STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 11th day of March, 2008.

In the Matter of Matrix Telecom, Inc. d/b/a Matrix Business Technologies' Tariff to Change its Name to) Matrix Telecom, Inc., d/b/a Matrix Business Technologies d/b/a Trinsic Communications

Case No. XN-2008-0198 Tariff No. JX-2008-0294

ORDER REJECTING TARIFF

)

Issue Date: March 11, 2008

Effective Date: March 21, 2008

On November 5, 2007, Matrix Telecom, Inc., submitted an interexchange tariff designed to add Trinsic Communications as a doing business entity on the tariff. The tariff, as originally filed, would have used the name Matrix Telecom, Inc., d/b/a Matrix Business Technologies, d/b/a Trinsic Communications. On November 20, Matrix Telecom filed a corrected tariff that would replace the d/b/a Matrix Business Technologies with the d/b/a Trinsic Communications. In other words, the name of the company on the tariff would now be Matrix Telecom, Inc., d/b/a Trinsic Communications. The tariff originally carried an effective date of December 5, but Matrix extended the effective date to February 4, 2008.

On January 23, the Commission's Staff filed a motion asking the Commission to suspend or reject Matrix Telecom's proposed tariff. Staff explained that Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-3.545(20) requires a company proposing a name change to "notify its customers" at or before the next billing cycle of any name change affecting customer recognition of the company and file a copy of that notice with the adoption notice." Staff indicated it informed Matrix Telecom of the notice requirement, but Matrix did not file a copy of that notice. Based on Matrix Telecom's failure to comply with the customer notice requirement of the regulation, Staff asked the Commission to either suspend or reject the tariff.

On January 23, the Commission ordered that any party wishing to respond to Staff's Motion to Suspend or Reject Tariff do so no later than January 28. Matrix Telecom did not responded to Staff's motion.

Based on Staff's unopposed motion, the Commission found that Matrix Telecom has not complied with the customer notice requirement of the Commission's regulation. Therefore, on January 31, the Commission suspended the tariff for 60 days to prevent it from going into effect. The Commission did not immediately reject the tariff to allow Matrix Telecom more time to demonstrate compliance with the customer notice requirement of the Commission's regulation. So that it would be aware of developments regarding this tariff, the Commission ordered Staff to file a status report no later than March 4.

In its status report, filed on March 4, Staff indicated Matrix Telecom has not made any filings in response to the Commission's order suspending the tariff. As a result, Staff renews its request that the Commission reject Matrix Telecom's tariff.

Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-3.545(20) requires that a telecommunication company wishing to change its name notify its customers of the name change and file a copy of that notice with its tariff that would implement that name change. A review of the file indicates Matrix Telecom has not filed a copy of its customer notice and therefore has not complied with that requirement. Despite the suspension of its tariff, Matrix Telecom has made no further effort to comply. Under these circumstances, the Commission must reject Matrix Telecom's tariff.

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The tariff issued on November 5, 2007, by Matrix Telecom, Inc., d/b/a Trinsic

2

Communications, and assigned Tariff No. JX-2008-0294, as corrected, previously

suspended until April 4, 2008, is rejected. The tariff rejected is:

<u> Missouri PSC – Tariff No 7</u>

Cancels and Replaces Missouri PSC –Tariff No. 6 filed by Matrix Business Technologies

2. This order shall become effective on March 21, 2008

BY THE COMMISSION

Colleen M. Dale Secretary

(SEAL)

Davis, Chm., Murray, Clayton, Appling, and Jarrett, CC., concur.

Woodruff, Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge