1	STATE OF MISSOURI					
2 3 4	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION					
5 6						
7	On-the-Record Presentation					
8	July 31, 2006 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 1					
9						
10						
11						
12	In the Matter of the Application) of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co.,)					
13	Inc., the Staff of the Missouri) Public Service Commission, and the)					
14	Office of the Public Counsel for) Approval of Their Unanimous) Case No. WO-2007-0036					
15	Stipulation and Consent Order for) Appointment of Interim Receiver) and Order to General Counsel to) Petition the Circuit Court of Cole)					
16						
17	County for the Appointment of a) Receiver)					
18	CHERLYN D. VOSS, Presiding,					
19 20	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE. LINWARD "LIN" APPLING,					
21	COMMISSIONER.					
22						
23	REPORTED BY:					
24	KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR					
25	MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES					

1	APPEARANCES:				
2	PEGGY WHIPPLE, Chief Litigation Attorney JENNIFER HEINTZ, Associate General Counsel				
3	P.O. Box 360 200 Madison Street				
4	Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573)751-3234				
5	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public				
6	Service Commission.				
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16 17					
18					
10					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	PROCEEDINGS				
2	(EXHIBIT NOS. 1 AND 2 WERE MARKED FOR				
3	IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)				
4	JUDGE VOSS: We're on the record. We'll				
5	take entries of appearance, beginning with Staff.				
6	MS. WHIPPLE: My name is Peggy Whipple, and				
7	together with Jennifer Heintz, we are here today on behalf				
8	of the Staff of the Public Service Commission. Our				
9	address is Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri				
10	65102.				
11	JUDGE VOSS: Let the record note that the				
12	Office of Public Counsel is not here. There was a health				
13	issue with the attorney, and hopefully someone else will				
14	be joining us. I believe, Ms. Whipple, you can state you				
15	spoke with Mr. Dandino this morning.				
16	MS. WHIPPLE: I did. Right before the				
17	commencement of this hearing, I spoke directly with				
18	Mr. Dandino, and he asked me to assure the Court that the				
19	Office of Public Counsel is in complete agreement with the				
20	Unanimous Stipulation that we're here to discuss today,				
21	and that if the Court should desire any follow-up				
22	documentation or briefing or response in any way, he will				
23	be happy to comply.				
24	JUDGE VOSS: Let the record also note that				
25	Mr. Clifford from Hickory Hills is not in attendance. He				

has declined to be represented by counsel and has signed an affidavit stating that he is aware of his right to a hearing and is declining to attend, and an affidavit stating that fact will be entered momentarily. Would you like to offer the stipulation?

6 MS. WHIPPLE: Yes. We have two exhibits 7 today. Exhibit No. 1 is entitled Unanimous Stipulation 8 and Consent Order for Appointment of Interim Receiver and 9 Order to General Counsel to Petition the Circuit Court of 10 Cole County for the Appointment of a Receiver. And this 11 document has already been filed in the record in this 12 case, and it bears the signatures of myself on behalf of Staff, Mr. Mike Dandino on behalf of the Office of the 13 14 Public Counsel, and Mr. Randy Clifford, the officer and 15 director of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company.

And Exhibit No. 2 is entitled Affidavit of 16 Randy Clifford. It is signed and notarized by Mr. Randy 17 Clifford, dated the 26th day of July, and in sum it 18 19 indicates that Mr. Clifford was notified of his 20 opportunity to be present today and declines to appear 21 today, stating that his entire statement is already 22 contained within the record. 23 JUDGE VOSS: Are there any objections to

24 admission of these two exhibits?

25 (No response.)

