Mileage Cos Allocation of Payroll and Mileage Cos Plant Related Co Witness: Ted Robertso Type of Exhibit: Rebutt Sponsoring Party: Public Couns	Exhibit No.:	
Allocation of Payroll and Mileage Cos Plant Related Co Witness: Ted Robertso Type of Exhibit: Rebutt Sponsoring Party: Public Couns	Issue(s):	Payroll Cost/
Witness:Plant Related CoWitness:Ted RobertsoType of Exhibit:RebuttSponsoring Party:Public Couns		Mileage Cost/
Witness:Ted RobertsoType of Exhibit:RebuttSponsoring Party:Public Couns	Allocati	on of Payroll and Mileage Cost/
Type of Exhibit: Rebutt Sponsoring Party: Public Couns		Plant Related Cost
Sponsoring Party: Public Couns	Witness:	Ted Robertson
~p • g = j ·	Type of Exhibit:	Rebuttal
Case Number: WR-2006-0250/SR-2006-024	Sponsoring Party:	Public Counsel
	Case Number:	WR-2006-0250/SR-2006-0249
Date Testimony Prepared: April 5, 200	Date Testimony Prepare	ed: April 5, 2006

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

TED ROBERTSON

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

HICKORY HILLS WATER & SEWER COMPANY

Case No. WR-2006-0250 and SR-2006-0249

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Small Company Rate Increase Request of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company, Inc.)))	Case No. WR-2006-0250
In the Matter of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co.'s Request for a Small Company Rate Increase.)))	<u>Case No. SR-2006-0249</u>

AFFIDAVIT OF TED ROBERTSON

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS
COUNTY OF COLE)	

Ted Robertson, of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

1. My name is Ted Robertson. I am a Public Utility Accountant for the Office of the Public Counsel.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my rebuttal testimony consisting of pages 1 through 39 and Schedule TJR-1 through TJR-3 and Exhibits 1 and 2.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

auch 1. _ .

Ted Robertson, C.P.A. Public Utility Accountant III

Subscribed and sworn to me this 5th day of April 2006.



JERENE A. BUCKMAN My Commission Expires August 10, 2009 Cole County Commission #05754036

Juckman

Jerene A. Buckman Notary Public

My commission expires August 10, 2009.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Testin	Testimony		
I.	Introduction	1	
II.	Executive Summary	3	
III.	Payroll Costs	6	
IV.	Mileage Costs	26	
V.	Cost Allocation Methodology	31	
VI.	Plant-Related Costs	33	
VII.	Company's Current Financial Position	34	
VIII.	Summary	37	

1 2		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
3		TED ROBERTSON
4 5		HICKORY HILLS WATER & SEWER
6 7		COMPANY CASE NO. WR-2006-0250
8		AND
9 10		CASE NO. SR-2006-0249
11	I.	INTRODUCTION
12	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
13	А.	Ted Robertson, PO Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-2230.
14		
15	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
16	А.	I am employed by the Office of the Public Counsel of the State of Missouri ("OPC" or
17		"Public Counsel") as a Public Utility Accountant III.
18		
19	Q.	WHAT IS THE NATURE OF YOUR CURRENT DUTIES AT THE OPC?
20	A.	Under the direction of the OPC Chief Public Utility Accountant, Mr. Russell W.
21		Trippensee, I am responsible for performing audits and examinations of the books and
22		records of public utilities operating within the State of Missouri.
23		
24	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND OTHER
25		QUALIFICATIONS.
26	А.	I graduated in May, 1988, from Southwest Missouri State University in Springfield,
27		Missouri, with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Accounting. In November of 1988, I

passed the Uniform Certified Public Accountant ("CPA") Examination, and I obtained 1 2 CPA certification from the State of Missouri in 1989. My CPA license number is 3 2004012798. 4 5 Q. HAVE YOU RECEIVED SPECIALIZED TRAINING RELATED TO PUBLIC 6 UTILITY ACCOUNTING? 7 A. Yes. In addition to being employed by the Office of the Public Counsel since 1990, I 8 have attended the NARUC Annual Regulatory Studies Program at Michigan State 9 University, and I have also participated in numerous training seminars relating to this 10 specific area of accounting study. 11 12 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC 13 SERVICE COMMISSION ("COMMISSION" OR "MPSC")? 14 A. Yes. Since beginning my employment with the Public Counsel I have testified on 15 numerous issues before this Commission. Please refer to Schedule TJR-1, attached to 16 this testimony, for a listing of cases in which I have previously submitted testimony. 17 Q. 18 WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY? 19 A. The purpose of this testimony is to express the Public Counsel's recommendations 20 regarding the requested revenue increases Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company 21 ("Hickory Hills" or "Company") is seeking for its water and sewer operations. 22 23

II. **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY** 1 2 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE PUBLIC COUNSEL'S POSITION. 3 A. Attached to this testimony as Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2 are Microsoft Excel based 4 accounting schedules I prepared which show the Public Counsel's recommended revenue 5 requirements for the Hickory Hills water and sewer operations, respectively. Exhibit 1 6 shows that the water operation is in an over-earnings mode; thus, the revenue requirement 7 (customer rates) for that operation should be reduced. However, Exhibit 2 shows that the 8 sewer operation is under-earning by an amount that is somewhat lower than the amount 9 of the revenue increase Company has requested for the operation. 10 Q. DOES THE PUBLIC COUNSEL OPPOSE THE REVENUE INCREASE REQUEST 11 12 SOUGHT BY COMPANY FOR ITS SEWER OPERATION? 13 A. Yes. In its Application, Company requested a sewer operation revenue increase of 14 \$2,500. I believe that Public Counsel's analysis substantiates that an increase in the 15 revenue for the sewer operation of approximately \$2,161 is reasonable; therefore, the 16 Public Counsel recommends that the amount of the increase requested by the Company 17 for the sewer operation be denied and in its place an increase of \$2,161 be authorized. 18 19 Q. DOES THE PUBLIC COUNSEL OPPOSE THE REVENUE INCREASE REQUEST 20 SOUGHT BY THE COMPANY FOR ITS WATER OPERATION? 21 A. Yes. It is the Public Counsel's position that the Hickory Hills is significantly (i.e., 22 relative to the size of the operation) over-earning above what is an appropriate revenue 23 requirement for this Company's water operation. Referencing Accounting Schedule 1, of

the attached Exhibit 1, shows that the current over-earnings approximates \$1,776 on an annual basis. It is the Public Counsel's recommendation that customer rates for the water operation should be reduced by \$1,776 in order to eliminate the effect of the overearnings.

6 Q. REGARDING THE WATER AND SEWER OPERATION COST STRUCTURES, WHERE DO THE OPC AND THE MPSC STAFF ("STAFF") DIFFER?

A. The contested issues are limited to the determination and allocation of wages and automobile mileage costs associated with the owner/operators of the Company, along 10 with what Public Counsel believes to be unsupported plant-related cost adjustments included by Staff in its recommendation. The Public Counsel accounting schedules, 12 included in Exhibits 1 and 2, were developed primarily based on the Staff's audit of the utility and the resulting Staff accounting schedules filed on December 15, 2005, as item 13 #3 in the Commission's Electronic Filing Information System (EFIS), titled as Notice of Agreement Regarding Disposition of Small Company Rate Increase Request. Therefore, 16 in most instances there are no differences in the costs/expenses identified for either system's operation; however, with respect to the size of the operations, the differences that do exist have a major impact on the amount of the final revenue requirements recommended by both the OPC and Staff.

20 21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

14

15

17

18

19

Q. CAN YOU SUMMARIZE THE LARGER ISSUES?

A. Yes. OPC believes that Mr. Randy Clifford, the operator of Hickory Hills, has significantly overstated the hours that he works at the utility and the automobile mileage

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

cost allowance that he claims. OPC also believes that the Staff's recommended hourly wage rate is substantially over the level necessary for an operator performing the kind of work that Mr. Clifford performs.

5 Q. ARE THE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS IN THIS CASE DIFFERENT FROM

THAT WHICH IT HAD PREVIOUSLY FILED WITH THE COMMISSION?

A. No, the Staff still supports the requested revenue increase of \$2,000 for the water operation and \$2,500 for the sewer operation, but its supporting accounting schedules have been modified from its original filing in December 2005. In that original filing, EFIS item #3, Staff's audit identified that the revenue increases sought by the Company were reasonable due to a perceived revenue requirement need of \$2,284 for the water operation and \$10,772 for the sewer operation. With the filing of direct testimony in this case Staff's accounting witness, Mr. Scott D. Clark, included subsequently modified accounting schedules still purporting to support the Company revenue increase request, but now showing a perceived revenue requirement need of \$4,417 for the water operation and \$5,912 for the sewer operation. That is, the perceived need for the water operation revenue requirement was increased \$2,133 (94%) while the sewer operation's was decreased \$4,860 (46%).

20 Q. HOW DID THE STAFF MODIFIY ITS ORIGINAL ACCOUNTING SCHEDULES AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION?

A. Staff's subsequent modification of its original accounting schedules and supporting documentation is related to three areas of costs, 1) Staff reduced the hourly wage rate

utilized in its analysis for the owner/operator of the system, Mr. Clifford, from \$22.50 per hour to \$19.00 per hour, 2) Staff modified its allocation of Mr. Clifford's payroll-related and mileage costs from a 50%/50% basis to a 75%/25% basis for the water and sewer operation respectively, and 3) Staff reclassified and/or removed certain items in the water operations plant account 325, the result of which has a minor impact on the difference between Staff and OPC.

III. PAYROLL COSTS

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE DIFFERENCES IN THE PAYROLL COSTS (I.E., WAGES, RETIRMENT BENEFITS AND EMPLOYMENT TAX) PROPOSED BY THE OPC AND STAFF.

A. The primary differences between the Staff and Public Counsel payroll annualizations relate to the determination of a reasonable hourly wage rate for Mr. Clifford along with a determination of his actual time worked and an appropriate allocation basis for all Company payroll costs between the water and sewer operations.

Staff's original payroll annualization, in total, included costs associated with worked
performed by both Mr. Randy Clifford and Ms. Kay Clifford along with some
miscellaneous test year payments made to Matthew and Scott Clifford and Jared
Milligan; all allocated on a 50%/50% basis between the water and sewer operations.
Staff subsequently modified its original payroll annualization by reducing Mr. Clifford's
hourly wage from \$22.50 per hour to \$19.00 per hour and then by allocating his payroll
costs on a 75%/25% basis to the water and sewer operations, respectively. Public

Counsel believes that the Staff has reduced the hourly wage it allowed for Mr. Clifford's services due to OPC challenging of the reasonableness of the \$22.50 per hour it included in its original filing. I also believe that Staff moved to the 75%/25% allocation of his payroll costs because my analysis identified that ratio as being more appropriate given the activities he actually performs. However, Public Counsel believes, as I will explain later, that the \$19.00 per hour is still quite excessive based on my market analysis of current wage rates for utility operators doing similar work in this area.

Furthermore, though the Public Counsel is concerned that the \$10.50 per hour wage rate Staff has allowed Ms. Clifford in its payroll annualization is too high, I do not propose any adjustment (other than to include an appropriate amount of employment tax) to Staff's annualized hours worked or wage rate proposal for her as I believe due to the number of hours she worked it would be immaterial in its impact upon the operation and rates of the Company. I do recommend allocating Ms. Clifford's payroll costs on a slightly different allocation ratio than that utilized by Staff. Based on my review of the Hickory Hills calendar year 2004 time and mileage log, I believe that an allocation ratio of 75% for the water system and 25% for the sewer system is a more realistic representation of the time Ms. Clifford spends working for the respective operations. Staff in its modified accounting schedules filed with Mr. Clark's direct testimony has changed its allocation of Mr. Clifford's payroll cost allocation on a 50%/50% basis.

Lastly, I do not propose any adjustments that differ from the Staff's recommendation for the work performed by Matthew and Scott Clifford or Jared Milligan as these payroll costs, in total, are only about \$300 and any adjustment, allocation or otherwise, would likely be immaterial.
 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE STAFF'S PAYROLL-RELATED ANNUALIZATION FOR

MR. RANDY CLIFFORD.

A. The payroll-related costs that Staff now recommends for Mr. Clifford include, 1) annualized hours worked of 675 hours, 2) a wage rate of \$19.00 per hour, 3) Federal Insurance Contributions Act ("FICA") based upon 7.65% of the result of the 675 hours multiplied by the \$19.00 per hour wage rate, and 4) a retirement benefit based upon 9% of the result of the 675 hours multiplied by the \$19.00 per hours multiplied by the \$19.00 per hours significantly the \$19.00 per hour wage rate. The Staff's recommended total payroll costs for Mr. Clifford are as follows:

Annualized Hours	675
Hourly Wage Rate	<u>\$ 19.00</u>
Annualized Salary	\$12,832.00
Plus:	
FICA 7.65%	\$ 982.00
Retirement Benefit 9%	<u>\$ 1,155.00</u>
Total	\$14,969.00

Staff then allocates the total costs to the Company's water and sewer operations on a 75%/25% basis. (Note: I believe Staff's retirement benefits workpaper contains an error wherein those costs are allocated on a basis of approximately 65% and 35% .)

-	SR-20	06-0249		
1	Q.	HOW DOES THE PUBI	LIC COUNSEL PAYROLL	ANNUALIZATION FOR MR.
2		CLIFFORD DIFFER FR	OM THE STAFF'S NEW I	PAYROLL ANNUALIZATION?
3	А.	The Public Counsel's pay	roll annualization for Mr.	Clifford differs in that my
4		recommendation include	s, 1) annualized hours work	xed of 539 hours, 2) an hourly wage
5		rate of \$13.00 per hour, 3	3) FICA based upon 7.65%	of the result of the 539 hours
6		multiplied by the \$13.00	per hour wage rate, and 4)	I eliminated the retirement benefit
7		entirely. I then allocated	the following total payroll	costs on a 75%/25% ratio between
8		the water and sewer oper	ations:	
9				
10		At	nnualized Hours	539
11		Но	ourly Wage Rate	\$ 13.00
12			nualized Salary	\$ 7,010.00
13		Pl	-	φ <i>γ</i> ,010.00
13 14			CA 7.65%	\$ 536.00
15		10	tal	\$ 7,546.00
16				
17				
18	Q.	PLEASE STATE THE S	OURCE OF THE STAFF	AND OPC ANNUALIZED HOURS
19		WORKED RECOMMEN	NDATIONS.	
20	А.	The Staff and OPC annua	alized hours worked recom	mendations are based upon the entries
21		Mr. Clifford made to the	Hickory Hills calendar yea	ar 2004 time and mileage log;
22		however, it is my underst	tanding that the hours Mr. (Clifford entered into the log may have
23		been "padded." That is,	the time he entered into the	log was inflated because it is not an
24		accurate representation o	f the time he actually he sp	ent operating the systems.
25				
26	Q.	WHY DOES THE PUBI	LIC COUNSEL BELIEVE	THAT MR. CLIFFORD "PADDED"
27		HIS CALENDAR YEAR	R 2004 TIME LOG?	
•				

	SK-20	06-0249
1	A.	Our position is based upon the fact that Mr. Clifford has voluntarily admitted he does so
2		on a regular basis. At the public hearing held in California, Mo., on January 19, 2006,
3		Mr. Clifford stated the following in response to a series of questions from the Public
4		Counsel, Mr. Lewis Mills, regarding the time he spent operating the systems (source:
5		Case No. WR-2006-0250 Public Hearing Transcript Of Proceedings, Volume 1,
6		beginning page 22, line 6):
7		
8 9		Mr. Mills: Let's let's talk about your salary and your mileage. You said you logged 675 hours last year?
10 11 12		Mr. Clifford: Yes.
12		MR. MILLS: When do you start
14		charging time with the company and when do you
15		stop? Is it when you arrive at the plant or
16		when you leave your home?
17		
18		MR. CLIFFORD: I charge basically a
19		minimum of an hour a day. I think Jim had
20		alluded that there were some days with
21		three-quarters of an hour, half an hour. It
22		it depends on if I'm in the area, I may
23		the probably (sic) the days where I log less than an
24		hour was I was in the area and and just
25		stopped just to do company business.
26		
27		But if I leave home, I generally charge an
28		hour minimum is what I write down. I think any
29		service industry you go to, there's generally a
30		minimum charge. And I feel the hour minimum is
31		well within line, seeing that I'm on call 24/7
32		365 days a year and there's no nothing
33		billed into this rate structure to to
34		accommodate for that.
35 26		MD MILLS, Who who requires you
36 37		MR. MILLS: Who who requires you
37 38		to log your hours? Is that something that the Commission Staff has requested for rate
30 39		purposes, or is that something that DNR
57		purposes, or is that something that DINK

	SR-20	006-0249
1		requires?
2		
3		MR. CLIFFORD: I don't know that
4		anyone has told me I must log my hours. But
5		I've learned from experience that if I don't
6		have hours and time logged, it's difficult to
7		obtain rate increases to cover those hours.
8		
9		MR. MILLS: So there are no real
10		standards that you're aware of that govern how
11		you should be recording your time?
12 13		MD CLIEFODD. Not that I am amore of
13 14		MR. CLIFFORD: Not that I am aware of
14 15		no.
15 16		MR. MILLS: Okay. So let's just
10		let's just talk about an example that that
18		some of your customers have talked about. Say
10		your leave your home in the morning, you're
20		on your way to work in Jefferson City. You
20		stop by the system to check things out. You
22		spend 15 minutes, half an hour at the system.
23		How much time would you log?
24		
25		MR. CLIFFORD: As I stated earlier,
26		I've been I'm now logging an hour minimum.
27		
28		(Emphasis added by OPC.)
29		
30		
31	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR RECOMMENDATION FOR MR. CLIFFORD'S
32		ANNUALIZED HOURS WORKED.
33	A.	Attached as Schedule TJR-2 to this rebuttal testimony is a workpaper I developed that
34		shows the Public Counsel's recommended annualized hours worked for Mr. Clifford. As
Эт		shows the Fublic Counsel's recommended annualized hours worked for fur. Chilord. As
35		the workpaper shows, it is the Public Counsel's belief that 2004 time log has been inflated
36		by approximately 119 hours. It is OPC's recommendation that the annualized work hours
37		utilized in the determination of Mr. Clifford's wages for this rate case exclude the 119

hours which I believe represents a reasonable value for the inappropriate entries he entered into his time log.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW YOU DETERMINED YOUR RECOMMENDATION FOR MR. CLIFFORD'S ALLOWABLE ANNUALIZED HOURS.

A. By far, the majority of time Mr. Clifford spends at the utility site is identified in the time log simply as "cl test/check system" or "water test." I reviewed each of the log entries for Mr. Clifford during calendar year 2004 and where the entries were limited to the identification of these activities, and other non-labor intensive testing-related activities, as the only work performed I made an adjustment to reduce the time logged to one-half (1/2) hour.

Q. WHY DID YOU LIMIT THE TIME IT TOOK FOR TESTING AND SYSTEM CHECK ACTIVITIES TO ONLY 1/2 HOUR?

A. Public Counsel made the adjustments based on Mr. Clifford' admission that he inflated the time he recorded in the 2004 time log. During the recent public hearing Mr. Clifford stated that he logs a minimum of 1 hour each time he visits the operations even if he spends less actual time working. Public Counsel adjusted each of the entries to 1/2 hour to better represent the actual time it takes him to perform the testing and checking activities.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WHY DID THE PUBLIC COUNSEL CHOOSE 1/2 HOUR AS A MORE 1 **O**. 2 REASONABLE TIME TO PERFORM THE TESTING AND CHECKING 3 ACTIVITIES? 4 A. As described by Mr. Clifford, he logged a great many entries of 1 hour in the 2004 time 5 log. Most of the 1 hour entries included limited descriptions which in most cases were 6 identical to several other entries he logged wherein it only took him 1/2 hour to perform 7 the same activities. Public Counsel concluded that since he admits he inflates his actual 8 time spent working in the log to at least a 1 hour minimum (and it appears to be true due 9 to the large number of 1 hour entries that are recorded in the log), it would not be 10 unreasonable to reduce the suspect inflated time to 1/2 hour since, by his own admission, 11 the log entries show that the work can be performed in that amount of time. 12 13 Q. IS THERE ANOTHER REASON THAT THE PUBLIC COUNSEL BELIEVES 1/2 14 HOUR IS A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME IN WHICH MR. CLIFFORD CAN 15 PERFORM THE TESTING AND SYSTEM CHECKING SERVICES REQUIRED AT 16 THE UTILITY?

A. Yes. Mr. Clifford has stated that he can and has performed those services in as little as fifteen (15) minutes. Beginning on page 101 of the <u>Transcript of the Deposition Of</u>
 <u>Randy Clifford</u>, taken by Public Counsel on March 30, 2006, he states the following in response to a series of questions from the Public Counsel concerning how long it actually takes him to perform his services at the utility :

Q. Now, going back to -- and I think we had a discussion about this at the local public hearing -- we were talking about the amount of

-2006-0249	2000 (2007 ma
		time that shows up in your log versus the amount of time you were actually there. And I think you said that you charge a minimum of an hour a day; is that
A	A .	Yes.
	Q. A.	Is that still your practice? Yes.
F	1.	Tes.
	Q. A.	Okay. And are you always at the system for an hour a day? No.
Ç	Q.	What is you know, we've gone through, I think, most of the steps that you take when you're there. What is the minimum amount of time that you spend at the plant? Just pick a day when you had a really quick trip, you were in and out as fast as you ever do.
A	A .	Probably 15 minutes actually at the pump house.
C	Q.	From the time you park your car and get out, get back in the car and drive away, 15 minutes?
A	A.	That would be as fast as I could do it. Yes.
(Q.	Okay. And during that 15 minutes, you're spending, according to my mental calculations, probably five to ten minutes doing the chlorine testing.
A	A .	Yes.
	Q. A.	What is taking the rest of the time? You got to walk to the well house and back from the well house, record the data and clean up the instruments and the test vials and such as that.
	Q. A.	How far from the well house do you have to park? Approximately 350 feet.
()	Emph	asis added by OPC.)
Since M	r. Clif	ford has, by his own admission, provided evidence that he can and
has perfo	ormed	the required testing and system checking activities at the utility in
as few as	s 15 m	inutes, it is the Public Counsel belief that it is reasonable, and in

I

fact quite generous, to include in the determination of his daily time allowance 30 minutes per day for those days where he has indicated that water testing and checking the systems are the only services he performed.

Q. DID THE PUBLIC COUNSEL ALSO MAKE ADDITIONAL

DISALLOWANCES FOR OTHER ENTRIES IN THE TIME LOG?

A. Yes. During the first few months of 2004 it appears that Mr. Clifford was recording three-quarters (3/4) of an hour as a minimum amount time to the log for his services. Then in April 2004 he apparently switched to using in its stead a 1 hour minimum. Public Counsel adjusted the 3/4 hour entries to 1/2 hour for the same reasons that the 1 hour minimum was adjusted to 1/2 hour. In addition, there were several entries wherein the descriptions listed in the log did not adequately identify the actual time Mr. Clifford spent working on different activities he performed. If these entries were solely related to testing and system checking activities I reduced the time spent to 1/2 hour per day; otherwise, I accepted the log entries as written.

Q. DID THE PUBLIC COUNSEL MAKE ADJUSTMENTS TO ADD ADDITIONAL HOURS TO THE 2004 TIME LOG?

A. Yes. There were several days during the year where no time was logged for work activities. Because it is my understanding that the water system requires the operator to visit it every day I added 1/2 hour to the development of Mr. Clifford's annualized hours worked for each of those days.

	SK-20	00-0249
1	Q.	PLEASE IDENTIFY THE HOURLY WAGE RATES STAFF AND PUBLIC
2		COUNSEL UTILIZED TO DETERMINE MR. CLIFFORD'S ANNUAL WAGE
3		EXPENSE.
4	A.	As I stated previously, the new Staff annualization of Mr. Clifford's wages is based upon
5		an hourly wage rate of \$19.00 per hour while the Public Counsel's recommendation is
6		based upon an hourly wage rate of \$13.00 per hour.
7		
8	Q.	WHAT IS THE STAFF'S RATIONALE FOR USING THE \$19.00 PER HOUR WAGE
9		RATE?
10	А.	Early on in this case, I sent Staff OPC Data Request No. 1 which sought copies of all
11		documentation that supports the calculation and utilization of the original \$22.50 per hour
12		wage rate it first supported. Staff's auditor, Mr. Scott Clark's, response to OPC Data
13		Request No. 1 states:
14		
15 16 17 18 19 20		The \$22.50 hour rate that was included for Randy Clifford was established and approved in the Company's last rate case. The same hourly rate was agreed to be appropriate in this case by myself and supervisors.
21		Subsequent to OPC receiving that response, Staff filed its direct testimony wherein it
22		changed its payroll annualization by reducing its recommended hourly wage rate for Mr.
23		Clifford from \$22.50 per hour to \$19.00 per hour. In Mr. Clark's direct testimony
24		beginning on page 6, line 9, he states that after continuous discussion between himself,
25		senior staff members and the Commission's Water and Sewer Department, along with

research of other various sources of wage information this rate was determined to be a reasonable amount.

Q. WHAT OTHER SOURCES OF WAGE INFORMATION DOES HE CITE?

A. Beginning on page 7, line 1, of his direct testimony, he identifies the following as additional sources to support Staff's revised wage rate of \$19.00 per hour, 1) researched the U.S. Department of Labor-Bureau of Labor Statistics web-site and the consumer price index (CPI) for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers in the Midwest Region for Size Class D, which applies to areas with population less than 50,000. The CPI factor was then applied to the operator's hourly salary allowed by the Commission in the Bill Gold Investments Complaint Case (Case No. SC-93-576). As a result, the \$15.00 hourly wage allowed in that case was updated to an hourly wage of \$18.99 for this case, and 2) Staff also received information from the City of Tipton, Missouri, which reflected an hourly rate of \$18.62 excluding benefits, for the water and sewer operator of that system. The city of Tipton paid benefits for this position that amounted to an additional \$13.41 per hour for a total hourly wage of \$32.02. The benefits were paid to cover health insurance, short-term disability and retirement.

18 19 Q. 20 21 22 A.

DOES THE PUBLIC COUNSEL AGREE WITH STAFF THAT AN HOURLY WAGE RATE OF \$19.00 IS A REASONABLE HOURLY WAGE FOR MR. CLIFFORD'S SERVICES?

No. I believe that the cost structures of Bill Gold Investments and the City of Tipton,
 Mo., identified by Staff as support for the \$19.00 hourly wage rate, have little or nothing

in common with the case at hand. Neither of those two organizations are related to the Hickory Hills operation and Staff has not provided any support that would link those operations as being even remotely comparable to the utility operations in the instant case.

Q. HAS THE PUBLIC COUNSEL RESEARCHED WHAT AN APPROPRIATE WAGE RATE WOULD BE FOR THE SERVICES PROVIDED BY MR. CLIFFORD?

A. Yes. Suspecting that Staff's original hourly wage rate of \$22.50 was excessive, given that on an annualized basis of 2,080 hours (i.e., a 40 hour work week) per year it represents a wage of \$46,800 per year, I undertook an investigation of how the "local market" might value the services of an operator with Mr. Clifford's credentials and experience. My research uncovered that the original \$22.50 per hour and the revised \$19.00 per hour (annualized on a full year basis this represents income of approximately \$39,520 per year) Staff now recommends are both quite excessive when viewed in light of actual market conditions that exist currently in this geographic area of Missouri.

Q. PLEASE CONTINUE.

A. Recognizing that an extremely small utility with only 49 customers should not be paying an owner/operator a wage, excluding employment taxes, which on a full year annual basis approximates \$39,000 - \$47,000 yearly, I conducted a search of current hourly wage rates for like operating personnel. My research yielded the following:

1. In the Jefferson City New Tribune, Sunday, February 26,2006, the City of Columbia, Missouri (pop. 120,164), advertised an opening for a "Wastewater Treatment Plant Operator I" for an hourly wage

)06-0249	-0250 And
$\frac{1}{2}$			range of \$11.82 - \$16.05 based on experience and possession of a Class "D" operator's certificate.
2 3			Chuss D'operator s'ecrimente.
4 5		2.	The City of Lebanon, Missouri (pop. 12,155), advertised on the Missouri Rural Water Association website (posted on December 5,
6			2005; expires March 5, 2006) an opening for a "Wastewater
7			Treatment Operator" with a Class "C" certificate for \$10.00 -
8			\$15.00 per hour based on experience.
9 10		3.	The City of Republic, Missouri (pop. 8,438), advertised on its
10		5.	website (posted on February 23, 2006; closes March 3, 2006) an
12			opening for a "Wastewater Operator I" with a Class "D" certificate
13			for \$10.40 per hour.
14			
15		4.	In the Jefferson City New Tribune, Friday, March 24, 2006, the
16			Missouri-American water facility in Jefferson City, Missouri (pop.
17			39,636), advertised an opening for a "Water/Wastewater Plant
18			Operator" for a minimum annual salary of \$31,875 (i.e., hourly
19			wage of \$15.33 based on a 2,080 hour year) based on education
20			and experience in addition to possession of a Class "A" operator's
21			license preferred.
22 23		5.	The U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics website
23 24		5.	states that in 2004, in the City of Springfield, Missouri (pop.
25			140,494), water and liquid waste treatment plant and system
26			operators earned a mean hourly wage of \$15.65 per hour.
27			
28		6.	The U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics website
29			states that in 2004, the hourly mean wage, by industry, for all
30			water, sewage and other systems operators \$16.44 per hour.
31			
32			
33	Q.	DO YOU BE	LIEVE THE ADVERTISED SALARIES ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF
34		MARKET W	AGES FOR SIMILIAR OPERATIONS IN THIS GEOGRAPHIC AREA?
35	A.	Yes.	
36			
37	Q.	PLEASE DE	SCRIBE THE CLASSIFICATION AND OPERATOR CERTIFICATION
38		REQUIRED	FOR THE HICKORY HILLS WATER SYSTEM.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

The Missouri Department of Natural Resources ("DNR") separates water systems into A. two divisions, 1) water treatment systems, and 2) water distribution systems based upon factors such as the facility size, complexity, source of water and treatment performed. The divisions are further classified into A, B, C, and D systems for water treatment systems, and DS III, DS II and DS I systems for water distribution systems. Classes A and DS III; respectively, being the highest levels requiring more education, experience, etc. For example, Missouri Department of Natural Resources 10 CSR 60-14.010(3)(B) states in part: The department will classify each distribution facility by size and complexity. This classification is based on, but may not be limited to, the criteria in Table 2 of this rule. Other distribution technologies will be considered on a case-by-case basis. From this classification process, the department will determine the certification level that a chief operator must have to supervise the operation of the distribution system. Systems that only chlorinate, reduce the hardness of the water by 1. ion exchange, or provide no treatment will be classified as distribution systems. (Emphasis added by OPC.) The Hickory Hills water operation is classified as a "water distribution system." It is not a "water treatment system" which entails a much more complex operation in size and scope. As such Mr. Clifford, the system's operator, does not possess the higher Class A, B, C or D operator certificate. He possesses a water distribution system DSIII certificate which is essentially a level higher in education and experience requirements than that needed to actually operate the system, but is lower than the certification requirements of a

Class A, B, C or D water treatment operation. Company's response to OPC Data Request

SR-2006-0249 1 No. 39 verified that the water system is classified as DSI which is the lowest level water 2 distribution system classification. 3 Q. 4 DOES MR. CLIFFORD POSSESS A VALID CERTIFICATE TO OPERATE THE 5 WATER SYSTEM? 6 A. Yes. According to the Company's response to OPC Data Request No. 9, Mr. Clifford 7 possesses a valid DSIII level operators certificate. 8 9 Q. SHOULD AN OPERATOR THAT HAS A HIGHER LEVEL OPERATING 10 CERTIFICATE THAN REQUIRED TO OPERATE A LOWER CLASSIFIED WATER 11 SYSTEM BE REMUNERATED WITH A HIGHER SALARY AMOUNT? 12 A. Not necessarily. A higher certification level does mean that the operator is legally 13 allowed to operate a more complex operation; though in this case, the system in question 14 is very simple to operate and does not, in Public Counsel's opinion, necessitate an 15 increase in wages due only to the operator being certified at a slightly higher level. 16 17 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CLASSIFICATION AND OPERATOR CERTIFICATION 18 REQUIRED FOR THE HICKORY HILLS SEWER SYSTEM. 19 A. The Hickory Hills sewer operation is a Class D system; however, due to its size, it is an 20 "exempt" system. This means that a requirement for its operation by certified personnel 21 does not exist. For example, Missouri Department of Natural Resources 10 CSR 20-22 9.020(2)(A) states in part: 23

