

STATE OF MISSOURI
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Procedural Conference

August 10, 2017

Jefferson City, Missouri

Volume 2

In the Matter of Missouri) File No. WR-2017-0285, et al.
American Water Company's)
Request for Authority to)
Implement General Rate)
Increase for Water and)
Sewer Service Provided in)
Missouri Service Areas)

KIM S. BURTON, Presiding
SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.

REPORTED BY:

Chevon D. McFadden, CVR, CCR NO. 1399
TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL:

MR. HAMPTON WILLIAMS
200 Madison Street, Suite 650
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
opcservice@ded.mo.gov

FOR TRIUMPH FOODS, LLC:

MR. JOSHUA HARDEN
4520 Main Street, Suite 1100
Kansas City, Missouri 64111
joshua.harden@dentons.com

FOR THE CITY OF WARRENSBURG, MISSOURI:

MR. CARL LUMLEY (Appearing via telephone)
130 South Bemiston, Suite 200
St. Louis, Missouri 63105
clumley@lawfirmmail.com

FOR THE CITY OF ST. JOSEPH, MISSOURI:

MR. BRYAN CARTER
1100 Frederick Avenue, Room 307
St. Joseph, Missouri 64501
816.271.4680
bcarter@stjoemo.org

FOR THE CITY OF RIVERSIDE, MISSOURI:

MR. KEITH WENZEL
Spencer Fane, LLP
304 East High Street
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
573.634.8114
kwenzel@spencerfane.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S (cont'd)

FOR THE CITY OF JOPLIN, MISSOURI:

MR. MARC ELLINGER
Blitz Bardgett & Deutsch, LC
308 East High Street, Suite 301
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
573.634.2500
melling@bbdlc.com

and
MS. STEPHANIE BELL
Blitz Bardgett & Deutsch, LC
308 East High Street, Suite 301
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
573.634.2500
sbell@bbdlc.com

FOR THE CITY OF JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI:

MS. ALICIA EMBLEY TURNER
Newman, Comley & Ruth, P.C.
601 Monroe Street, Suite 301
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
573.634.2266
turnera@ncrpc.com

FOR MIDWEST ENERGY CONSUMERS GROUP:

MR. DAVID WOODSMALL
Attorney at Law
308 East High Street, Suite 204
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
573.636.6006

FOR MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, DIVISION
OF ENERGY:

MR. BRIAN T. BEAR (Appearing via telephone)
301 West High Street, Room 680
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
bbear.deenergycases.ded.mo.gov

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S (cont'd)

FOR MISSOURI -AMERICAN WATER COMPANY:

MR. DEAN L. COOPER
Brydon, Swearngen & England
312 East Capitol Avenue
P.O. Box 456
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
573.635.7166
dcooper@brydonlaw.com

and
MR. TIMOTHY W. LUFT
727 Craig Road
St. Louis, Missouri 63141
timothy.luft@amwater.com

FOR MISSOURI INDUSTRIAL ENERGY CONSUMERS:

MR. EDWARD DOWNEY (Appearing via telephone)
Riverview Office Center
221 Bolivar Street, Suite 101
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
efdowney@bryancave.com

and
MR. LEWIS MILLS (Appearing via telephone)
Riverview Office Center
221 Bolivar Street, Suite 101
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
lewis.mills@bryancave.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S (cont'd)

FOR PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY DISTRICT NO. 1 AND NO. 2 OF ANDREW COUNTY:

MR. LARRY W. DORITY
Fischer & DORITY, P.C.
101 Madison, Suite 400
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
573.636.5758
lwdority@sprintmail.com

and
MR. JAMES M. FISCHER
Fischer & DORITY, P.C.
101 Madison, Suite 400
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
573.636.5758
jfisherpc@aol.com

FOR STAFF OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:

MR. JACOB T. WESTEN
Public Service Commission
Governor Office Building, Suite 800
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
573.751.5472
jacob.westen@psc.mo.gov

FOR UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY DBA AMEREN MISSOURI:

MR. JAMES B. LOWERY
Smith Lewis, LLP
111 South Ninth Street, Suite 200
Columbia, Missouri 65205
lowery@smithlewis.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

P R O C E E D I N G S

JUDGE BURTON: Let's go ahead and go on the record in File No. WR-2017-0285, In the Matter of Missouri -American Water Company's Request for Authority to Implement General Rate Increase for Water and Sewer Services Provided in Missouri Service Areas.

Good morning, everyone. My name is Kim Burton, and I'm a Regulatory Law Judge with the Public Service Commission. Today is Thursday, August 10, 2017. It's approximately eleven o'clock in the morning, and we have set this time for a Procedural Conference.

At this point I would take entries of appearance, and we'll begin with Missouri -American Water Company.

MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. Dean Cooper from the law firm of Brydon, Swarengen & England, PC., and Tim Luft from Missouri -American Water Company appearing on behalf of Missouri -American Water.

JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Cooper. And let me just mention here, I know we did have the oral arguments on Monday, and at that point the Commission did on the record admit some parties. A notice will be sent directing the data center today to incorporate those parties into the service list.

1 Moving on. For the Staff of Missouri Public
2 Service Commission.

3 MR. WESTEN: Thank you, Judge. My name is
4 Jacob Westen. I am counsel for the Missouri Public
5 Service Commission Staff. My street address is 200
6 Madison Street, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri
7 65102. Thank you.

8 JUDGE BURTON: Office of the Public Counsel?

9 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Judge. Hampton
10 Williams, acting Public Counsel, 200 Madison Street,
11 P.O. Box 2230, Jefferson City 65102; appearing on
12 behalf of Public Counsel.

13 JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Midwest Energy
14 Consumers Group?

15 MR. WOODSMALL: Thank you, Your Honor. David
16 Woodsmall appearing on behalf of Midwest Energy
17 Consumers Group.

18 JUDGE BURTON: Consumer's Council of Missouri
19 has previously indicated that their counsel would be
20 unavailable for today due to a conflict with another
21 hearing and requested to be waived from appearance
22 today.

23 City of St. Joseph, Missouri?

24 MR. CARTER: Bryan Carter sitting in for the
25 City St. Joseph, 1100 Frederick Avenue, St. Joseph,

1 Missouri .

2 JUDGE BURTON: Triumph Foods?

3 MR. HARDEN: Joshua Harden on behalf of
4 Triumph Foods.

5 JUDGE BURTON: And has the court reporter
6 been provided a copy of your contact information?

7 MR. HARDEN: Apparently not.

8 JUDGE BURTON: Please make sure for you, as
9 well as other parties, that you provide that
10 information, if you haven't done so already, either on
11 the record or to the reporter after we conclude.

