

*Exhibit No.:*  
*Issues:* *Rate Design*  
*Class Cost-of-Service*  
*Witness:* *Sarah L. Kliethermes*  
*Sponsoring Party:* *MO PSC Staff*  
*Type of Exhibit:* *Direct Testimony*  
*Case No.:* *ER-2016-0023*  
*Date Testimony Prepared:* *April 8, 2016*

**MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**SARAH L. KLIETHERMES**

**THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY**

**CASE NO. ER-2016-0023**

*Jefferson City, Missouri*  
*April 2016*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

**TABLE OF CONTENTS OF  
DIRECT TESTIMONY  
OF  
SARAH L. KLIETHERMES  
THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY  
CASE NO. ER-2016-0023**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY..... 1  
RATE DESIGN AND CLASS COST-OF-SERVICE..... 2



1 Q. What is basis of the costs Staff allocated by Staff's CCOS study?

2 A. Staff filed its *Revenue Requirement Report* on March 25, 2016. Staff's  
3 recommended revenue requirement for Empire is \$20,913,732 based on the midpoint of the  
4 return on equity (ROE) range of 9.25% to 9.75%, and including an estimate for changes  
5 expected through the true-up date of March 31, 2016.<sup>1</sup>

6 For purposes of its class cost of service study ("Study") and corresponding rate design  
7 recommendations, Staff relied on these valuations provided in Staff's *Revenue Requirement*  
8 *Report*.<sup>2</sup>

9 **RATE DESIGN AND CLASS COST-OF-SERVICE**

10 Q. What are Staff's rate design recommendations to the Commission for Empire  
11 in this case?

12 A. As explained in its *CCOS Report*, Staff recommends that the allocation of any  
13 ordered rate increase for Empire occur using a five-step process:<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> As part of this case, Empire is requesting recognition in rate base of the conversion of its Riverton Unit 12 Combustion Turbine ("CT") unit into a Combined Cycle ("CC") unit with a Heat Recovery Steam Generator ("HRSG").

<sup>2</sup> Staff's *CCOS Study* and rate design recommendation are based on a scenario that assumes the operation of the Riverton 12 HRSG. Staff has also calculated production allocators for a scenario under which continued operation of Riverton 12 as a CT is assumed.

<sup>3</sup> Empire has twelve (12) active service classifications. The active service classifications are (1) residential service schedule RG ("RG"), (2) commercial service schedule CB ("CB"), (3) small heating service schedule SH ("SH"), (4) general power service schedule GP ("GP"), (5) Special Transmission service contract: Praxair schedule SC-P ("SC-P"), (6) total electric building service schedule TEB ("TEB"), (7) feed mill and grain elevator service schedule PFM ("PFM"), (8) large power service schedule LP ("LP"), (9) miscellaneous service schedule MS ("MS"), (10) municipal street lighting service schedule SPL ("SPL"), (11) private lighting service schedule PL ("PL"), and (12) special lighting service schedule LS ("LS"). Staff combined the MS, SPL, PL, and the LS rate classifications for purposes of its CCOS study because rate schedules pertain to lighting and miscellaneous functions. Staff used Empire's rate schedules for the customer classes in its CCOS study. However, Staff combined the MS, SPL, PL, and the LS rate classifications for purposes of its CCOS study because these rate classifications pertain to street and outdoor lighting functions. This consolidation resulted in Staff's nine customer classes. The nine customer classes are (1) RG, (2) CB, (3) SH, (4) GP, (5) SC-P, (6) TEB, (7) PFM, (8) LP, and (9) lighting service. For each of these nine customer classes, Staff determined Empire's investment to serve the customers in that customer class and Empire's ongoing expenses to serve the customers in that customer class.

- 1           1. Based on Staff's CCOS results at the studied revenue requirement,  
2           Staff recommends a revenue neutral shift in revenue responsibility from the  
3           General Power ("GP") class to the Residential class. "Revenue neutral"  
4           means that the revenue shifts among classes do not change the utility's  
5           total system revenues. Specifically, Staff recommends the Residential  
6           class's revenue responsibility be increased by \$3,855,000 at Staff's  
7           recommended revenue requirement, with a reduction to the GP class's  
8           revenue responsibility of \$3,855,000.<sup>4</sup>
  
- 9           2. Staff allocates the portion of the revenue increase/decrease that is  
10          attributable to energy efficiency ("EE") programs from Pre-MEEIA  
11          ("Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act") program costs to applicable  
12          classes based on that class's level of kWh less opt-out customers.<sup>5</sup>
  
- 13          3. Staff determined the amount of revenue increase awarded to Empire not  
14          associated with the EE revenue from Pre-MEEIA revenue requirement  
15          assigned in Step 2, by subtracting the total amount in Step 2 from the total  
16          increase awarded to Empire. Staff recommends allocating this amount to  
17          various customer classes as an equal percent of current base revenues after  
18          making the adjustment in Step 1. Based on Study results, Staff  
19          recommends that the PFM and combined lighting classes receive no retail  
20          increase as existing revenues received from these classes are providing  
21          more revenue to Empire than Empire's cost to serve.
  
- 22          4. Staff recommends the Residential Customer Charge be set at \$15.00. This is  
23          a \$2.48 increase in the customer charge and since it is above the system  
24          average increase, the applicable energy charges will have a below system  
25          average increase. With that exception, Staff generally recommends that  
26          each rate component of each class increase across-the-board for each class  
27          on an equal percentage basis after consideration of steps 1 through 4 above.  
28          Staff also recommends minor clean-up adjustments to return consistency to  
29          charges that have become slightly misaligned.
  
- 30          5. Adopt Rider Fuel and Purchased Power Adjustment Clause ("FAC") tariff  
31          sheets consistent with Staff *CCOS Report*.

32           Q.     Is Staff recommending that each class have its revenue responsibility shifted to  
33           exactly equal its cost of service as determined through Staff's Study?

---

<sup>4</sup> Expressed as percentages, this is a 1.85% revenue neutral increase to the Residential class, and a 4.31% reduction to the GP class.

<sup>5</sup> The Pre-MEEIA program costs consist of the program costs for increases/decreases in the revenue requirement associated with the amortization of Pre-MEEIA program costs.

1           A.     No. Because of the relative rate impacts and the general imprecision of any  
2 class cost of service study, Staff is not recommending a movement all the way to each class's  
3 Study-determined cost of service. Staff's recommended adjustments bring certain classes  
4 closer to the cost of serving them at an equalized rate of return, while giving due  
5 consideration to rate continuity, rate stability, revenue stability, public policy, meeting of  
6 incremental costs, and minimizing rate shifts and rate shock. Staff's recommendations for  
7 shifts in the class-revenue requirements rely on its Study results, Staff's review of Empire's  
8 revenue-neutral adjustments in previous general rate increases, and Staff's judgment  
9 regarding the impact and reasonableness of revenue shifts for all classes.

10           Q.     What factors should be kept in mind in evaluating the reasonableness of  
11 interclass shifts?

12           A.     In the course of making interclass shifts, Staff recommends the Commission be  
13 mindful of a number of things:

- 14           1. In a general rate case resulting in an increase in a utility's overall revenue  
15 requirement, Staff is reluctant to recommend reducing any class's rates  
16 while the overall revenue requirement is increasing.
- 17           2. CCOS studies should serve as a guide to setting revenue requirements and  
18 are not precise. For example, CCOS studies are based on a direct-filed  
19 revenue requirement, and the allocation of that revenue requirement among  
20 specific accounts, using a specific rate of return. Unless the Commission  
21 approves that exact set of Accounting Schedules as well as the direct-filed  
22 billing determinants in setting the revenue requirement in a particular case,  
23 there is an inherent disconnect between the CCOS study results used in  
24 providing a party's class cost of service and rate design recommendations,  
25 and the actual class cost of service that would result at the conclusion of a  
26 case.
- 27           3. Consideration of policy, such as rate continuity, rate stability, revenue  
28 stability, minimization of rate shock to any one-customer class, meeting of  
29 incremental costs, and consideration of promotional practices are also taken  
30 into account in Staff's ultimate recommendation of Empire class revenue  
31 recovery through rate design. Staff endeavors to provide methods to

1 implement in rates any Commission-ordered overall change in customer  
2 revenue responsibility promoting revenue stability and efficiency. Staff  
3 must also balance this, to the extent possible, retaining existing rate  
4 schedules, rate structures, and important features of the current rate design  
5 that reduce the number of customers that switch rates looking for the lowest  
6 bill, and mitigate the potential for rate shock. Rate schedules should be  
7 understood by all parties, customers, and the utility as to proper application  
8 and interpretation.