	SR-20	006-0249
1 2 3 4 5 6		Requirements for operation by certified personnel shall apply to all wastewater treatment systems, serving population equivalents greater than two hundred (200) or with fifty (50) or more service connections, and all other systems are exempt from this rule
7		Legally, anyone with or without formal training or any actual experience could operate
8		the sewer system.
9		
10	Q.	DOES MR. CLIFFORD POSSESS A VALID CERTIFICATE TO OPERATE THE
11		SEWER SYSTEM?
12	А.	No. Mr. Clifford is not required to possess a certificate to operate the sewer system
13		because, according to DNR rule, it is exempt because of its small size. This fact was
14		corroborated by the Company's response to OPC Data Request No. 9.
15		
16	Q.	DID EACH OF THE JOB ADVERTISEMENTS YOU DESCRIBED EARLIER
17		REQUIRE AN OPERATOR TO HAVE A HIGHER LEVEL CERTIFICATION THAN
18		THAT CURRENTLY HELD BY MR. CLIFFORD?
19	A.	Yes. The advertisements are for water/wastewater treatment plant operators and they
20		required the prospective employee to have a certification level of either A, C or D. Mr.
21		Clifford is not certified at any of these levels. In fact, he is not certified all for the
22		wastewater system and his water operator certification is a DSIII which is a lower
23		certification for a system much much simpler to operate than a water treatment system.
24		
	1	

1	SR-20 Q.	106-0249 IS IT REASONABLE TO BELIEVE THAT THE "MARKET" WOULD VALUE MR.
2		CLIFFORD'S CERTIFICATION LEVEL AND EXPERIENCE AT A LOWER
3		HOURLY WAGE RATE THAN THOSE IDENTIFIED IN THE ADVERTISEMENTS?
4	А.	Yes. Based on Mr. Clifford's current certification and experience it is reasonable to
5		assume that the lower ranges of the hourly rates identified in the advertisements might be
6		excessive wages for him unless he were to operate larger systems or able to upgrade his
7		certification to meet the requirements requested.
8		
9	Q.	SHOULD THE CUSTOMERS OF THE HICKORY HILLS WATER & SEWER
10		SYSTEM BE REQUIRED TO COMPENSATE MR. CLIFFORD AT AN HOURLY
11		WAGE RATE HIGHER THAN WHAT THE "MARKET" IN THIS GEOGRAPHIC
12		AREA DEEMS APPROPRIATE?
13	A.	No. Mr. Clifford's hourly wage rate should not exceed the prevailing market rates in this
14		geographic area for the services he provides. The water and sewer systems which he
15		operates are extremely small in relation to the populations of the communities identified
16		in the advertisements I've listed; therefore, the size and complexity of his utility
17		operations along with the certification and experience levels he possesses should be the
18		deciding factors in his payroll costs authorized to be recovered from ratepayers.
19		
20	Q.	WHAT IS THE PUBLIC COUNSEL'S RECOMMENDATION FOR MR. CLIFFORD'S
21		HOURLY WAGE RATE?
22	А.	Recognizing that the two systems he operates are extremely small, and more or less very
23		simple in operation (based upon the description provided in the Missouri Department of

1

4

5

7

8

9

11

18

19

20

21

22

Natural Resources rules), and recognizing the fact that Mr. Clifford has operated the 2 systems for approximately fifteen (15) years and has significant experience with the 3 operations, I recommend that his hourly wage rate be set at \$13.00 per hour. This hourly wage rate represents the approximate middle of the range for the operator positions described in the employment advertisements provided above (i.e., (\$10.00 + \$16.05)/2). 6 Q. WHY DID PUBLIC COUNSEL CHOOSE TO RECOMMEND AN AVERAGE OF THE HOURLY RATE RANGE SHOWN IN THE EMPLOYMENT ADVERTISEMENTS? 10 A. Were it not for Mr. Clifford's years of experience with the Hickory Hills operations, I would have recommended an hourly wage rate approximating the lower ranges shown for 12 the positions advertised by the Cities of Lebanon, Mo. and Republic, Mo., (i.e., low end 13 of the advertised range is \$10.00 & \$10.40 per hour). Both of these cities are 14 representative of smaller more rural-like communities. Yet, their operational needs and 15 certification requirements are also greater than that currently held or provided by Mr. Clifford. 16 17

> However, due to his years of experience, I believe it likely he could easily obtain a higher certification level if he so desired; though it is not required or needed for him to do so in order to operate the Hickory Hills systems. Therefore, because of his experience operating the Hickory Hill systems, I believe it reasonable to compensate him at a level higher than the lower end of the "market" range identified in those smaller communities,

but not at the high end of larger communities and systems such as Springfield, Mo. and Columbia, Mo., (i.e., high end of the advertised range is \$15.65 & \$16.05 per hour).

Also, I did not recommend an hourly wage rate based on the U. S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics hourly mean wage, by industry, for all water, sewage and other systems operators (i.e., \$16.44 per hour) because this statistic likely includes large metropolitan areas and operators which would tend to skew the hourly wage rate higher that wages actually paid in rural areas such as California, Missouri. However, I do believe that on a national basis the U. S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics hourly mean wage does represent the average high end limit of hourly wages for these types of utility operations. Thus, Staff's recommendation of a \$19.00 hourly wage rate for Mr. Clifford is definitely too high since it is \$2.56 more per hour than the national average identified in the statistic. I believe that under any scenario identified in this testimony Staff's position on this matter is extreme.

I also believe an average of the low and high range for the positions advertised represents a reasonable and generous hourly wage rate for Mr. Clifford's services. It does so because it is representative of actual market wages in this geographic area for operators with a higher certification working on larger more complex systems. Setting Mr. Clifford's hour wage rate at an average of that range allows us to recognize his years of experience in operating the systems, but also recognizes that the Hickory Hills systems are extremely small and less complex in their operation.

PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY YOU ELIMINATED THE RETIREMENT BENEFIT 1 Q. 2 COSTS STAFF INCLUDED IN ITS RECOMMENDATION FOR MR. CLIFFORD. 3 A. It is the Public Counsel's belief that a system the size of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer 4 Company does not warrant the recovery from ratepayers of costs associated with a 5 retirement benefit. The operation of this Company can easily be classified as nothing 6 more than part-time jobs for Mr. and Ms. Clifford. In fact, it is my understanding that 7 Mr. Clifford has a full-time job in Jefferson City wherein he obtains a majority of the 8 income he requires for his livelihood while Ms. Clifford only works 40 hours per year for 9 the utility. Public Counsel does not believe that it is a widespread business practice, or 10 often used regulatory ratemaking procedure, to provide part-time workers (which in this 11 case are also the owners of the utility) with additional employment benefits (e.g., pension 12 retirement, 401K, medical insurance, dental benefit, etc.) that exceed those directly related to their hourly wage rates. This is especially true concerning a company the size 13 14 of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company with only 49 customers which is reminiscent 15 of a small "mom & pop" business operation. Therefore, I have excluded these costs in 16 their entirety from the Public Counsel recommended payroll annualization.

IV. MILEAGE COSTS

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. DOES PUBLIC COUNSEL'S RECOMMENDATION INCLUDE A MILEAGE COST AMOUNT THAT DIFFERS FROM THE MILEAGE COST AMOUNT RECOMMENDED BY STAFF?

A. Yes. Staff is recommending annualized mileage costs of \$3,864 for Mr. and Ms.Clifford. The \$3,864 is based upon Mr. Clifford driving 9,316 miles annually and Ms.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Clifford driving 405 miles annually, for the benefit of the regulated operations, multiplied by a per mile rate of \$0.405. Staff originally allocated Mr. & Ms. Clifford's mileage costs 50% to the water system and 50% to the sewer operation, but in its filed direct testimony it revised Mr. Clifford's allocation 75% to the water operation and 25% the sewer operation (i.e., Mr. Clifford \$2,775 water and \$925 sewer and Ms. Clifford \$82 water and \$82 sewer). Whereas, Public Counsel recommends an annualized mileage cost of \$1,669 for the Clifford's services based on an annualized miles of 3,716 for Mr. Clifford and 405 miles for Ms. Clifford multiplied by the same per mile rate of \$0.405. Public Counsel allocates the recommended \$1,669 total cost 75% to the water system and 25% to the sewer operation (i.e., Mr. Clifford \$1,129 water and \$376 sewer and Ms. Clifford \$123 water and \$41 sewer).

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR RECOMMENDATION FOR THE CLIFFORD'S ANNUALIZED MILEAGE COSTS.

A. Other than the allocation of the mileage costs identified for Ms. Clifford's portion of the amount, the positions taken by Staff and OPC with regard to her costs are the same. However, attached as Schedule TJR-3 to this testimony is a workpaper I developed that shows the Public Counsel's recommended annualized mileage costs for Mr. Clifford which is very different from that proposed by Staff (the source for the information shown on the workpaper is Mr. Clifford's actual mileage log for calendar year 2004). As the workpaper shows, it is the Public Counsel's belief that Mr. Clifford has inappropriately inflated (i.e., padded) his 2004 mileage log by approximately 5,421 miles. Therefore,

OPC recommends that no mileage costs associated with the 5,421 miles should be allowed in the determination of rates recovered from the ratepayers of this utility.

Q. WHY DOES THE PUBLIC COUNSEL BELIEVE MR. CLIFFORD "PADDED" THE

MILEAGE HE RECORDED IN THE CALENDAR YEAR 2004 MILEAGE LOG?

A. Our position is based upon the fact that Mr. Clifford has voluntarily admitted he records mileage to the Hickory Hills utility operations for trips he makes between his home in Tipton, Mo. and his regular employment in Jefferson City, Mo. In response to a series of questions from the Missouri Public Counsel, Mr. Lewis Mills, regarding the mileage he recorded verses what he actual drove, Mr. Clifford stated the following (source: Case No. WR-2006-0250 Public Hearing Transcript Of Proceedings, Volume 1, beginning page 24, line 5):

> MR. MILLS: Okay. Let's talk about mileage. Same situation. You're on your way home -- from your home in Tipton to your job in Jefferson City, and you stop by here to check out the system. How many miles would -- would **vou record**?

MR. CLIFFORD: Twenty-two miles.

MR. MILLS: Okay. Twenty-two miles each way or ---

MR. CLIFFORD: No. Total.

MR. MILLS: Twenty-two miles for that trip?

MR. CLIFFORD: Yes.

(Emphasis added by OPC.)

It is quite clear from Mr. Clifford's statements that he is attempting to have this Commission order ratepayers of the regulated utility operations reimburse him for at least a portion of the traveling costs he incurs to go to his regular full-time job in Jefferson City, Missouri. It is my belief that the ratepayers of the Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company should not be required to subsidize any of the costs associated with Mr. Clifford's nonregulated activities; including, but not limited to, other employment or work activities. To do so otherwise would result in a gross violation of the regulatory ratemaking theory and processes utilized by the State of Missouri to regulate public utility companies.

Q. PLEASE DECRIBE HOW THE ANNUALIZED MILEAGE COST PUBLIC COUNSEL RECOMMENDS WAS DETERMINED.

A. The workpaper shown in Schedule TJR-3 identifies that most of the entries Mr. Clifford recorded in the 2004 mileage log were for 22 miles per day. These entries included the days of Monday through Friday; dates which Mr. Clifford would normally be expected to by driving by the utility systems, located just outside California, Mo., to and from his home in Tipton, Mo. and his employment in Jefferson City, Mo. Because it is obvious that Mr. Clifford has inappropriately inflated the mileage he recorded in the 2004 log for these normal work days, OPC developed an annualized mileage that is a summation of the following:

SR-2006-0249

1. For each day where mileage recorded in the 2004 log exceeded 22 miles the excess over the 22 miles was included. 2. For each weekend day, Saturday and Sunday, 22 miles was included. 3. 22 miles per day for a representation for a number of holidays Mr. Clifford might be expected to receive from his employer in Jefferson City, Mo., was also included. Public Counsel's recommended mileage annualization allows all mileage above the 22 miles per day recorded in the 2004 log even though we can not be sure that those miles were not also inflated. It also includes 22 miles for every weekend day and a representative number of holidays wherein it could be expected that Mr. Clifford would indeed be required to use his time and vehicle to travel to the utility. The primary result of the Public Counsel's mileage annualization is that it excludes the 22 miles per day Mr. Clifford recorded for travel on Monday through Friday during the year. This exclusion is due to the fact, as admitted by Mr. Clifford, that he records 22 miles on those days even though he is driving by the utility systems to and from his employment in Jefferson City, Mo. It is the Public Counsel's belief that Mr. Clifford's recording of mileage during normal work week days has inflated the utility's 2004 mileage log by approximately 5,421 miles, and that these "phantom" miles, the cost of which if

authorized for recovery from the utility's regulated ratepayers, would be a subsidization of Mr. Clifford's driving costs to his nonregulated employment in Jefferson City, Mo.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

V. COST ALLOCATION METHDOLOGY

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR COST ALLOCATION RECOMMENDATION.

A. In reviewing the Staff's original rate case workpapers, and the various responses to OPC data requests, I noticed that the Staff's original allocation of the Hickory Hills payroll and mileage costs was based on a 50%/50% allocation between the water and sewer operations. To me that allocation did not appear reasonable due to the fact that, as I understand it, the water system must be checked every day, but that the sewer system has less frequent requirements. To determine a more reasonable allocation of the services provided, I reviewed the Clifford's calendar year 2004 time and mileage log and separated each of the logged entries based upon the descriptions provided for time spent on the various water and sewer activities. Though the log was lacking somewhat in the level of detail I would have liked to have seen, the result of my analysis was that approximately 70% of Mr. Clifford's time was spent on the water system and 30% was spent on the sewer system while approximately 79% of Ms. Clifford's time was spent on the water system and 21% was spent on the sewer system. I surmised that a more appropriate allocation basis for their costs would be 75% for water and 25% for sewer due in part to the limited testing requirements described in the sewer system's Missouri State Operating Permit provided in the Company's response to OPC Data Request No. 24.

The Missouri State Operating Permit describes that the operator of the sewer system is required to take the following measurements once a month:

1. Flow

2. Biochemical Oxygen Demands

3. Total Suspended Solids

- 4. Ammonia as N
- 5. Temperature
- 6. pH Units

Though the measurements listed above are not the only activities likely to take place to operate the sewer company (e.g., the grounds require mowing, spraying, other maintenance, etc.), I believe that the results of the analysis I developed incorporates those activities and provided a more reasonable allocation of the payroll and mileage costs than the unsubstantiated 50%/50% allocation originally proposed by Staff. However, as I've already discussed, Staff in its direct testimony filing modified its accounting schedules so that the payroll and mileage costs associated with Mr. Clifford are now allocated on a 75%/25% basis.

Q. DID STAFF ALSO MODIFIY ITS POSITION TO USE THE SAME 75%/25% ALLOCATION RATIO FOR THE PAYROLL AND MILEAGE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE SERVICES PROVIDED BY MS. CLIFFORD?

A. No. Staff has not changed its allocation for the costs associated with Ms. Clifford's time and mileage.

Q. IS STAFF'S POSITION ON THE ALLOCATION OF HER COSTS REASONABLE?

A. No. Public Counsel's analysis of her time working for the utility clearly indicates that she spends approximately 79% on activities related to the water system and 21% on the sewer system. Therefore, I believe it more reasonable to allocate her payroll costs according to the same 75%/25% allocation ratio I utilized for Mr. Clifford.

PLANT-RELATED COSTS

VI.

1

2

3

4

15

Q. DO THE OPC AND STAFF REVENUE REQUIREMENT RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE WATER OPERATION HAVE DIFFERENCES ASSOCIATED WITH PLANT-RELATED COSTS?

5 A. Yes. There are a number of issues related to plant-related costs included in both Staff's 6 original accounting schedules filing and the revised accounting schedules it filed with the 7 direct testimony of its accounting witness, Mr. Clark. However, since Public Counsel 8 was not provided with copies of Staff's workpapers supporting the changes, identified on 9 page 4 in the filing of Mr. Clark's direct testimony, until late last week we have not had 10 sufficient time to verify and analyze the reasonableness of the modifications. I have 11 recently issued several data requests to both Staff and Mr. Clifford in an attempt to gather 12 information that would ascertain whether the revised plant-related adjustments are 13 proper, but at the time I am writing this testimony the responses to those data requests have not been received. 14

16 Q. DO YOU KNOW WHAT EFFECT THE STAFF'S PLANT-RELATED 17 MODIFICATIONS WOULD HAVE ON THE OPC'S RECOMMENDED REVENUE 18 REQUIREMENT FOR THE WATER OPERATION?

