BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Craig Mershon,)
Complainant,)
VS.) File No. EC-2013-052
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri)
Respondent.)

ORDER SETTING TIME TO RESPOND TO PROPOSED SCHEDULE

Issue Date: October 17, 2013 Effective Date: October 17, 2013

The Missouri Public Service Commission is setting the date for Craig Mershon to file a response to the *Joint Proposed Procedural Schedule of Ameren Missouri and Staff* filed ("motion"). ¹

Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri ("Ameren") and Staff jointly filed the motion on October 17.² On that same date, Mr. Mershon informed the Secretary of the Commission that Mr. Mershon was unable to open the service copy of the motion emailed to him by counsel for Ameren. Communication with counsel for Ameren may already have remedied that problem. But, to facilitate full communication, the Commission has attached a copy of the motion to this filing. The motion includes a proposal to close discovery on October 30.

Therefore, the Commission will require Mr. Mershon to file a response to the motion.

If Mr. Mershon disagrees with the proposed schedule, his response shall propose an

_

¹ Electronic Filing and Information System No. 42,

² All dates are in 2013.

alternative schedule. Because the motion proposes to close discovery on October 30, the Commission will order that the response is due on October 28, which is the eleventh day after the filing of the motion.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:

- 1. Craig Mershon shall file a response to the *Joint Proposed Procedural Schedule* of *Ameren Missouri and Staff* as described in the body of this order no later than October 28, 2013.
 - 2. This order is effective immediately upon issuance.



BY THE COMMISSION

Morris I Woodul

Morris L. Woodruff Secretary

Daniel Jordan, Senior Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 17th day of October, 2013.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Craig Mershon,)	
	Complainant,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No: EC-2013-0521
)	
Union Electric Con	npany, d/b/a)	
Ameren Missouri,)	
	Respondent.)	

JOINT PROPOSED PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE OF AMEREN MISSOURI AND STAFF

- 1. On October 16, 2013, the Commission convened a prehearing conference in this Complaint. During the on-the-record portion of the prehearing the parties raised the issue of a scheduling order and discussed the possibility of filing a joint proposed procedural schedule.
- 2. Following the on-the-record portion of the prehearing, Complainant, Staff and Ameren Missouri discussed but were unable to agree on a proposed procedural schedule. Said parties also discussed the potential benefits of filing optional, pre-filed written testimony as a supplement to live testimony a party may present at hearing.
- 3. Ameren Missouri and Staff together propose the following schedule for the prompt resolution of the Complaint¹:

Event	Date
Close of Discovery ²	October 30, 2013

In its Notice of Contested Case and Orders for Small Formal Complaint, issued and effective June 14, 2013, the Commission ordered that this Complaint proceed under the small formal complaint process. 4 CSR 240-2.070(15)(C), regarding small formal complaint cases, suggests that such complaints be processed, "in the timeliest manner" possible.

² This Complaint was filed on June 13, 2013; in addition, in the Commission's Order Regarding Re-Filing and Supplementing Complaint issued on June 14, 2013, the Commission took official notice of the contents of Mr. Mershon's earlier action (EC-2012-0365) which was filed on May 7, 2012. Ameren Missouri and Staff believe the parties have had ample opportunity to conduct any desired discovery.

Event Date

Close of Time to File Optional Pre-Filed Written Testimony³ November 18, 2013

Evidentiary Hearing November 21, 2013

Close of Time to File Optional Post-Hearing Briefs December 5, 2013

WHEREFORE, Ameren Missouri, on behalf of itself and Staff, files this Joint Proposed Procedural Schedule for the Commission's information and consideration, and requests the Commission issue a procedural schedule that adopts the terms agreed to herein.

SMITH LEWIS, LLP

/s/Sarah E. Giboney

Sarah E. Giboney, #50299
111 South Ninth Street, Suite 200
P.O. Box 918
Columbia, MO 65205-0918
(573) 443-3141
(573) 442-6686 (Facsimile)
giboney@smithlewis.com

Attorney for Ameren Missouri

By: /s/ Wendy K. Tatro_

Wendy K. Tatro, # 60261 Corporate Counsel Ameren Services Company P.O. Box 66149 St. Louis, MO 63166-6149 (314) 554-3484 (phone) (314) 554-4014 (fax) AmerenMOService@ameren.com

³ In addition to the Optional Pre-Filed Written Testimony, any witnesses should appear in person at the evidentiary hearing and be prepared to present live testimony.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Joint Proposed Procedural Schedule of Ameren Missouri and Staff was served on the following parties via electronic mail (e-mail) or via regular mail on this 17th day of October, 2013.

Nathan Williams, Deputy Staff Counsel Jeffrey A. Keevil, Senior Staff Counsel Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Nathan.williams@psc.mo.gov Jeff.keevil@psc.mo.gov

Craig Mershon 11931 El Sabado Drive St. Louis, MO 63138 <u>craigmershon@aol.com</u>

Lewis Mills
Office Of Public Counsel
200 Madison Street, Suite 650 P.O. Box 2230
Jefferson City, MO 65102 opcservice@ded.mo.gov Lewis.mills@ded.mo.gov

/s/ Sarah E. Giboney

Sarah E. Giboney