

BEFORE THE GEORGIA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

STATE OF GEORGIA

FILED
April 4, 2017
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

In Re: Georgia Power Company's)
2016 Integrated Resource)
Plan and Application for)
Decertification of Plant)
Mitchell Units 3, 4A and 4B,)
Plant Kraft Unit 1 CT, and)
Intercession City CT.)

Docket No. 40161 and 40162

In Re: Georgia Power Company's)
Application for the)
Certification, Decertification)
and Amended Demand)
Side Management Plan)

Show-me Exhibit No. 5141
Date 3-22-17 Reporter mlc
File No EA-2016-0358

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

DAVID BERRY

ON BEHALF OF

CLEAN LINE ENERGY PARTNERS LLC

May 3, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Witness Introduction and Purpose of Testimony..... 1

II. Plains & Eastern is in an advanced stage of development and will begin delivering low cost, high capacity factor wind energy to the Southeast in 2020..... 5

III. The Commission should authorize and direct the procurement of more than 525 MW of renewables *so long as the resources are below Georgia Power's avoided cost*..... 11

IV. The Commission should maintain the RFP's flexibility across technologies..... 15

V. The REDI RFP should begin as soon as possible, and should allow proposals for delivery later than 2018. 19

VI. The Commission should encourage Georgia Power to evaluate ownership of wind assets. 22

VII. Conclusion 25

1 **I. Witness Introduction and Purpose of Testimony**

2 **Q. Please state your name, present position and business address.**

3 A. My name is David Berry. I am Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President-
4 Strategy and Finance for Clean Line Energy Partners LLC ("Clean Line"). Clean Line is
5 developing five merchant transmission lines, including the Plains & Eastern Clean Line
6 ("Plains & Eastern"), a high voltage direct current transmission ("HVDC") line that will
7 enable the delivery of 4,000 megawatts ("MW") of low cost wind power to the Southeast.
8 My business address is 1001 McKinney Street, Suite 700, Houston, Texas 77002.

9 **Q. Please describe your education and professional background.**

10 A. I received a Bachelor of Arts degree from Rice University with a major in economics and
11 a second major in history. Prior to joining Clean Line, I was employed by Horizon Wind
12 Energy as Finance Director. At Horizon Wind Energy, I was responsible for financing
13 transactions, investment analysis, power purchase agreement pricing and acquisitions. I
14 worked on and led over \$2 billion of project finance transactions, including a non-recourse
15 debt financing that was named North American Renewables Deal of the Year by *Project*
16 *Finance*, and several equity transactions for wind generation projects in development,
17 construction, and operations. I joined Clean Line as one of its first employees in late 2009.

18 **Q. What are your duties and responsibilities as Chief Financial Officer and Executive**
19 **Vice President – Strategy and Finance of Clean Line?**

20 A. I am responsible for developing the transmission capacity products offered to Plains &
21 Eastern's transmission customer. I lead a team responsible for ensuring that the
22 transmission service offered by Clean Line results in a compelling value proposition for
23 utilities and their end-use customers. I oversee and am responsible for the financing

24 activities, accounting, transaction structuring, and market analysis for Clean Line and its
25 subsidiaries. I regularly provide testimony in regulatory proceedings on behalf of Clean
26 Line and its subsidiaries, including Plains & Eastern. I have testified in support of Plains
27 & Eastern's application for certificate to construct its proposed transmission project before
28 the Tennessee Regulatory Authority and the Oklahoma Corporation Commission. I have
29 testified before the Illinois Commerce Commission, the Indiana Utility Regulatory
30 Commission, the Kansas Corporation Commission, and the Missouri Public Service
31 Commission on behalf of other Clean Line subsidiaries.

32 **Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?**

33 A. I am testifying on behalf of Clean Line in connection with its intervention in dockets 40161
34 and 40162 regarding Georgia Power Company's 2016 Integrated Resource Plan and
35 Application for Decertification of Plant Mitchell Units 3, 4A and 4B, Plant Kraft Unit 1
36 CT, and Intercession City CT and Georgia Power Company's Application for the
37 Certification, Decertification and Amended Demand Side Management Plan.

38 **Q. Please summarize your testimony.**

39 A. Clean Line Energy Partners is developing the Plains & Eastern Clean Line, an
40 approximately 700-mile HVDC transmission line to be located in Oklahoma, Arkansas and
41 Tennessee. This project will deliver 4,000 MW of low cost, high capacity factor wind
42 generation located in the Oklahoma Panhandle region to the Southeastern United States via
43 a 500 MW converter station located near Entergy's Arkansas Nuclear One facility and a
44 3,500 MW converter station located near TVA's Shelby Substation. Using TVA point-to-
45 point transmission service, wind energy transmitted on Plains & Eastern can be delivered
46 to Georgia Power.

47 Clean Line has reviewed the Integrated Resource Plan (“IRP”) filed with the
48 Georgia Public Service Commission (the “Commission”) on January 29, 2016, and
49 commends Georgia Power on its efforts to provide Georgia ratepayers with reliable, low
50 cost electric service. Clean Line proposes several measures in furtherance of this mission,
51 and to ensure Georgia ratepayers realize the maximum benefits available from the
52 procurement of renewable energy resources. Specifically, Clean Line submits the
53 following recommendations to the Commission.

- 54 • *Authorize and direct the procurement of additional renewables beyond the 525 MW*
55 *currently identified in the IRP so long as the resources are below Georgia Power’s*
56 *avoided cost.* Georgia Power currently proposes initiating a Renewable Energy
57 Development Initiative (“REDI”), which includes plans to procure an additional
58 525 MW of renewable capacity through a REDI Request for Proposal (“RFP”). As
59 identified in the IRP on page 10-104, Table 2: Components by Resource Type-
60 Wind & Biomass, integrating wind resources results in significant benefits due to
61 avoided fuel and purchased power costs, avoided operations and maintenance costs,
62 avoided environmental compliance costs, and avoided capacity costs. The
63 Commission should authorize and direct Georgia Power to procure more than 525
64 MW of renewables if additional proposals are received that have a higher benefit
65 to Georgia Power ratepayers than cost, which will result in downward pressure in
66 rates.
- 67 • *Maintain the RFP’s flexibility across technologies.* Renewable energy
68 technologies, particularly wind and solar, are complementary resources. Wind
69 energy is typically the lowest cost resource, produces more energy per megawatt
70 (“MW”) installed, contributes substantially to meeting winter peak demand and
71 provides for economic development opportunities in the supply chain. Solar energy
72 contributes substantially to meeting summer peak demand and provides for local
73 construction job opportunities. The two resources also complement one another on
74 a time of day basis, and a portfolio of both wind and solar produces less system

75 variability. By increasing the size of the RFP, there will be substantial opportunities
76 to include both cost-effective wind and solar generation into Georgia Power's
77 supply portfolio.

- 78 • *Accelerate the timing of the RFP to align with the wind Production Tax Credit*
79 *phase out, resulting in lower costs of wind generation.* The REDI RFP should begin
80 as soon as possible to ensure that the wind proposals received capture the full value
81 of the Production Tax Credit ("PTC"). 2016 will be the last year that new wind
82 project construction will be eligible for the full value of the PTC. Wind generators
83 can preserve this value by incurring 5% of the total cost, or starting construction,
84 of the facility during 2016. However, without firm commercial commitments from
85 Georgia Power, wind generation companies are unlikely to invest the significant
86 capital needed to qualify wind farms for the full PTC value in order to supply the
87 lowest cost wind power. Delaying the start of the RFP until late this year, or until
88 2017, will result in wind generation proposals that are more expensive due to a
89 lower PTC value.

- 90 • *Allow proposals commencing operations as late as 2021 if they offer higher net*
91 *benefits to customers.* The current construct of the RFP proposes procuring 210
92 MW of utility scale renewable projects that can attain commercial operation in 2018
93 and 215 MW of utility scale renewable projects that can attain commercial
94 operation in 2019. This does not provide sufficient time for wind generators using
95 Plains & Eastern or other new transmission lines to come online. Clean Line
96 believes that the lowest-cost renewable resource available to Georgia Power is
97 Oklahoma Panhandle wind power delivered via Plains & Eastern, which will begin
98 delivering energy to the Southeast in 2020. Closing the RFP to such a resource
99 would likely increase costs for Georgia Power customers.

- 100 • *Encourage Georgia Power to evaluate ownership of wind assets.* Finally, the RFP
101 should consider the additional benefits to ratepayers if Georgia Power were to own
102 the wind facilities. Clean Line supports the following statement from pg. 10-106 of
103 the IRP: "third-party proposals that allow for Georgia Power ownership will be
104 considered." Investments in wind will likely result in a lower delivered cost of

105 energy than the same resource procured via a power purchase agreement, due to
106 Georgia Power's low cost of capital and efficient use of tax credits.

107

108 Ultimately, the purpose of the REDI RFP is to identify the best options for securing
109 reliable, low-cost energy for Georgia ratepayers. Clean Line's analysis suggests that there
110 is good reason to believe Oklahoma Panhandle wind can be among the most cost-effective
111 resources, and our recommendations are meant to ensure all available options are fully
112 considered. These recommendations will be discussed in more detail in the remaining
113 sections of my testimony.

114 **II. Plains & Eastern is in an advanced stage of development and will begin**
115 **delivering low cost, high capacity factor wind energy to the Southeast in 2020**

116 **Q. What is the purpose of the Plains & Eastern?**

117 **A.** The Plains & Eastern Clean Line is an approximately 700-mile, +/-600 kilovolt ("kV")
118 HVDC transmission line and associated facilities that will connect abundant wind
119 resources in the Oklahoma Panhandle region to load centers in Tennessee, the Mid-South
120 and the Southeast with a demand for low-cost, clean energy. The Plains & Eastern Project
121 will deliver up to 3,500 MW of low-cost wind power from Oklahoma to the TVA system
122 at the Shelby Substation, where it will be available for purchase by Georgia Power. In
123 addition, Plains and Eastern will deliver up to 500 MW of power to the Entergy 500 kV
124 transmission system at an intermediate delivery point in Arkansas. Wind power sourced
125 from the Oklahoma Panhandle is some of the cheapest renewable energy in the country
126 and is a valuable option as Georgia Power builds a diverse and affordable portfolio of
127 electric generation.

128 **Q. Why has Plains & Eastern decided to utilize HVDC technology for the Project?**

129 A. HVDC is a more efficient technology for long-haul transmission of large amounts of
130 electric power because substantially more energy can be transmitted with lower losses,
131 narrower rights-of-way, and fewer conductors than with an equivalent high voltage AC
132 system. At distances beyond about 300 miles, HVDC is generally the most cost efficient
133 means to move large quantities of power.

134 The use of HVDC technology is a particularly appropriate solution for the Plains &
135 Eastern's goal of moving large amounts of wind generation over long distances. In this
136 application, HVDC lines result in a lower cost of transmission than AC lines.

137 **Q. Why is moving wind power through a dedicated HVDC line preferable to using the**
138 **existing alternating current ("AC") transmission system?**

139 A. The existing AC system was not designed to move wind power and is reaching its full
140 capacity. To use the existing grid to reach the Southeast, generators in the Southwest
141 Power Pool ("SPP") must obtain multiple transmission service requests through multiple
142 utilities' service territories, typically through SPP and the Midcontinent Independent
143 System Operator ("MISO"). Not only is this a more complex arrangement than the direct
144 delivery to TVA provided by Plains & Eastern, the arrangement creates three major risks
145 for the generator or purchasing utility.

146 First, each segment of service (or "wheel") is subject to rate increases over time
147 and cannot be purchased at a long-term, fixed rate. Second, transmission through an AC
148 system is at risk of congestion, meaning too much generation tries to use too little
149 transmission. Congestion increases the cost of moving power through the AC system.
150 Third, generators may also be subject to curtailment, meaning they cannot actually operate
151 reliably due to constraints on the AC system. All of these factors increase the cost of wind

152 procurement. Further, they limit the ability to rely on the existing AC system to meet the
153 need for transmission capacity to deliver new sources of renewable energy to the Mid-
154 South and Southeast.

155 A dedicated HVDC line like the Project does not carry the same risk of cost
156 increases over time, congestion or curtailment. As a dedicated HVDC line, the Plains &
157 Eastern Project can provide a single, fixed cost transmission service to reach the TVA
158 system. Not only is HVDC the right technical solution, it creates direct access for utilities
159 in the South without managing multiple, complex transmission service requests through
160 other utilities' territories.

161 **Q. How would power be moved from the Plains & Eastern converter station to a TVA-**
162 **Southern interface, and does this create a risk of future cost increases?**

163 A. From the Plains & Eastern converter station in TVA, the owner of the wind generation can
164 procure firm point-to-point transmission service across TVA's service territory that will
165 guarantee delivery to the TVA-Southern interface. This firm service eliminates the
166 curtailment and congestion risk that increases the cost of wheeling through a regional
167 transmission organization ("RTO"). The cost of firm transmission service through TVA is
168 subject to rate changes over time, however these costs have been far less volatile than
169 service through MISO or SPP. Figure 1, below, outlines the changes in transmission service
170 over the last several years in TVA, MISO, and SPP.