12 And I would remind those individuals that are
13 participating telephonically to please put their phone
14 on mute until they need to speak. Thank you.

15 Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers?

16 MR. DOWNEY: Good morning, Judge. Edward
17 Downey, Riverview Office Center, 221 Bolivar Street,
18 Suite 101, Jefferson City, Missouri .

19 JUDGE BURTON: Missouri Department of
20 Economic Development?

21 MR. MILLS: Excuse me. Also on behalf of the
22 Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers, this is Lewis
23 Mills.

24 JUDGE BURTON: I apologize, Mr. Mills.

25 Missouri Department of Economic Development,

1 Division of Energy?

2 MR. BEAR: Yes. This is Brian Bear on behalf
3 of Missouri Department of Economic Development,
4 Division of Energy, 301 West High Street, Suite 680,
5 Jefferson City, Missouri.

6 JUDGE BURTON: Thank you. Utility Workers
7 Union of America, Local 335?

8 Okay. All right. I'll note that. And Mr.
9 Bear, could you, please, mute your phone? I think you
10 have a little bit of static.

11 MR. BEAR: I should be muted.

12 JUDGE BURTON: Yes, you should be. I don't
13 know if you are.

14 MR. BEAR: Okay. I -- I am muting again.

15 JUDGE BURTON: Okay. So maybe it isn't your
16 phone. I apologize. Let's see if there's some static.
17 City of Joplin, Missouri?

18 MR. ELLINGER: Marc Ellinger and Stephanie
19 Bell with the law firm of Blitz Bardgett & Deutsch on
20 behalf of the City of Joplin.

21 JUDGE BURTON: Thank you. Public Water
22 Supply District No. 1 of Andrew County and Public Water
23 Supply District No. 2 of Andrew County?

24 MR. DORITY: Yes, thank you, Judge. Larry
25 DORITY and James Fischer with Fischer and DORITY, P.C.

1 on behalf of Public Water Supply District No. 1 of
2 Andrew County and Public Water Supply District No. 2 of
3 Andrew County, and the court reporter has our address.
4 Thank you.

5 JUDGE BURTON: Thank you. City of
6 Warrensburg, Missouri?

7 MR. LUMLEY: This is Carl Lumley on the phone
8 appearing for the City of Warrensburg, which is Curtis
9 Heinz Firm. We're at 130 South Bemiston, Suite 200,
10 St. Louis, Missouri 63105.

11 JUDGE BURTON: Okay. City of Jefferson City,
12 Missouri?

13 MS. TURNER: Alicia Embley Turner here for
14 the City of Jefferson, Newman, Comley & Ruth, P.C. And
15 I have submitted my written entry to the court
16 reporter.

17 JUDGE BURTON: Thank you, Ms. Turner.
18 City of Riverside, Missouri?

19 MR. WENZEL: Keith Wenzel on behalf of --
20 with Spencer Fane Law firm here in Jefferson City, 304
21 East High Street on behalf of the City of Riverside.

22 JUDGE BURTON: I would again ask all parties
23 that are participating through the phone to please put
24 their phones on mute.

25 Kansas City Power and Light Company and

1 Kansas Ci ty -- KCPL Greater Mi ssouri Operations
2 Company?

3 Uni on Electri c Company doi ng busi ness as
4 Ameren Mi ssouri ?

5 MR. LOWERY: Thank you, Your Honor. James B.
6 Lowery, Smi th Lewi s, LLP, P.O. Box 918, Col umbi a,
7 Mi ssouri 65205, appeari ng on behal f of Uni on Electri c
8 Company DBA Ameren, Mi ssouri .

9 JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you. Are there
10 any other parties that I may have excluded?

11 All right. Seei ng none.

12 I believe we all know the reason for thi s
13 conference today is to discuss the procedural i ssues
14 and try to work on some logi stics. I have the highest
15 expectations the parties have already worked something
16 out and have a procedural schedule ready to present.
17 Heari ng laughs.

18 Let's begi n with the first i ssue that I might
19 ask is for Mr. Williams. I know that we've had, I
20 believe, what was it? Twelve local public heari ngs
21 last year in 2006 [sic], and looking over the numbers
22 for -- for that year, I -- I noticed that for Brunswick
23 Mexico -- those l ocati ons had very low turnout, maybe
24 two and four participants. Is there any possi bility
25 that OPC would consider removing those from the

1 schedule for local public hearings or is there a need
2 to have a hearing there that would not be covered by
3 another location?

4 MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, Judge, I have not
5 reviewed the local public hearing schedules from the
6 last case. Our interest is, obviously, to make sure
7 that customers in each district kind of have an open
8 opportunity to participate. If there are reductions
9 that are reasonable, I'm happy to speak to that. I
10 will say earlier Staff had circulated a proposal, which
11 one of the questions or concerns that I had raised was
12 with respect to when the time frame of the local public
13 hearings would occur.

14 So at this point in time, I'm happy to talk
15 about the total number of local public hearings, but I
16 also think that there would have to be some
17 consideration given to when they will be scheduled or
18 kind of the time frame that they would be identified
19 within the procedural schedules, so --

20 JUDGE BURTON: I'm fine with -- with
21 discussing that right now, because I -- that actually
22 leads into the next issue I was going to mention.

23 Looking back at the -- starting from the
24 operation of law date, which is the end of May. If we
25 were to give the full month, let's say, for the

1 operation of law date for a report and order, we're
2 looking at next April for an order being issued,
3 probably around Wednesday the 25th of April. And
4 allowing the Commission to have a few weeks of
5 discussion and deliberation, and for, obviously, me to
6 have some opportunity to write that decision, that
7 pushes April out. Going back to March for what I think
8 the parties would appreciate enough time to prepare
9 their briefs, two rounds, I'm assuming, for the
10 original and a reply or true-up brief, as well,
11 would -- would get us through most of March.

12 So this is where the rubber meets the road.
13 What were the parties thinking of for a time frame for
14 the actual evidentiary hearing and for the true-up? I
15 know we're going to be dealing with a lot of issues.

16 MR. WESTEN: Judge, Staff late Tuesday
17 circulated a proposed schedule based on the 2011 rate
18 case, which had a similar original filing date as this
19 case. And in consideration of --

20 JUDGE BURTON: Do you have a copy of that --

21 MR. WESTEN: I do.

22 JUDGE BURTON: -- I could see?

23 MR. WESTEN: So this is a copy that OPC has
24 altered. That has not been agreed upon by the parties.

25 MR. WILLIAMS: It's just a draft.

1 JUDGE BURTON: I understand.

2 MR. WESTEN: So for what -- for what that's
3 worth, but we hesitate that our -- for the hearing
4 occurring sometime in late February, early March.
5 Briefing happening essentially towards the end -- kind
6 of middle/end of April. The date that you threw out as
7 a date for the Commission -- I think you said Wednesday
8 April 25th. So this would be pressing up against that
9 particular date, at least based on the schedule.

10 JUDGE BURTON: Yes. Because that has reply
11 and true-up briefs at the end of April.

12 MR. WESTEN: Right.

13 MR. WOODSMALL: Middle April -- April 13th.

14 JUDGE BURTON: Oh, okay. Sorry. I saw that
15 April 20th suggestion.

16 MR. WESTEN: Right. That's -- that's OPC's
17 response. Again --

18 JUDGE BURTON: I see.

19 MR. WESTEN: -- that's been noted in that --
20 by the parties. So that original date was April 13th.
21 Staff definitely understands OPC's concerns,
22 particularly with the timing of the -- the local public
23 hearings kind of over the holiday period.

24 JUDGE BURTON: We also have the rate case for
25 Laclède, as well.

1 MR. WESTEN: Exactly. Right. And you'll see
2 their comment kind of on the prior page concerning when
3 testimony is due. So these are definitely issues that
4 we are -- we are aware of and we are trying to address.

5 MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, for what it's worth,
6 the milestones, I would call them, that the Staff has
7 in their proposal, in terms of when hearings would
8 occur and briefing would occur and so on, match very
9 closely, I would say, to the last -- at least the last
10 couple Ameren Missouri rate cases that were filed,
11 essentially the first of July, very, very similar.

12 JUDGE BURTON: When are the parties
13 contemplating dealing with the issues of if it's
14 submitted, which I'm assuming that it will be, the
15 future forecasts? Will that be as part of the true-up
16 and, if -- if so, will that be the case in chief and
17 then we'll just be updated as any other issues would be
18 in a true-up?

19 MR. COOPER: The latter would be the
20 company's perspective, Your Honor. That is that if --
21 we kind of got into this a little bit in the oral
22 argument on -- on Monday, but certainly the company's
23 forecast and -- and its methodology and its basis for
24 it and all that is in its direct case as before the
25 Commission today. So we would anticipate that those

1 issues would be tried with the base evidentiary hearing
2 and the true-up would continue to be more of a
3 mechanical procedure.

4 JUDGE BURTON: Is that the understanding of
5 the other parties as well?

6 MR. WOODSMALL: Yeah. I'd made note when I
7 was looking --

8 JUDGE BURTON: Could you turn on your
9 microphone? I'm sorry.

10 MR. WOODSMALL: I'd made a note when I was
11 looking through this to define the scope of the true-up
12 so it's similar to what Dean was saying, that none of
13 the projections would take place in the true-up. It
14 would only be for purposes of the historical test year.

15 MR. WESTEN: That is that's anticipation as
16 well. Staff anticipates the primary hearing addressing
17 all of the future test year issues and the true-up
18 functioning essentially as a traditional --

19 JUDGE BURTON: True-up.

20 MR. WESTEN: -- Missouri true-up.

21 MR. WILLIAMS: OPC would support that.

22 JUDGE BURTON: Are there any parties that
23 disagree with that statement?

24 Okay. Just give me a moment while I look
25 through this, and I'm looking at the calendar for the

1 Commission's schedule as well.

2 MR. WOODSMALL: Your Honor, I guess the most
3 important thing as far as feedback from you, Staff's
4 proposal calls for reply briefs on April 13th. Does
5 that leave enough time?

6 JUDGE BURTON: Not at all.

7 MR. WOODSMALL: Okay. It will work, but I
8 was thinking that, so we'll --

9 JUDGE BURTON: Yeah.

10 MR. WOODSMALL: -- work backwards.

11 JUDGE BURTON: I -- I think there's going to
12 be -- there's going to be growing pains for everyone
13 here, for the Commission condensing the amount of time,
14 and I will talk to the Commissioners and if we need to
15 see if we can potentially have more than one agenda
16 meeting to discuss it in a week if we need to, rather
17 than to meet every week. And then I will try to
18 consolidate on my side, and that might also mean that
19 the parties need to consolidate or minimize the amount
20 of time for submitting their briefs. But I would
21 ask --

22 MR. WOODSMALL: So given --

23 JUDGE BURTON: I would ask that -- but we
24 would need to have everything done realistically and
25 submitted for consideration truly no later than

1 April 2nd. And by that I mean the parties' briefs. So
2 at least for the first round, if there are issues that
3 we could try to -- to parcel out for the Commission to
4 address during discussion and -- and start working on,
5 but I know a lot of times with a rate case it's
6 dependent.

7 MR. WOODSMALL: And I understand -- I
8 understand what you're looking for. I'm wondering if
9 April 2nd is absolute. Would April 6th work? That is
10 three -- that's three weeks. And like you say, there's
11 going to be growing pains all over this case, so if we
12 could make it April 6th, I think we could accommodate
13 that fairly easily. Because if it was on April 2nd --

14 JUDGE BURTON: Right.

15 MR. WOODSMALL: -- that's a Tuesday. You're
16 not going to deliberate the next day anyway probably.

17 JUDGE BURTON: Normally what happens is that
18 the Commission has some times -- has some time to
19 review the briefs and -- and the summaries before they
20 actually go into the deliberations, so that cuts down
21 that time, but I'm willing to make that work.

22 MR. WOODSMALL: Okay. So we'll try and work
23 backwards from April 6th then.

24 JUDGE BURTON: Yes. Now let's -- let's next
25 tackle -- but this is something that I -- I will stand

1 firm on. Whatever time frame the parties need, give
2 the Commission at least a week -- give me at least a
3 week for the position statements and a list of issues.
4 If you can separate it and present the issues earlier
5 so that we can start reviewing those and pinpointing
6 those issues, but the position statements are -- are
7 really key, too.

8 MR. WOODSMALL: So you're referring to a week
9 before the hearing starts, you're --

10 JUDGE BURTON: Right.

11 MR. WOODSMALL: -- wanting the position
12 statements?

13 JUDGE BURTON: Correct.

14 MR. WOODSMALL: Okay. And we've -- we've
15 done that so, but we'll keep that in mind.

16 JUDGE BURTON: So then next -- that -- that,
17 obviously, is going to change the scheduling with the
18 parties' position if we can. I've already blocked off
19 on the schedule upstairs all of the first week of March
20 through the 16th, as well as, if we needed it, the last
21 two weeks of February for -- for any of the evidentiary
22 hearing frame. So let's work with that. I know the
23 parties don't want to move that up as much as we might
24 need to, but all that time is available.

