

**STATE OF MISSOURI
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

At a session of the Public Service
Commission held at its office in
Jefferson City on the 19th day
of April, 2005.

Timothy D. Tipton, doing business as)	
Tipton Law Office,)	
)	
Complainant,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
Union Electric Company, doing)	
business as AmerenUE,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

Case No. EC-2005-0241

ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT

On January 24, 2005, Timothy D. Tipton, doing business as Tipton Law Office, filed his Complaint against Union Electric Company, doing business as AmerenUE. At the time, the Secretary of the Commission advised Complainant by letter that his Complaint was insufficient.

On March 16, the Commission issued its Order Directing Filing. That Order explained in detail the deficiencies of the Complaint. It cited Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.070(5), which provides:

(5) The formal complaint shall contain the following information:

(A) The name, street address, signature, telephone number, facsimile number and electronic mail address, where applicable, of each complainant and, if different, the address where the subject utility service was rendered;

(B) The name and address of the person, corporation or

public utility against whom the complaint is being filed;

(C) The nature of the complaint and the complainant's interest in the complaint, in a clear and concise manner;

(D) The relief requested;

(E) A statement as to whether the complainant has directly contacted the person, corporation or public utility about which complaint is being made;

(F) The jurisdiction of the commission over the subject matter of the complaint; and

(G) If the complainant is an association, a list of all its members.

It went on to point out that the Complaint failed to comply with paragraph A, D, E, and F of the above-cited rule. It set a deadline of March 26, 2005, for action by Complainant and warned, "the Complainant shall either amend or supplement his Complaint to meet the minimum requirements of this Commission's rules, or the same may be dismissed."

March 26, the appointed date, has passed, yet Complainant has not responded in any fashion to the Commission's Order. Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.116(3) provides that, "(3) A party may be dismissed from a case for failure to comply with any order issued by the commission" The Commission finds that Complainant has not complied with its Order of March 16, 2005.

For this reason, the Complaint will be dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That the Complaint filed by Timothy D. Tipton, doing business as Tipton Law Office, on January 24, 2005, is hereby dismissed.

2. That this order shall become effective on April 29, 2005.

BY THE COMMISSION

Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(S E A L)

Davis, Chm., Murray, Gaw,
and Appling, CC., concur.
Clayton, C., absent.

Thompson, Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge