FILED October 04, 2017 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission Lead Service Line Replacement Martin Hyman Missouri Department of Economic Development Rebuttal Testimony WU-2017-0296

## MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Exhibit No.:

Sponsoring Party:

Type of Exhibit:

Case No.:

Issues: Witness:

## MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

## CASE NO. WU-2017-0296

## **REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

OF

## MARTIN R. HYMAN

ON

## **BEHALF OF**

## **MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

Jefferson City, Missouri August 23, 2017

> Date 9.27.17 Reporter MM File No. WU. 2017-0296

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Missouri-American Water Company for an Accounting Order Concerning MAWC's Lead Service Line Replacement Program

File No. WU-2017-0296

## **AFFIDAVIT OF MARTIN HYMAN**

			12.11	
STATE OF MISSOU	RI	)		
		)	<b>S</b> S	
COUNTY OF COLE		)		

Martin R. Hyman, of lawful agc, being duly sworn on his oath, deposes and states:

- 1. My name is Martin R. Hyman. I work in the City of Jefferson, Missouri, and I am employed by the Missouri Department of Economic Development as a Planner III, Division of Energy.
- 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Rebuttal Testimony on behalf of the Missouri Department of Economic Development.
- 3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the

questions therein propounded are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Martin R. Hyman

Subscribed and sworn to before me 23rd day of August, 2017.

LAURIE ANN ARNOLD Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri

Commissioned for Callaway County My Commission Expires: April 26, 2020 Genmission Number: 16808214

the state for the state of the

My commission expires:

Taune le

Notary Public

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

· .

V.	CONCLUSIONS	10
	RESPONSE TO OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL	
III.	PUBLIC HEALTH NEED AND DEPARTMENT INTEREST	2
11.	PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY	. 2
Ι.	INTRODUCTION	. 1

1	<b>I</b> .	INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
3	А.	My name is Martin R. Hyman. My business address is 301 West High Street, Suite 720,
4		PO Box 1766, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
5	Q.	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
6	А.	I am employed by the Missouri Department of Economic Development ("DED") -
7	ļ	Division of Energy ("DE") as a Planner III.
8	Q.	Please describe your educational background and employment experience.
9	А.	In 2011, I graduated from the School of Public and Environmental Affairs at Indiana
10		University in Bloomington with a Master of Public Affairs and a Master of Science in
11		Environmental Science. There, I worked as a graduate assistant, primarily investigating
12		issues surrounding energy-related funding under the American Recovery and
13		Reinvestment Act of 2009. I also worked as a teaching assistant in graduate school and
14		interned at the White House Council on Environmental Quality in the summer of 2011. I
15		began employment with DE in September of 2014. Prior to that, I worked as a contractor
16		for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to coordinate intra-agency modeling
17		discussions.
18	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before the Missouri Public Service Commission
19		("PSC" or "Commission") on behalf of DED or any other party?
20	А.	I have filed testimony on behalf of DE in the cases listed in Schedule MRH-1.

•

1

.

.

1	II.	PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY
2	Q.	What is the purpose of your Rebuttal Testimony in this proceeding?
3	А.	The purpose of my Rebuttal Testimony is to respond to Missouri-American Water
4		Company's ("MAWC" or "Company") proposal for a Lead Service Line Replacement
5		Program ("LSLR Program"), with proposed cost recovery through an Accounting
6		Authority Order ("AAO"). I also respond to the Office of the Public Counsel's ("OPC")
7		objections to MAWC's proposal. Without speaking as to the Company's proposal to use
8		an AAO, DED supports MAWC's LSLR Program as a means to reduce risks to public
9		health.
10	Q.	What did you review in preparing this testimony?
11	А.	I reviewed the Direct Testimony filed by both the Company's and OPC's witnesses in
12		this case, as well as MAWC's original application for an AAO.
13	III.	PUBLIC HEALTH NEED AND DEPARTMENT INTEREST
14	Q.	What is the danger of lead in drinking water?
15	А.	The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") lists numerous risks from lead
16		exposure. In children, these include behavioral and learning problems, lower IQ, slowed
17		growth, and anemia. Pregnant women are also at risk of premature birth, and fetuses are
18		at risk of reduced growth. More generally, exposure to lead in adults can have
19		cardiovascular, kidney, and reproductive health effects. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2017, "Learn About Lead – What are the Health Effects of Lead?" <u>https://www.epa.gov/lead/learn-about-lead</u>.

Q	Is there a "safe" level of lead for children?
А.	No. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") state that, "No safe blood
	lead level in children has been identified." <sup>2</sup>
Q.	What are potential sources of exposure to lead in residences?
А.	Lead exposure at home can occur due to lead-based paint, lead dust from deteriorating
	lead-based paint or soil, disturbed lead-based paint, various household surfaces, and lead-
	containing pipes and solder. <sup>3</sup> According to the Missouri Department of Natural
	Resources, drinking water is not the primary source of potential lead exposure; <sup>4</sup> however,
	drinking water contamination should still be considered a risk if lead pipes or solder are
	present.
Q.	Are low-income customers more likely to be affected by the need for lead service
	line replacements?
А.	Yes. CDC data used by the EPA show that, between 2009 and 2012, young children from
	families with incomes below the poverty level were more likely to have higher blood lead
	levels than young children from families with incomes above the poverty level. <sup>5</sup> In St.
	Louis County, recent data showed that almost 17 percent of individuals for whom poverty
	status was determined were estimated to have incomes below 150 percent of the poverty
	А. Q. А.

<sup>2</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2017, "Lead," <u>https://www.cdc.gov/nceh/lead/</u>.

<sup>4</sup> Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Water Protection Program, 2016, "Lead in Drinking Water: Important Information on How to Protect Your Health," <u>https://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/pdwb/docs/lead-custom-f.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2017, "Protect Your Family from Exposures to Lead," <u>https://www.epa.gov/lead/protect-your-family-exposures-lead</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2015, America's Children and the Environment (ACE), "ACE:

Biomonitoring - Lead," https://www.epa.gov/ace/ace-biomonitoring-lead.

1		level. <sup>6</sup> Lead service lines are one of several potential sources of lead exposure, but the
2		replacement of these service lines reduces the overall risk faced by these customers.
3	Q.	Are customers in older housing more likely to be affected by the need for lead
4		service line replacements?
5	А.	Yes. Again, while there are multiple potential sources of lead exposure in homes, the
6	· · · · ·	EPA states that, "Homes built before 1986 are more likely to have lead pipes, fixtures
7		and solder."7 In St. Louis County, 69.3 percent of occupied housing units were built
8		before 1980. <sup>8</sup>
9	Q.	Are children's blood lead levels of concern in some of the communities served by
10		MAWC?
11	A.	Yes. For instance, county-level blood lead testing data reported to the CDC show that 414
12		
		of 16,120 children tested in St. Louis County in 2015 had blood lead levels above 5
13		of 16,120 children tested in St. Louis County in 2015 had blood lead levels above 5 micrograms per deciliter (" $\mu$ g/dL"), <sup>9</sup> the action level set by the CDC. <sup>10</sup> While lead piping
13 14		
		micrograms per deciliter (" $\mu$ g/dL"), <sup>9</sup> the action level set by the CDC. <sup>10</sup> While lead piping

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> U.S. Census Bureau, "Table S1701 – Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months," 2015 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, <u>https://factfinder.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/15\_1YR/S1701/0500000US29189</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Protect Your Family from Exposures to Lead."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> U.S. Census Bureau, "Table S2504 – Physical Housing Characteristics for Occupied Housing Units," 2015 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates,

https://factfinder.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/15\_1YR/S2504/0500000US29189. <sup>9</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2016, "Lead – State and Local Programs – Missouri Data, Statistics and Surveillance," https://www.cdc.gov/nceh/lead/data/state/modata.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Lead."

# 1 Q. Is the LSLR Program consistent with reducing potential lead exposure?

Yes. While there are numerous options to reduce lead exposure,<sup>11</sup> replacing lead pipes in 2 Α. their entirety is the surest way to eliminate that particular source of potential exposure. 3 Company witness Mr. Gary A. Naumick states that the partial replacement of a lead 4 service line, "... may in some cases result in a temporary increase in the amount of lead 5 in the drinking water,"<sup>12</sup> he also states physical disturbances from underground utility 6 work can also disturb the protective "scale" that forms inside a service line, creating a 7 lead contamination risk.<sup>13</sup> According to MAWC's application in this case, the Company 8 already finds lead service lines in the process of its work on water mains.<sup>14</sup> One of the 9 sources cited by OPC witness Dr. Geoff Marke states that replacing lead service lines in 10 full reduces the chance of lead exposure when corrosion or leaching are of concern;<sup>15</sup> 11 based on Mr. Naumick's testimony, lead contamination is also a risk with partial service 12 line replacements or service line disturbance. Therefore, coupling the LSLR Program 13 with a main replacement initiative is a reasonable, cost-effective way to reduce possible 14 15 lead exposure.

<sup>15</sup> County Health Rankings, 2017, "Lead pipe & plumbing material replacement,"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Water Protection Program, "Lead in Drinking Water: Important Information on How to Protect Your Health."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. WU-2017-0296, *In the Matter of the Application of Missouri-American Water Company for an Accounting Order Concerning MAWC's Lead Service Line Replacement Program*, Direct Testimony of Gary A. Naumick on Behalf of Missouri-American Water Company, August 1, 2017, page 8, lines 9-13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> *Ibid*, page 10, lines 4-10 and 18-21 and page 11, lines 18-21 and 1-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. WU-2017-0296, In the Matter of the Application of Missouri-American Water Company for an Accounting Order Concerning MAWC's Lead Service Line Replacement Program, Application and Motion for Waiver, May 12, 2017, page 4.

http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/policies/lead-pipe-plumbing-material-replacement. Cited in Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. WU-2017-0296, In the Matter of the Application of Missouri-American Water Company for an Accounting Order Concerning MAWC's Lead Service Line Replacement Program, Direct Testimony of Geoff Marke Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel, August 1, 2017, page 5, footnote 6.

#### Q. Is the LSLR Program consistent with the Commission's governing statutes?

Yes. Section 393.130.1, RSMo., states that, "Every gas corporation, every electrical A. corporation, every water corporation, and every sewer corporation shall furnish and provide such service instrumentalities and facilities as shall be safe and adequate and in all respects just and reasonable" (emphases added). The Company is furthering this objective through its proposal.

Q. 7

1

2

3

4

5

6

## What is DED's interest in this case?

8 DED works to create an environment that encourages economic growth by supporting A. 9 Missouri's businesses and diverse industries, strengthening the state's communities, 10 developing a talented and skilled workforce, and maintaining a high quality of life. Among DED's interests in this proceeding is ensuring that Missouri communities have 11 access to water supplies that maintain a high quality of life and support the growth of 12 13 businesses and diverse industries. Without access to safe drinking water, Missourians 14 may face increased health risks and associated medical costs, with particularly 15 problematic impacts on those least able to afford higher medical costs. Dr. Marke noted the conundrum associated with the disproportionate impacts on low-income customers of 16 both lead and higher utility rates in a recent presentation.<sup>16</sup> The ability of low-income 17 customers to afford a \$3,000 to \$5,500 lead service line replacement<sup>17</sup> is doubtful. so an 18 alternative to customer-financed replacements is needed to affordably and equitably 19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Marke, Geoff, 2017, "Lead Line Replacement: Missouri," presented at the 2017 NASUCA Mid Year Meeting, June 5, http://nasuca.org/event/2017-nasuca-mid-year-meeting/, slides 33-35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. WU-2017-0296, In the Matter of the Application of Missouri-American Water Company for an Accounting Order Concerning MAWC's Lead Service Line Replacement Program, Direct Testimony of Brian W. LaGrand on Behalf of Missouri-American Water Company, August 1, 2017, pages 4-5. lines 22-23 and 1.