25 The Commission will be in NARUC that second

1 week of February, the 12th through the 16th, but I
2 don't think that will make a difference for the
3 hearing, and it shouldn't make a difference for the
4 local public hearings because we should be able to
5 address that earlier. So let's go back and look at the
6 time frame for the local public hearings.

7 MR. COOPER: Let -- let me ask this question
8 before -- we are --

9 JUDGE BURTON: Yes.

10 MR. COOPER: -- talking about a time period.
11 You know, I know traditionally we -- we have waited
12 until the Staff has filed or at least the direct
13 testimony has been filed in the case. And I guess I
14 want to ask the questions: Does that still make sense?
15 What if we were to move them up further in the case
16 even before Staff filed it's direct?

17 JUDGE BURTON: Mr. Westen?

18 MR. WESTEN: Well, the only -- the only
19 drawback I would see to that is that the parties'
20 positions -- that would be closer to what, I think,
21 actuals would be on a proposed bill to the customer
22 might be more suspect to speculation at that point if
23 we don't have settled positions from the parties. I --
24 I don't know. I'd have to mull that around a little
25 bit more. I'm not outright opposed to it, Dean.

1 JUDGE BURTON: We obviously don't need to
2 have me involved in any discussion on that in the
3 future and I expect the parties can discuss that.

4 But looking at the time frame, and I'm sure
5 at least Staff is aware, we do have -- we have those
6 two weeks of hearings for the -- for the Laclède case
7 and, normally, the Commission's schedule around
8 December is very difficult to work with. But if we
9 need to break it up and do, let's say, a few in
10 November in the St. Louis area or a few in the southern
11 portions of the state another week, we can hopefully
12 break it up and not do them all just one week. That's
13 another option.

14 Are there dates that the parties need to
15 consider if we were to push it back, because I -- I
16 don't think that you want to go into January through
17 February dealing with everything else and prepping for
18 the hearing and then stopping for the local public
19 hearings as well. Can we move any of them up to
20 November?

21 MR. WOODSMALL: The other benefit behind
22 moving those up, we run into situations in the past
23 where parties didn't want to settle because local
24 public hearings hadn't occurred and, if you settle,
25 then you look like what's the point of having a local

1 public hearing. So if you have that earlier, then you
2 can start settling things.

3 JUDGE BURTON: Mr. Williams, do you have
4 anything?

5 MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, Judge. My general
6 concern about, particularly, running local public
7 hearings, particularly before Staff has even filed
8 direct, is that, you know, on any presentation in front
9 of the public, I don't think that Staff or OPC would
10 necessarily be in a position to be able to either
11 articulate an independent decision or -- or -- or
12 position on a case, and whether or not, at least even
13 for public perception, that that's a good thing, that
14 if a question is asked of the Commission or OPC and the
15 answer to the public is, We don't know yet, whether or
16 not that that's a -- from a policy perspective of a
17 good presentation.

18 MR. COOPER: Yeah. Again, I think this is
19 something -- and, Judge, you mentioned it -- that we
20 probably need to talk about offline. But, you know,
21 the other thing that cuts is that when Staff and OPC do
22 come into local public hearings and they have a -- a
23 number, for example, that's greatly lower than the
24 company's proposal. And if, by chance, the ultimate
25 order ends up in between, I think that kind of can

1 cause some confusion as well. So, you know, having
2 those positions or not having those positions probably
3 cut a couple different ways.

4 JUDGE BURTON: I understand that, and
5 sometimes it depends on -- on the individual because
6 there are sometimes -- I've experienced, and I'm sure
7 you have, where, if the only information they have is
8 what the notice is from the company, a lot of their
9 issues could be resolved with discussions with Staff
10 and other parties if there is a position from Staff.
11 And I -- I understand that all the parties are going to
12 need to talk about this, and Staff is going to need to
13 review this and see what impact that would have on its
14 filing of its testimony. But, unfortunately, I don't
15 think you need me to be present when that occurs.

16 Are there any other issues that we need to --
17 to address that might resolve some issues today or that
18 we might need to present to the Commission concerning
19 time frames or facilitating access to information for
20 the parties in assessing future assessments or other
21 issues that are different from what's the norm in prior
22 rate cases? Or have the parties been contemplating
23 this and addressing it on their own?

24 MR. WESTEN: Staff hasn't had other specific
25 issues come up at this point in time. I'm sure that,

1 if they shake out through a discussion about what this
2 procedural schedule should look like, we will attempt
3 to address them and that if we need to bring them
4 before the Commission.

5 JUDGE BURTON: How much time do the parties
6 need to submit a proposal?

7 MR. WOODSMALL: A week.

8 MR. WESTEN: Two weeks, one week.

9 MR. WILLIAMS: A week.

10 MR. WESTEN: One week sounds.

11 MR. COOPER: Yeah, let's start with a week.

12 JUDGE BURTON: You know what? Then let's
13 just assume that we're going to try to get this -- I'm
14 going to look at the schedule. I think there was
15 discussion of canceling one of -- there was discussion
16 of potentially canceling the agenda -- what was it,
17 the 23rd, due to a hearing? But I think that this --
18 the parties need absolutely a week, and if we need to,
19 I'll talk to the Commissioners and say we need to get
20 this on for agenda on the 23rd or let the parties know
21 if -- if there's any issues with any submission,
22 otherwise, that it will be on for next week's agenda.

23 Are there any other issues that we need to
24 address while we are on the record today?

25 All right. Seeing no hands. I thank

1 everyone for their participation. I know you all have
2 been working very hard on this, and God speed on
3 getting everything together. I look forward to -- to
4 reading what you submit. And with that being said, we
5 are off the record.

6 (Record was closed at 11:27 a.m.)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE

I, Chevon D. McFadden, a Certified Verbatim Reporter, Certified Court Reporter, CCR No. 1399, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding had in the above-entitled cause was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