1 JUDGE VOSS: Hearing none, they're admitted 2 into the record. (EXHIBIT NOS. 1 AND 2 WERE RECEIVED INTO 3 4 EVIDENCE.) 5 JUDGE VOSS: Ms. Whipple, would you like to 6 give a brief opening statement and explanation of the 7 Stipulation & Agreement? MS. WHIPPLE: Yes. This Unanimous 8 9 Stipulation was arrived at after several discussions 10 between representatives of the Office of Public Counsel, 11 myself and Ms. Heintz, Staff, and of course Mr. Randy 12 Clifford. We all agreed, without any disagreement whatsoever, that the process of putting this Hickory Hills 13 14 Water & Sewer Company into a voluntary receivership was 15 the right and appropriate thing to do in order to assure 16 adequate and reliable service to the customers of Hickory 17 Hills. 18 Mr. Clifford stated more fully in his first 19 affidavit, which is incorporated within the Unanimous 20 Stipulation, that he has numerous reasons for wishing the 21 Hickory Hills facility to go into receivership, personal 22 reasons as well as health reasons. 23 We're all in agreement that this is the 24 appropriate way to handle this company at this time and in 25 the interest of the customers, and so we're here today to

1 answer any questions and to make sure that we have

2 properly documented this decision.

3 JUDGE VOSS: It is time for questions. 4 Commissioner Appling, do you have any questions? 5 COMMISSIONER APPLING: Ms. Whipple, I don't 6 know whether you're the right person to answer or whether 7 Dale would be the one to ask this question. 8 I'm just concerned that we don't have any 9 denigration in the service to the customers, Dale, during 10 the time of this receivership change. So can you help me 11 out a little bit by stepping back a couple steps and tell 12 me how that's going to work here so that we can make that a part of the record? 13 14 I just don't want the customers complaining 15 and not getting the service they deserve here during the 16 time, the transition from the present owner to the person that's going to pick up the responsibility. Help me out a 17 little bit with that if you wouldn't mind. I haven't read 18 19 the stipulation. That's --MS. WHIPPLE: Shall I go ahead and have 20 Dale be sworn before he replies? Would that be 21 22 appropriate? 23 JUDGE VOSS: Mr. Russo also, I presume, 24 should be sworn. 25 COMMISSIONER APPLING: Go ahead.

1 (Witnesses sworn.) 2 JUDGE VOSS: Go ahead. 3 MR. JOHANSEN: Yes. Commissioner Appling, my name is Dale Johansen. I'm the manager of the water 4 5 and sewer department for the Commission. 6 I think from the standpoint of the service 7 that the customers are currently receiving and what will 8 happen during the transition is that Mr. Clifford has 9 indicated that he is continuing to operate the system. 10 The main issue here recently has been 11 whether or not he has continued to chlorinate the water 12 supply. He is currently doing that. He has no intentions of ceasing that function. And it's my understanding that 13 14 until such time that a receiver is actually appointed, 15 that Mr. Clifford will continue with those activities. 16 Once a receiver is appointed, either as an interim receiver by the Commission or eventually by the 17 18 Circuit Court, the receiver will actually at that point be 19 responsible and will be making arrangements for a licensed 20 operator to be involved with the operation of the system. 21 And we fully expect that any regulatory activities that 22 are required from the standpoint of DNR or the 23 Commission's regulations regarding the operation of the system will basically continue with a receiver basically 24 25 at the status quo today, that the system will continue to

be operated. There will be a licensed operator involved
 and so forth.
 COMMISSIONER APPLING: Any customer can

4 still complain if their service is denigrated the same as 5 they could yesterday or today, right? 6 MR. JOHANSEN: Oh, certainly. The -- I 7 think one of the things that's important to note here is 8 that the appointment of an interim receiver by the 9 Commission or the appointment of a receiver by the Circuit 10 Court doesn't really change anything from the standpoint 11 of the company, except for the management. 12 You're basically replacing the existing management with someone that's appointed on an interim 13 14 basis by the Commission and then eventually by the Circuit 15 Court. The company continues in its operations. Its 16 responsibilities continue as they always were. It's simply you have a new CEO in effect. 17

18 COMMISSIONER APPLING: So what is your best 19 guess or anticipation on what date that this might take 20 place?

21 MR. JOHANSEN: Basically, what we have --22 what we have done in a similar case from the standpoint of 23 a receivership action is that the interim receiver, that 24 appointment would be effective upon the effective date of 25 the Commission Order approving this, I assume approving

this Unanimous Stipulation and authorizing the General
 Counsel to go to Circuit Court. So the Commission's
 appointment of the interim receiver will be effective with
 the effective date of that Order.

