Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Trackers Witness: Kimberly K. Bolin Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Case Nos.: ER-2022-0129 and ER-2022-0130 Date Testimony Prepared: July 13, 2022

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FINANCIAL AND BUSINESS ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

KIMBERLY K. BOLIN

Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro Case No. ER-2022-0129

Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West Case No. ER-2022-0130

> Jefferson City, Missouri July 2022

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
3	OF
4	KIMBERLY K. BOLIN
5 6	Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro Case No. ER-2022-0129
7 8	Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West Case No. ER-2022-0130
9	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY1
10	TRACKER PROPOSALS1

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2	OF			
3		KIMBERLY K. BOLIN		
4 5]	EVERGY METRO, INC. D/B/A EVERGY MISSOURI METRO CASE NO. ER-2022-0129		
6 7	EVERGY MISSOURI WEST, INC. D/B/A EVERGY MISSOURI WEST CASE NO. ER-2022-0130			
8	Q.	Please state your name and business address.		
9	А.	My name is Kimberly K. Bolin. My business address is P. O. Box 360,		
10	Suite 440, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.			
11	Q.	Are you the same Kimberly Bolin that wrote Direct testimony in this case that		
12	was filed on June 8, 2022?			
13	А.	Yes, I am.		
14	EXECUTIV	<u>E SUMMARY</u>		
15	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?		
16	А.	In this testimony, I address from a policy perspective the proposals made by		
17	Evergy Metro	o and Evergy West to establish a bad debt (net write-offs) tracker.		
18	TRACKER PROPOSALS			
19	Q.	What is a "tracker"?		
20	А.	The term "tracker" refers to a rate mechanism under which the amount of a		
21	particular cost of service item actually incurred by a utility is "tracked" and compared to the			
22	amount of t	hat item currently included in a utility's rate levels. Any over-recovery or		

under-recovery of the item in rates compared to the actual expenditures made by the utility is
 then booked to a regulatory asset or regulatory liability account, and would be eligible to
 be included in the utility's rates set in its next general rate proceeding through an amortization
 to expense.

5 Q. Should use of trackers be a common occurrence in Missouri rate regulation
6 of utilities?

7 A. No. Rates are normally set in Missouri to allow a utility an opportunity to 8 recover its cost of service, measured as a whole, on an ongoing basis from the utility's 9 customers. However, under this approach, with rare exceptions, neither utilities nor utility 10 customers are allowed to be reimbursed through the rate case process for any prior under or 11 over-recovery of costs experienced by the utility in rates, either measured for its cost of service 12 as a whole or for individual cost of service components. For this reason, use of trackers in order 13 to provide reimbursement in rates to utilities or customers of any over or under-recovery of 14 individual rate component items is rare and should be dependent on unique and 15 unusual circumstances.

16

Q.

Under what criteria might Staff consider the use of trackers is justified?

A. Use of trackers may be justified under the following circumstances: (1) when
the applicable costs demonstrate significant fluctuation and up-and-down volatility over time,
and for which accurate estimation is difficult; (2) new costs for which there is little or
no historical experience, and for which accurate estimation is accordingly difficult;
and (3) costs imposed upon utilities by newly promulgated Commission rules. In addition, the
costs should be material in nature.

Q. Why are trackers sometimes justified by significantly fluctuating and
 volatile costs?
 A. If a utility's cost levels for a particular rate item over time demonstrate
 significant up-and-down volatility, it can be appropriate to implement a tracker mechanism for

this type of item to reduce the amount of risk associated with a material inaccuracy in estimating
the particular cost for purposes of setting the utility's rates.

Q. What is an example the Commission authorizing a tracker for a volatile cost in8 the past?

A. All major utilities operating in Missouri, including Evergy Metro and
Evergy West, have tracker mechanisms in place for their pension and other post-employment
benefit (OPEB) expenses. Annual pension and OPEB expense amounts have at times in the past
had significant annual volatility, primarily because pension and OPEB funding amounts are
impacted by investment outcomes in equity and debt markets, which, of course, can swing
upward or downward based upon trends in the general economy.

