FILED March 4, 2010 **Data Center** Missouri Public **Service Commission**



Robin Carnahan Secretary of State

Administrative Rules Division Rulemaking Transmittal Receipt

Rule ID: 12601

Date Printed: 3/2/2011

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-2.025

Rulemaking Type: Proposed Rule
Date Submitted to Administrative Rules Division: 3/2/2011

Date Submitted to Joint Committee on Administrative Rules: 3/2/2011

Name of Person to Contact with questions concerning this rule:

Content: Nancy Dippell

Phone: 1-4393

Email: nancy.dippell@psc.mo.gov

Fax:

RuleDataEntry:

Phone:

Email:

Fax:

Included with Rulemaking:

Cover Letter

Affidavit for public cost

3/02/2011

3/02/2011

Close Print

Robin Carnahan

Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division

RULE TRANSMITTAL

Administrative Rules Stamp

RECEIVED

MAR 0 2 2011

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Rule Number 4 CSR 24	0-2.025	
Use a "SEPARATE" rule	transmittal sheet for EACH in	dividual rulemaking.
Name of person to call wi	th questions about this rule:	
Content Nancy Dippell	Phone 573-751-43	93 FAX
Email address Nancy.dip	opell@psc.mo.gov	
Data Entry same	Phone	FAX
Email address		
Interagency mailing addre	ss Public Service Commiss	ion, 9 th Fl, Gov.Ofc Bldg, JC, MO
TYPE OF RULEMAKING	ACTION TO BE TAKEN	
Emergency rulemaking	, include effective date	
Proposed Rulemaking		
— · ·	Action Notice In Addi	tion Rule Under Consideration
Order of Rulemaking		
Effective Date for the Ord	er	
Statutory 30 days OR	Specific date	
	king contain changes to the ru	
YES—LIST THE SEC	CTIONS WITH CHANGES, i	ncluding any deleted rule text:

Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board (DED) Stamp

SMALL BUSINESS REGULATORY FAIRNESS BOARD

MAR 02 7611

RECEIVED

JCAR Stamp

JOINT COMMITTEE ON

MAR 0 2 2011

ADMINISTRATIVE COLEG



Commissioners

KEVIN GUNN Chairman

Missouri Public Service Commission

ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

JEFF DAVIS

TERRY M. JARRETT

ROBERT S. KENNEY

POST OFFICE BOX 360
JEFFERSON CITY MISSOURI 65102
573-751-3234
573-751-1847 (Fax Number)
http://www.psc.mo.gov

WESS A. HENDERSON Executive Director

VACANT Director, Administration and Regulatory Policy

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

NATELLE DIETRICH Director, Utility Operations

STEVEN C. REED Secretary/General Counsel

KEVIN A. THOMPSON Chief Staff Counsel

March 2, 2011

Robin Carnahan Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-2.025 Commission Address and Business Hours

Dear Secretary Carnahan,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rulemaking lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed rulemaking will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Public Service Commission further certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo 2000, that the proposed rulemaking does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law, and that the proposed rulemaking conforms to the requirements of 1.310, RSMo, regarding user fees.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby also certifies that this proposed rulemaking complies with the small business requirements of 1.310, RSMo, in that it does not have an adverse impact on small businesses consisting of fewer than twenty-five full or part-time employees or it is necessary to protect the life, health, or safety of the public, or that this rulemaking complies with 1.310, RSMo, by exempting any small business consisting of fewer than twenty-five full or part-time employees from its coverage, by implementing a federal mandate, or by implementing a federal program administered by the state or an act of the general assembly.

Statutory Authority: section 386.410, RSMo 2000

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed rulemaking, please contact me at the address and number below.

Sincerely,

Nancy Dippell, Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Missouri Public Service Commission

200 Madison Street

P.O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102

(573) 751-4393

Nancy.dippell@psc.mo.gov

Enclosure

AFFIDAVIT

PUBLIC COST

STATE OF MISSOURI)
)
COUNTY OF COLE)

I, David Kerr, Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn, on my oath, state that it is my opinion that the cost of proposed amendment, 4 CSR 240-2.025, is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

David Kerr Director

Department of Economic Development

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 18th day of 201, I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of Cole, State of Missouri, and my commission expires on 12 74 201

Notary Public

ANNETTE KEHNER
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: July 17, 2011
Commission Number: 07492656

Title 4--DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Division 240--Public Service Commission MAR 0 2 2011 Chapter 2--Practice and Procedure

RECEIVED n MAR 0 2 2011 SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

PROPOSED RULE

4 CSR 240-2.025 Commission Address and Business Hours Purpose: This rule provides the physical and mailing address, as well as the hours of business for the Public Service Commission.

- (1) The Public Service Commission's principal office is located in the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
- (2) The public may obtain information, make requests, or make submissions by mail addressed to the Secretary of the Commission, Missouri Public Service Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102, electronically at the commission's Internet website, or in person at the commission's principal office during regular business hours.
- (3) The regular business hours of the Missouri Public Service Commission are Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. except on state holidays when the offices are closed.

AUTHORITY: section 386.410, RSMo 2000. Original rule filed.

PUBLIC ENTITY COST: This proposed amendment will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than \$500 in the aggregate.

PRIVATE ENTITY COST: This proposed amendment will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING: Anyone may file comments in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Steven C. Reed, Secretary of the Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received at the Commission's offices no later than May 16, 2011, and should include a reference to Commission Case No. AX-2011-0094. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using the Commission's electronic filing and information system at http://www.psc.mo.gov/case-filing- information. A public hearing regarding this proposed amendment is scheduled for May 19, 2011, at 10:00 a.m., in Room 310 of the commission's offices in the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public

JOINT COMMITTEE ON

MAR 0 2-2011

ADMINISTRATIVE FULES

Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 (voice) or Relay Missouri at 711.

Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: 1-27-11

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-2.025 Commission Address

Name of Agency Preparing Statement: Public Service Commission

Name of Person Preparing Statement: Nancy Dippell

Phone Number: 573-751-4393 Email: nancy.dippell@psc.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement: Morris Woodruff, Chief Regulatory

Law Judge, Public Service Commission

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses: The rule provides the current physical and mailing addresses for the agency. The Commission held a roundtable discussion on November 30, 2010, and invited the public to comment informally on the draft of the proposed rules. The Commission subsequently incorporated some changes from that roundtable into the rules for clarity and ease of use.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

The Commission held a roundtable discussion on November 30, 2010, and invited the public to comment informally on the draft of the proposed rules. The Commission subsequently incorporated some changes from that roundtable into the rules for clarity and ease of use.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

There is no monetary cost to the agency or other agency for this procedural rule. There are no fees imposed by this rule.

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

None. There is no adverse impact. The rule provides the current physical and mailing addresses for the agency.

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

None. The rule provides the current physical and mailing addresses for the agency.

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

All utilities, large and small, and all ratepayers, residential or commercial, will benefit from having the current physical and mailing addresses of the agency easily accessible.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent that
those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county
standards?

Yes No	Х	
--------	---	--

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.