171

172

	SPP	MISO	TVA
2013	25,712	41,082	26,412
2014	32,332	40,150	27,492
2015	35,646	42,670	28,008
2016	38,740	45,108	26,460

173

174

Figure 1. Total cost of transmission service, \$/MW-year¹

175 **Q. Have any studies shown that transmission across TVA is available and that**
 176 **transmission service requests will not trigger significant upgrades?**

177 **A. Yes. Southeastern Regional Transmission Planning (“SERTP”) studies have shown that**
 178 **significant energy transfers can be accommodated across TVA’s transmission system at**
 179 **reasonable cost.**

180 SERTP’s 2015 Economic Planning Study Report assessed the upgrades necessary
 181 to accommodate 1,200 MW of transfers from TVA to Southern Company.² This study
 182 identified ten upgrades within the Southern system totaling \$147.3 million that would be
 183 needed to accommodate the transfer of 1,200 MW to the Southern system.³ This

¹ SPP transmission service includes schedule 1, 2, 7, 11, and administrative charges, years 2013-2015 are an average of winter and summer charges. Summer 2016 charges have not yet been released. MISO transmission service includes schedule 1, 2, 7, 26, 33, and 45 charges. TVA transmission service includes schedule 1, 2 and 7 charges.

² The 2015 Economic Planning Study Assumptions assume the following share of Plains & Eastern delivered energy: SOCO- 1,200 MW, TVA- 1,639 MW, Duke Energy- 661 MW.

³ Of these ten upgrades, three have previously been identified in Southern Company’s transmission planning process. The cost of accelerating these previously identified upgrades is \$9.8 million, this cost is included in the \$147.3 million upgrade cost. This figure does not include the upgrades that are already required for Plains & Eastern’s interconnection to the TVA system

184 investment in Southern's transmission system is approximately 6% of the pro-rata capital
185 required to build 1200 MW of Plains & Eastern and the connected wind resource.

186 **Q. Has the project obtained all necessary regulatory approvals needed to site and**
187 **construct the transmission line?**

188 A. Yes. On March 25, 2016, the U.S. Department of Energy ("DOE") issued a Record of
189 Decision ("ROD") approving the Plains & Eastern Clean Line transmission project, noting
190 DOE's decision to participate in the project and designating a preferred route for the
191 transmission line in Oklahoma and Arkansas. The ROD outlined the roles of Clean Line
192 and DOE in the project, identified a route for the interstate direct current transmission line,
193 and confirmed the beginning point of the project in Oklahoma and a converter station in
194 Arkansas that will deliver 500 MW of wind power.

195 Additionally, on October 28, 2011, the Oklahoma Corporation Commission
196 ("OCC") approved Plains and Eastern Clean Line Oklahoma LLC's request to conduct
197 business as a public utility in Oklahoma. As an Oklahoma public utility, Plains and Eastern
198 Oklahoma can construct, own, and operate electric transmission lines within the state. On
199 January 12, 2015, the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA") unanimously voted to
200 approve the application of Plains and Eastern for a Certificate of Public Convenience and
201 Necessity and to grant Plains and Eastern the authority to operate as a wholesale
202 transmission-only public utility in Tennessee.

203 **Q. Has Clean Line quantified the available supply of Oklahoma Panhandle wind**
204 **generation available for supplying Georgia Power?**

205 A. Yes. From May 22 to July 25, 2014, Clean Line conducted an open solicitation pursuant to
206 its FERC negotiated rate authority and is now in the process of allocating capacity on the

207 line. Clean Line received requests from fifteen different potential transmission customers
208 for 17,000 MW of transmission service, or nearly four times the Project's total transfer
209 capacity. Of these 17,000 MW of requests, 15,000 MW were for service from Oklahoma
210 to the TVA converter station. The remainder of the requests were for service from
211 Oklahoma to the Arkansas converter station.

212 Since the close of the initial open solicitation window, several respondents have
213 increased the size of their capacity requests. To date, Clean Line has received requests for
214 a total of 22,000 MW of transmission service, 19,500 MW of which are for service to
215 Tennessee.

216 **Q. Has Clean Line demonstrated the quality of the Oklahoma wind resource?**

217 **A.** Yes. In June 2013, Clean Line issued a Request for Information ("RFI") to gather
218 information about wind projects that are currently under development in the Oklahoma
219 Panhandle region. RFI respondents confirmed the very high quality wind resources in the
220 Oklahoma Panhandle region, which supports attractive pricing for wind energy. The RFI
221 respondents reported an average capacity factor of 51%, while the average capacity factor
222 of the lowest priced 4,000 MW of submissions was 53%. A capacity factor is the ratio of
223 actual generation to the total possible generation assuming ideal wind speeds. Capacity
224 factors in excess of 50% are a result of improving turbine technology and the abundance
225 of high wind speed sites in the Oklahoma Panhandle region.

226 The average 80-meter (80 meters is a typical hub height of modern wind turbines)
227 wind speed of the projects submitted in the RFI was 8.8 meters per second ("m/s"). States
228 in the Southeast, such as Georgia, do not typically have average wind speeds above 7.0
229 m/s, and only very few sites in the Southeast have average wind speeds that are above 6.5

230 m/s. The kinetic power potential of wind varies with the cube of the wind velocity. In other
231 words, the power potential varies proportionally to the wind velocity raised to the third
232 power. Consequently, an 8.5 m/s average wind speed site will have, other things being
233 equal, 1.79 times the power potential of a 7 m/s site. This is a key factor in the low cost of
234 Oklahoma wind power.

235 **III. The Commission should authorize and direct the procurement of more than**
236 **525 MW of renewables so long as the resources are below Georgia Power's avoided**
237 **cost**

238 **Q. Should Georgia Power procure more than 525 MW during the upcoming renewable**
239 **RFP?**

240 A. Yes. The document TS Wind Analysis included by Georgia Power in the 2016 IRP filing
241 shows significant net benefits for thousands of megawatts of renewable power. Plains &
242 Eastern can provide thousands of megawatts of high capacity factor wind from the
243 Oklahoma Panhandle at a price below avoided cost. The Commission should encourage
244 Georgia Power to expand the scope of the REDI RFP to fully capture the value available
245 to Georgia ratepayers through additional renewable procurements.

246 **Q. Is the Plains & Eastern wind resource below Georgia Power Company's avoided cost?**

247 A. Yes. Wind prices across the country have continued to fall, with the lowest prices being
248 seen in the central United States, where Plains & Eastern will interconnect. Each year the
249 Department of Energy publishes a report of wind industry statistics, including observed
250 PPA prices. The report published in 2015 documented average wind PPA prices of

251 \$22.4/MWh in the central United States.⁴ One specific example is Southwestern Public
252 Service Company's ("SPS") recent power purchase agreement with the Palo Duro wind
253 farm located in the Texas Panhandle, adjacent to the Plains & Eastern converter station.
254 The PPA price for SPS's contract is \$23.35/MWh for 20 years.⁵

255 The other major component of the delivered cost of wind to Georgia is the cost of
256 transmission. Transmission from Oklahoma to the TVA system in Memphis across Plains
257 & Eastern will cost approximately \$20-25/MWh, including losses. Plains & Eastern offers
258 firm transmission service from Oklahoma to TVA at a fixed-price with no congestion risk.
259 This service can be used in conjunction with TVA point-to-point transmission service to
260 deliver directly to Georgia Power, which is estimated to cost approximately \$8/MWh,
261 including losses.

262 The TS-Wind Analysis appendix included in the IRP filing calculated the net
263 avoided cost on the Georgia Power system of two 1,000 MW tranches of wind
264 procurement. The anticipated delivered cost of energy of a wind purchase over Plains &
265 Eastern, inclusive of the Plains & Eastern transmission tariff, point-to-point transmission
266 service across the TVA system, and electrical losses is well below the net avoided cost
267 identified for the full 2,000 MW studied in the TS Wind Analysis.

⁴ U.S. Department of Energy, *2014 Wind Technologies Market Report*, page 57.

⁵ Direct Testimony of Jessica Collins, Docket No: 13-00233-UT, *In the matter of Southwestern Public Service Company's Application for Approval and Authority to: (1) Enter into separate power purchase agreements with NextEra Energy Resources' Mammoth Plains and Palo Duro Wind Energy Centers and Infinity Wind Power's Roosevelt Wind Ranch for wind energy; and (2) recover the associated energy costs through its fuel and purchased power cost adjustment clause.*

268 **Q. Are there any additional value components associated with Oklahoma wind that**
269 **should be evaluated during the renewable RFP process?**

270 A. Yes. Though the Clean Power Plan has been stayed, it is reasonable and prudent to plan
271 for future carbon constraints, whether they are implemented via the Clean Power Plan,
272 another regulatory vehicle, or a price on carbon. Georgia Power has recognized in its IRP
273 and in its public testimony the need to ensure that the Company is well positioned to
274 respond to any future carbon regulations. Delivered wind power from Plains & Eastern can
275 reduce carbon emissions and provide an insurance policy against future environmental
276 regulations.

277 **Q. Are there any additional value components associated with transmission service**
278 **across Plains & Eastern that should be evaluated during the renewable RFP process?**

279 A. Yes. In addition to transferring low cost wind, transmission capacity on Plains & Eastern
280 can be used to deliver bulk power from the SPP system during the hours when wind
281 generation is not using the entire capacity of Plains & Eastern. Clean Line has estimated
282 that the ability to deliver SPP market power could save Georgia ratepayers approximately
283 \$9 million dollars a year.⁶ This calculation assumes that Georgia Power or a wind generator
284 has obtained enough transmission service across Plains & Eastern to deliver 1000 MW to
285 the Southern system.

⁶ This analysis identified hours during years 2006 through 2012 when Southern Company's marginal costs of energy were higher than SPP's marginal cost, and then multiplied the difference in price of Southern's marginal costs and SPP market power by the energy delivery available on Plains & Eastern at that time.

286 In addition to the benefits outlined above, and in the TS Wind Analysis appendix,
287 Georgia Power and the Commission should consider the long-term nature of the
288 transmission infrastructure provided by Plains & Eastern. Most of the HVDC transmission
289 lines in the United States were built more than thirty years ago and all continue to operate
290 and provide value to the transmission system today. Unlike resources that are delivered via
291 the existing AC system, the renewable energy delivered on Plains & Eastern is coupled
292 with a new physical asset that will provide resources and optionality to Georgia Power for
293 decades.

294 **Q. Can Georgia Power successfully manage renewable penetration beyond the 525 MW**
295 **that the REDI currently calls for?**

296 **A.** Yes, I believe they can. The main challenge in integrating renewable energy is the
297 variability of the resources. However, power systems are already in place to manage
298 generation and this normally occurring load variability on the grid. Renewables are simply
299 an addition to this existing variability that grid operators manage every day. Wind
300 forecasting has become highly sophisticated, allowing operators to plan for the availability
301 of other resources efficiently, while most natural gas plants can be online in less than 30
302 minutes- giving grid operators flexibility to respond to changes in net load rapidly.

303 Clean Line's analysis has shown that adding substantially more renewable power
304 than the 525 MW that is currently called for in the IRP does not significantly affect the
305 total variability on the Georgia Power system. More information on this analysis can be
306 found in lines 348-397 of this testimony.

307 **Q, Are there any examples of other systems that have integrated significant amounts of**
308 **renewable energy?**

309 A. Utilities today reliably integrate wind at high penetration levels at a low cost to consumers.
310 A 2013 review of the actual cost to integrate 10,000 MW of wind into ERCOT's system
311 over one year found that the total cost of integration was only \$0.50/MWh.⁷ Xcel Energy
312 Colorado meets 20% of its load on average with wind generation, and at times can meet up
313 to 50% of instantaneous demand with wind.⁸ Xcel worked with the National Center for
314 Atmospheric Research to develop an advanced wind forecasting system using inputs from
315 satellites, planes, radars, weather stations, and turbine sensors. The system produces a new,
316 highly accurate forecast every 15 minutes.⁹

317 **IV. The Commission should maintain the RFP's flexibility across technologies.**

318 **Q. Should the RFP include carve outs for specific generation types?**

319 A. The primary focus of any energy or capacity procurement process should be to obtain the
320 resource, or portfolio of resources, that reliably serves load in the most cost effective
321 manner, rather than carve outs for specific resources. Georgia Power and the Commission
322 have shown a commitment to reliably serving load in the most cost effective manner
323 possible, and should continue this tradition in the upcoming RFP. If any carve outs are
324 created, Clean Line recommends that they be small compared to the overall RFP. By
325 increasing the size of the RFP, the Commission can allow all technologies to be part of the

⁷ Presentation by Mark Ahlstrom, A Market Perspective on Forecast Value. UVIG Workshop on Forecasting Applications, Feb 26, 2013. Accessed at: <http://www.uwig.org/slcforework/Ahlstrom-Session1.pdf>

⁸ Weiss, J; Tsuchida, B. "Integrating Renewable Energy into the Electricity Grid", June 2015.

⁹ *Ibid.*

326 solution, and can maximize benefits to the state. As described in this section, Georgia
327 Power ratepayers will realize benefits from a diverse portfolio of renewable energy,
328 including Oklahoma Panhandle wind and Georgia solar resources.

329 **Q. Please describe the advantages that a portfolio of energy resources will bring to**
330 **Georgia ratepayers.**

331 **A.** As identified in the IRP, Georgia Power has a wide range of renewable resources available
332 that can contribute to a cost-effective renewable portfolio. Two of these resources are in-
333 state solar generation, and Oklahoma Panhandle wind generation delivered via Plains &
334 Eastern.

335 Georgia solar and Oklahoma Panhandle wind bring a range of economic
336 development benefits to Georgia ratepayers. The development of Georgia solar resources
337 supports Georgia jobs related to the installation of solar panels, and contributes to local tax
338 bases. Procuring Oklahoma Panhandle wind creates manufacturing opportunities in the
339 wind and transmission supply chain. Moreover, procuring wind generation at below
340 Georgia Power's avoided cost should have a downward effect on electricity rates, which
341 will attract and maintain commercial and industrial interest in the state of Georgia and
342 provide more disposable income to all Georgia Power customers.

343 In addition, Georgia solar and Oklahoma Panhandle wind have complementary
344 production profiles- their peak output typically occurs at different times of the day and in
345 different seasons of the year. As a result, a renewable portfolio that consists of a mix of
346 wind and solar will result in less overall variability on the system and lower integration
347 costs than a portfolio of only Georgia solar.

348 **Q. Can you provide a concrete example of how a combination of wind power and solar**
349 **power provide benefits to Georgia Power?**

350 A. Yes. Clean Line performed an analysis to show that a combination of Oklahoma wind
351 generation and Georgia solar results in a substantially lower amount of hourly variability
352 than a portfolio of only in-state solar generation. Lower variability means that Georgia
353 Power can integrate more variable renewable energy onto its system at a lower cost.

354 **Q. How did you measure variability in your analysis?**

355 A. We used an industry-standard measure of the variability introduced by renewable
356 resources. This measure is called the three-sigma net load variability.

357 Net load means Georgia Power load minus variable renewable energy generation.
358 Georgia Power must use its dispatchable fleet of resource to balance both load and variable
359 renewable energy. A variable renewable resource is therefore treated as a negative load.
360 If renewable output ramps up as the overall system load ramps up, then net load variability
361 will be less than it would be if the renewables were not on the system. If renewable output
362 ramps down as load ramps up, net load variability increases.

363 Three times the standard deviation, or three sigma, represents the maximum amount
364 of hourly change in load present 99.7% of the time. The idea is that the power system must
365 be planned and run for “tail events” that are uncommon but require an adequate response.
366 Combining the concept of “net load” and “three sigma,” three-sigma net load variability
367 means the amount of hourly variability from the combination of load changes and changes
368 in renewable energy output that occurs in only 0.3% of hours.

369 **Q. What portfolios of renewable generation did you compare in your analysis?**

370 A. For illustrative purposes, we set the size of the renewable portfolio at 1,500 MW. We then
371 compared a portfolio of 100% solar versus a portfolio of approximately 70% Oklahoma
372 Panhandle wind and 30% in-state solar.

373 **Q. What were the results of your analysis?**

374 A. We found that the solar-only portfolio increased hourly variability (i.e., three-sigma net
375 load variability) by 2.4 times the amount of the increase by the combined wind and solar
376 portfolio—this even though the combined wind and solar portfolio delivered almost twice
377 as much renewable energy to the Georgia Power system because of the higher capacity
378 factor of the wind generation.

379 **Q. Please provide some additional results of your variability analysis.**

380 A. First, we established the baseline level of hourly variability in the Georgia Power system.
381 Prior to the integration of this new 1,500 MW renewable portfolio, three-sigma, net load
382 variability was calculated to be 1,293 MW in magnitude.¹⁰

383 Second, we assessed the incremental net load variability from adding 1,500 MW of
384 new solar generation. This analysis found that three-sigma net load variability increased
385 from 1,293 MW to 1,546 MW- an increase of 253 MW.

¹⁰ Georgia Power load data obtained from FERC Form 714. Georgia Power's baseline load was calculated as the historical FERC Form 714 data less the output from the renewable generation already procured by Georgia Power. 250 MW of Oklahoma wind was modeled using EWITS site number 00014. 1,000 MW of existing Georgia solar generation was modeled using solar production profiles for Marietta, Athens, Albany, Savannah, Augusta, Brunswick, and Columbus, Georgia, from NREL's System Advisor Model.

386 Finally, we assessed the incremental net load variability from adding a portfolio of
387 1,040 MW of Oklahoma Panhandle wind and 460 MW of Georgia solar.¹¹ Three-sigma
388 net load variability increased to 1,397 MW, or 104 MW above the baseline level.

389 The increased net load variability from the 1,500 MW combined portfolio of wind
390 and solar represents an increase of only eight percent over Georgia Power's existing net
391 load variability. This result suggests such a level of renewable penetration would be
392 feasible. Georgia Power's abundance of capacity resources, as shown by the lack of
393 capacity need until 2024, indicates that this additional variability could likely be handled
394 by the existing Georgia Power generation fleet and would not require additional investment
395 in capacity resources. While Clean Line acknowledges that Georgia Power will always
396 perform detailed technical studies around specific proposals, our analysis suggests that a
397 large portfolio of both wind and solar generation is both technically feasible and desirable.

398 **V. The REDI RFP should begin as soon as possible, and should allow proposals for delivery**
399 **later than 2018.**

400 **Q. How will the timing of the REDI RFP affect the wind prices that are received?**

401 A. The timing of the RFP will have a large effect on the wind proposals received, as the
402 Production Tax Credit will begin a multi-year phase out in 2017. The Consolidated
403 Appropriations Act of 2015 extended the Section 45 PTC for electricity produced from
404 wind generation retroactively to January 1, 2015, and prospectively through the end of

¹¹ The Oklahoma wind profile was created with 3Tier modeled data of an Oklahoma wind farm with a 55% capacity factor site using a GE 1.7-100 power curve. The Georgia solar production profile is a blend of simulated solar production profiles from Savannah and Columbus, GA, via NREL's System Advisor Model.