15

16

Q. Are there other unusual aspects to pension and OPEB expense that justify using a tracker mechanism?

A. Yes. In Missouri, utilities place amounts intended for later payment to retired employees for pension and OPEBs into external trust funds to help ensure that such funds are available when due to utility employees. Staff believes it is good policy for utilities to keep as current as possible on funding of pension and OPEB amounts. In this request, Staff believes that authorizing tracker mechanisms for these expense items encourages utilities to stay current on pension and OPEB expense allowances currently included in their rate levels. Of course if pension or funding amounts turn out to be less than the amounts for these items currently

Q.

included in a utility's rate level, use of trackers also ensure that the funding/rate differential
 would ultimately be flowed back to its customers.

3 Q. Does Staff continue to recommend that the Commission authorize Evergy Metro
4 and Evergy West's pension and OPEB trackers?

A. Yes. Continued authorization of these trackers remains appropriate for Evergy
Metro, Evergy West and other utilities that offer pension and OPEB benefits to their employees.

7

Are there other instances where trackers may be justified?

A. In rare circumstances, utilities will incur significant new expense for which they
have little or no history to aid in determining an appropriate ongoing level for those expenses
for setting rates. In those circumstances, it may be appropriate to authorize a tracker to protect
both the utility and its customers from over-or-under-recovery in rates of these expenses due to
erroneous estimates.

13

14

15

16

17

Q. Has Staff agreed to the use of a tracker for this reason?

A. Yes, in several electric utility rate cases when a new generating unit goes into service, Staff has agreed to a tracker applicable to the O&M expenses associated with the plant, given the lack of history for these expenses. However, after several years of operation, Staff recommends discontinuation of the tracker when adequate history of these expenses is known.

18

Q.

Are there any other instances where the Commission has used trackers?

A. In some circumstances, the Commission has established, within the rules it
promulgates, provisions for tracking and recovery of incremental costs caused by utility
compliance with new rules. This was the case with the Commission rules requiring electric
utilities to take certain actions regarding vegetation management and infrastructure inspection
activities, which became effective in 2008.

Q. Was a new law recently passed in Missouri that allows utilities to track property
taxes paid versus property taxes collected in rates?

A. Yes. Senate Bill 745 was signed by Governor Parsons on June 29, 2022 that
allows a utility to track the amount of property taxes paid versus the amount of property taxes
used to set rates in the most recently completed general rate case.

6

Q. Are cost deferrals resulting from use of trackers any different from cost deferrals resulting from an accounting authority order (AAO)?

7

A. Yes. In Missouri, when someone refers to an AAO, it is understood that person is referring to a Commission order that allows a utility to defer certain costs on its balance sheet for potential recovery of the deferred costs in rates through amortizations to expense in a general rate proceeding. This is similar to how deferrals resulting from trackers may be treated in general rate proceedings. However, the nature of the costs to which AAOs are normally granted, and the nature of the costs to which tracking treatment is normally granted, are quite different.

14 Q. Would you explain the major differences in how AAOs and trackers have been15 used in Missouri?

A. Typically, AAOs have been used to allow utilities to capture certain
unanticipated and "extraordinary" costs that are not included in their ongoing rate levels.
The term "extraordinary costs" are defined as costs associated with an event that is unusual,
unique and non-recurring in nature. The classic example of an extraordinary event is the
occurrence of a natural disaster, such as a wind or ice storm, or major flood that affects a
utility's service territory.

In contrast, trackers have been used in Missouri to track certain costs that are
ongoing to a utility and for which some allowance has been built into the company's existing

rate levels. For this reason, while costs subject to trackers exhibit some highly usual or unique
 attributes which justify the use of a tracker, these costs are not "extraordinary" in the sense that
 this term is commonly applied to costs covered by AAOs.

4 Q. If the use of trackers has not been limited to truly extraordinary costs, then why
5 not track all or most costs?

A. There are at least two reasons. First, excessive use of trackers would tend to
skew ratemaking results either in favor of the utility or in favor of its customers.
Secondly, broad use of trackers would not provide the incentives a utility has to operate as
efficiently and productively under the rate regulation approach used in Missouri.

10 Q. Why would the widespread use of trackers tend to skew the ratemaking results11 for a utility?

A. With certain exceptions, the policy in Missouri has been to set a utility's rates based upon measurement of "all relevant factors," taking into account levels of revenues, expenses, rate base and rate of return that are calculated at or approximately at the same point in time. Use of an "all relevant factors" approach is necessary to ensure that a utility's rate levels are based upon an accurate measurement of its cost of service at a particular point in time.