9 4. Staff endeavors to provide the Commission with a rate design  
10 recommendation based on each customer class's relative cost-of-service  
11 responsibility and yield the total revenue requirement to all classes in a fair  
12 manner avoiding undue discrimination, including methods to recover both  
13 fixed and variable costs in a timely manner. This ensures Empire receives  
14 an amount above its marginal costs on sales of electricity, and each class is  
15 providing a contribution to cover fixed costs.

16 5. In providing its rate design recommendation, Staff will recommend  
17 revenue-neutral shifts so that once the rate increase has been applied, a  
18 given class does not underpay by greater than 5% of its revenue  
19 requirement while another class or classes overpay by greater than 5% of its  
20 revenue requirement.

21 Q. What are Staff's Study results?

22 A. Staff's Study indicates that each class is providing the indicated rates of return  
23 on the investment Empire has made to provide service to that class:

	Start % over/under contribution	% Change to Class Revenue to Exactly Match Cost of Service	Start RoR
Residential	-10.23%	11.23%	3.94%
Commercial Service	0.10%	-0.09%	7.52%
Small Heating	-6.79%	7.18%	5.19%
Electric Building	-4.29%	4.41%	6.06%
General Power	6.39%	-5.91%	9.81%
Large Power	-4.35%	4.46%	5.89%
Special Contract	-5.73%	5.94%	5.30%
Feed Mill	27.71%	-21.52%	18.36%
Lighting	49.68%	-33.19%	22.64%

1 Q. What do the signs on the above percentages indicate?

2 A. A positive contribution percent indicates that revenue from the customer class  
3 exceeds the cost of providing service to that class at an equalized rate of return. Thus, to  
4 equalize revenues and cost of service, rate revenues would be reduced, meaning that the class  
5 is over-contributing to the utility's return. A negative contribution percent indicates revenue  
6 from the class is less than the cost of providing service to that class at an equal rate of return;  
7 therefore, to equalize revenues and cost of service, rate revenues would be increased, i.e., the  
8 class is under-contributing to the rate of return. In rare instances, a class will fail to provide  
9 revenues sufficient to match the non-capital-related expenses assigned and allocated to that  
10 class. In those instances, a class will provide a negative rate of return. If a class fails to  
11 provide revenues sufficient to meet variable expenses, that is properly known as a "subsidy."

12 Q. Are any classes failing to produce a positive rate of return on current rates?

13 A. No.

14 Q. What rates of return will each class produce after Staff's recommended  
15 interclass shifts?

16 A. After reducing the GP revenue requirement and increasing the Residential  
17 revenue requirement on a revenue neutral basis, and increasing customer classes to collect the  
18 additional revenue requirement as discussed above, the below graph provides the resulting  
19 rates of return per class:



Direct Testimony of  
Sarah L. Kliethermes

	Start % over/under contribution	Revenue Responsibility Shift	Retail Increase + Energy Efficiency	End % over/under contribution	End RoR	% Increase
Residential	-10.23%	\$3,855,000	\$ 9,954,656	-4.28%	6.00%	6.62%
Commercial Service	0.10%	\$0	\$ 2,015,241	4.78%	9.33%	4.68%
Small Heating	-6.79%	\$0	\$ 488,472	-2.41%	6.67%	4.69%
Electric Building	-4.29%	\$0	\$ 1,757,242	0.21%	7.55%	4.70%
General Power	6.39%	-\$3,855,000	\$ 4,022,688	6.59%	9.89%	0.19%
Large Power	-4.35%	\$0	\$ 2,476,860	0.07%	7.51%	4.62%
Special Contract	-5.73%	\$0	\$ 198,487	-1.40%	6.95%	4.59%
Feed Mill	27.71%	\$0	\$ 87	27.80%	18.39%	0.08%
Lighting	49.68%	\$0	\$ -	49.68%	22.64%	0.00%

Q. If additional investment at Riverton 12 is not added to Empire's rate base, what interclass shifts are appropriate?

A. None at this time.

Q. Are Staff's CCOS Study, rate design recommendation, Fuel and Purchased Power Clause recommendation, and other tariff recommendations fully described by the relevant expert witnesses in the Staff's *CCOS Report*?

A. Yes.

Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

A. Yes.