A. Yes. I have done a simple calculation of the effect that the Staff's plant-related changes
would have on the OPC recommended revenue requirement for the water operation and I
believe that effect to be relatively immaterial. Therefore, inasmuch as the Staff
modifications to the plant-related accounts of the water operation have not been verified
for accuracy or reasonableness, I recommend that the water operation's revenue

Rebuttal Testimony of Ted Robertson Case Nos. WR-2006-0250 And SR-2006-0249

requirement be based on the plant-related entries as shown in the OPC's Exhibit 1 accounting schedules. At this time, I believe OPC's rendition of these costs to be more accurate since they have been thoroughly audited.

VII. COMPANY'S CURRENT FINANCIAL POSITION

Q. IS THE HICKORY HILLS WATER & SEWER COMPANY IN FINANCIAL DISTRESS?

A. No, I do not believe that it is. On or about January of 2005 the Company was authorized to increase its water and sewer rates for a total of approximately \$12,226 (i.e., \$8,178 (93%) for the water operation and \$4,048 (142%) for the sewer operation). Then on or about July 2005, approximately six (6) months after those significant increases were authorized by the Commission, Company filed the current cases wherein it now seeks to increase rates again by another \$4,500 (i.e., \$2,000 for the water operation and \$2,500 for the sewer operation).

In an attempt to understand why the Company believed that it needed the additional revenue increases so soon, I sent it OPC Data Request No. 27 seeking to find the level of outstanding unpaid bills it was currently experiencing. Company's response to OPC Data Request No. 27 provided copies of invoices and credit line information that identified the following past due balances occurring during 2004:

1.	Engineering Surveys & Services	6/2004	\$ 80.00
2.	Bobby Medlin, CPA	4/2004	\$582.00
3.	Line of Credit	1/2004	\$ 0.00
4.	USA Blue Book	4/2004	\$ 46.55

Rebuttal Testimony of Ted Robertson Case Nos. WR-2006-0250 And SR-2006-0249

However, a review of Company's check register, included in the Staff's rate case
workpapers, shows what appears to be payment of most of the Engineering S&S charge
with check #2163, it also shows payment of all the Bobby Medlin CPA charges with
checks #2158, #2165 and #2178, and payment of the USA Blue Book charge with check
#2155. Clearly, the level of unpaid invoices past due is not material, in fact, it is nearly
nonexistent. Thus, it is my belief that the Company is not in financial distress with
regard to its ability to pay the costs it takes to operate the systems.

Q. DID PUBLIC COUNSEL INQUIRE OF THE COMPANY IF THE ANNUALIZED
LEVEL OF EXPENSES INCLUDED IN THE PROPOSED OPC AND STAFF
ACCOUNTING SCHEDULES, EXCLUDING SALARY AND MILEAGE RELATED
COSTS, WERE SUFFICIENT TO MEET THE NEEDS OF ITS WATER AND SEWER
OPERATIONS?

A. Yes. In at least two meetings held with Mr. Clifford I asked him if the level of costs, excluding payroll and mileage costs, being recommended in the current rate cases, by both OPC and Staff, were sufficient to operate the businesses, and if not, to identify the specific costs which were so low as to have a material negative impact on the operations. In neither case was Mr. Clifford able to identify any of the other recommended costs as being materially low. In fact, in the deposition of Mr. Clifford, taken by OPC on March 30, 2006, beginning on page 123 of the proceedings transcript, he responded inasmuch in the following exchange with the Public Counsel:

Rebuttal Testimony of Ted Robertson Case Nos. WR-2006-0250 And

SR-2006-0249 If you were to get the rate that you asked for in the water increase 1 Q. case and the sewer rate increase case, would that cover all of your 2 3 expenses for operating the system? 4 No. A. 5 6 Q. What expenses would be left uncovered? 7 Labor. A. 8 9 Q. Okay. And correct me if I'm wrong, but under the current 10 rates and under the proposed rates, if you didn't pay yourself as much as you think you should get, you would still be able to 11 12 pay all other operating expenses of the company? 13 Yes. A. 14 15 (Emphasis added by OPC.) 16 17 IN YOUR MEETINGS WITH MR. CLIFFORD DID HE EXPRESS Q. 18 19 CONCERNS THAT OTHER MONIES PREVIOUSLY EXPENDED IN PRIOR 20 YEARS WOULD NOT BE RECOVERED IN THE RATES PROPOSED IN 21 THE INSTANT CASE? 22 Yes. Mr. Clifford did express concerns that monies previously expended in prior A. 23 years for unexpected expenses reduced the amount of salaries they were able to 24 withdraw from the Company. 25 26 Q. IS IT THE RESPONSIBILITY OF COMPANY'S MANAGEMENT TO 27 OPERATE THE UTILITY IN A COST EFFICIENT MANNER? 28 A. Yes. The ratemaking process is in fact a surrogate for competition, but it is 29 management's responsibility to operate the business in a efficient and effective 30 manner. If expenses increased above the level of costs allowed in current rates, 31 then it is up to management to determine its options and courses of action. For

example, management can either operate the Company so as to mitigate the impact of the increased costs or ask the Commission for authorization to increase revenues if warranted. However, if costs were to decrease, which is always possible, then the Company would enjoy the resulting economic benefits until rates are again ultimately reset. In either case, the regulatory ratemaking process does not allow Company the right to retroactively recover unexpected increases in expenses not included in current rates.

VIII. SUMMARY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

10 Q. IN THE PUBLIC COUNSEL'S OPINION WHAT ARE THE CONTESTED ISSUES 11 SURROUNDING THE REQUESTED RATE INCREASE FOR THE HICKORY HILLS 12 WATER AND SEWER OPERATIONS?

A. It is my believe that wages, mileage and plant-related costs are the core contested issues for this rate case. The other annualized costs supported by both Public Counsel and Staff recommendations have not been challenged by the Company, or any other parties, as being materially insufficient to operate the water or sewer operation on a going-forward basis.

The OPC recommendation and the current Staff recommendation for wages and mileage costs differ primarily due to the following, 1) the OPC and Staff utilized a different hourly wage rate for Mr. Clifford's time; the OPC wage being based on current "market" conditions for operator positions advertised in the geographic area and the Staff's being based on, what I believe to be, unsupported cost structures of two totally unrelated

37

Rebuttal Testimony of Ted Robertson Case Nos. WR-2006-0250 And SR-2006-0249

entities, 2) OPC also made a disallowance adjustment to the Company's recorded 2004 time and mileage logs to account for the "padding" of time and mileage Mr. Clifford has admitted making to those documents; Staff did not, and 3) Staff has moved to OPC's position of allocating Mr. Clifford's wage and mileage costs on a 75%/25% basis to the water and sewer operations, but it continues to allocate Ms. Clifford's wage and mileage costs a 50%/50% basis. In addition, Staff has very recently made several changes to plant-related accounts which basically have a very small impact on the revenue requirement recommended by the Public Counsel.

Q. WHAT IS THE PUBLIC COUNSEL'S RECOMMENDATION FOR THE HICKORY HILLS OPERATIONS?

A. Public Counsel's recommendation is that the sewer operation be authorized to increase its rates by \$2,161 and that the water operation rates be decreased by \$1,776. This recommendation is based on my belief that the hourly wage rate allowed in the case for Mr. Clifford's services should be supported by current "market" conditions for similar positions in this geographic area. Further, I believe that a disallowance adjustment to his time and mileage log is appropriate so that a reasonable level of costs for his actual services provided is represented rather than just including the recorded log values since those values are now known to have been inflated. The resulting costs for both Mr. and Ms. Clifford's time and mileage should then be allocated as I have recommended due to the fact that the evidence shows it is a closer representation of the time they allege to have spent in the operation of the utility's water and sewer operations while Staff's recommended allocation of Ms. Clifford's costs is supported by nothing more than a

Rebuttal Testimony of Ted Robertson Case Nos. WR-2006-0250 And SR-2006-0249

1	general position that that was the way it was done in the last case. Lastly, Staff's original
2	and most recent plant-related adjustments which differ from those of OPC, though having
3	a relatively minor effect on the water operation revenue requirement proposed by the
4	Public Counsel, have yet to be supported by documentation that would verify their
5	accuracy and reasonableness. Therefore, I recommend that the plant-related entries
6	shown on the OPC's Exhibit 1 water operation accounting schedules, which have been
7	thoroughly scrutinized, should be authorized in the development of the water operation's
8	revenue requirement.
9	

10 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

11 A. Yes, it does.

Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company

Case Nos. SR-2006-0249 & WR-2006-0250 OPC Mr. Clifford Wage Analysis Sources MPSC Staff Salary/Mileage Workpaper 2004 Time & Mileage Log Provided By Staff (Russo)

OPC Annualized Hours: 2004 Log		657.75
Disallowance		118.50
Adjusted Annual Hours		539.25
Allocation: Water Allocation Sewer Allocation	75% 25%	404.44 134.81 539.25

Mr. Clifford Hours:

t/check
o logging at least 1 hr. minimu
o logging at least 1hr. minimu
o logging at least 1 hr. minimu
o logging at least 1 hr. minimu
o logging at least 1 hr. minimu
o logging at least 1 hr. minimu
heck
heck
heck
to logging at least 1 hr. minimu
heck
heck
heck
heek

umbe	r Day	Date	Description	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
	D 11	1/22/2004	cl test/check system/book		1.25			1.25		
23	Friday	1/23/2004			0.75	0.75		1.25	0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
24	Saturday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	
25	Sunday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
26	Monday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.23	Adjust to minimum test check
27	Tuesday	1/2//2004	cl test/check system	Drafted letter to City of	0.50	0.50				
•••		1/20/2004	cl test/check system/book	California, replaced water	2.00			2.00		
28	Wednesday	1/28/2004		Camornia, replaced water	0.50	0.50		2.00		
29	Thursday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.50			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
30	Friday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
31	Saturday		cl test/check system cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				-
32	Sunday	2/1/2004	cl test/check system/book		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test check
33	Manday	2/2/2004	work	Compliance report	0.75			0.75		
33 34	Monday Tuesday	2/2/2004	cl test/check system	.96 free at east drain	0.50	0.50		0.75		
34	Tuesuay	2/3/2004	cl test/check system/book	.)o nee it cust than	0.00	0.00				
35	Wednesday	2/4/2004	work 1 hr.	Bank	1.75			1.75	0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
35 36	Thursday	2/5/2004	cl test/check system	Duilk	0.60	0.60				Adjust to minimum test/check
30	Thursday	2/3/2001	cl test/check system/book							
37	Friday	2/6/2004	work		6.00			6.00		
51	Thouy	2,0,2001	cl test/check system/book							
38	Saturday	2/7/2004	work		2.50			2.50		
39	Sunday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
27	Summer		cl test/check system/cut							5
40	Monday	2/9/2004	brush/book work	Mixed chlorine	8.00			8.00		
41	Tuesday	1/0/1900	cl test/check system	Adjusted feed pump	0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
	2		cl test/check system/book							
42	Wednesday	2/11/2004	work	Adjusted feed pump	2.75			2.75		
43	Thursday	2/12/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
44	Friday	2/13/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
	2		cl test/check system/cut							
45	Saturday	2/14/2004	brush		11.00			11.00		
46	Sunday	2/15/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
47	Monday	2/16/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
48	Tuesday	2/17/2004	cl test/check system	Mixed chlorine	0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
49	Wednesday	2/18/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
50	Thursday	2/19/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
			cl test/check system/yar							
51	Friday	2/20/2004	work	Worked on ruts in yard	1.50			1.50		
52	Saturday	2/21/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
				1.13 free at well house bact.						
53	Sunday	2/22/2004	cl test/check system	sample	0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
	-		cl test/check system/book							
54	Monday	2/23/2004	work		2.00			2.00		
55	Tuesday	2/24/2004	cl test/check system	Mixed chlorine	0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check

lumber	Day	Date	Description	Comments	2004 Log		Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
56	Wednesday	2/25/2004	cl test/check system	Cleaned chem. pump	0.75	0.75				8080-1 <u>850) 8</u> 000 (1919) 5000 (1919) 5000 (1919) 5000 (1912)
57	Thursday	2/26/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
	-		cl test/check system/repari							
58	Friday	2/27/2004	leaks/cut brush		9.00			9.00		
	•		cl test/check system/cut							
59	Saturday	2/28/2004	•		7.00			7.00		
•			cl test/check system/book							
60	Sunday	2/29/2004			1.50			.50		
00	Sulling		cl test/check system/book							
61	Monday	3/1/2004	•	Mixed chlorine 1.36 gal. 65	2.00			2.00		
	Tuesday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
	Wednesday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
	Thursday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
	•		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
05	Friday	5/5/2004	cl test/check system/repair		0.75	0.75			0.25	August to minimum test check
~~	Caturday	2/6/2004	ruts/install conduit		6.00			6.00		
	Saturday		cl test/check system	Mixed chlorine	0.75	0.75		0.00	0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
67	Sunday	3/1/2004	•	0.99 free, 0.99 total bact.	0.75	0.75			0.25	Aujust to maninum test check
(0)	14. 4.	3/0/2004	cl test/check system/book	sample at test pt. #5	2.50			2.50		
68	Monday	3/8/2004	work	sample at test pt. #5	2.30			2.50		
	- ·		cl test/check system/book		1.50			1.50		
	Tuesday	3/9/2004				0.75		1.50	0.75	Adjust to minimum test/check
	Wednesday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
	Thursday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				5
	Friday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
	Saturday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
	Sunday		cl test/check system	Mixed chlorine	0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
	Monday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
76	Tuesday		cl test/check system	1.08 free @ test pt. #5	0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
77	Wednesday	3/17/2004	cl test/check system	Adjusted feed pump	0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
78	Thursday		cl test/check system		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minin
79	Friday	3/19/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
80	Saturday	3/20/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
81	Sunday	3/21/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
			cl test/check system/book							
82	Monday	3/22/2004	work		2.00			2.00		
83	Tuesday	3/23/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
			cl test/check system/book							
84	Wednesday	3/24/2004	work		2.50			2.50		
	Thursday	3/25/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
	Friday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
~~	,		cl test/check system/book		_					-
87	Saturday	3/27/2004	•		4.00			4.00		
	•		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
28	Sunday									
	Sunday Monday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check

Number	r Day	Date	Description	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed		Reason For Disallowance
91	Wednesday	3/31/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
92	Thursday	4/1/2004	cl test/check system		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
93	Friday	4/2/2004	cl test/check system		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
94	Saturday	4/3/2004	cl test/check system		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
95	Sunday	4/4/2004	cl test/check system		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
	•		cl test/check system/repair							
96	Monday	4/5/2004	lawn/city council		4.00			4.00		
			cl test/check system/book							
97	Tuesday	4/6/2004			1.50			1.50		
98	Wednesday	4/7/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
99	Thursday	4/8/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
100	Friday		cl test/check system		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
101	Saturday	4/10/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
102	Sunday	4/11/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
103	Monday	4/12/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
104	Tuesday		cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
105	Wednesday		cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
106	Thursday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
107	Friday		cl test/check system		2.50	2.50			2.00	No support above min test/check
108	Saturday		cl test/check system		3.00	3.00			2.50	No support above min test/check
109	Sunday		cl test/check system		2.25	2.25			1.75	No support above min test/check
110	Monday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
111	Tuesday		cl test/check system		3.00	3.00			2.50	No support above min test/check
112	Wednesday		cl test/check system		3.00	3.00			2.50	No support above min test/check
113	Thursday		cl test/check system		1.25	1.25			0.75	No support above min test/check
114	Friday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
115	Saturday			Cleared duck weed at lagoon	4.00			4.00		
116	Sunday		cl test/check system	Cleared duck weed at lagoon	3.00			3.00		
117	Monday		cl test/check system	Cleared duck weed at lagoon	3.00			3.00		
118	Tuesday	4/27/2004	cl test/check system	Cleared duck weed at lagoon	2.00			2.00		
119	Wednesday		cl test/check system	Cleared duck weed at lagoon	2.00			2.00		
120	Thursday		cl test/check system	-	0.50	0.50				
121	Friday		cl test/check system	Cleared duck weed at lagoon	1.50			1.50		
			cl test/check system/work	Turned water on at Nelson						
122	Saturday	5/1/2004	at lagoon/nelson water	residence, lagoon duckweed	2.50			2.50		
123	Sunday		cl test/check system	1 1/2 hour sewer	2.50	1.00	1.50		0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
124	Monday		cl test/check system	3.5 hr. sewer	4.00	0.50	3.50			
125	Tuesday		cl test/check system	0:30 water, 3 hr. sewer	3.50	0.50	3.00			
126	Wednesday	-	cl test/check system	:30 water, 1:30 sewer	2.00	0.50	1.50			
120	Thursday		cl test/check system	45 min. water, 1:15 sewer	2.00	0.75	1.25		0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
127	Friday		cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
123	Saturday		cl test/check system	Mixed chlorine	1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
129	Sunday		cl test/check system	Ran compressor	1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
130	Monday		cl test/check system	Clean chem pump	2.00	2.00				
131	Tuesday		cl test/check system	Adj. chem pump	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
154	rucsuay	5/11/2004	or toso oncor system	. m. man hamb						