When using trackers as part of setting rates, certain cost factors inevitably receive different and inconsistent treatment compared to other cost factors. For example, if a utility tracks expenses that tend to increase in amount over time, but does not track cost of service factors that may reduce its cost of service (factors such as revenue growth, or increases in rate base offsets for accumulated depreciation or deferred taxes), the utility will have the potential of receiving retroactive dollar-for-dollar recovery of certain cost increases in its customer rates through the operation of its trackers, while pocketing for itself any beneficial changes in other cost of service components that occur over the same period. In this manner,
 inappropriate use of trackers can lead to skewed and unfair ratemaking results.

3

Q. How do trackers affect a utility's incentive to operate efficiently?

A. An inevitable byproduct of the Missouri ratemaking approach is
"regulatory lag." "Regulatory lag" is simply the passage of time between when a utility
experiences a change in the cost of service, and the reflection of that change in its rate levels.
While regulatory lag is often portrayed by utilities as a phenomenon that is entirely negative or
harmful, the existence of regulatory lag does provide utilities with incentive to be as efficient
and cost-effective over time as they can. Excessive use of trackers can serve to eliminate or
weaken these beneficial incentives.

11 Q. Does regulatory lag affect the earnings of a utility between general12 rate proceedings?

13 A. Yes. The operation of regulatory lag as part of the normal ratemaking process 14 exposes a utility to the prospect of lower earnings if its cost of service increases between general 15 rate proceedings. However, it also allows the utility to experience higher earnings if the utility 16 is able to reduce its cost of service that was established in the most current rate proceeding. 17 This "penalty/reward" aspect of current Missouri ratemaking policy would be damaged by use 18 of trackers if applied to normal cost of service items. A company that experiences an increase 19 in an expense that is being tracked will experience no reduction in earnings related to that 20 increased costs (because the cost increase will be captured on its balance sheet and not on its 21 income statement) and, therefore, the utility will have less incentive to attempt to minimize any 22 such cost increase. On the other hand, a utility that experiences a reduction in an expense that 23 is being tracked will experience no increase to its ongoing earnings level as a result of the

1	decreased costs (again, because the cost decrease will be captured on its balance sheet and not			
2	on its income statement) and, therefore, would have less incentive to produce the lower cost			
3	levels in the first place.			
4	Q.	What is Evergy Metro and Evergy West seeking to track?		
5	А.	Both are seeking to track bad debt expense.		
6	Q.	Is it generally appropriate to track bad debt expense?		
7	А.	No. Bad debt expense is an ongoing cost of service item that is incurred by all		
8	major utilities	. Bad debt expense has been a component of utility cost of service in all general		
9	rate cases I have been involved with for over 25 years.			
10	Q.	What ratemaking treatment does Staff recommend for the bad debt expense in		
11	this case?			
12	А.	Staff calculated a bad debt expense ratio by examining using the billed revenues,		
13	net of gross red	ceipt taxes, for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2021, and the actual		
14	12-month history of billed revenues that were never collected (net write-offs) for the twelve			
15	months ended June 30, 2021. This ratio was then applied to Staff's adjusted weather			
16	normalized lev	vel of retail revenues to obtain the annualized level of bad debt expense.		
17	Q.	Is Staff recommending reexamining bad debt expense as part of its true-up audit		
18	in this case?			
19	А.	Yes.		
20	Q.	What level of bad debt expense does Staff recommend in this case?		
21	А.	Staff recommends a bad debt expense level of \$4,175,945 for Evergy Metro		
22	and \$2,654,84	4 for Evergy West.		
23	Q.	Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?		

1

A. Yes.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy)	
Missouri Metro's Request for Authority to)	Case No. ER-2022-0129
Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric)	
Service)	
In the Matter of Evergy Missouri West, Inc.)	
d/b/a Evergy Missouri West's Request for)	Case No. ER-2022-0130
Authority to Implement a General Rate)	540 1
Increase for Electric Service)	

AFFIDAVIT OF KIMBERLY K. BOLIN

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS.
COUNTY OF COLE)	

COMES NOW KIMBERLY K. BOLIN and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony of Kimberly K. Bolin; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this ______ day of July, 2022.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025 Commission Number: 12412070

Auzullankin Ootary Public