Case Expense	1
Management Expense Charges	2

# REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

**OF** 

# AMANDA C CONNER UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY

# d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI

#### **CASE NO. ER-2019-0335**

1	I.	INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
3	A.	Amanda C. Conner, P.O. Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
4	Q.	Are you the same Amanda Conner who filed direct testimony in this case?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
7	A.	My testimony addresses the direct testimony on rate case expense filed by Staff's witness Paul
8		K. Amenthor, and an update on management expenses.
9	II.	RATE CASE EXPENSE
10	Q.	Do you agree with Mr. Amenthor's normalization of rate case expense?
11		
12	A.	Yes. I find a two-year normalization of rate case expense to be fair and reasonable.
13		
14	Q.	On page 93, starting at line 18, of his testimony, Mr. Amenthor agrees with Ameren
15		Missouri's (Ameren) position that Ameren recover the cost of the 2014 Depreciation
16		Study through rates. Do you agree?
17	A.	No. As I stated in my direct testimony, the 2014 Depreciation Study is in rates already. Since

to believe that Staff foresees Ameren coming in for a rate case within the next two years. Therefore, there is no reason to allow a five-year amortization for the 2014 Depreciation Study, that will more than likely be paid in full before the rates for this rate case go into effect, when a rate case is filed within the next two years. Accepting Ameren's cost recovery position has ratepayers paying for both the 2014 Depreciation Study and the 2019 Depreciation Study in the next rate case. It is unreasonable to require ratepayers to pay for both a new Depreciation Study, along with one they have already paid for through rates the last five years.

#### III. MANAGEMENT EXPENSE CHARGES

- Q. Do you have enough data to form an opinion on Ameren's expenses charged by managers and officers?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What concerns do you have while conducting this audit?
- A. Ameren has posted many charges that are better suited to other FERC accounts. They have a lot of clearing accounts for which Ameren has not cleared 1. OPC sent data request 1232 requesting the lag period between an expense coming in unclassified and/or in a clearing account to the time it is posted as a FERC account. Ameren's response was, "Overhead costs are generally accumulated in clearing accounts. Clearing accounts are generally cleared or distributed either on a monthly basis if expense-related or as capital projects are closed to plant in service if capital-related."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the USOA FERC Electronic Code of Federal Regulations, clearing accounts are treated as balances that shall be substantially cleared not later than the end of the calendar year unless items held therein relate to a future period.

23

account should have already been cleared out.

charges are for the calendar year of 2018, so any charges that are in a clearing

4

5

Maybe. There are at least 102 charges totaling \$10,527.93 that I may consider allowable charges that are posted to the wrong accounts.

#### Since your direct testimony, has your adjustment changed? Q.

Yes, I have made the following adjustments to my direct testimony disallowance of \$1,306,291. The table below shows my updated my audit:

Account	1st Quarter Total	Annual Total	1st Quarter Disallowance	Annual Disallowance
120	\$675	\$2,702	\$675	\$2,702
183	\$1,807	\$7,228	\$0	\$0
184	\$3,150	\$12,600	\$3,150	\$12,600
331	\$288	\$1,150	\$0	\$0
374	\$355,482	\$1,421,926	\$355,447	\$1,421,787
379	\$7,965	\$31,860	\$7,965	\$31,860
384	\$52,284	\$209,135	\$2,247	\$8,987
392	\$6,684	\$26,735	\$0	\$0
417	\$270	\$1,080	\$0	\$0
418	\$380	\$1,520	\$380	\$1,520
426	\$25,855	\$103,422	\$0	\$0
502	\$7,469	\$29,876	\$200	\$798
506	\$72,508	\$290,033	\$22,129	\$88,518
510	\$1,741	\$6,963	\$1,739	\$6,956
512	\$7,630	\$30,519	\$0	\$0
514	\$69,415	\$277,658	\$6,938	\$27,753
517	\$9,017	\$36,069	\$7,547	\$30,187
524	\$260,708	\$1,042,832	\$70,727	\$282,906
529	\$3,524	\$14,097	\$223	\$891
530	\$915	\$3,659	\$915	\$3,659
531	\$39,747	\$158,989	\$815	\$3,261
532	\$49,461	\$197,845	\$3,068	\$12,274
539	\$64,293	\$257,173	\$5,276	\$21,105
541	\$280	\$1,119	\$0	\$0
542	\$511	\$2,043	\$0	\$0
543	\$1,370	\$5,480	\$1,370	\$5,480
545	\$56,435	\$225,739	\$130	\$520