Chevon D. McFadden

Chevon D. McFadden, CVR, CCR No. 1399



A	<p>answer 180:15</p> <p>anticipate 173:25</p> <p>anticipates 174:16</p> <p>anticipation 174:15</p> <p>anyway 176:16</p> <p>apologize 166:24 167:16</p> <p>Apparently 166:7</p> <p>appearance 164:14 165:21</p> <p>appearing 160:11 161:21 162:11,14 164:19 165:11 165:16 168:8 169:7</p> <p>appreciate 171:8</p> <p>approximately 164:10</p> <p>April 171:2,3,7 172:6,8,11,13 172:13,15,20 175:4 176:1,9 176:9,12,13,23</p> <p>area 179:10</p> <p>Areas 159:13 164:6</p> <p>argument 173:22</p> <p>arguments 164:22</p> <p>articulate 180:11</p> <p>asked 180:14</p> <p>assessing 181:20</p> <p>assessments 181:20</p> <p>assume 182:13</p> <p>assuming 171:9</p>	<p>173:14</p> <p>attempt 182:2</p> <p>attorney 161:17 184:10</p> <p>August 159:6 164:9</p> <p>Authority 159:11 164:4</p> <p>available 177:24</p> <p>Avenue 160:15 162:4 165:25</p> <p>aware 173:4 179:5</p>	B	<p>B 163:17 169:5</p> <p>back 170:23 171:7 178:5 179:15</p> <p>backwards 175:10 176:23</p> <p>Bardgett 161:3 161:7 167:19</p> <p>base 174:1</p> <p>based 171:17 172:9</p> <p>basis 173:23</p> <p>bbear.deenerg... 161:23</p> <p>bcarter@stjoe... 160:17</p> <p>Bear 161:21 167:2,2,9,11 167:14</p> <p>behalf 164:19 165:12,16 166:3,21 167:2 167:20 168:1 168:19,21 169:7</p> <p>believe 169:12 169:20</p> <p>Bell 161:6 167:19</p>	<p>Bemiston 160:11 168:9</p> <p>benefit 179:21</p> <p>best 184:5</p> <p>bill 178:21</p> <p>bit 167:10 173:21 178:25</p> <p>Blitz 161:3,7 167:19</p> <p>blocked 177:18</p> <p>Bolivar 162:12 162:15 166:17</p> <p>Box 162:4 165:6 165:11 169:6</p> <p>break 179:9,12</p> <p>Brian 161:21 167:2</p> <p>brief 171:10</p> <p>briefing 172:5 173:8</p> <p>briefs 171:9 172:11 175:4 175:20 176:1 176:19</p> <p>bring 182:3</p> <p>Brunswick 169:22</p> <p>Bryan 160:15 165:24</p> <p>Brydon 162:3 164:17</p> <p>Building 163:13</p> <p>Burton 159:17 164:2,8,20 165:8,13,18 166:2,5,8,19 166:24 167:6 167:12,15,21 168:5,11,17,22 169:9 170:20 171:20,22 172:1,10,14,18 172:24 173:12 174:4,8,19,22</p>	<p>175:6,9,11,23 176:14,17,24 177:10,13,16 178:9,17 179:1 180:3 181:4 182:5,12</p> <p>business 169:3</p>
			C	<p>C 160:1 161:1 162:1 163:1 164:1</p> <p>calender 174:25</p> <p>call 173:6</p> <p>calls 175:4</p> <p>canceling 182:15,16</p> <p>Capitol 162:4</p> <p>Carl 160:11 168:7</p> <p>Carter 160:15 165:24,24</p> <p>case 170:6 171:18,19 172:24 173:16 173:24 176:5 176:11 178:13 178:15 179:6 180:12</p> <p>cases 173:10 181:22</p> <p>cause 181:1 184:5</p> <p>CCR 159:23 184:3,17</p> <p>center 162:11,14 164:24 166:17</p> <p>certainly 173:22</p> <p>CERTIFICATE 184:1</p> <p>Certified 184:2 184:3</p> <p>certify 184:4</p> <p>chance 180:24</p>		

change 177:17	175:14 182:19	173:19 178:7	162:6	176:4 179:2
Chevon 159:23 184:2,17	company 162:2 163:16 164:15	178:10 180:18 182:11	dealing 171:15 173:13 179:17	182:1,15,15
chief 173:16	164:19 168:25	copy 166:6 171:20,23	Dean 162:3 164:16 174:12	discussions 181:9
circulated 170:10 171:17	169:2,3,8 181:8	Correct 177:13	178:25	district 163:2 167:22,23
City 159:7 160:4 160:8,10,14,18	company's 159:10 164:4	Council 165:18	December 179:8	168:1,2 170:7
160:21 161:2,4 161:8,10,10,13	173:20,22 180:24	counsel 160:2 165:4,8,10,12	decision 171:6 180:11	Division 161:20 167:1,4
161:18,22 162:5,12,15	concern 180:6	165:19 184:7 184:10	define 174:11	doing 169:3
163:5,9,13 165:6,11,23,25	concerning 173:2 181:18	County 163:3 167:22,23	definitely 172:21 173:3	Dority 163:4,4,8 167:24,25,25
166:18 167:5 167:17,20	concerns 170:11 172:21	168:2,3	deliberate 176:16	Downey 162:11 166:16,17
168:5,8,11,11 168:14,18,20	conclude 166:11	couple 173:10 181:3	deliberation 171:5	draft 171:25
168:21,25 169:1	condensing 175:13	court 159:23 166:5 168:3,15	deliberations 176:20	drawback 178:19
closed 183:6	conference 159:5 164:12	covered 170:2	Department 161:20 166:19	due 165:20 173:3 182:17
closely 173:9	169:13	Craig 162:7	166:25 167:3	<hr/> E <hr/>
closer 178:20	conflict 165:20	Curtis 168:8	dependent 176:6	E 160:1,1 161:1 161:1 162:1,1
clumley@lawf... 160:12	confusion 181:1	customer 178:21	depends 181:5	163:1,1 164:1 164:1
Columbia 163:18 169:6	consider 169:25 179:15	customers 170:7 181:3	Deutsch 161:3,7 167:19	earlier 170:10 177:4 178:5 180:1
come 180:22 181:25	consideration 170:17 171:19	cuts 176:20 180:21	Development 161:20 166:20	172:4 early 172:4
Comley 161:12 168:14	175:25	CVR 159:23 184:17	166:25 167:3	easily 176:13
comment 173:2	consolidate 175:18,19	<hr/> D <hr/>	difference 178:2 178:3	East 160:20 161:4,7,17 162:4 168:21
Commission 159:2 163:11	Consumer's 165:18	D 159:23 164:1 184:2,17	different 181:3 181:21	Economic 161:20 166:20 166:25 167:3
163:12 164:9 164:23 165:2,5	Consumers 161:15 162:10	data 164:24	difficult 179:8	166:25 167:3
171:4 172:7 173:25 175:13	165:14,17 166:15,22	date 170:24 171:1,18 172:6	direct 173:24 178:12,16 180:8	Edward 162:11 166:16
176:3,18 177:2 177:25 180:14	cont'd 161:1 162:1 163:1	172:7,9,20	directing 164:24	efdowney@br... 162:13
181:18 182:4	contact 166:6	dates 179:14	direction 184:7	either 166:10 180:10
Commission's 175:1 179:7	contemplating 173:13 181:22	David 161:16 165:15	disagree 174:23	Electric 163:16 169:3,7
Commissioners	continue 174:2	day 176:16	discuss 169:13 175:16 179:3	
	Cooper 162:3 164:16,17,20	DBA 163:16 169:8	discussing 170:21	
		dcooper@bry...	discussion 171:5	