13 B B B B			al tant at and a second and	Mittand all same	000 1			-		
137	A control of			MIXED CHOURS	1.00	1,00			0.50	
135 136	Thursday	5/13/2004		Adj. chem pump	1.00	1.00			0.50	
137	Friday	5/14/2004	of test/check system	3 hr. sewer	3.50	0.50	3.00			
131	Saturday	5/15/2004	el testéheck system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to location at local the mission.
0.40	Sunday	5/16/2004		Bact. sample	1.50	1.50			1.00	No environt above with rest black
38	Monday	5/17/2004		1 hr water. 1 hr sewer	2 00	1 00	1.00		0.50	Me. Clifford's administration to homomore three the mini-
661	Tuesday	5/18/2004		Meeting with engineer	4 00			4.00	ALC: N	ин. слимы з данизация на юддицу да исам нис, плиниц
140	Wednesday	5/19/2004		I hr. at lagoon	150	0.50	1.00	-		
	Thursday	F000/00/5		Mixed chlorine	1.00	100	ALMAN P		0.20	the first of the second s
				McDAN collected more	1001	001			0cm	0.50 WE CEEDED S BUTHSSION 10 LOGUED II ICASI THE TELIMENT
			of toot/cheek	within a market would be						
142	Friday	5/21/2004		manu sumpres, morecu an lagocon	7.00			7 00		
	1			Water sumple and finished	A111			100		
17	Saturday	5/22/2004	system/finished lagoon	mowing at lagoon	3.00			3.00		
4	Sunday	5/23/2004			1.00	1.00			050	0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to lossing at loss the minimum
145	Monday	5/24/2004	5/24/2004 cl test/check system	Adj. chem pump, I hr. sewer	1.50	0.50	1.00			
				Adj. chem pump, mix chlorine,						
	Tuesday	5/25/2004	5/25/2004 of test/check system	3 hr. at lagoon	4,00	1.00	3.00		0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to lopeing at least thr minimu
147	Wednesday	5/26/2004	5/26/2004 cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr minimu
				Adj. chem pump, worked at						a
148	Thursday	5/27/2004	5/27/2004 el test/check system	lagoon 45 min.	1.50	0.75	0.75		0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
	Patrice	100000013	al marketing and	reparted reak at well house,						
	rnuay	+N/17/07/C	2/20/2/04 - CI (CS//CIECK System	ran compressor Checked system mulled water	2.50	2.50				
150	Saturday	5/29/2004	el test/check system	bills, cleaned chem.	1.50			1 50		
	Sunday	\$/30/2004	el testicheck system	Adiast show many	100	1 00			V DV	
	Monday	5/31/2004		durad masses menters	100	001			050	Mr. Children's admission to logging at least the minimu
	Contraction of the local division of the loc		to set 1 a		100				000	Mr. Clittord's admission to logging at least 1 hr. minimu
50 12	I uesday Wedneedau	1007/1/0	of test/check system		4,00	4,00			3.50	No support above min test/check
U	W LOUIS COLOR	1000000	NI ICON CHOCK SYSTEM		1.00	1.00			0,50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
	Thursday	6/3/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minumu
2	Fnday	6/4/2004	el test/check system el test/check system/clean		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
57	Saturday	6/5/2004	chem pump		1.50	1.50				
		a statements	ci lesticneck system/bact							
	Sunday	6/6/2004	samples		2.00	2,00			150	No support above min test/check
	Monday	6/7/2004	el test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
09	Tuesday	6/8/2004	el test/check system	Consumer configure report	3.00			3.00		
			of test/check system/mixed							
19	Wednesday	6/9/2004	d		1.00	1.00			1.00	No support above min test/check
			el test/check							
	ay	6/10/2004	system/worked on mower		3.50			3.50		
63	Friday	6/11/2004	el test/cheek system el test/cheek		1.00	1.00			0.50	0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least Ihr. minimu
49	Saturday	6/12/2004	system/renaired lead at well		400	4.00				

Nun	nber Day	Date	and the second	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
			cl test/check system/bact.		1, 199 Based 1, 199					
165	Sunday	6/13/2004	-		2.50	2.50			2.00	No support above min test/check
166	Monday	6/14/2004	cl test/check system cl test/check		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minin
l 6 7	Tuesday	6/15/2004	system/mowed & sprayed cl test/check		6.00			6.00		
68	Wednesday	6/16/2004	system/sprayed at cl test/check system/wallin		4.00			4.00		
69	Thursday	6/17/2004	letter		2.50			2.50		
70	Friday		cl test/check system cl test/check system/trimmed & sprayed		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minin
71	Saturday	6/19/2004	at lagoon		10.00			10.00		
72	Sunday	6/20/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
73	Monday	6/21/2004	water test/worked at lagoon		4.50			4.50		the entropy of admission to togging at least the minim
74	Tuesday	6/22/2004	water test/work at lagoon		2.25			2.25		
75	Wednesday	6/23/2004	water test/work at lagoon		1.75			1.75		
76	Thursday		water test/worked at lagoon read meters/water		7.50			7.50		
17	Friday	6/25/2004	test/worked at lagoon		4.00			4.00		
78	Saturday	6/26/2004	cl test/spray at lagoon		4.50			4.50		
79	Sunday	6/27/2004	water test/adj. chem pump		1.50	50			1.00	No support above min test/check
80	Monday	6/28/2004	water test/worked at lagoon water test/spray lagoon/PSC		4.50			4.50		
81	Tuesday	6/29/2004	inspection/DNR letter		9.00			9.00		
82	Wednesday		water test/worked at lagoon		3.50			3.50		
33	Thursday		water test/check lagoon water test/mixed cl/work at		1.50			1.50	1.00	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minin
84	Friday	7/2/2004	lagoon		3.00			3.00		
35	Saturday	7/3/2004	water test/worked at lagoon		3.00			3.00		
36	Sunday		water test/worked at lagoon		4.00			4.00		
37	Monday		water test/mixed cl		1.40	1.40		1.00	0.00	No support above min test/check
88	Tuesday	7/6/2004	water test		1.50	1.50				No support above min test/check
89	Wednesday	7/7/2004	water test/book work		2.50	1.50		2.50	1.00	to support above min test/check
90	Thursday		water test		1.00	1.00		2.50	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
21	Friday		water test			1.00			0.50 1	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
2	Saturday		water test/mixed cl			1.00			0.50 1	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1 hr. minim
3	Sunday	7/11/2004				1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
, s 94	Monday	7/12/2004							0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
95	Tuesday	7/12/2004				1.00			0.50 N	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
95 96	•					1.00			0.50 N	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
	Wednesday	7/14/2004				1.00			0.50 N	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
97	Thursday	7/15/2004				1.00			0.50 N	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
98	Friday	7/16/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50 N	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu

			WHICT ICSUMIXED CUINOWED							
661	Saturday	7/17/2004	4 at lagoon	.5 water, 6.5 sewer?	1.00	1.00				
200	Sunday	7/18/2004	4 water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to locating at loss 1hr. minimu
			water test/bact sample @ tp	4						
201	Monday	7/19/2004	4 3		2.00	2.00			1.00	No sumort above min test/eheck
202	Tuesday	7/20/2004	4 water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	
203	Wednesday	7/21/2004	‡ water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	
707	Thursday	7/22/2004	4 water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00			0.50	
205	Friday	7/23/2004	1 water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mc
206	Saturday	7/24/2004	+ water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr
207	Sunday	7/25/2004	4 water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	
			water test/mixed cl/found							
			tank leaking, closed valve							
			to leaking tank, charged							
208	Monday	7/26/2004			1.50	1.50				
209	Tuesday	7/27/2004	+ water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to locate or locat the minimum
			water test/disconnected						100	William of the server of Standings of the second se
			leaking tank/mixed							
210	Wednesday	7/28/2004		1.5 water, 1.5 sewer	3.00	1.50	1.50			
211	Thursday	7/29/2004		.5 water, 3 sewer	3.50	0.50	3.00			
212	Friday	7/30/2004	24	.5 water, 5.5 sewer	6.00	0.50	5.50			
213	Saturday	7/31/2004		.5 hr. water, 3.5 sewer	4,00	0.50	3.50			
		and more a	water test/book		121212					
11	Sunday	8/1/2004	work/mixed sm cl		2.00			-2.00		
512	Monday.	8/2/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			pump head/retested free cl							
516	Tuesday	8/3/2004	at 1.19		2.00	2.00				
			water test/adj. chem							
			pump/cleaned check							
217	Wednesday	8/4/2004	1.49		150	1.50				
			water test/worked on chem							
218	Thursday	8/5/2004	dund		1.50	1.50				
219	Friday	8/6/2004	water test/adj chem pump		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to locaine at least the minimum
220	Saturday	8/7/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logving at least the minimu
171	Sunday	8/8/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least the minimu
222	Monday	8/9/2004	water test/adj chem pump		1.00	1.00			0.50	
223	Tuesday	8/10/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to looging at least the minimu
224	Wednesday	8/11/2004	water test/book work		3.00			3.00		
225	Thursday	8/12/2004	water test/book work		2.00			2.00		
226	Friday	8/13/2004	water test/mixed cl		001	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to loosing at least the minimu
227	Saturday	8/14/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to loceing at least the minimu
10.00		1000 - 100 -								Phillipping the sense of the se

Page 7 of 12

chedule TJR-2

Numbe	r Day	Date	Description	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
			mowed & sprayed		¥					
229	Monday	8/16/2004	lagoon/bact sample	2 hr. water, 2 hr. sewer	4.00	2.00	2.00			
230	Tuesday	8/17/2004	mowed at lagoon	l hr. water, 2 hr. sewer	3.00	1.00	2.00			
			sprayed and worked at							
231	Wednesday	8/18/2004	lagoon	l hr. water, 2.5 hr. sewer	3.50	1.00	2.50			
			worked at lagoon and well							
232	Thursday	8/19/2004	house	1 hr. water, 2 hr. sewer	2.00	1.00	2.00			
233	Friday	8/20/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. mini
			water test/adj chem							
			pump/repaired							
			fence/mowed and sprayed							
234	Saturday	8/21/2004	at lagoon	1 hr. water, 7 hr. sewer	8.00	1.00	7.00		0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. mini
235	Sunday	8/22/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. mini
236	Monday	8/23/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. mini
237	Tuesday	8/24/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. mini
			water test/mixed							
238	Wednesday	8/25/2004	cl/repaired valves in chem		1.75	1.75				
239	Thursday	8/26/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. mini
240	Friday	8/27/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. mini
241	Saturday	8/28/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. mini
242	Sunday	8/29/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. mini
			water test/ran compressor							-00-0
			to charge tanks/ mixed							
243	Monday	8/30/2004	cl/cleaned chem pump		2.50	2.50				
244	Tuesday	8/31/2004	water test/adj. chem pump		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minir
			water test/mixed cl/worked							
245	Wednesday	9/1/2004	at lagoon		2.00			2.00		
246	Thursday		water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minin
247	Friday		water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minin
248	Saturday		water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minin
249	Sunday		water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minin
250	Monday		water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minin
251	Tuesday	9/7/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minin
252	Wednesday	9/8/2004			1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minin
253	Thursday	9/9/2004			1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minin
254	Friday	9/10/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minin
255	Saturday	9/11/2004	water test/cleaned sewers		7.50			7.50		
256	Sunday	9/12/2004	water test/adj chem pump		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minin
			water test/mixed cl/bact							
			sample/met with							
257	Monday	9/13/2004	lawyer/repaired sewer		3.50			3.50		
258	Tuesday	9/14/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minin
259	Wednesday	9/15/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
260	Thursday	9/16/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
			water test							wannooron to ropping at readt 1111, 11111111

Number		Date	Description	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
262	Saturday		water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
263	Sunday	9/19/2004	water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
264	Monday	9/20/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
265	Tuesday	9/21/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
266	Wednesday	9/22/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/adj chem							
			pump/repair							
			manholeWM1S1/auger							
267	Thursday	9/23/2004	sewers		7.00			7.00		
			water test/mixed cl/finished							
268	Friday	9/24/2004	repairs on manhole		5.00			5.00		
269	Saturday	9/25/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
270	Sunday	9/26/2004	water test/adj chem pump		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
271	Monday	9/27/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/adj chem							
			pump/mowed at							
			lagoon/cleaned sewer							
272	Tuesday	9/28/2004	mains/book work		6.00			6.00		
			water test/adj chem							
			pump/hauled sewer auger							
			and tractor home/met with							
			engineersw at							
			Camdenton/met with							
273	Wednesday	9/29/2004	lawyers at Versailles		6.00			6.00		
	Thursday	9/30/2004	contractor tested water		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
	Friday		contractor tested water		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
	Saturday		contractor tested water		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
	Sunday		contractor tested water		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
278	Monday	10/4/2004	contractor tested water		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
			water test/cleaned sewer							
			mains, checked							
	Tuesday		lagoon/mixed cl		3.00			3.00		
	Wednesday		water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
	Thursday	10/7/2004			1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
	Friday	10/8/2004			1.00	1.00			0.50 1	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
283	Saturday	10/9/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50 1	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/sewer line							
			clogged at Randy Martins,							
284	Sunday	10/10/2004	cleaned line		2.00			2.00		
			water test/cleaned mess at							
			Martins/repaired leak in							
285	Monday	10/11/2004	well house		2.50			2.50		
	Tuesday	10/12/2004			1.00	1.00			0.50 N	Ar. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
	Wednesday	10/13/2004	water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00				Ar. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
288	Thursday	10/14/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Ar. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu

289	r Day Friday	Date	Description water test	Comments 2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed		Reason For Disallowance
289 290	Friday	10/15/2004		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
	Saturday		water test/adj chem pump	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
291	Sunday		water test/bact sample	2.00	2.00			1.50	No support above min test/check
292	Monday		water test/adj chem pump	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
293	Tuesday	10/19/2004		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
			water test/cleared air from						
294	Wednesday		chem pump	2.50	2.50				
295	Thursday	10/21/2004	water test	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
			water test/cleaned chem						
296	Friday	10/22/2004	pump with acid	2.00	2.00				
297	Saturday	10/23/2004	water test	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
298	Sunday	10/24/2004	water test	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
299	Monday	10/25/2004	water test/adj. chem pump	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
300	Tuesday	10/26/2004	water test	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
301	Wednesday	10/27/2004	water test	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
302	Thursday	10/28/2004	water test/mixed cl	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimi Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimi
303	Friday	10/29/2004		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minim
			water test/charged tanks	1.00	1.00			0.50	where the control of a dimension to logging at least three minim
			with air/changed battery in						
			tester/worked on manhole						
304	Saturday	10/30/2004		6.00			(00		
305	Sunday		water test/mixed cl	1.00	1.00		6.00	0.50	
505	Sunday		water test/attended	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
204	Mandau		California city council	(00					
306	Monday	11/1/2004	~	4.00			4.00		
307	Tuesday		water test/book work	3.00			3.00		
308	Wednesday		water test/mixed sm cl	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
309	Thursday	11/4/2004		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/repaired manhole						
310	Friday	11/5/2004	•	4.50			4.50		
11	Saturday	11/6/2004		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
312	Sunday	11/7/2004	water test	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
313	Monday	11/8/2004	water test/mixed cl	1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
314	Tuesday	11/9/2004	water test/2nd notice letters	2.00			2.00		
315	Wednesday	11/10/2004	water test	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1 hr. minimu
	•		water test/book					0.00	in children utilission to logging at least this infinitia
316	Thursday		work/mixed sm cl	2.00			2.00		
317	Friday	11/12/2004		1.00	1.00		2.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
318	Saturday	11/13/2004		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
319	Sunday	11/14/2004		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1nr. minimu
320	Monday	11/15/2004		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
320 321	Tuesday		water test/bact sample						Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
	-		•	1.50	1.50				No support above min test/check
322	Wednesday		water test/mixed cl water test/checked sewer	1.00	1.00			0.50 1	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
~~	Thursday			~~				A	
23	Thursday	11/18/2004	now	.00			.00	0.50 1	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu

Numb	er Day	Date	Description	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
324	Friday	11/19/2004	water test/adj chem pump/checked sewer flow		1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/checked sewer							
325	Saturday	11/20/2004	tlow water test/checked sewer		1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
326	Sunday	11/21/2004			1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/checked sewer					1100	0.00	in child's unitsion to logging at least this minimu
327	Monday	11/22/2004			1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
328	Tuesday	11/23/2004	water test/checked sewer		1.00			1.00	0.50	
520	Tuesday	11/23/2004	now	1.4" in rain gauge, creek above				1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/checked sewer	discharge, lagoon 6" higher						
329	Wednesday	11/24/2004	flow	than normal	1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/checked sewer							
330	Thursday	11/25/2004		Creek above discharge	1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/checked sewer flow/worked on manhole							
			M4/augered lines/worked							
331	Friday	11/26/2004	on leaks in manhole WM5	6" snow melt	8.00			8.00		
	•		water test/mixed cl/cleared					0.00		
			air bubble from chem							
			pump/checked sewer							
332	Saturday	11/27/2004	flow/finished repairs in manhole WM5		5.00			5 00		
332	Saturday	11/2//2004	water test/checked sewer	0.6" rain in gauge Effluent line partially blocked,	5.00			5.00		
333	Sunday	11/28/2004	flow/cleared effluent line	cleared line, flow at 100 GPM	2.00			2.00		
	2		water test/checked sewer		2.00			2.00		
334	Monday	11/29/2004	flow	0.1" rain in gauge	1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/checked sewer							
335	Tuesday		flow/book work		2.00					
336	Wednesday	12/1/2004	water test worked on fan for sewer		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
337	Thursday	12/2/2004			4.00		4.00			
338	Friday		water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00	4.00		0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
339	Saturday	12/4/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
340	Sunday	12/5/2004	water test	0.1" rain in gauge	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			worked on fan for sewer							
341	Monday	12/6/2004		0.1" rain in gauge	3.00		3.00			
342 343	Tuesday Wednesday		water test/charge tanks water test/charged tanks	0.1" rain in gauge	1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
343 344	wednesday Thursday	12/8/2004			1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
345	Friday		water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
346	Saturday	12/11/2004			1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1nr. minimu Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
347	Sunday	12/12/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu

lumber	r Day	Date	Description	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance	
			worked on fan for	sewer							·····
348	Monday	12/13/2004	test/bact test		5.00			5.00			
349	Tuesday	12/14/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to log	ging at least 1hr. mini
350	Wednesday	12/15/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50		
351	Thursday	12/16/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50		
352	Friday	12/17/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to log	
353	Saturday	12/18/2004	water test/mixed cl	l	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to log	ging at least 1 hr min
354	Sunday	12/19/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to log	
355	Monday	12/20/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to log	
356	Tuesday	12/21/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to log	ging at least 1hr mir
357	Wednesday	12/22/2004	water test/book wo	vrk	2.50			2.50			
358	Thursday	12/23/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logg	zing at least 1 hr min
359	Friday	12/24/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logg	
360	Saturday	12/25/2004	water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logg	ging at least 1 hr. min
361	Sunday	12/26/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logg	
362	Monday	12/27/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logg	
363	Tuesday	12/28/2004	water test/adj chem	ı pump	1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logg	
364	Wednesday	12/29/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logg	
365	Thursday	12/30/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logg	
366	Friday	12/31/2004	water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logg	
Fotal					657.75	291.25	56.50	317.50	118.50		

Allocate Mixed 50/50 158.75 158.75 (317.50) Total 450.00 215.25 0.00

68.42% 32.73% 0.00%

Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company Case Nos. SR-2006-0249 & WR-2006-0250 OPC Mr. Clifford Mileage Analysis Sources: MPSC Staff Salary/Mileage Workpaper 2004 Time & Mileage Log Provided By Staff (Russo)

OPC Annualized Mileage: Log Day >22 Weekend 1,318.00 2,288.00 Holidays 110.00 OPC Annualized Mileage Tota 3,716.00 2004 Mileage Log 9,137.00 , Adjustment (5,421.00) Allocation: 75% Water Allocation 2,787.00 Sewer Allocation 25% 929.00 3,716.00

Mr. Clifford Mileage:

Number	Day	Date	2004 Log	#>22	Weekend Holiday
1	Thursday	1/1/2004	0	0	22
2	Friday	1/2/2004	0	• 0	
3	Saturday	1/3/2004	22	0	22
4	Sunday	1/4/2004	(22)	0	22
5	Monday	1/5/2004	22	0	
6	Tuesday	1/6/2004	22	0	
7	Wednesday	1/7/2004	22	. 0	
8	Thursday	1/8/2004	22	0	
)	Friday	1/9/2004	22	0	
10	Saturday	1/10/2004	22	0	22
11	Sunday	1/11/2004	22	0	22
12	Monday	1/12/2004	22	0	
13	Tuesday	1/13/2004	22	0	
14	Wednesday	1/14/2004	22	0	
15	Thursday	1/15/2004	0	0	
16	Friday	1/16/2004	22	0	
17	Saturday	1/17/2004	22	0	22
8	Sunday	1/18/2004	22	0	22
9	Monday	1/19/2004	22	0	
20	Tuesday	1/20/2004	22	0	
1	Wednesday	1/21/2004	45	23	
22	Thursday	1/22/2004	48	26	
23	Friday	1/23/2004	22	0	
24	Saturday	1/24/2004	26	26	22
5	Sunday	1/25/2004	22	0	22
:6	Monday	1/26/2004	22	0	
27	Tuesday	1/27/2004	22	0	
:8	Wednesday	1/28/2004	22	0	
29	Thursday	1/29/2004	22	0	
0	Friday	1/30/2004	22	0	
51	Saturday	1/31/2004	22	Ó	22
32	Sunday	2/1/2004	22	Ō	22
3	Monday	2/2/2004	22	ŏ	
4	Tuesday	2/3/2004	22	ŏ	
5	Wednesday	2/4/2004	22	ŏ	
6	Thursday	2/5/2004	22	ŏ	
57	Friday	2/6/2004	25	3	
8	Saturday	2/7/2004	23	1	22
9	Sunday	2/8/2004	22	0	22
0	Monday	2/9/2004	25	3	<u> </u>
1	Tuesday	2/10/2004	23	0	
2	Wednesday	1/0/1900	22	Ő	
3	Thursday	2/12/2004	22	0	
4	Friday	2/13/2004	22	ŏ	
5	Saturday	2/14/2004	45	23	22
6	Sunday	2/15/2004	22	25	<i>44</i>

Mr.	Clifford	Mileage:
-----	----------	----------

Number 47	Day Monday	Date 2/16/2004	2004 Log	#>22	Weekend Holiday
48	Tuesday	2/17/2004	22	0	
49	Wednesday	2/18/2004	22 22	. 0 0	
50	Thursday	2/19/2004	22	0 0	1
51	Friday	2/20/2004	22	0	1
52	Saturday	2/21/2004	22	Ő	22
53	Sunday	2/22/2004	22	Ő	22
54	Monday	2/23/2004	22	0	
55	Tuesday	2/24/2004	22	0	
56	Wednesday	2/25/2004	22	0	
57	Thursday	2/26/2004	22	0	
58 59	Friday	2/27/2004	46	24	
59 60	Saturday	2/28/2004	45	23	22
61	Sunday Monday	2/29/2004	22	0	22
62	Tuesday	3/1/2004	22	0	
53	Wednesday	3/2/2004	22	0	
54 54	Thursday	3/3/2004 3/4/2004	22	0	
55	Friday	3/5/2004	22	0	
56	Saturday	3/6/2004	22 45	0	
57	Sunday	3/7/2004	45 22	23	22
68	Monday	3/8/2004	22	0	22
59	Tuesday	3/9/2004	22	. 0	
70	Wednesday	3/10/2004	22	. 0	
4	Thursday	3/11/2004	22	0	
72	Friday	3/12/2004	22	ŏ	
73	Saturday	3/13/2004	22	ŏ	22
4	Sunday	3/14/2004	22	Ō	22
5	Monday	3/15/2004	22	0	
6	Tuesday	3/16/2004	22	0	
7	Wednesday	3/17/2004	22	0	
78 19	Thursday	3/18/2004	22	0	
9 10	Friday	3/19/2004	22	0	
	Saturday	3/20/2004	22	0	22
2	Sunday Monday	3/21/2004	22	0	22
3	Tuesday	3/22/2004 3/23/2004	22	0	
4	Wednesday	3/24/2004	22 22	0	
5	Thursday	3/25/2004	22	0 0	
6	Friday	3/26/2004	22	0	
7	Saturday	3/27/2004	22	0	22
8	Sunday	3/28/2004	22	0	22
9	Monday	3/29/2004	22	Ő	<i>LL</i>
0	Tuesday	3/30/2004	22	Ő	
1	Wednesday	3/31/2004	22	ŏ	
2	Thursday	4/1/2004	22	0	
3	Friday	4/2/2004	22	0	
4	Saturday	4/3/2004	22	0	22
5	Sunday	4/4/2004	22	0	22
6	Monday	4/5/2004	30	8	
7	Tuesday	4/6/2004	22	0	
18 19	Wednesday	4/7/2004	22	0	
9 00	Thursday	4/8/2004	22	0	
00	Friday	4/9/2004	22	0	
02	Saturday	4/10/2004	22	0	22
02	Sunday Monday	4/11/2004 4/12/2004	22 22	0	22
04	Tuesday	4/12/2004	22 22	0	
05	Wednesday	4/13/2004	22	0 0	
06	Thursday	4/15/2004	22	0	
07	Friday	4/16/2004	22	0	
08	Saturday	4/17/2004	22	Ŭ,	22
09	Sunday	4/18/2004	22	0 0	22
	•				
10	Monday	4/19/2004	22	0	
10 11	Monday Tuesday	4/19/2004 4/20/2004	22 22	0	

Mr.	Clifford	Mileage:
-----	----------	----------

Number 113	Day	Date	2004 Log	#>22	Weekend Holiday
113	Thursday	4/22/2004	22	0	
114	Friday	4/23/2004	22	0	
116	Saturday Sunday	4/24/2004	44	22	22
117	Monday	4/25/2004	24	2	22
118	Tuesday	4/26/2004	22	0	
119	Wednesday	4/27/2004 4/28/2004	22	0	41월 24
120	Thursday	4/29/2004	22	0	
121	Friday	4/30/2004	22 28	0	
122	Saturday	5/1/2004	28 22	6	
123	Sunday	5/2/2004	44	0 22	22
124	Monday	5/3/2004	22	22	22
125	Tuesday	5/4/2004	22	0	
126	Wednesday	5/5/2004	22	0	
127	Thursday	5/6/2004	22	Ő.	
128	Friday	5/7/2004	22	0	
129	Saturday	5/8/2004	22	0	22
130	Sunday	5/9/2004	22	Ő	22
131	Monday	5/10/2004	22	0	22
132	Tuesday	5/11/2004	22	0	
133	Wednesday	5/12/2004	22	0	
134	Thursday	5/13/2004	22	ŏ	
135	Friday	5/14/2004	22	Ő	
136	Saturday	5/15/2004	22	Ő	22
137	Sunday	5/16/2004	25	3	22
138	Monday	5/17/2004	22	0	
39	Tuesday	5/18/2004	32	10	
140	Wednesday	5/19/2004	22	0	
141	Thursday	5/20/2004	22	0	
142	Friday	5/21/2004	60	38	
43	Saturday	5/22/2004	35	13	22
44	Sunday	5/23/2004	22	Ó	22
45	Monday	5/24/2004	22	0	
46	Tuesday	5/25/2004	22	0	
47	Wednesday	5/26/2004	22	0	
48 49	Thursday	5/27/2004	22	0	
50	Friday	5/28/2004	26	4	
51	Saturday	5/29/2004	26	4	22
52	Sunday	5/30/2004	22	0	22
53	Monday	5/31/2004	22	0	22
54	Tuesday Wednesday	6/1/2004	30	8	
.55	Thursday	6/2/2004	22	0	
56	Friday	6/3/2004	22	0	
57	Saturday	6/4/2004 6/5/2004	22	0	
58	Sunday	6/6/2004	22	0	22
59	Monday	6/7/2004	35	13	22
60	Tuesday	6/8/2004	22 22	0	
61	Wednesday	6/9/2004	22	0 0	
62	Thursday	6/10/2004	11	0	
63	Friday	6/11/2004	22	0	
64	Saturday	6/12/2004	35	13	22
65	Sunday	6/13/2004	38	16	22
66	Monday	6/14/2004	22	0	22
67	Tuesday	6/15/2004	33	11	
68	Wednesday	6/16/2004	30	8	
69	Thursday	6/17/2004	22	0	
70	Friday	6/18/2004	22	0	
71	Saturday	6/19/2004	45	23	22
72	Sunday	6/20/2004	22	0	22
12	Monday	6/21/2004	22	Ő	<u> </u>
73	i vi Oliud v	·· ·		v	
		6/22/2004	22	0	
73	Tuesday Wednesday	6/22/2004 6/23/2004	22 22	0	
73 74	Tuesday	6/23/2004	22	0	
73 74 75	Tuesday Wednesday				

Mr.	Clifford	Mileage:
-----	----------	----------

	rd Mileage:				
Number	Day	Date	2004 Log	#>22	Weekend Holiday
179	Sunday	6/27/2004	22	0	22
180	Monday	6/28/2004	22	0	
181	Tuesday	6/29/2004	39	17	
182	Wednesday	6/30/2004	22	0	
183	Thursday	7/1/2004	22	0	
184	Friday	7/2/2004	22	0	
185	Saturday	7/3/2004	22	. 0	22
186	Sunday	7/4/2004	35	13	22 22
187	Monday	7/5/2004	22	0	
188	Tuesday	7/6/2004	22	0	
189	Wednesday	7/7/2004	22	0	
190	Thursday	7/8/2004	22	0	
191	Friday	7/9/2004	22	0	
192	Saturday	7/10/2004	22	0	22
193	Sunday	7/11/2004	22	0	22
194	Monday	7/12/2004	22	. 0	
195	Tuesday	7/13/2004	22	0	
196	Wednesday	7/14/2004	22	0	
197	Thursday	7/15/2004	22	0	
198	Friday	7/16/2004	22	.0	
199	Saturday	7/17/2004	22	0	22
200	Sunday	7/18/2004	22	0	22
201	Monday	7/19/2004	75	53	
202	Tuesday	7/20/2004	22	0	
203	Wednesday	7/21/2004	22	0	
204	Thursday	7/22/2004	22	0	
205	Friday	7/23/2004	2	0	
206	Saturday	7/24/2004	22	• 0	22
207	Sunday	7/25/2004	22	0	22
208	Monday	7/26/2004	22	0	
209	Tuesday	7/27/2004	22	0	
210	Wednesday	7/28/2004	22	0	
211	Thursday	7/29/2004	36	14	
212	Friday	7/30/2004	35	13	
213	Saturday	7/31/2004	22	0	22
214	Sunday	8/1/2004	22	0	22
215	Monday	8/2/2004	22	0	
216 217	Tuesday	8/3/2004	22	0	
217	Wednesday	8/4/2004	22	0	
219	Thursday	8/5/2004	22	0	
219	Friday	8/6/2004	22	0	
220	Saturday Sunday	8/7/2004	22	0	22
222	Monday	8/8/2004	22	0	22
223	•	8/9/2004	22	0	
224	Tuesday	8/10/2004	22	0	
225	Wednesday	8/11/2004	22	0	
226	Thursday Friday	8/12/2004	22	• • • •	
220	Saturday	8/13/2004	22	0	
228	Sunday	8/14/2004	22	0	22
229	Monday	8/15/2004	22	0	22
230	Tuesday	8/16/2004	35	13	
230	Wednesday	8/17/2004	45	23	
232	Thursday	8/18/2004	35	13	
233	Friday	8/19/2004	22	0	
234	Saturday	8/20/2004	22	0	
235	Sunday	8/21/2004	40	18	22
235	Monday	8/22/2004	22	0	22
230	Tuesday	8/23/2004	22	0	
238	Wednesday	8/24/2004	22	0	
238	Thursday	8/25/2004	22	0	
239	Friday	8/26/2004	22	0	
240		8/27/2004	22	0	22
241	Saturday	8/28/2004	22	0	22
242	Sunday	8/29/2004	22	0	22
243	Monday Tuesday	8/30/2004	22	0	
	rucsuay	8/31/2004	22	0	

