FILED
March 4, 2011
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission



Robin Carnahan Secretary of State

Administrative Rules Division Rulemaking Transmittal Receipt

Rule ID: 12618

Date Printed: 3/2/2011

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-2.160

Rulemaking Type: Proposed Amendment

Date Submitted to Administrative Rules Division: 3/2/2011

Date Submitted to Joint Committee on Administrative Rules: 3/2/2011

Name of Person to Contact with questions concerning this rule:

Content: Nancy Dippelll

Phone: 1-4393

Email: Nancy.dippell@psc.mo.gov

Fax: na

RuleDataEntry:

Phone:

Email:

Fax:

Included with Rulemaking:

Cover Letter

Affidavit for public cost

3/02/2011

3/02/2011

Print Close

Robin Carnahan

Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division

RULE TRANSMITTAL

Administrative Rules Stamp

RECEIVED

MAR 0 2 2011

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Rule Number 4 CSR 240)-2.160		COPY
Use a "SEPARATE" rule t	ransmittal sheet	for EACH individ	lual rulemaking.
Name of person to call with	•		
Content Nancy Dippell	Phone	573-751-4393	FAX
Email address Nancy.dip	pell@psc.mo.go	V	
Data Entry same	Phone		FAX
Email address			
TYPE OF RULEMAKING Emergency rulemaking, Proposed Rulemaking	ACTION TO BE	TAKEN	th Fl, Gov.Ofc Bldg, JC, MO
		In Addition	Rule Under Consideration
Statutory 30 days OR S	pecific date		
Does the Order of Rulemak	cing contain cha	nges to the rule te	xt? 🗌 NO
YES—LIST THE SEC	TIONS WITH C	CHANGES, include	ling any deleted rule text:

Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board (DED) Stamp

SMALL BUSINESS REGULATORY FAIRNESS BOARD

MAR 0273

RECEIVED

JCAR Stamp

JOINT COMMITTEE ON

ADMINISTRATIVE NULES



Commissioners

KEVIN GUNN Chairman

ROBERT M. CLAYTON HI

JEFF DAVIS

TERRY M. JARRETT

ROBERT S. KENNEY

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360
JEFFERSON CITY MISSOURI 65102
573-751-3234
573-751-1847 (Fax Number)
http://www.psc.mo.gov

WESS A. HENDERSON Executive Director

VACANT Director, Administration and Regulatory Policy

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

NATELLE DIETRICH Director, Utility Operations

STEVEN C. REED Secretary/General Counsel

KEVIN A. THOMPSON Chief Staff Counsel

March 2, 2011

Robin Carnahan Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-2.160 Rehearings and Reconsideration

Dear Secretary Carnahan,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rulemaking lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed rulemaking will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Public Service Commission further certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo 2000, that the proposed rulemaking does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law, and that the proposed rulemaking conforms to the requirements of 1.310, RSMo, regarding user fees.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby also certifies that this proposed rulemaking complies with the small business requirements of 1.310, RSMo, in that it does not have an adverse impact on small businesses consisting of fewer than twenty-five full or part-time employees or it is necessary to protect the life, health, or safety of the public, or that this rulemaking complies with 1.310, RSMo, by exempting any small business consisting of fewer than twenty-five full or part-time employees from its coverage, by implementing a federal mandate, or by implementing a federal program administered by the state or an act of the general assembly.

Statutory Authority: section 386.410, RSMo 2000

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed rulemaking, please contact me at the address and number below.

Sincerely,

Nancy Dippell, Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Missouri Public Service Commission

200 Madison Street

P.O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102

(573) 751-4393

Nancy.dippell@psc.mo.gov

Enclosure

AFFIDAVIT

PUBLIC COST

STATE OF MISSOURI)
)
COUNTY OF COLE)

I, David Kerr, Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn, on my oath, state that it is my opinion that the cost of proposed amendment, 4 CSR 240-2.160, is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

David Kerr Director

Department of Economic Development

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 18th day of 10., 2011, I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of 10., State of Missouri, and my commission expires on 17 July 2011

Notary Public

ANNETTE KEHNER
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: July 17, 2011
Commission Number: 07492656

Title 4--DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Division 240--Public Service Commission Chapter 2--Practice and Procedure



PROPOSED AMENDMENT

4 CSR 240-2.160 Rehearings and Reconsideration The commission is amending section (2).

PURPOSE: This rule is amended to clarify that the commission can rehear, amend, reconsider, or correct any order previously issued in the case.

(2) Motions for reconsideration of procedural and interlocutory orders may be filed within ten (10) days of the date the order is issued, unless otherwise ordered by the commission. Motions for reconsideration shall set forth specifically the ground(s) on which the applicant considers the order to be unlawful, unjust, or unreasonable. At any time before a final order is issued, the commission may, on its own motion, reconsider, correct, or otherwise amend any order or notice issued in the case.

AUTHORITY: section 386.410, RSMo 2000.* Original rule filed Dec. 19, 1975, effective Dec. 29, 1975. Amended: Filed Nov. 7, 1984, effective June 15, 1985. Rescinded and readopted: Filed March 10, 1995, effective Nov. 30, 1995. Rescinded and readopted: Filed Aug. 24, 1999, effective April 30, 2000. Amended: Filed ______.

*Original authority: 386.410, RSMo 1939, amended 1947, 1977, 1996.

PUBLIC ENTITY COST: This proposed amendment will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than \$500 in the aggregate.

PRIVATE ENTITY COST: This proposed amendment will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING: Anyone may file comments in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Steven C. Reed, Secretary of the Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received at the Commission's offices no later than May 16, 2011, and should include a reference to Commission Case No. AX-2011-0094. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using the Commission's electronic filing and information system at http://www.psc.mo.gov/case-filing- information. A public hearing regarding this proposed amendment is scheduled for May 19, 2011, at 10:00 a.m., in Room 310 of the commission's offices in the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson

JOINT COMMITTEE ON

WAR 0 8 2011

City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 (voice) or Relay Missouri at 711.

Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: 1-27-11

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-2.160 Rehearings and Reconsideration

Name of Agency Preparing Statement: Public Service Commission

Name of Person Preparing Statement: Nancy Dippell

Phone Number: 573-751-4393 Email: nancy.dippell@psc.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement: Morris Woodruff, Chief Regulatory Law Judge, Public Service Commission

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses: This rule is being amended to clarify authority that the Commission already has. There is no impact on small businesses as a result of this amendment.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

This rule is being amended to clarify it. The Commission determined this clarification was necessary as a result of experience in interpreting the current rule. There is no impact on small businesses as a result of this amendment. The Commission held a roundtable discussion on November 30, 2010, and invited the public to comment informally on the draft of the proposed rules. The Commission subsequently incorporated some changes from that roundtable into the rules for clarity and ease of use.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

There are no monetary costs or benefits to any agency as the result of this amendment. No fees will be collected as a result of this rule.

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

This amendment only clarifies the authority of the Commission to amend or correct an interlocutory order. No small businesses are adversely affected by this amendment.

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

This amendment only clarifies the authority of the Commission to amend or correct an interlocutory order. There are no costs associated with compliance.

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

All utilities, large and small, and all ratepayers, residential or commercial, will benefit from the rule being clarified so that the Commission's authority is clearly discernible.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than
those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county
standards?

Yes	No X	

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.