1		ensure the replacement of lead service lines when they pose a threat to Missouri's		
2		citizens.		
3	Q.	Does DED support MAWC's lead service line replacement initiative?		
4	А.	Yes. MAWC's proposal will support safe and adequate service and address the needs of		
5		the communities that it serves by cost-effectively replacing lead service lines upon		
6		discovery.		
7	Q.	Does DED have a position as to the use of an AAO in this case?		
8	А.	DED does not take a position as to whether or not an AAO is appropriate in this case.		
9		However, DED supports this program based on its public health benefits and encourages		
10		its continuing, timely implementation.		
11	Q.	If the Commission rejects the use of an AAO in this case, what is DED's		
11 12	Q.	If the Commission rejects the use of an AAO in this case, what is DED's recommendation?		
	<b>Q.</b> A.			
12		recommendation?		
12 13		recommendation? If the Commission rejects the use of an AAO in this case, DED recommends that the		
12 13 14		recommendation? If the Commission rejects the use of an AAO in this case, DED recommends that the Commission and parties to this case expeditiously identify an alternative mechanism by		
12 13 14 15		recommendation? If the Commission rejects the use of an AAO in this case, DED recommends that the Commission and parties to this case expeditiously identify an alternative mechanism by which the Company can continue to offer this service to customers with a reasonable		
12 13 14 15 16		recommendation? If the Commission rejects the use of an AAO in this case, DED recommends that the Commission and parties to this case expeditiously identify an alternative mechanism by which the Company can continue to offer this service to customers with a reasonable opportunity to recover program costs. In choosing such a mechanism, DED urges the		
12 13 14 15 16 17		recommendation? If the Commission rejects the use of an AAO in this case, DED recommends that the Commission and parties to this case expeditiously identify an alternative mechanism by which the Company can continue to offer this service to customers with a reasonable opportunity to recover program costs. In choosing such a mechanism, DED urges the Commission and parties to the case to consider financing arrangements that do not		

÷

7

.

1	IV.	RESPONSE TO OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL
2	Q.	Does OPC support MAWC's proposal?
3	Α.	No. OPC witness Mr. Charles R. Hyneman submitted testimony opposing the use of an
4		AAO, <sup>18</sup> while Dr. Marke's testimony opposes the LSLR Program more generally and
5		proposes an alternative program.
6	Q.	Does Dr. Marke propose an alternative?
7	А.	Yes. He suggests a two-year pilot program limited to total funding of \$8 million, with \$4
8		million spending caps annually. <sup>19</sup>
9	Q.	Does DED believe that Dr. Marke's proposed alternative is reasonable?
10	А.	No. The proposal does not address the issue in a timely manner, which could jeopardize
11		the provision of safe and adequate service to Missouri customers, including vulnerable
12		populations such as children and pregnant women. DED is supportive of an immediate
13		response at the time of lead service line discovery during main replacement, which
14		presents a more timely and cost-effective solution.
15	Q.	Are the limitations proposed by Dr. Marke reasonable?
16	А.	No. MAWC witness Mr. Brian W. LaGrand states that the estimated costs that would be
17		deferred under the AAO could be as high as \$8.9 million, <sup>20</sup> and Dr. Marke states that the
18		Company's estimated costs per service line are too low. <sup>21</sup> These facts indicate that some
19		customers would not receive lead service line replacements under Dr. Marke's proposal,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. WU-2017-0296, In the Matter of the Application of Missouri-American Water Company for an Accounting Order Concerning MAWC's Lead Service Line Replacement Program, <sup>19</sup> WU-2017-0296, Marke Direct, page 5, lines 11-15.
 <sup>20</sup> WU-2017-0296, Marke Direct, page 5, lines 9-10.
 <sup>21</sup> WU-2017-0296, Marke Direct, page 4, lines 5-8.

1

thus jeopardizing some consumers' access to service line replacements concurrent with MAWC's main replacements.

Q. Dr. Marke also recommends that the pilot program look at various options for allocating and collecting program-related costs.<sup>22</sup> Please respond.

A. Dr. Marke's suggestion to look at, "... pricing that is ... customer-specific compared to various subsidized rates ..."<sup>23</sup> is problematic given the fact that his aforementioned presentation indicates that there are socio-economic disparities associated with the presence of lead service lines. If those least able to afford lead service line replacements are also most likely to need such replacements, it is inequitable to suggest that those customers be denied access to a needed improvement that they cannot afford. Consideration needs to be given to customers that cannot afford service line replacements without additional support.

