

1 STATE OF MISSOURI  
2 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
3 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
4  
5  
6

7 In-Camera Proceedings  
8 April 23, 2008  
9 Jefferson City, Missouri  
Volume 14  
10  
11

12 In the Matter of the Joint )  
Application of Great Plains Energy )  
13 Incorporated, Kansas City Power & )  
Light Company, and Aquila, Inc., ) Case No. EM-2007-0374  
14 for Approval of the Merger of )  
Aquila, Inc., with a Subsidiary of )  
15 Great Plains Energy Incorporated )  
And for Other Related Relief )  
16

17  
18 HAROLD STEARLEY, Presiding,  
REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

19 CONNIE MURRAY,  
20 ROBERT M. CLAYTON III,  
COMMISSIONERS.  
21

22 REPORTED BY:

23 KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR  
24 MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES  
25

1 I N - C A M E R A P R O C E E D I N G S

2 JUDGE STEARLEY: We are in-camera.

3 ROBERT SCHALLENBERG testified as follows:

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON:

5 Q. Thank you. Go ahead and make your  
6 corrections, Mr. Schallenberg.

7 A. In the first quoted portion at the top of  
8 page 2, that starts with the words if GXP chooses, the  
9 third from the last line, it should be involved and  
10 expanded instead of expended. That word should be  
11 changed.

12 JUDGE STEARLEY: Could you please repeat  
13 that?

14 THE WITNESS: Sure. If you go to the  
15 quote, the first quoted section at the top of page 2,  
16 there's two lines and then the quote starts, and if you go  
17 to the third from the bottom line, it says involve an  
18 expended capital program it's supposed to be expanded.

19 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you.

20 THE WITNESS: And then on page 12, first  
21 full paragraph. Now, this is not HC, but I mean -- I  
22 guess if you want I can go through and do all the HC  
23 corrections.

24 JUDGE STEARLEY: Why don't we do that, then  
25 we won't have to keep going in and out of in-camera.

1                   THE WITNESS: Okay. On page 31, on the  
2 second column where it has comments, it's the third  
3 sentence, it says, approval should be based on a finding  
4 of no detriment. The word net is left out. So the  
5 sentence should actually read, approval should be based on  
6 a finding of no net detriment.

7                   And that's all the HC material that was in  
8 that -- the report.

9                   MR. THOMPSON: At this time, your Honor, we  
10 can go back into regular session.

11                  JUDGE STEARLEY: Very well.

12                  WHEREUPON, the in-camera portion of Robert  
13 Schallenberg's testimony was concluded.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25