Page 24	
STATE OF MISSOURI	
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
Pre-Hearing Conference	
December 18, 2013	
Jefferson City, Missouri	
Volume 5	
(Starting time of hearing: 1:00 p.m.)	
	STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Pre-Hearing Conference December 18, 2013 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 5

Page 25 1 STATE OF MISSOURI 2 3 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 4 5 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 6 7 Pre-Hearing Conference December 18, 2013 Jefferson City, Missouri 8 Volume 5 9 10 In The Matter Of Lake Region ) Water & Sewer Company's ) Application To Implement A ) No. WR-2013-0461 11 General Rate Increase In ) Water And Sewer Service 12 ) 13 14 MICHAEL BUSHMAN, Presiding REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 15 16 17 18 19 20 Reported by: 21 Ms. Pamela S. Gentry, CCR Missouri CCR No. 426 22 Midwest Litigation Services 3432 West Truman Boulevard Suite 207 23 Jefferson City, MO 65109 24 (573) 636-7551 25

Page 26 1 A P P E A R A N C E S 2 3 FOR LAKE REGION WATER AND SEWER COMPANY: 4 MR. MARK W. COMLEY Attorney at Law 5 NEWMAN, COMLEY & RUTH, P.C. 601 Monroe Street, Suite 301 P.O. Box 537 6 Jefferson City, MO 65102 7 (573) 634-2266 comleym@ncrpc.com 8 9 FOR MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION: MS. AMY MOORE 10 MR. TIM OPITZ 11 MR. KEVIN THOMPSON Attorneys at Law MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 12 Governor's Office Building 200 Madison Street 13 P.O. Box 360 14 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-7505 15 amy.moore@psc.mo.gov tim.opitz@psc.mo.gov 16 kevin.thompson@psc.mo.gov 17 FOR OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL AND THE RATEPAYERS: 18 MS. CHRISTINA BAKER 19 Deputy Public Counsel OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL 20 Governor's Office Building Suite 650 21 P.O. Box 2230 Jefferson City, MO 65102 22 (573) 751-5565 christina.baker@ded.mo.gov 2.3 24 25

Page 27 (Starting time of hearing: 1:00 p.m.) 1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 JUDGE BUSHMANN: We'll go on the record. Today's December 18th, 2013, at 1:00 p.m. The Commission has set 4 5 this time for Pre-Hearing Conference in the case captioned as In The Matter Of Lake Region Water & Sewer Company's 6 7 Application To Implement A General Rate Increase In Water 8 And Sewer Service, File No. WR-2013-0461. 9 My name is Michael Bushmann. I'm the Regulatory Law Judge that's been assigned to this matter. Let's have 10 11 attorneys make their entries of appearance. 12 For Lake Region Water And Sewer Company. 13 MR. COMLEY: Appearing on behalf of Lake Region Water And Sewer Company, let the record reflect the entry 14 15 of appearance of Mark W. Comley, Newman, Comley, Ruth. My business address is on the entry of appearance I filed 16 17 with the Reporter. 18 JUDGE BUSHMANN: And for Commission Staff? 19 MS. MOORE: Appearing on behalf of the Missouri Public Service Commission Staff, Amy Moore and Tim Opitz 20 21 and Kevin Thompson; and Court Reporter has my address. 22 JUDGE BUSHMANN: And for Office of Public Counsel? 23 MS. BAKER: Thank you. Christina Baker appearing on behalf of the Office of Public Counsel and the 24 ratepayers, and my information has been given to the Court 25

1 Reporter.

2	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Very good. Well, hello,
3	everyone. Since you were all going to be here anyway for
4	settlement conference, I thought I would steal a few
5	minutes of your time before you do that and talk about
6	some issues regarding procedural issues coming forth.
7	Based on the order that the Commissioners voted
8	out this morning, it seemed that they did not think the
9	issue of availability fees should be ruled out right now.
10	But Mr. Comley has raised some good points in his
11	pleadings.
12	In the last rate case, there was a considerable
13	amount of time and effort spent by the parties to develop
14	an extensive record relating to availability fees. I
15	think it's important to try not to waste all that effort
16	in the interest of Administrative Committee and also cost
17	to the ratepayers.
18	So, the reason that I called this Pre-Hearing
19	Conference is to ask that if settlement does not work out
20	that you all discuss possible ways to incorporate evidence
21	relating to availability fees from the previous rate case
22	into the record of this current case. You should consider
23	official notice or stipulations by the parties, or both.
24	For example, the parties could indicate which
25	evidence that they presented in the previous rate case was

Page 28

	Page 29
1	relevant to the issue of availability fees and could
2	indicate if there was evidence that other parties had
3	presented to which they would not object if the Commission
4	took official notice of that. And the parties would
5	retain the right to present additional evidence to
6	supplement the record in this case, because maybe the
7	facts have changed or maybe something new has come to
8	light. But at least the basic evidence, background
9	evidence, would not need to be recreated.
10	The issue could be further narrowed by the use of
11	the joint stipulation of material non-disputed facts. All
12	this is an attempt to try and keep the parties from having
13	to reinvent the wheel at great expense. And if you settle
14	right now, that's great. But if you don't, I would like
15	you to let me know after your conversations here what you
16	think about these issues by filing a joint status report
17	by the end of the month. And that would avoid the week of
18	Christmas and allow me to maybe issue an order around the
19	first of the year which would be well before rebuttal
20	testimony which is scheduled to be filed January.
21	Now, I know this is all kind of vague, and that's
22	by design, but I don't want to limit your discussions
23	during your settlement conference. But I'm just trying to
24	indicate what I think an important goal would be; and, as
25	to how we get there, I'm open to the suggestions by the

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376 1 parties.

2	Does anybody have any initial thoughts or concerns
3	about this right now that you want to bring up?
4	MR. COMLEY: Just clarification. When you said
5	that we should have a joint status report regarding what
6	we think about "these issues", do you mean about possible
7	alternative discovery? Is that what you meant by "these
8	issues"?
9	JUDGE BUSHMANN: By "these issues", I mean the
10	idea of trying to incorporate by reference some things of
11	evidence that maybe have already been presented to the
12	Commission. That would be assuming, of course, that
13	settlement negotiations are not being fruitful.
14	If they if they are, then the status report
15	could say that we just you need more time to talk. If
16	they are not privy to be fruitful, then I ask you to move
17	on to the next thing, which is to talk about, Okay, so how
18	can we how can we try and economize the effort and
19	expense of the parties by using these various tools at our
20	disposal.
21	So and if you have in the joint status
22	report, if you have some ideas how to do that, then, by
23	all means that you all agree to you should include
24	that, also. And then we can kind of go from there and see

Page 30

Page 31 1 MR. COMLEY: Thank you. 2 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Other thoughts? 3 MS. BAKER: We do have discovery requests that are out there that have been objected to, and one of the 4 5 things that I was going to ask for was a more expedited discovery conference because the next one is not until the 6 7 15th. 8 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Right. 15th of January. 9 MS. BAKER: Yes. 10 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, that's fine. What you may want to think about is whether that would be necessary 11 12 based on the conversations that you have in the next few 13 days, and if you feel like you still need to have a discovery conference, I'm open to that and we can try and 14 15 resolve some of those discovery issues before we get to the 15th. Maybe in that first week or two of January 16 17 before rebuttal is due. 18 MS. BAKER: Okay. 19 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Anything else from anybody? Any 20 initial thoughts? Anything -- anything else, other than 21 this, that I need to address on the record right now? 22 MR. COMLEY: The Company doesn't have any. 23 MS. BAKER: I don't think so. 24 JUDGE BUSHMANN: All right. Well, then, in that case, I think we're adjourned, and we're off the record. 25

## PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE 12/18/2013

		Page 32
1	(Adjourned.)	
2	(Whereupon, the record ended at 1:06 p.m.)	
3	* * * * *	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Г

## PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE 12/18/2013

	Page 33
1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF MISSOURI )
3	) ss.
4	COUNTY OF COLE )
5	I, Pamela S. Gentry, Certified Court
6	Reporter with the firm of Midwest Litigation Services, do
7	hereby certify that I was personally present at the
8	proceedings had in the above-entitled cause at the time
9	and place set forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I
10	then and there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had;
11	and that the foregoing is a full, true and correct
12	transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such time
13	and place.
14	Given at my office in the City of
15	Jefferson, County of Cole, State of Missouri.
16	
17	
18	
	Pamela S. Gentry, CCR #426
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A	<b>bring</b> 30:3	27:20 29:3	economize 30:18	Governor's
above-entitled	Building 26:12	30:12	effort 28:13,15	26:12,20
33:8	26:20	Commissioners	30:18	great 29:13,14
additional 29:5	BUSHMAN	28:7	<b>ended</b> 32:2	
address 27:16,21	25:14	Committee 28:16	<b>entries</b> 27:11	$\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}}$
31:21	Bushmann 27:3	Company 26:3	<b>entry</b> 27:14,16	hearing 24:18
adjourned 31:25	27:9,18,22 28:2	27:12,14 31:22	<b>evidence</b> 28:20	27:1
32:1	30:9 31:2,8,10	Company's	28:25 29:2,5,8	<b>hello</b> 28:2
Administrative	31:19,24	25:10 27:6	29:9 30:11	
28:16	business 27:16	concerns 30:2	example 28:24	<b>idea</b> 30:10
<b>agree</b> 30:23		conference 24:7	expedited 31:5	ideas 30:22
allow 29:18	$\frac{C}{C}$	25:7 27:5 28:4	expense 29:13	Implement 25:11
alternative 30:7	C 26:1 27:2 33:1	28:19 29:23	30:19	27:7
amount 28:13	33:1	31:6,14	extensive 28:14	<b>important</b> 28:15
<b>Amy</b> 26:10 27:20	called 28:18	consider 28:22		29:24
amy.moore@p	caption 33:9	considerable	F	29:24 include 30:23
26:15	captioned 27:5	28:12	<b>F</b> 33:1	
anybody 30:2	case 27:5 28:12	conversations	facts 29:7,11	<b>incorporate</b> 28:20 30:10
31:19	28:21,22,25	29:15 31:12	<b>feel</b> 31:13	
anyway 28:3	29:6 31:25	<b>correct</b> 33:11	<b>fees</b> 28:9,14,21	<b>Increase</b> 25:11 27:7
appearance	cause 33:8	<b>cost</b> 28:16	29:1	
27:11,15,16	CCR 25:21,21	<b>Counsel</b> 26:17,19	<b>File</b> 27:8	indicate 28:24
appearing 27:13	33:18	26:19 27:22,24	<b>filed</b> 27:16 29:20	29:2,24
27:19,23	Certified 33:5	<b>County</b> 33:4,15	filing 29:16	information
Application	certify 33:7	<b>course</b> 30:12	<b>fine</b> 31:10	27:25
25:11 27:7	changed 29:7	<b>Court</b> 27:21,25	<b>firm</b> 33:6	initial 30:2 31:20
assigned 27:10	Christina 26:18	33:5	first 29:19 31:16	<b>interest</b> 28:16 <b>issue</b> 28:9 29:1
assuming 30:12	27:23	current 28:22	foregoing 33:11	
attempt 29:12	christina.bake		forth 28:6 33:9	29:10,18
Attorney 26:4	26:22	D	forward 30:25	<b>issues</b> 28:6,6
attorneys 26:11	Christmas 29:18	<b>D</b> 27:2	<b>fruitful</b> 30:13,16	29:16 30:6,8,9
27:11	<b>City</b> 24:9 25:8,23	days 31:13	<b>full</b> 33:11	31:15
availability 28:9	26:6,14,21	December 24:8	<b>further</b> 29:10	J
28:14,21 29:1	33:14	25:7 27:4	G	January 29:20
avoid 29:17	clarification 30:4	<b>Deputy</b> 26:19	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ 27:2	31:8,16
	<b>Cole</b> 33:4,15	design 29:22		<b>Jefferson</b> 24:9
<u> </u>	come 29:7	<b>develop</b> 28:13	<b>General</b> 25:11 27:7	25:8,23 26:6,14
background 29:8	coming 28:6	discovery 30:7		26:21 33:15
Baker 26:18	<b>Comley</b> 26:4,5	31:3,6,14,15	Gentry 25:21 33:5,18	joint 29:11,16
27:23,23 31:3,9	27:13,15,15	discuss 28:20		30:5,21
31:18,23	28:10 30:4 31:1	discussions 29:22	<b>given</b> 27:25 33:14	<b>Judge</b> 25:14 27:3
<b>based</b> 28:7 31:12	31:22	disposal 30:20		27:10,18,22
basic 29:8	comleym@ncr	<b>due</b> 31:17	<b>go</b> 27:3 30:24	28:2 30:9 31:2
behalf 27:13,19	26:7	E	goal 29:24	31:8,10,19,24
27:24	Commission		<b>going</b> 28:3 30:25	
Boulevard 25:22	24:3 25:3 26:9	E 26:1,1 27:2,2	31:5	K
Box 26:6,13,21	26:12 27:4,18	33:1,1	<b>good</b> 28:2,10	keep 29:12
	I	l	I	