5 From the standpoint of the Circuit Court 6 action, the time that it will take to actually have that 7 petition filed with the Circuit Court and then ruled on by 8 the judge, the standpoint of the filing, that could be 9 done fairly quickly. From that point on, once it's filed, 10 there's some time for responses that's available to the 11 company. I believe even though this is a consent 12 situation, that that time frame will still have to run, which if I recall correctly is 30 days. 13

14 And then the Circuit Court judge's actions 15 on that petition, basically the timing of that is simply 16 going to be up to the judge and his docket. COMMISSIONER APPLING: So, Peggy, what is 17 18 our responsibility, that is for us to approve the 19 stipulation here coming up posthaste here? 20 MS. WHIPPLE: Yes. I think that that is 21 even within Mr. Clifford's desire, that once we can get an 22 effective Order from the Commission here, he understands 23 that, you know, things may slow down a little bit over in 24 Circuit Court because those judges' dockets are rather 25 unpredictable. But we're not anticipating any change

between the interim receiver. The identity of the 1 gentleman, Mr. Gary Cover, that we're submitting to the 2 3 Commission to approve will be the very same person that we're asking the Circuit Court to bless as the permanent 4 5 receiver. 6 And so effectively once we get Mr. Cover 7 appointed here by the Commission, it should run smoothly 8 no matter how long it takes, frankly, over at Circuit 9 Court. There shouldn't be any other changes. 10 COMMISSIONER APPLING: I had a list --11 Judge, I had a list of questions, and you have them also, 12 questions that Tim put together for us on Friday that we were going to ask. Is there some way that I can get these 13 14 put into the record and maybe ask OPC to answer these 15 questions in writing later? 16 JUDGE VOSS: I will issue an Order this

17 afternoon directing Public Counsel to answer these
18 questions.

19 COMMISSIONER APPLING: Okay. Thank you. I
20 don't think I have anything else. Dale, do we -- are we
21 okay here with this?

22 MR. JOHANSEN: I believe we are. I think 23 this is -- this is a proper resolution of this situation. 24 It clearly is Mr. Clifford's desire. We're not -- we're 25 certainly not forcing anything down his throat, so to

speak. He's in agreement with this. 1 2 COMMISSIONER APPLING: I think he just 3 wants out of the business, right? MR. JOHANSEN: Yes. That's the bottom line 4 5 as far as he is concerned, yes. 6 COMMISSIONER APPLING: Good. 7 JUDGE VOSS: I do have a few questions from 8 some of the other Commissioners. If you have any 9 additional questions, just step in, let me know. 10 COMMISSIONER APPLING: Go ahead. 11 JUDGE VOSS: You said that the interim 12 receiver will effectively be operating the company from the date of the Commission Order, from any Commission 13 14 Order. Do you have any type of a compensation package in 15 place yet that the receiver will receive for operating? 16 MS. WHIPPLE: Well, we have a past agreement with Mr. Gary Cover in another receivership, 17 that I have been assured by other attorneys the Commission 18 19 is currently pleased with his performance. 20 Now, I do know that that's an hourly rate. 21 I have been told that it's \$150 an hour. But I have also 22 been told, especially talking with Staff -- and Dale and 23 Jim might answer that better than I would -- that other 24 receivership was, shall we say, a contentious situation. 25 Okay. I probably hit the right word. And very likely

required significantly more time from Mr. Cover than any
 of us anticipate being required here because we have a
 voluntary situation and no disagreement.

JUDGE VOSS: The size of that other system, 4 5 that's a pretty sizeable system, isn't it, the Osage? 6 MR. JOHANSEN: It's significantly larger 7 than Hickory Hills, but as Ms. Whipple said, that 8 situation has involved a great deal of time on Mr. Cover's 9 part simply because it has been contested. There's been 10 numerous issues raised and that continue to be set for 11 hearing in Camden County Circuit Court regarding that 12 situation.

