

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**  
**OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

|                            |   |                                     |
|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Marisa A. Morales,         | ) |                                     |
|                            | ) |                                     |
| Complainant,               | ) |                                     |
|                            | ) |                                     |
| v.                         | ) | <b><u>Case No. EC-2008-0121</u></b> |
|                            | ) |                                     |
| Kansas City Power & Light, | ) |                                     |
|                            | ) |                                     |
| Respondent.                | ) |                                     |

**NOTICE ACKNOWLEDGING VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF COMPLAINT**  
**AND CLOSING CASE**

Issue Date: January 2, 2008

Marisa A. Morales filed a formal complaint against Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCPL") on October 23, 2007. On October 25, 2007, the Commission notified KCPL of the complaint and allowed it thirty days in which to answer as provided by 4 CSR 240-2.070(7). On November 20, 2007, KCPL filed its "Motion for Extension of Time to Answer to Allow for Settlement Discussions." In this motion, KCPL requested that the Commission extend the due date for KCPL's answer from November 26, 2007 until the earlier of (i) December 31, 2007 or (ii) 15 days from the date on which either KCPL or Ms. Morales notifies the Commission in writing that settlement is not possible. The Commission granted this motion by order dated November 30, 2007.

On January 2, 2008, Ms. Morales filed a pleading titled "Withdrawal of Complaint," in which she informed the Commission that she wished to dismiss her complaint with

prejudice since she had reached a mutually acceptable agreement with KCPL which resolved her dispute with the company.

Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.116(1) permits a complainant to voluntarily dismiss her complaint without an order of the Commission “at any time before prepared testimony has been filed or oral evidence has been offered, by filing a notice of dismissal with the commission and serving a copy on all parties.” No prepared testimony or oral evidence has been offered in this case, and the withdrawal notice has been filed with the Commission.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, the Commission acknowledges that Marisa A. Morales has voluntarily dismissed her complaint against Kansas City Power & Light Company with prejudice. Nothing remains for decision by the Commission and this case may be closed.<sup>2</sup>

**BY THE COMMISSION**



Colleen M. Dale  
Secretary

( S E A L )

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,  
on this 2nd day of January, 2008.

Lane, Regulatory Law Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> Although the notice of withdrawal does not contain a certificate of service, it was entered into the Commission’s Electronic Filing and Information System (EFIS) by or on behalf of Ms. Morales, and it is clear that all parties of record are aware of it.

<sup>2</sup> For this reason, the Commission’s order of October 25, 2007 directing its Staff to investigate and file a report within two weeks after KCPL filed its answer to Ms. Morales’ complaint is now moot.