eleven 164:10	feedback 175:3	G	166:3,3,7	Industrial
Ellinger 161:3	File 159:10	G 164:1	hearing 165:21	162:10 166:15
167:18,18	164:3	general 159:11	169:17 170:2,5	166:22
Embley 161:11	filed 173:10	164:5 180:5	171:14 172:3	information
168:13	178:12,13,16	getting 183:3	174:1,16 177:9	166:6,10 181:7
employed 184:8	180:7	give 170:25	177:22 178:3	181:19
184:10	filing 171:18	174:24 177:1,2	179:18 180:1	interest 170:6
employee 184:10	181:14	given 170:17	182:17	interested
ends 180:25	financially	175:22	hearings 169:20	184:12
Energy 161:15	184:11	go 164:2,2	170:1,13,15	involved 179:2
161:20 162:10	fine 170:20	176:20 178:5	172:23 173:7	issue 169:18
165:13,16	firm 164:17	179:16	178:4,6 179:6	170:22
166:15,22	167:19 168:9	God 183:2	179:19,24	issued 171:2
167:1,4	168:20 177:1	going 170:22	180:7,22	issues 169:13
England 162:3	first 169:18	171:7,15	Heinz 168:9	171:15 173:3
164:18	173:11 176:2	175:11,12	hesitate 172:3	173:13,17
entries 164:13	177:19	176:11,16	High 160:20	174:1,17 176:2
entry 168:15	Fischer 163:4,7	177:17 181:11	161:4,7,17,22	177:3,4,6
essentially 172:5	163:8 167:25	181:12 182:13	167:4 168:21	181:9,16,17,21
173:11 174:18	167:25	182:14	highest 169:14	181:25 182:21
et 159:10	Foods 160:6	good 164:7	historical 174:14	182:23
evidentiary	166:2,4	166:16 180:13	holiday 172:23	J
171:14 174:1	forecast 173:23	180:17	Honor 164:16	Jacob 163:12
177:21	forecasts 173:15	Governor	165:15 169:5	165:4
Exactly 173:1	foregoing 184:4	163:13	173:5,20 175:2	jacob.westen...
example 180:23	forward 183:3	Greater 169:1	hopefully 179:11	163:14
excluded 169:10	four 169:24	greatly 180:23	I	James 163:7,17
Excuse 166:21	frame 170:12,18	Group 161:15	identified	167:25 169:5
expect 179:3	171:13 177:1	165:14,17	170:18	January 179:16
expectations	177:22 178:6	growing 175:12	impact 181:13	Jefferson 159:7
169:15	179:4	176:11	Implement	160:4,21 161:4
experienced	frames 181:19	guess 175:2	159:11 164:5	161:8,10,13,18
181:6	Frederick	178:13	important 175:3	161:22 162:5
F	160:15 165:25	H	incorporate	162:12,15
facilitating	front 180:8	Hampton 160:3	164:25	163:5,9,13
181:19	full 170:25	165:9	Increase 159:12	165:6,11
fairly 176:13	functioning	hands 182:25	164:5	166:18 167:5
Fane 160:20	174:18	happening	independent	168:11,14,20
168:20	further 178:15	172:5	180:11	jfischerpc@ao...
far 175:3	184:9	happens 176:17	indicated 165:19	163:10
February 172:4	future 173:15	happy 170:9,14	individual 181:5	Joplin 161:2
177:21 178:1	174:17 179:3	hard 183:2	individuals	167:17,20
179:17	181:20	Harden 160:7	166:12	Joseph 160:14

160:16 165:23 165:25,25 Joshua 160:7 166:3 joshua.harden... 160:8 Judge 159:17 164:2,8,20 165:3,8,9,13 165:18 166:2,5 166:8,16,19,24 167:6,12,15,21 167:24 168:5 168:11,17,22 169:9 170:4,20 171:16,20,22 172:1,10,14,18 172:24 173:12 174:4,8,19,22 175:6,9,11,23 176:14,17,24 177:10,13,16 178:9,17 179:1 180:3,5,19 181:4 182:5,12 July 173:11	178:11,11,24 180:8,15,20 181:1 182:12 182:20 183:1 kwenzel@spe... 160:22	169:20 170:1,5 170:12,15 172:22 178:4,6 179:18,23,25 180:6,22 location 170:3 locations 169:23 logistics 169:14 look 174:24 178:5 179:25 182:2,14 183:3 looking 169:21 170:23 171:2 174:7,11,25 176:8 179:4 lot 171:15 176:5 181:8 Louis 160:12 162:8 168:10 179:10 low 169:23 lower 180:23 Lowery 163:17 169:5,6 173:5 lowery@smit... 163:19 Luft 162:7 164:18 Lumley 160:11 168:7,7 lwdority@spr... 163:6	Matter 159:10 164:3 McFadden 159:23 184:2 184:17 mean 175:18 176:1 mechanical 174:3 meet 175:17 meeting 175:16 meets 171:12 mellinger@bb... 161:5 mention 164:21 170:22 mentioned 180:19 methodology 173:23 Mexico 169:23 microphone 174:9 Middle 172:13 middle/end 172:6 Midwest 161:15 165:13,16 milestones 173:6 Mills 162:14 166:21,23,24 mind 177:15 minimize 175:19 Missouri 159:1 159:7,10,13 160:4,8,10,12 160:14,16,18 160:21 161:2,4 161:8,10,13,18 161:20,22 162:5,8,10,12 162:15 163:5,9 163:11,13,16 163:18 164:6	165:1,4,6,18 165:23 166:1 166:15,18,19 166:22,25 167:3,5,17 168:6,10,12,18 169:1,4,7,8 173:10 174:20 Missouri-Ame... 162:2 164:4,14 164:18,19 moment 174:24 Monday 164:22 173:22 Monroe 161:12 month 170:25 morning 164:7 164:11 166:16 move 177:23 178:15 179:19 moving 165:1 179:22 mull 178:24 mute 166:14 167:9 168:24 muted 167:11 muting 167:14
<hr/> K <hr/> Kansas 160:8 168:25 169:1 KCPL 169:1 keep 177:15 Keith 160:19 168:19 key 177:7 Kim 159:17 164:7 kind 170:7,18 172:5,23 173:2 173:21 180:25 know 164:21 167:13 169:12 169:19 171:15 176:5 177:22	<hr/> L <hr/> L 162:3 Laclede 172:25 179:6 Larry 163:4 167:24 late 171:16 172:4 laughs 169:17 law 159:17 161:17 164:8 164:17 167:19 168:20 170:24 171:1 LC 161:3,7 leads 170:22 leave 175:5 let's 164:2 167:16 169:18 170:25 176:24 176:24 177:22 178:5 179:9 182:11,12 Lewis 162:14 163:17 166:22 169:6 lewis.mills@b... 162:16 Light 168:25 list 164:25 177:3 little 167:10 173:21 178:24 LLC 159:23 160:6 LLP 160:20 163:17 169:6 local 167:7	<hr/> M <hr/> M 163:7 Madison 160:3 163:5,8 165:6 165:10 Main 160:7 Marc 161:3 167:18 March 171:7,11 172:4 177:19 match 173:8	<hr/> N <hr/> N 160:1 161:1 162:1 163:1 164:1 name 164:7 165:3 NARUC 177:25 necessarily 180:10 need 166:14 170:1 175:14 175:16,19,24 177:1,24 179:1 179:9,14 180:20 181:12 181:12,15,16	

181:18 182:3,6 182:18,18,19 182:23 needed 177:20 neither 184:7 Newman 161:12 168:14 Ninth 163:18 norm 181:21 normally 176:17 179:7 note 167:8 174:6 174:10 noted 172:19 notice 164:24 181:8 noticed 169:22 November 179:10,20 number 170:15 180:23 numbers 169:21	172:14 174:24 175:7 176:22 177:14 OPC 169:25 171:23 174:21 180:9,14,21 OPC's 172:16 172:21 opcservice@d... 160:4 open 170:7 operation 170:24 171:1 Operations 169:1 opportunity 170:8 171:6 opposed 178:25 option 179:13 oral 164:22 173:21 order 171:1,2 180:25 original 171:10 171:18 172:20 outcome 184:12 outright 178:25	participate 170:8 participating 166:13 168:23 participation 183:1 particular 172:9 particularly 172:22 180:6,7 parties 164:23 164:25 166:9 168:22 169:10 169:15 171:8 171:13,24 172:20 173:12 174:5,22 175:19 177:1 177:23 178:23 179:3,14,23 181:10,11,20 181:22 182:5 182:18,20 184:8,11 parties' 176:1 177:18 178:19 PC 164:18 perception 180:13 period 172:23 178:10 perspective 173:20 180:16 phone 166:13 167:9,16 168:7 168:23 phones 168:24 pinpointing 177:5 place 174:13 please 166:8,13 167:9 168:23 point 164:13,22 170:14 178:22 179:25 181:25	policy 180:16 portions 179:11 position 177:3,6 177:11,18 180:10,12 181:10 positions 178:20 178:23 181:2,2 possibility 169:24 potentially 175:15 182:16 Power 168:25 prepare 171:8 prepping 179:17 present 169:16 177:4 181:15 181:18 presentation 180:8,17 Presiding 159:17 pressing 172:8 previously 165:19 primary 174:16 prior 173:2 181:21 probably 171:3 176:16 180:20 181:2 procedural 159:5 164:11 169:13,16 170:19 182:2 procedure 174:3 proceeding 184:4,9 PROCEEDIN... 159:4 projections 174:13 proposal 170:10 173:7 175:4	180:24 182:6 proposed 171:17 178:21 provide 166:9 provided 159:12 164:6 166:6 public 159:2 160:2 163:2,11 163:12 164:8 165:1,4,8,10 165:12 167:21 167:22 168:1,2 169:20 170:1,5 170:12,15 172:22 178:4,6 179:18,24 180:1,6,9,13 180:15,22 purposes 174:14 push 179:15 pushes 171:7 put 166:13 168:23
O				Q
O 164:1 o'clock 164:10 obviously 170:6 171:5 177:17 179:1 occur 170:13 173:8,8 occurred 179:24 occurring 172:4 occurs 181:15 Office 160:2 162:11,14 163:13 165:8 166:17 offline 180:20 Oh 172:14 okay 164:20 165:13 167:8 167:14,15 168:11 169:9	P	P 160:1,1 161:1 161:1 162:1,1 163:1,1 164:1 P.C 161:12 163:4,8 167:25 168:14 P.O 162:4 165:6 165:11 169:6 page 173:2 pains 175:12 176:11 parcel 176:3 part 173:15 participants 169:24	parties' 176:1 177:18 178:19 PC 164:18 perception 180:13 period 172:23 178:10 perspective 173:20 180:16 phone 166:13 167:9,16 168:7 168:23 phones 168:24 pinpointing 177:5 place 174:13 please 166:8,13 167:9 168:23 point 164:13,22 170:14 178:22 179:25 181:25	question 178:7 180:14 questions 170:11 178:14
				R
				R 160:1 161:1 162:1 163:1 164:1 raised 170:11 rate 159:11 164:5 171:17 172:24 173:10 176:5 181:22 reading 183:4 ready 169:16 realistically 175:24 really 177:7