So far as Hickory Hills Water & Sewer is 13 14 concerned, I don't anticipate Mr. Cover having a 15 significant amount of time involved from the standpoint of 16 his activities as the receiver. One of the things that he will need to do that we have had some preliminary 17 18 discussions with him about, but nothing firm yet, is that 19 he will need to make arrangements with a licensed operator 20 to actually do the day-to-day operations of the system. 21 So from the standpoint of compensation and 22 what the receivership, if you will, will cost, I think the 23 most significant issue there is going to be the 24 arrangements that he can make for an operator and not his 25 time itself.

1 JUDGE VOSS: That will be additional cost 2 on the --3 MR. JOHANSEN: Correct. JUDGE VOSS: -- customers, whatever the 4 5 operator's paid in addition to his --6 MR. JOHANSEN: Yes. 7 JUDGE VOSS: So as receiver, then, he won't 8 be billing for mileage, just straight time? 9 MR. JOHANSEN: Mr. Cover himself, I think his -- most of his activities will not require him to 10 11 travel. Depending upon who he makes arrangements with for 12 operation purposes, you know, there's likely to be some time involved there on their part from the standpoint of 13 14 not only the time that's required in the system itself, 15 but also the time that that contract operator is going to 16 have in getting to the job. JUDGE VOSS: Okay. I think that addresses 17 18 the bulk of the questions I had from the other Commissioners. Do you have anything else, Commissioner? 19 20 COMMISSIONER APPLING: I just -- I don't think so. I just don't want any denigration in the 21 22 service to the customer, and that's the thing that I think 23 that we need to make sure that we keep our eyes on. Is 24 somebody here going to kind of be overseeing or watching 25 this as we progress?

1 MR. JOHANSEN: Oh, yes. In all the 2 situations that -- the current situation with Osage Water Company that's operating under receiver and Missouri 3 Utility Companies -- Missouri Utility Company, which is 4 5 also operating under receivership, we monitor those 6 situations closely. We're in contact, you know, weekly if 7 not daily in some instances with the receiver as well as 8 the operator.

9 So it's something that we monitor very 10 closely, and one of the reasons we do that is to ensure 11 that the receiver not only is keeping the system running the way it should be, but we try to provide as much 12 13 assistance as we can to help keep the costs down from the 14 standpoint of the time that the receiver has to spend on 15 it. 16 COMMISSIONER APPLING: Okay. Judge, that's 17 all I have. JUDGE VOSS: I have no further questions. 18 19 Call this to a close. Thank you. 20 WHEREUPON, the on-the-record presentation 21 was concluded. 22 23 24 25

1	EXHIBITS INDEX			
2		MARKED	RECEIVED	
3	EXHIBIT NO. 1			
4	Unanimous Stipulation and Consent Order for Appointment of Interim Receiver and Order to General Counsel	1		
5	to Petition the Circuit Court of Col County for the Appointment of a			
6	Receiver	3	5	
7	EXHIBIT NO. 2 Affidavit of Randy Clifford	3	5	
8		9	J	
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

1 CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE 3) 4 I, Kellene K. Feddersen, Certified 5 Shorthand Reporter with the firm of Midwest Litigation 6 Services, and Notary Public within and for the State of 7 Missouri, do hereby certify that I was personally present 8 at the proceedings had in the above-entitled cause at the 9 time and place set forth in the caption sheet thereof; 10 that I then and there took down in Stenotype the 11 proceedings had; and that the foregoing is a full, true 12 and correct transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at 13 such time and place. 14 Given at my office in the City of 15 Jefferson, County of Cole, State of Missouri. 16 17 Kellene K. Feddersen, RPR, CSR, CCR Notary Public (County of Cole) 18 My commission expires March 28, 2009. 19 20 21 22 23

24

0016