Q. Dr. Marke further suggests that the pilot program explore alternative funding options, such as possible, "... federal funds related to future infrastructure investment."<sup>24</sup> Please respond.

A. In principle, Dr. Marke's suggestion to pursue additional funding sources and reduce
 customer costs is reasonable; in fact, Company witness Mr. Bruce W. Aiton states that
 MAWC plans to find low-cost public funding.<sup>25</sup> However, mains replacement is an
 ongoing process. Lead service lines not replaced as they are discovered will remain a

<sup>22</sup> *Ibid*, page 7, lines 10-15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> *Ibid*, lines 10-13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> *Ibid*, page 10, lines 25-27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. WU-2017-0296, In the Matter of the Application of Missouri-American Water Company for an Accounting Order Concerning MAWC's Lead Service Line Replacement Program, Direct Testimony of Bruce W. Aiton, PE on Behalf of Missouri-American Water Company, August 1, 2017, page 11, lines 2-4.

1		potential hazard and will result in duplicative costs upon later replacement. Reliand	e on
2		nebulous additional federal infrastructure investments is unlikely to address	the
3		immediate need to replace lead service lines.	
4	Q.	Does Dr. Marke raise points worth considering?	
			26

A. Yes. For example, Dr. Marke's question as to real estate and legal ramifications<sup>26</sup> is
worth exploring. However, there is no need to delay finding the answers to such
questions for two years past the conclusion of a general rate case, or to subject
homeowners to potential health hazards for that length of time in order to answer such
concerns.

# 10 V. CONCLUSIONS

- 11 Q. Please summarize your conclusions and the positions of DED.
- A. DED supports continuing MAWC's LSLR Program as a means to present customers with
  an option to reduce the health risk associated with lead service lines.
- 14 Q. Does this conclude your Rebuttal Testimony in this case?
- 15 A. Yes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> WU-2017-0296, Marke Direct, page 10, lines 1-2.

# Case Involvement of Martin R. Hyman

Case No.	Utility	Case Type	Testimony Round(s)	Issue(s)
EO-2015-0055	Ameren Missouri	MEEIA	Rebuttal, Surrebuttal,	Program modifications, settlement
			Rebuttal to Supp. Direct	
ER-2014-0370	KCP&L	Rate	Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Residential rate design, demand response rates,
			<u> </u>	Clean Charge Network
WR-2015-0301	MAWC	Rate	Direct, Rebuttal,	Rate design, demand-side efficiency
(SR 2015-0302)			Surrebuttal	
EA-2015-0256	GMO	CCN	Live	Tartan criteria
ER-2016-0023	Empire	Rate	Direct, Rebuttal,	Residential rate design, DSM
			Surrebuttal	
EM-2016-0213	Empire/Liberty	Merger	Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Energy efficiency, renewable energy, CHP,
<b>.</b>				microgrids
ER-2016-0156	GMO	Rate	Direct, Rebuttal,	Residential rate design, demand response rates,
			Surrebuttal	DSM, AMI, solar costs
EA-2016-0208	Ameren Missouri	CCN	Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Settlement
ET-2016-0246	Ameren Missouri	Tariff	Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	EV-related policy and rate design considerations
ER-2016-0285	KCP&L	Rate	Direct, Rebuttal,	Residential rate design, Commission questions,
			Surrebuttal	value of solar, EVs/Clean Charge Network, DSM
ER-2016-0179	Ameren Missouri	Rate	Direct, Rebuttal	Residential rate design, Commission questions,
				value of solar, DSM

As used above, the following terms are referred to by acronyms, abbreviations, or short-hand notation:

Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	Ameren Missouri
Automated Metering Infrastructure	AMI
Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity	CCN
Demand-Side Management	DSM
Combined Heat and Power	СНР
The Empire District Electric Company	Empire
Electric Vehicle	EV
KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company	GMO
Liberty Utilities	Liberty
Kansas City Power & Light Company	KCP&L
Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act	MEEIA
Missouri-American Water Company	MAWC

•