<p>reason 169:12 reasonable 170:9 record 164:3,23 166:11 182:24 183:5,6 reduced 184:6 reductions 170:8 referring 177:8 Regulatory 159:17 164:8 related 184:7 relative 184:10 remind 166:12 removing 169:25 reply 171:10 172:10 175:4 report 171:1 REPORTED 159:22 reporter 166:5 166:11 168:3 168:16 184:3,3 REPORTING 159:23 Request 159:11 164:4 requested 165:21 resolve 181:17 resolved 181:9 respect 170:12 response 172:17 review 176:19 181:13 reviewed 170:5 reviewing 177:5 right 167:8 169:11 170:21 172:12,16 173:1 176:14 177:10 182:25</p>	<p>Riverside 160:18 168:18 168:21 Riverview 162:11,14 166:17 road 162:7 171:12 Room 160:15 161:22 round 176:2 rounds 171:9 rubber 171:12 run 179:22 running 180:6 Ruth 161:12 168:14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <hr/> <p>S 159:17 160:1 161:1 162:1 163:1 164:1 saw 172:14 saying 174:12 sbell@bbdlc.c... 161:9 schedule 169:16 170:1 171:17 172:9 175:1 177:19 179:7 182:2,14 scheduled 170:17 schedules 170:5 170:19 scheduling 177:17 scope 174:11 second 177:25 see 167:16 171:22 172:18 173:1 175:15 178:19 181:13 Seeing 169:11</p>	<p>182:25 SENIOR 159:17 sense 178:14 sent 164:24 separate 177:4 service 159:2,12 159:13 163:11 163:12 164:6,9 164:25 165:2,5 Services 164:6 set 164:11 settle 179:23,24 settled 178:23 settling 180:2 Sewer 159:12 164:5 shake 182:1 sic 169:21 side 175:18 similar 171:18 173:11 174:12 sitting 165:24 situations 179:22 Smith 163:17 169:6 sorry 172:14 174:9 sounds 182:10 South 160:11 163:18 168:9 southern 179:10 speak 166:14 170:9 specific 181:24 speculation 178:22 speed 183:2 Spencer 160:20 168:20 St 160:12,14,16 162:8 165:23 165:25,25 168:10 179:10</p>	<p>Staff 163:11 165:1,5 170:10 171:16 172:21 173:6 174:16 178:12,16 179:5 180:7,9 180:21 181:9 181:10,12,24 Staff's 175:3 stand 176:25 start 176:4 177:5 180:2 182:11 starting 170:23 starts 177:9 state 159:1 179:11 statement 174:23 statements 177:3,6,12 static 167:10,16 Stephanie 161:6 167:18 stopping 179:18 street 160:3,7,20 161:4,7,12,17 161:22 162:12 162:15 163:18 165:5,6,10 166:17 167:4 168:21 submission 182:21 submit 182:6 183:4 submitted 168:15 173:14 175:25 submitting 175:20 suggestion 172:15 Suite 160:3,7,11</p>	<p>161:4,7,12,17 162:12,15 163:5,8,13,18 166:18 167:4 168:9 summaries 176:19 Supply 163:2 167:22,23 168:1,2 support 174:21 sure 166:8 170:6 179:4 181:6,25 suspect 178:22 Swearngen 162:3 164:17</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <hr/> <p>T 161:21 163:12 tackle 176:25 take 164:13 174:13 taken 184:5,9 talk 170:14 175:14 180:20 181:12 182:19 talking 178:10 telephone 160:11 161:21 162:11,14 telephonically 166:13 terms 173:7 test 174:14,17 testimony 173:3 178:13 181:14 thank 164:16,20 165:3,7,9,15 166:14 167:6 167:21,24 168:4,5,17 169:5,9 182:25 thereto 184:11 thing 175:3</p>
--	--	---	--	---

180:13,21 things 180:2 think 167:9 170:16 171:7 172:7 175:11 176:12 178:2 178:20 179:16 180:9,18,25 181:15 182:14 182:17 thinking 171:13 175:8 three 176:10,10 threw 172:6 Thursday 164:9 TIGER 159:23 Tim 164:18 time 164:11 170:12,14,18 171:8,13 175:5 175:13,20 176:18,21 177:1,24 178:6 178:10 179:4 181:19,25 182:5 times 176:5,18 timing 172:22 TIMOTHY 162:7 timothy.luft@... 162:8 today 164:9,24 165:20,22 169:13 173:25 181:17 182:24 total 170:15 traditional 174:18 traditionally 178:11 TRANSCRIPT 159:4 tried 174:1	Triumph 160:6 166:2,4 true-up 171:10 171:14 172:11 173:15,18 174:2,11,13,17 174:19,20 truly 175:25 try 169:14 175:17 176:3 176:22 182:13 trying 173:4 Tuesday 171:16 176:15 turn 174:8 Turner 161:11 168:13,13,17 turnera@ncr... 161:14 turnout 169:23 Twelve 169:20 two 169:24 171:9 177:21 179:6 182:8 typewriting 184:6	Utility 167:6	167:4 Westen 163:12 165:3,4 171:16 171:21,23 172:2,12,16,19 173:1 174:15 174:20 178:17 178:18 181:24 182:8,10 Williams 160:3 165:9,10 169:19 170:4 171:25 174:21 180:3,5 182:9 willing 176:21 wondering 176:8 Woodsmall 161:16 165:15 165:16 172:13 174:6,10 175:2 175:7,10,22 176:7,15,22 177:8,11,14 179:21 182:7 work 169:14 175:7,10 176:9 176:21,22 177:22 179:8 worked 169:15 Workers 167:6 working 176:4 183:2 worth 172:3 173:5 WR-2017-0285 159:10 164:3 write 171:6 written 168:15	174:6 175:9 180:5,18 182:11 year 169:21,22 174:14,17
	U	V	X	Z
	ultimate 180:24 unavailable 165:20 understand 172:1 176:7,8 181:4,11 understanding 174:4 understands 172:21 unfortunately 181:14 Union 163:16 167:7 169:3,7 updated 173:17 upstairs 177:19	Verbatim 184:2 Volume 159:8	Yeah 170:4	0
		W		1
		W 162:7 163:4 waited 178:11 waived 165:21 want 177:23 178:14 179:16 179:23 wanting 177:11 Warrensburg 160:10 168:6,8 Water 159:10,12 162:2 163:2 164:4,5,15,18 164:19 167:21 167:22 168:1,2 ways 181:3 we'll 164:14 173:17 175:8 176:22 177:15 we're 168:9 171:1,15 182:13 we've 169:19 177:14,14 Wednesday 171:3 172:7 week 175:16,17 177:2,3,8,19 178:1 179:11 179:12 182:7,8 182:9,10,11,18 week's 182:22 weeks 171:4 176:10 177:21 179:6 182:8 Wenzel 160:19 168:19,19 West 161:22	1 163:2 167:22 168:1 10 159:6 164:9 101 162:12,15 163:5,8 166:18 11:27 183:6 1100 160:7,15 165:25 111 163:18 12th 178:1 130 160:11 168:9 1399 159:23 184:3,17 13th 172:13,20 175:4 16th 177:20 178:1	
				2
				2 159:8 163:2 167:23 168:2 200 160:3,11 163:18 165:5 165:10 168:9 2006 169:21 2011 171:17 2017 159:6 164:10 204 161:17 20th 172:15 221 162:12,15 166:17 2230 165:11

23rd 182:17,20	161:4,8,18			
25th 171:3 172:8	162:12,15			
2nd 176:1,9,13	163:5,9			
3	65102 160:4			
	161:13,22			
301 161:4,7,12	162:5 163:13			
161:22 167:4	165:7,11			
304 160:20	65205 163:18			
168:20	169:7			
307 160:15	680 161:22			
308 161:4,7,17	167:4			
312 162:4	6th 176:9,12,23			
335 167:7				
360 165:6	7			
	727 162:7			
4				
400 163:5,8	8			
4520 160:7	800 163:13			
456 162:4	816.271.4680			
	160:16			
5				
573.634.2266	9			
161:13	918 169:6			
573.634.2500				
161:5,8				
573.634.8114				
160:21				
573.635.7166				
162:5				
573.636.5758				
163:6,9				
573.636.6006				
161:18				
573.751.5472				
163:14				
6				
601 161:12				
63105 160:12				
168:10				
63141 162:8				
64111 160:8				
64501 160:16				
650 160:3				
65101 160:21				