	I			1
Kevin 26:11	name 27:9	29:3 30:11	Reported 25:20	suggestions
27:21	narrowed 29:10	Presiding 25:14	Reporter 27:17	29:25
kevin.thompso	necessary 31:11	previous 28:21	27:21 28:1 33:6	<b>Suite</b> 25:23 26:5
26:16	need 29:9 30:15	28:25	requests 31:3	26:20
kind 29:21 30:24	31:13,21	Pre-Hearing	<b>resolve</b> 31:15	supplement 29:6
know 29:15,21	needs 30:25	24:7 25:7 27:5	retain 29:5	
	negotiations	28:18	right 28:9 29:5	T
L	30:13	<b>privy</b> 30:16	29:14 30:3 31:8	<b>T</b> 33:1,1
Lake 25:10 26:3	new 29:7	procedural 28:6	31:21,24	talk 28:5 30:15
27:6,12,13	Newman 26:5	proceedings 24:6	<b>ruled</b> 28:9	30:17
Law 25:14 26:4	27:15	25:6 33:8,10	Ruth 26:5 27:15	testimony 29:20
26:11 27:10	non-disputed	<b>Public</b> 24:3 25:3		<b>Thank</b> 27:23
<b>Let's</b> 27:10	29:11	26:9,12,17,19	S	31:1
light 29:8	notes 33:12	26:19 27:20,22	<b>S</b> 25:21 26:1 27:2	thereof 33:9
limit 29:22	notice 28:23 29:4	27:24	33:5,18	thing 30:17
Litigation 25:22		<b>P.C</b> 26:5	scheduled 29:20	things 30:10 31:5
33:6	0	<b>p.m</b> 24:18 27:1,4	<b>see</b> 30:24	think 28:8,15
	<b>O</b> 27:2	32:2	Service 24:3 25:3	29:16,24 30:6
M	<b>object</b> 29:3	<b>P.O</b> 26:6,13,21	25:12 26:9,12	31:11,23,25
Madison 26:13	objected 31:4		27:8,20	Thompson 26:11
Mark 26:4 27:15	office 26:12,17	R	Services 25:22	27:21
material 29:11	26:19,20 27:22	<b>R</b> 26:1 27:2 33:1	33:6	thought 28:4
<b>matter</b> 25:10	27:24 33:14	raised 28:10	set 27:4 33:9	thoughts 30:2
27:6,10	official 28:23	rate 25:11 27:7	settle 29:13	31:2,20
mean 30:6,9	29:4	28:12,21,25	settlement 28:4	<b>Tim</b> 26:10 27:20
means 30:23	<b>Okay</b> 30:17	ratepayers 26:17	28:19 29:23	<b>time</b> 24:18 27:1,5
<b>meant</b> 30:7	31:18	27:25 28:17	30:13	28:5,13 30:15
Michael 25:14	<b>open</b> 29:25 31:14	reason 28:18	Sewer 25:10,12	33:8,12
27:9	<b>Opitz</b> 26:10	rebuttal 29:19	26:3 27:6,8,12	tim.opitz@psc
Midwest 25:22	27:20	31:17	27:14	26:15
33:6	order 28:7 29:18	record 27:3,14	sheet 33:9	<b>Today's</b> 27:3
minutes 28:5		28:14,22 29:6	spent 28:13	tools 30:19
Missouri 24:1,9	P	31:21,25 32:2	ss 33:3	transcript 24:6
25:1,8,21 26:9	<b>P</b> 26:1,1 27:2	recreated 29:9	Staff 27:18,20	25:6 33:12
26:12 27:19	Pamela 25:21	reference 30:10	Starting 24:18	<b>true</b> 33:11
33:2,15	33:5,18	<b>reflect</b> 27:14	27:1	<b>Truman</b> 25:22
<b>MO</b> 25:23 26:6	parties 28:13,23	regarding 28:6	State 24:1 25:1	try 28:15 29:12
26:14,21	28:24 29:2,4,12	30:5	33:2,15	30:18 31:14
Monroe 26:5	30:1,19	<b>Region</b> 25:10	status 29:16 30:5	trying 29:23
month 29:17	personally 33:7	26:3 27:6,12,13	30:14,21	30:10
<b>Moore</b> 26:10	place 33:9,13	Regulatory	steal 28:4	<b>two</b> 31:16
27:19,20	pleadings 28:11	25:14 27:9	Stenotype 33:10	
morning 28:8	<b>points</b> 28:10	reinvent 29:13	33:12	U
<b>move</b> 30:16	possible 28:20	relating 28:14,21	stipulation 29:11	<b>use</b> 29:10
	30:6	relevant 29:1	stipulations	
<u> </u>	present 29:5 33:7	report 29:16 30:5	28:23	V
<b>N</b> 26:1 27:2	presented 28:25	30:14,22	<b>Street</b> 26:5,13	<b>vague</b> 29:21
	=		0.0,10	

## PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE 12/18/2013

various 30:19 Volume 24:10	4
25:8	<b>426</b> 25:21
voted 28:7	5
<b>Voicu</b> 20.7	<b>5</b> 24:10 25:8
W	<b>537</b> 26:6
W 26:4 27:15	<b>573</b> 25:24 26:7
want 29:22 30:3	26:14,22
31:11	·
waste 28:15	6
Water 25:10,12	<b>601</b> 26:5
26:3 27:6,7,12	<b>634-2266</b> 26:7
27:14	<b>636-7551</b> 25:24
ways 28:20 week 29:17 31:16	<b>650</b> 26:20
West 25:22	<b>65102</b> 26:6,14,21 <b>65109</b> 25:23
We'll 27:3	03107 23.23
we're 31:25,25	7
wheel 29:13	<b>751-5565</b> 26:22
work 28:19	<b>751-7505</b> 26:14
WR-2013-0461	
25:11 27:8	
Y	
year 29:19	
year 29:19	
#	
<b>#426</b> 33:18	
1	
<b>1:00</b> 24:18 27:1,4	
<b>1:06</b> 32:2	
<b>15th</b> 31:7,8,16	
<b>18</b> 24:8 25:7 <b>18th</b> 27:4	
10til 27.4	
2	
<b>200</b> 26:13	
<b>2013</b> 24:8 25:7	
27:4	
<b>207</b> 25:23	
<b>2230</b> 26:21	
3	
<b>301</b> 26:5	
<b>3432</b> 25:22	
<b>360</b> 26:13	
000 20010	