Mr. Clif	ford N	Aileage:
----------	--------	----------

	rd Mileage:				
Number 245	Day	Date	2004 Log	#>22	Weekend Holiday
245 246	Wednesday	9/1/2004	22	0	
240 247	Thursday	9/2/2004	22	0	
248	Friday	9/3/2004	22	0	
248	Saturday	9/4/2004	22	0	22
249	Sunday	9/5/2004	22	0	22
250	Monday	9/6/2004	22	0	
252	Tuesday	9/7/2004	22	0	
252	Wednesday	9/8/2004	22	0	
253	Thursday	9/9/2004	22	0	
255	Friday	9/10/2004	22	. 0	
255	Saturday	9/11/2004	40	18	22
250	Sunday	9/12/2004	22	0	22
257	Monday	9/13/2004	35	13	
258	Tuesday	9/14/2004	22	0	
260	Wednesday	9/15/2004	22	0	
260	Thursday	9/16/2004	22	0	
262	Friday	9/17/2004	22	0	
262	Saturday	9/18/2004	22	0	22
263	Sunday	9/19/2004	22	0	22
265	Monday	9/20/2004	22	0	
265	Tuesday	9/21/2004	22	0	
267	Wednesday	9/22/2004	22	0	
268	Thursday	9/23/2004	75	53	
269	Friday	9/24/2004	75	53	
270	Saturday	9/25/2004	22	0	22
271	Sunday Monday	9/26/2004	22	0	22
272		9/27/2004	22	0	
272	Tuesday	9/28/2004	38	16	
274	Wednesday Thursday	9/29/2004	162	140	
275	Friday	9/30/2004		0	
276	Saturday	10/1/2004		0	
277	Sunday	10/2/2004		0	22
278	Monday	10/3/2004		0	22
279	Tuesday	10/4/2004		0	
280	Wednesday	10/5/2004 10/6/2004	25	3	
281	Thursday	10/7/2004	22	0	
282	Friday	10/8/2004	22	0	
283	Saturday	10/9/2004	22	0	
284	Sunday	10/10/2004	22	0	22
285	Monday	10/11/2004	25	3	22
286	Tuesday	10/12/2004	75 22	53	
287	Wednesday	10/13/2004		0	
288	Thursday	10/14/2004	22 22	0	
289	Friday	10/15/2004		0	
290	Saturday	10/16/2004	22	0	
291	Sunday	10/17/2004	22	0	22
292	Monday	10/18/2004	75 22	53 0	22
293	Tuesday	10/19/2004	22	0	
294	Wednesday	10/20/2004	22	0	
295	Thursday	10/21/2004	22	ŏ	
296	Friday	10/22/2004	22	ŏ	
297	Saturday	10/23/2004	22	0	22
298	Sunday	10/24/2004	22	0	22
299	Monday	10/25/2004	22	Ö	22
300	Tuesday	10/26/2004	22	0	
301	Wednesday	10/27/2004	22	0	
302	Thursday	10/28/2004	22	0	
303	Friday	10/29/2004	22	- 0	
304	Saturday	10/30/2004	32	10	22
305	Sunday	10/31/2004	22	0	22
306	Monday	11/1/2004	55	33	<i>LL</i>
307	Tuesday	11/2/2004	22	33 0	
308	Wednesday	11/3/2004	22	0	
309	Thursday	11/4/2004	22	0	
310	Friday	11/5/2004	25	3	
			23	5	

Mr.	Clifford	Mileage:
-----	----------	----------

311	Day Saturday	Date 11/6/2004	2004 Log	#>22	Weekend H	olida
312	Sunday	11/7/2004	22	0	22	
313	Monday	11/8/2004	22	0	22	
314	Tuesday		22	0		
315	Wednesday	11/9/2004	22	0		
316	Thursday	11/10/2004	22	0		
317	Friday	11/11/2004	22	0		
318	Saturday	11/12/2004	22	0		
319	Sunday	11/13/2004	22	0	22	
320	Monday	11/14/2004	22	0	22	
321	Tuesday	11/15/2004	22	0		
322	Wednesday	11/16/2004	35	13		
323	Thursday	11/17/2004	22	0		
324	Friday	11/18/2004	22	0		
325	Saturday	11/19/2004	22	0		
326	Sunday	11/20/2004	22	0	22	
327	Monday	11/21/2004	222	200	22	
328	Tuesday	11/22/2004	22	0		
329	Wednesday	11/23/2004	22	0		
330	2	11/24/2004	22	0		
331	Thursday Friday	11/25/2004	22	0		22
332	Saturday	11/26/2004	60	38		
333	-	11/27/2004	22	0	22	
334	Sunday	11/28/2004	22	0	22	
335	Monday	11/29/2004	22	0		
336	Tuesday Wednesday	11/30/2004	22	0		
337 337		12/1/2004	22	0		
38	Thursday	12/2/2004	28	6		
339	Friday	12/3/2004	22	0		
340	Saturday	12/4/2004	22	0	22	
340 341	Sunday	12/5/2004	22	0	22	
	Monday	12/6/2004	22	0		
842	Tuesday	12/7/2004	22	0		
343	Wednesday	12/8/2004	22	0		
344	Thursday	12/9/2004	22	0		
345	Friday	12/10/2004	22	0		
346	Saturday	12/11/2004	22	· 0	22	
47	Sunday	12/12/2004	22	0	22	
48	Monday	12/13/2004	30	8		
49	Tuesday	12/14/2004	22	0		
50	Wednesday	12/15/2004	22	0		
51	Thursday	12/16/2004	22	0		
52	Friday	12/17/2004	22	0		
53	Saturday	12/18/2004	22	0	22	
54	Sunday	12/19/2004	22	0	22	
55	Monday	12/20/2004	22	0		
56	Tuesday	12/21/2004	22	0		
57	Wednesday	12/22/2004	22	0		
58	Thursday	12/23/2004	22	0		
59	Friday	12/24/2004	22	0		
60	Saturday	12/25/2004	22	0	22	
61	Sunday	12/26/2004	22	0	22	
62	Monday	12/27/2004	22	0		22
63	Tuesday	12/28/2004	22	0		
64	Wednesday	12/29/2004	22	0		
65	Thursday	12/30/2004	22	0		
66	Friday	12/31/2004	. 22	0		
[otal				1,318.00	2,288.00 110	0.00

Exhibit

			Co	ost of Capital				
				Weighted	**	11.37%	0.00%	0.00%
	Amount	Percent	Cost	Cost			Weighted Cost	
Equity	\$ 4,553	20.881%	**	**		2.37%	0.00%	0.00%
Preferred Stock	-	0.000%	0.000%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Long Term Debt	17,251	79.119%	7.500%	5.93%		5.93%	5.93%	5.93%
Short Term Debt	-	0.000%	0.000%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Total	\$ 21,804	100.000%		Rate of Return		8.30%	5.93%	5.93%

Tax Weighted Rate of Return			
Tax Multiplier (1/(1-tax rate))	1.255814	1.255814	1.255814
Weighted cost of equity	2.37%	0.00%	0.00%
Tax weighted cost of equity	2.98%	0.00%	0.00%
Weighted cost of debt	5.93%	5.93%	5.93%
Tax Weighted Rate of Return	8.91%	5.93%	5.93%

Revenue Requirement

	(A)		11.37% uity Return (B)
	Net Original Cost Rate Base	(From Accounting Schedule 2)	\$ 1,807
2	Rate of Return		 8.30%
3	Net Operating Income Requirement		\$
4	Net Operating Income Available	(From Accounting Schedule 9)	
5	Additional Net Operating Income Red	quirement	\$
6	Income Tax Requirement:	(From Accounting Schedule 11)	
7	Required Current Income Tax		\$ 1
8	Test Year Current Income Tax		0
9	Additional Current Income Tax Rec	quirement	\$ 11
10			
	Gross Revenue Requirement		\$ (1,776)

Rate Base

Line			ription		A	(B)
Line	Plant in Service	(A)	(Enorm A accumulance Schedule 2)	\$	(B) 14,807
2				(From Accounting Schedule 3)	ъ	14,007
2	Less:					•
3	Accumulated Depreciation Reserved	rve		(From Accounting Schedule 6)	^	U
4	Net Plant in Service				\$	
5	Add:					
6	Cash Working Capital				\$	0
7	Total Additions to Net Plant	in Service	1		\$	14,807
8	Deduct:					
9	Interest Offset	a	#REF!		\$	0
10	Federal Income Tax Offset	a	#REF!			0
11	State Income Tax Offset	ā,	#REF!			0
12	Contributions In Aid of Constru-	ction				13,000
13	Contributions In Aid of Constru	ction Amo	ortized			0
14	Total Deductions from Net F	lant in Se	rvice		\$	
15						
16	Total Rate Base				S	1.807

Plant In Service

	Account No.	Description	•	Total Company 6/30/2004		al Company djustment	Alloc Factor		Juris Adjustment	Adj. No. Acety Sch 4 (G)		Adjusted I	E)+F
		Source of Supply & Pumping Plant											
2	314.000	Wells & Springs	\$	10,000	\$	0	100.0000%	_ \$_	0	P-1	\$		10,000
3		Total	\$	10,000	\$	0		5	0		\$		10,000
4		Pumping Plant											
5	325.000	Electric Pumping Equipment	\$	6,393	\$	(4,844)	100.0000%	\$	a C. O	P-2	S		1,549
6		Total	s	6,393	5	(4,844)		5	0		\$		1,549
		Transmission & Distribution Plant									÷.		-,
8	343.000	Transmission & Distribution Mains	S	3,000	\$	0	100.0000%	\$	0 -	P-3	\$		3,000
9	346.000	Meters		0		258	100.0000%		0	P-4			258
10		Total	s	3,000	\$	258		5	0		s		3,258
		General Plant											-,
12	398.000	Miscellaneous Equipment	\$	869	\$	(869)	100,0000%	\$	0	P-5	\$		0
13	399.000	Other Tangible Plant		800		(800)	100.0000%		0	P-6	Ť		0
14		Total	\$	1,669	\$	(1,669)		\$	0		\$		
15	Hope and the property of				-					_	-	_	
	Total Plar	it In Service	5	21,062	5	(6,255)			0	1.	- 5	1-201-	14,807

Adjustments To Plant In Service

Adj. No.	Description		Total Company Adjustment		Mo Juris Adjustment
A/C	314.000 Wells & Springs P-1	\$ \$	0	\$	0
		2		\$	
A/C	325.000 Electric Pumping Equipment P-2	\$	(4,844)	\$	0
1	To include cost of new well pump. (Staff)	\$	1,549	\$	
	Exclude as is fully depreciated. (OPC		(6,393)		
A/C	343.000 Transmission & Distribution Mains P-3	S	0	\$	0
A/C	346.000 Meters P-4 To include the cost of new master meter. (Staff)	\$	258	\$	0
A/C	398.000 Miscellaneous Equipment P-5 Exclude as is fully depreciated. (OPC)	\$ \$	(869) (869)	\$ \$	0
A/C	399.000 Other Tangible Plant P-6 Exclude as is fully depreciated. (OPC)	\$ \$	(800)	\$	0
1	Exercice as is runy depreciated. (Of C)	Э	(800)	\$	

Depreciation Expense

	Account No.	Plant Description	Adjusted Jurisdictional	Depr Rate		Depreciation Expense _{CxD}
ne	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)		(E)
		Source of Supply & Pumping Plant				
	314.000	Wells & Springs	\$ 10,000	0.0000%	\$	C
		Total	\$ 10,000		*	-
		Pumping Plant	,			
	325.000	Electric Pumping Equipment	\$ 1,549	10.0000%	\$	155
		Total	\$ 1,549		•	
		Transmission & Distribution Plant				
	343.000	Transmission & Distribution Mains	\$ 3,000	0.0000%	\$	C
	346.000	Meters	\$ 258	3.3000%	\$	ç
		Total	\$ 3,258			<u> </u>
		General Plant				
	398.000	Miscellaneous Equipment	\$ 0	0.0000%	\$	· (
	399.000	Other Tangible Plant	\$ 0	0.0000%	\$	(
		Total	\$ 0		τ,	-
	Total Plan	nt Depreciation Expense	14,807			163

Depreciation Reserve

Line	Account No.	Plant Description		Fotal Company 6/30/2004		al Company djustment	Alloc Factor		Juris Adjustment	Adj. No. Acces Sch 7 (G)	Ad	justed Balance ((C+D)xE)+F
		Source of Supply & Pumping Plant										
2	314.000	Wells & Springs	S	5,967	\$	(5,967)	100.0000%	\$	0	R-	S	0
3		Total	s	5,967		(5,967)		-	0		· ·	
4		Pumping Plant				,						
5	325.000	Electric Pumping Equipment	\$	6,393	s	(6,393)	100.0000%	S	0	R-2	\$. 0
6		Total	s -	6,393	s	(6,393)		s-	0		s	0
		Transmission & Distribution Plant			-	(-			•	-
8	343.000	Transmission & Distribution Mains	\$	1,790	s	(1,790)	100.0000%	\$	0	R-3	\$	÷ 0
9	346.000	Meters	S	0	2	0	100.0000%	S	0	R-4	ŝ	Ō
10		Total	\$	1,790	 	(1,790)		·				
11		General Plant		,								
12	398.000	Miscellaneous Equipment	S	869	S	(869)	100.0000%	S	0	R-5	\$	
13	399.000	Other Tangible Plant	S	800	Ś	(800)	100.0000%	Ś	0	R-6	ŝ	0
14		Total	s [—]	1,669	ŝ	(1,669)		Š.	0		s [—]	0
15			•	1,007	2	(-,007)		Ŧ	, v		÷	v
16	Total Dep	reciation Reserve	S	15,819	\$. (15,819) #	the second	\$	0		S	0

Adjustments To Depreciation Reserve

dj. Io.		Description				al Company djustment		Mo Juris Adjustment
A/C	314.000	Wells & Springs	R-1	9		(5,967)	\$	0
	Ixclude C	CIAC depreciation. (Staff)		4	6	(5,967)	\$	
A/C	325.000	Electric Pumping Equipment	R-2		\$	(6,393)	\$	0
	Exclude f	ully depreciated. (OPC)		5	\$	(6,393)	\$	
A/C	343.000	Transmission & Distribution Mains	R-3		\$	(1,790)	S	
	and the second se	CIAC depreciation. (Staff)						
A/C	346.000	Meters	R-4		\$	0	\$	
A/C	398.000	Miscellaneous Equipment	R-5		\$	(869)	\$	
	Exclude	fully depreciated. (OPC)						
A/C	399.000	Other Tangible Plant	R-6		\$	(800)		and the second sec
	Exclude	CIAC depreciation. (Staff)			\$	(800)	\$	

Income Statement

	Description		Total Company		Total Company Adjustment Acetg Sch 10	Allocation Factor		Jurisdictional Adjustment	Adj. No. Acetg Sch 10		Adjusted Jurisdictional ((B+C)xD)+E
	Operating Revenues:				(6)	(D)		(E)	(F)		(G)
2	Water Revenues	\$	7,954	S	8,264	100.0000%	\$	0	S-1	•	14 010
3	Primacy Fee		92	-	(92)	100.0000%	JP I		S-1 S-2	\$	16,218
4 5	Total Revenues	\$	8,046	\$	8,172	100.000076	\$.0	5-2	s	16,218
6	Operating Expenses:										
7	Salaries and Wages	\$	2,537	\$	3,182	100.0000%	\$	0	S-3	\$	5,719
8	Automobile		0		1,252	100.0000%	Φ	0	S-3 S-4	Э	
9	Retirement		210		(210)	100.0000%		0	S-4 S-5		1,252
10	Purchased Power for Pumping		745		0	100.0000%		õ	S-6		0
11	Chemicals		176		Ő	100.0000%		.0	S-0 S-7		745
12	Testing Supplies/Services		1,430		0	100.0000%		.0	S-8		176
13	Maintenance & Supplies Expense		682		Ő	100.0000%		0	5-8 S-9		1,430
14	Primacy Fee		92		(92)	100.0000%		0	S-9 S-10		682
15	Accounting Fee		323		0	100.0000%		0			0
16	Annual Registration		23		0	100.0000%		0	S-11		323
17	PSC Assessment		65		(28)	100.0000%			S-12		23
18	Office Supplies		299		(28)	100.0000%		0	S-13		37
19	Postage Expense		247		0	100.0000%		0	S-14		299
20	Telephone Expense		247		335	100.0000%		0	S-15		247
21	Insurance Expense		247		1,659	100.0000%		0	S-16		582
22	FICA		Q		426			0	S-17		1,659
23	Amortize Eng. Exp 5 Yrs.		Ö		426	100.0000%		Ö	S-18		426
24	Amortize Well Repair - 5 Yrs.		- 0			100.0000%		0	S-19		127
25	Total	\$	<u> </u>		391	100.0000%	\$ [`]	0	S-20	1.5	391
26		э		\$	7,041		\$			\$	14,117
27	Other Operating Expenses:										
28	Depreciation	\$	260	\$	(96)	100.0000%	\$	0	S-21	\$	164
29 30	Total Depreciation				(96)		Ψ.	<u> </u>	5-21	<u>_</u>	164
31	Total Operating Expenses	\$	7,336	\$	6,945	100.0000%	\$	0		\$	14,281
32 33	Net Income Before Income Taxes	S	710	S	1,227	100.0000%	S	0			
34						100.000070	3	U		\$	1,937
35	Income Taxes:										
36	Current Income Tax	\$	0	\$	0	100.0000%	\$	0	S-22	\$	0
37	Deferred Income Tax		0		0	100.0000%		0	S-23	-	Ő
38	Amortization of ITC		0	1 w	0	100.0000%		Ő	S-24		ŏ
39 40	Total Income Taxes	\$	0	\$	0		\$	0		\$	0
41	Net Operating Income	\$	710	5	1,227		5	0		5	1,937