Total	\$2,240,365	\$8,961,462	\$745,886	\$2,983,545
935	\$41,231	\$164,923	\$12,222	\$48,886
930	\$12,365	\$49,462	\$6,641	\$26,564
925	\$16,461	\$65,845	\$2,519	\$10,074
923	\$827	\$3,307	\$0	\$0
921	\$102,310	\$409,241	\$39,715	\$158,860
916	\$15	\$58	\$15	\$58
912	\$6,423	\$25,691	\$1,721	\$6,885
910	\$95,057	\$380,228	\$17,471	\$69,884
908EED	\$8,149	\$32,597	\$0	\$0
908	\$6,204	\$24,814	\$4,038	\$16,152
903	\$1,532	\$6,129	\$1,096	\$4,383
707	\$59,204	\$236,814	\$59,204	\$236,814
598	\$11,368	\$45,471	\$0	\$0
593	\$27,355	\$109,420	\$5,141	\$20,563
592	\$130,079	\$520,316	\$2,188	\$8,753
591	\$163	\$653	\$0	\$0
590	\$25,214	\$100,857	\$1,360	\$5,440
588	\$268,024	\$1,072,095	\$58,597	\$234,387
587	\$260	\$1,040	\$0	\$0
586	\$22,742	\$90,967	\$109	\$435
585	\$16	\$62	\$0	\$0
583	\$73	\$292	\$73	\$292
582	\$3,821	\$15,286	\$1,052	\$4,210
581	\$368	\$1,474	\$0	\$0
580	\$32,279	\$129,114	\$4,676	\$18,704
570	\$1,848	\$7,391	\$0	\$0
569	\$221	\$882	\$0	\$0
568	\$4,600	\$18,401	\$0	\$0
566	\$8,946	\$35,785	\$3,108	\$120,792
557	\$169,160	\$676,638	\$30,198	\$120,792
554	\$73,622	\$1,334	\$3,182	\$12,729
553	\$75,622	\$722 \$302,489	\$3,182	\$12,729
549 552	\$120 \$180	\$479	\$0 \$170	\$0 \$679
548	\$5,678	\$22,711	\$154	\$616
546	\$2,255	\$9,019	\$298	\$1,192

3 4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

As shown in the table above, the total disallowance of management expense is \$2,983,545, which shows Ameren's inappropriate charges are 33.29% of the total charges made by Ameren's officers and managers. I have separated the total disallowance by each account in which Ameren posted charges made by managers and officers that should be removed from the Cost of Service accordingly. I have attached this as schedule ACC-D-1.

### Q. What concerns do you have regarding this analysis?

- A. This audit has brought up questions regarding Ameren's internal controls. Though some charges may have been prudent charges, due to the accounts where Ameren posted these charges, I could not accept these as proper charges to be included in rates.
- Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

#### UNION ELECTRIC D/B/A AMEREN MISSOURI ER-2019-0335

#### Source: DR1211

#### **Management Expenses**

#### Prepared By: Amanda C. Conner Totals as of Rebuttal Filing

Total Expenses Total Disallowance

Difference

\$8,961,462 \$2,983,545

\$5,977,916

33.29%

	1st Quarter		1st Quarter	Annual
Account	Total	<b>Annual Total</b>	Disallowance	Disallowance
120	\$675	\$2,702	\$675	\$2,702
183	\$1,807	\$7,228	\$0	\$0
184	\$3,150	\$12,600	\$3,150	\$12,600
331	\$288	\$1,150	\$0	\$0
374	\$355,482	\$1,421,926	\$355,447	\$1,421,787
379		\$31,860	\$7,965	\$31,860
384		\$209,135	\$2,247	\$8,987
392		\$26,735	\$0	\$0
417		\$1,080	\$0	\$0
418		\$1,520	\$380	\$1,520
426		\$103,422	\$0	\$0
502		\$29,876	\$200	\$798
506		\$290,033	\$22,129	\$88,518
510		\$6,963	\$1,739	\$6,956
512		\$30,519	\$0	\$0
514		\$277,658	\$6,938	\$27,753
517		\$36,069	\$7,547	\$30,187
524		\$1,042,832	\$70,727	\$282,906
529		\$14,097	\$223	\$891
530		\$3,659	\$915	\$3,659
531		\$158,989	\$815	\$3,261
532		\$197,845	\$3,068	\$12,274
539		\$257,173	\$5,276	\$21,105
541	\$280	\$1,119	\$0	\$0
542		\$2,043	\$0	\$0 \$5,480
543		\$5,480	\$1,370	\$5,480
545		\$225,739	\$130	\$520 \$1.102
546		\$9,019	\$298 \$154	\$1,192 \$616
548 549		\$22,711 \$479	\$154 \$0	\$616 \$0
552		\$722	\$170	\$679
553			\$3,182	\$12,729
554 554		\$302,489 \$1,334	\$5,182	\$12,729
557		\$676,638	\$30,198	\$120,792
566		\$35,785	\$3,108	\$12,430
568		\$18,401	\$0,100	\$12,430
569		\$882	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
570		\$7,391	\$0	\$0 \$0
580		\$129,114	\$4,676	\$18,704
581		\$1,474	\$0	\$0
582		\$15,286	\$1,052	\$4,210
583		\$292	\$73	\$292
585		\$62	\$0	\$0
586		\$90,967	\$109	\$435
587		\$1,040	\$0	\$0
588		\$1,072,095	\$58,597	\$234,387
590		\$100,857	\$1,360	\$5,440
591		\$653	\$0	\$0
592		\$520,316	\$2,188	\$8,753
593		\$109,420	\$5,141	\$20,563
598		\$45,471	\$0	\$0
707		\$236,814	\$59,204	\$236,814
903	\$1,532	\$6,129	\$1,096	\$4,383
908		\$24,814	\$4,038	\$16,152
908EED	\$8,149	\$32,597	\$0	\$0
910	\$95,057	\$380,228	\$17,471	\$69,884
912	\$6,423	\$25,691	\$1,721	\$6,885
916	\$15	\$58	\$15	\$58
921	\$102,310	\$409,241	\$39,715	\$158,860
923	\$827	\$3,307	\$0	\$0
, 20			Ø2 510	010.074
925		\$65,845	\$2,519	\$10,074
		\$49,462	\$2,519 \$6,641	\$10,074 \$26,564
925	\$12,365			