	•	ounting Schedule 10 Sponsor: OPC
Hickory Bills Water & Sewer Company Case No. WR-2006-0250		sponsor. Or C
Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2004		
Adjustments To Income Statement		
Adj. Description	Total Company Adjustment	Mo Juris Adjustment
Water Revenues S-I		
1 6. 8	\$ 8,264 \$ 8,264	\$ 0 \$
	\$ (92)	s 0
	\$ (92)	s
Selaries and Wages 5.3		
1 Mr. Chilford's salary adjustment. OPC	S 3,063	<u>0</u> 2
2 Ms. Clifford's salary adjustment. OPC	119	
Automobile .	1.252	8 0
	\$ 1,129	S
2 Ms. Clifford's mileage adjustment. OPC	123	
Retirement 5-5 1 Mr. Clifford's retirement adjustment. OPC	s (210) s (210)	<u>s</u>
-	(210)	•
Purchased Power for Pumping S-6		
I No adjustment	s <u>o</u> s	<u>\$</u> 0 S
Chemeals S-7	6 0	\$ <u>0</u>
. The majorithem	3	5
Participation and a second	-	
Testing Supplies Services 1 No adjustment	9 5	<u>s 0</u>
Maintenance & Supplies Expense	0	5 0
i No adjustment	S	s
		1 0
1 31011	(92)	s
Accounting Fee 8-11	0	<u>\$</u>
		•
Annual Registration S-12	r 0	\$ 0
I No adjustment	5	\$
2		
PSC Assessment S-13		<u>s</u>
1 3000	(28)	\$
Office Supplies S-14 1 No adjustment	i 0 i	<u>s o</u> s
Postage Expense	i i	<u>s 0</u>
1 No adjustment		s
2		
Telephone Lypense \$-16	335	<u>s</u>
1 .3(01)	5 335	s
Insurance Expense: 8-17	1,659	<u>s 0</u> S
FICA S-18	426	S 0
1 Mr. Clifford's FICA adjustment. OPC	402	s
2 Ms. Chifford's FICA adjustment. OPC	24	
Americas Eng. Exp 5 Vns. 5-19 Staff	127	\$ \$
	12/	-
Amortize Well Repeir - 5 Yrs. S-20	-	•
Amorize Well Repair - 5 Yrs. S-20	391 391	s a S
Depreciation \$-21		8 0
Depreciation Adjustment. OPC	(96)	s
Current Income Tax \$-22	0	<u>\$</u> 0
	•	*
Deferred income Tex S-23	-	
Deferred income Tax S-23	<u>y</u>	<u>s</u> 0
2		
Amortization of ITC \$-24	0	\$ <u></u>
I No adjustment		5

counting Schedule 10 Sponsor: OPC

Income Tax Calculation

Description		Test Year		8.30% Return		
Net Income Before Taxe				.937	\$	16
Book Depreciation			\$	164	\$	<u> </u>
Total Additions			\$	164	\$	164
Subtractions to Net Income Before Incor	ne Ta	x				
Interest Expense	a	5.93%	\$	107		10
Depreciation				164		16
Total Subtractions				371		
Net Taxable Income			\$	1,830	\$	5
rovision for Federal Income Tax						
Net Taxable Income				,830	\$	5
Deduct Missouri Income Tax		100.00%		106		
Federal Taxable Income			\$,723	\$	<u>_</u>
Federal Income Tax		5.00%	\$	259	\$	
Provision for Missouri Income Tax						
Net Taxable Income			\$	830		5
Deduct Federal Income Tax		50.00%		130		_
Missouri Taxable Income			\$		\$	
Missouri Income Tax		25%	\$		¢	
vision for City Earn						
Lity Taxable Income			\$,830	\$	5
Vity Income Tax	(a)	0.00%	\$	0	\$	
Summary of Provision For Income Tax						
Federal Income Tax			\$	259	\$	
State Income Tax				106		
City Income Tax						
Total Current Income Tax	_		S	365	S	1

Exhibit 2

				С	ost of Capital Weighted **	11.37%	0.00%	0.00%
		Amount	Percent	Cost	Cost		Weighted Cost	
Equity	\$	4,553	20.881%	**	**	2.37%	0.00%	0.00%
Preferred Stock		•	0.000%	0.000%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Long Term Debt		17,251	79.119%	7.500%	5.93%	5.93%	5.93%	5.93%
Short Term Debt		-	0,000%	0.000%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Total		21,804	100.000%		Rate of Return	8.30%	5.93%	5.93%
Tax Weighted Rate o Tax Multiplier (1/))				1.272727	1.272727	1.272727
Weighted cost of		,,,				2.37%	0.00%	0.00%
Tax weighted o	• •	ity				3.02%	0.00%	0.00%

 Tax weighted cost of equity
 3.02%
 0.00%
 0.00%

 Weighted cost of debt
 5.93%
 5.93%
 5.93%

 Tax Weighted Rate of Return
 8.95%
 5.93%
 5.93%

Revenue Requirement

Line	(A)		Eq	11.37% uity Return (B)
1	Net Original Cost Rate Base	(From Accounting Schedule 2)	\$	458
2	Rate of Return			8.30%
3	Net Operating Income Requirement		\$	38
4	Net Operating Income Available	(From Accounting Schedule 9)		(2,120)
5	Additional Net Operating Income Req	luirement	\$	2,158
6	Income Tax Requirement:	(From Accounting Schedule 11)		
7	Required Current Income Tax		\$	3
8	Test Year Current Income Tax			0
9	Additional Current Income Tax Req	quirement	\$	
10				
11	Gross Revenue Requirement		\$	2,161

Rate Base

		Desc	ription		A	mount
Line		(A)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(B)
	Plant in Service			(From Accounting Schedule 3)	\$	22,800
2	Less:					
3	Accumulated Depreciation Reserved	rve		(From Accounting Schedule 6)		342
4	Net Plant in Service			-	\$	
5	Add:					
6	Cash Working Capital				\$	0
7	Total Additions to Net Plant	in Service	•		\$	22,458
8	Deduct:					
9	Interest Offset	@	#REF!		\$	0
10	Federal Income Tax Offset	@	#REF!			0
11	State Income Tax Offset	@	#REF!			0
12	Contributions In Aid of Constru-	ction				22,000
13	Contributions In Aid of Constru	ction Amo	rtized			0
14	Total Deductions from Net I	Plant in Se	rvice		\$	
15						
16	Total Rate Base				\$	458

Plant In Service

	Account No.	Description	Т	otal Company 6/30/2004		tal Company Adjustment	Alloc Factor	Juris Ad	justment	Adj. No. Acctg Sch 4	A	djusted Balance ((C+D)xE)+F
		Collection Plant										
		Gravity Collection Sewer	\$	19,000	\$	0	100.0000%	\$	0	P-1	<u> s </u>	19,000
		Total	5	19,000	\$	0		\$	0		S	19,000
		Treatment & Disposal Plant										
	72.000	Oxidation Lagoon	s	2,200	\$	0	100.0000%	s	0	P-2	_\$	2,200
		Total	5	2,200	5	0		S	Q		\$	2,200
		Transmission & Distribution Plant										
8		General Plant										
9	393.000	Other General Equipment - 1/2 Is CIAC	s	1,600	s	0	100.0000%	s	0	P-3		1,600
10 11		Total	5	1,600	5	0		\$	0		5	1,600
12	Total Plan	t In Service	5	22,800	5	0	1.1.1.2.1		.0	1.1	5	22,800

Adjustments To Plant In Service

Adj. No.	Description			Company stment	Mo Juris Adjustment
A/C 352.000	Gravity Collection Sewer	P-1	\$	0 \$	0
A/C 372.000	Oxidation Lagoon	P-2	\$	0 \$	0
A/C 393.000	Other General Equipment - 1/2 Is CIAC	P-3	S	0 \$	0

Depreciation Expense

	Account No.	Plant Description	Adjusted Jurisdictional	Depr Rate	Depreciation Expense _{CsD}
•		(B)	(C)	(D)	(IE)
		Collection Plant			
	352.000	Gravity Collection Sewer	\$ 19,000	0.0000%	\$ (
		Total	\$ 19,000		\$ _
		Treatment & Disposal Plant			
	372.000	Oxidation Lagoon	\$ 2,200	0.0000%	\$ <u>_</u>
		Total	\$ 2,200		\$
		Transmission & Distribution Plant General Plant			
	393.000	Other General Equipment - 1/2 Is CIAC	\$ 1,600	4.0000%	\$ 6
	575.000	Total	\$ 1,600		\$
	Total Play	nt Depreciation Expense	22,800		(

Depreciation Reserve

Account No.	Plant Description	1	fotal Company 6/30/2004		Total Company Adjustment	Alloc Factor			Adj. No. Leetg Sch 7		ed Balance
	Collection Plant										
352.000	Gravity Collection Sewer	s	7,333	\$	(7,333)	100.0000%	\$_			Ş	0
	Total	s	7,333		(7,333)		-	0			Ó
	Treatment & Disposal Plant				1						
372.000	Oxidation Lagoon	\$	2,200	\$	(2,200)	100.0000%	\$	0	R-2	\$	
	Total	5	2,200	5	(2,200)		\$			s	
	General Plant										
393.000	Other General Equipment - 1/2 Is CIAC	\$	1,142	\$	(800)	100.0000%	\$		R-3	5	
	Total	s	1,142	5	(800)		\$	0		\$	342
Total Dep	reciation Reserve	\$	10,675	\$	(10,333) #		s	0		1	342

Adjustments To Depreciation Reserve

Adj. No.			on			al Company djustment		Mo Juris Adjustment
A/C	352.000 Staff	Gravity Collection Sewer	R-1		\$ \$	(7,333) (7,333)	\$ \$	0
2								
A/C	372.000	Oxidation Lagoon	R-2		\$	(2,200)	\$	0
1	Staff	Contraction of Contraction Contraction			\$	(2,200)	\$	
2								
A/C	393.000	0.00		#REF!	\$	(800)	\$	0
	Staff				\$	(800)	\$	

2

Income Statement

Description		Total Company		Total Company Adjustment Acctg Sch 10	Allocation Factor	-	urisdictional Adjustment	Adj. No. Acety Sch 10		Adjusted Jurisdictional
		(B)			(D)		(E)	Theory sen ro		(G)
Operating Revenues: Sewer Revenues										
	<u>s</u>	2,862	\$	4,103	100.0000%	\$	0	S-1	S	6,96
Total Revenues	S	2,862	\$	4,103		\$	0		\$	6,96
Operating Expenses:										
Salaries and Wages	s	2,537	\$	(531)	100.00000/	•	-			
Automobile	4	2,337	• • ••		100.0000%	\$	9	S-2	\$	2,00
Retirement		210		417	100.0000%		0	S-3		41
Testing Supplies/Services		870		(210)	100.0000%		0	S-4		
Maintenance & Supplies Expense				426	100.0000%		ō	S-5		1,29
Accounting Fee		1,146		0	100.0000%		0	S-6		1,14
Annual Registration		323		0	100.0000%		0	S-7		32
PSC Assessment		23		0	100.0000%		Ő	S-8		2
		225		24	100.0000%		0	S-9		24
Office Supplies		299		0	100.0000%		0	S-10		29
Postage Expense		247		0	100.0000%		0	S-11		24
Telephone Expense		247		54	100.0000%		0	S-12		30
Insurance Expense		0	Ste	1,659	100.0000%		0	S-13		1.65
FICA		0		142	100.0000%		0 0	S-14		14
Amortize Eng. Exp 5 Yrs.		Ö	1 A	295	100.0000%		Ó	S-15		29
Sewer Permit		650		0	100.0000%		0	S-16		6
Total	\$	6,777	\$	CV 272177	I	\$			\$	
Other Operating Expenses:										
Depreciation	\$	260	¢.	(228)	100.0000%	\$		S-17	•	
Total Depreciation	Ψ.		4 <u></u>	(228)	100.0000%	⊅.		5-17	\$	
				(220)						2
Total Operating Expenses	\$		\$	2,048	100.0000%	\$			\$	9,08
Net Income Before Income Taxes	5	(4,175)	\$	2,055	100.0000%	\$	0		\$	(2,1)
• • • •	, 이 관계	1.4 1.1		and the second second		1.50		ा जन्मना त्रह		
Income Taxes:	ð [1] - 1] -						그는 것 같은 것			
Current Income Tax	\$	0	\$	0	100.0000%	\$	0	S-18	\$	
Deferred Income Tax		0	127	0	100.0000%		0	S-19		제품 영문화
Amortization of ITC	1	0	<u></u>	<u> </u>	100.0000%	영문법	0	S-20		人家的复数
Total Income Taxes	S	0	\$			\$	0		\$	
Net Operating Income	s	(4,175)		2,055						

	Hickory Hill	s Water & Sewer Company		chedule 10 usor: OPC
	Case	No. SR-2006-0249 Is Ended December 31, 200		
		ts To Income Statemen		
Palj. Nai	Description	\$-1	Total Company Adjustment \$ 4,103 4,107	Mo Juris Adjustment
Salaries and Wages I Mr. Clifford's sala	rry adjustment. OP	Ξ	(531)	
Automobile	Spe administer OPU	53	(89) \$ 417 \$	0
2 Ms Retirement	zar alasmen, Olv	ana ta anta a su a	41 (210) 1	
Testing Supplies/Service				0
Mantenance & Supplies for 1 No adjustment	pos	5-6	T	
sunting Fee			·····	
Annual Registration	×	54	1	
PSC Assessment		<u>8.9</u>	(A) - 5	
Supplies No adjus			<u>and (and an Ube) .</u>	
Postage Expense I No adjustment		\$41	\$	
Teleptone Expense		<u>8-12</u>	9 4	
Insurance Expens 1 Staff		<u></u>	<u>\$ 1,659 \$</u>	0.
TIC	Adjustment. OPC	\$14		
Amortize Eng. Exp 5 Yrs. 1 Staff			245-1-5	
Sever Parmit	يد. حد	<u>\$-16</u>	i I	9
Depreciation		17		
nitigat Income Tax 1 No. adjustes		3.(*	\$ 3	
Deferred Income Tax		<u>\$-19</u>	<u>s o s</u>	
Amortization of TPC		\$.20	\$	

Income Tax Calculation

Description				Test Year		8.30% Return	
(A)	100.00	Concerning and the second		(B)		(C)	<u>d chur</u> g
Net Income Before Taxes (Acct. Sch. 1)			\$	(2,120)	\$		4
Add:							
Book Depreciation			\$	32	\$		-
Total Additions			\$	32 32	\$		
Subtractions to Net Income Before Incom	е Та	x:					
Interest Expense	a	5.93%	\$	27	S		
Depreciation	\cup		Ŷ	32	τ		
Total Subtractions			\$		\$		
Net Taxable Income			\$.	(2,147)	\$		
Provision for Federal Income Tax				1. 1. She out of the s	<u> </u>		
Net Taxable Income			\$	(2 147)			4.5.
Deduct Missouri Income Tax	(a)	100.00%	3	(2,147)	\$		
Federal Taxable Income	w	100.0070	\$	0	\$		25
Federal Income Tax	a,	15.00%	\$		3 \$		
	9	-0.0070	Ψ		Φ		
Provision for Missouri Income Tax							
Net Taxable Income			\$	(2,147)	\$		
Deduct Federal Income Tax	a	50.00%		(161)	-		
Missouri Taxable Income	-		\$	(1,986)	\$		
Missouri Income Tax	a	6.25%	\$	(124)	\$		
Provision for City Earnings Tax							
City Taxable Income			\$	(2,147)	\$		
City Income Tax	a	0.00%	\$	0	\$		
Summary of Provision For Income Tax							
Federal Income Tax			\$	(322)	\$		
State Income Tax				(124)			
City Income Tax				Ó			
Total Current Income Tax	_		s	(446)	S		-