405 2019. After 2016, the credit will be reduced by 20% for projects that begin construction in
406 2017, by 40% for projects that begin construction in 2018, and by 60% for projects that
407 begin in 2019. The wind PTC would expire for projects that begin construction on or after
408 January 1, 2020.

409 Under guidance previously issued by the IRS interpreting the “beginning of
410 construction” rule for qualified renewable power facilities there are two methods that a
411 taxpayer may use to establish that construction of a qualified facility has begun:

- 412 1. A taxpayer may establish the beginning of construction by: (a) starting physical
413 work of a significant nature (Physical Work Test) and (b) thereafter maintaining a
414 continuous program of construction.
- 415 2. Under the second method, a taxpayer may establish the beginning of construction
416 by meeting the so-called “5% safe harbor,” which provides that construction of a
417 facility will be considered as having begun if (1) a taxpayer pays or incurs five
418 percent or more of the total cost of the facility before the applicable expiration date,
419 and (2) thereafter, the taxpayer makes continuous efforts to advance towards
420 completion of the facility.

421 Wind generators need certainty of offtake arrangements prior to incurring five percent of
422 the total cost of the facility or commencing construction. Georgia Power currently plans
423 to file a detailed RFP schedule with the Commission in September 2016, which would lead
424 to the issuance of the RFP likely in early 2017.¹² This timing would eliminate the potential

¹² Transcript of Public Hearing regarding Georgia Power Company's 2016 Integrated Resource Plan and Application for Decertification of Plant Mitchell Units 3, 4A and 4B, Plant Kraft Unit 1 CT, and Intercession City CT, Docket 40161, Tuesday, April 19, 2016. Page 571, line 12.

425 for wind proposals that include the full value of the PTC. Georgia Power should release
426 the RFP as soon as possible.

427 This declining PTC value means that the lowest cost wind will be procured in 2016,
428 and the cost of wind energy will rise between 2016 and 2020 as the tax credit is phased
429 out. Improvements in wind turbine technology have significantly increased the capacity
430 factor of wind, thereby lowering the delivered cost of energy, but near-term improvements
431 in turbine technology will not be sufficient to compensate for this lost PTC value.

432 A Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory analysis on capturing the value of
433 renewable energy tax credits estimated an increase in the levelized PPA price of a wind
434 contract of between \$3.8 and \$6.6/MWh as the PTC dropped from 100% to 80% of its
435 value.¹³

436 **Q. Have other load serving entities acted quickly to take advantage of this opportunity?**

437 Yes. As one example, on April 14, 2016, MidAmerican Energy announced a plan to invest
438 another \$3.6 billion in wind generation in Iowa. MidAmerican plans to request approval
439 from the Iowa Utilities Board over the next few months. According to the press release
440 available on the MidAmerican website: “We have asked the Iowa Utilities Board to
441 approve our request by September 2016, which will allow us to take full advantage of the
442 federal production tax credits available for construction of new wind projects.”

¹³ Bolinger, M, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. “An Analysis of the Costs, Benefits, and Implications of Difference Approaches to Capturing the Value of Renewable Energy Tax Incentives”, May 2014.

443 **Q. Please explain how specifying required online dates may limit the responses received**
444 **in the REDI RFP**

445 A. The current construct of the RFP proposes procuring 210 MW of utility scale renewable
446 projects that can attain commercial operation in 2018 and 215 MW of utility scale
447 renewable projects that can attain commercial operation in 2019. The lowest cost wind
448 resource available to Georgia Power is likely to be Oklahoma Panhandle wind delivered
449 via Plains & Eastern, which will begin delivering energy to the Southeast in 2020. Clean
450 Line plans to allocate a majority of the 4,000 MW of transmission capacity to the Southeast
451 to generator-shippers in 2016 and early 2017. This finite resource will not be available if
452 Georgia Power waits until the next IRP cycle in 2019 to evaluate delivered Plains & Eastern
453 wind, and maintaining a 2018 or 2019 required online date may preclude these resources
454 from competing in the proposed REDI RFP.

455 **VI. The Commission should encourage Georgia Power to evaluate ownership of wind**
456 **assets.**

457 **Q. Please explain how the delivered cost of wind energy would be affected if Georgia**
458 **Power owned the wind assets.**

459 A. Clean Line supports Georgia Power for its willingness to consider asset ownership in the
460 upcoming REDI RFP.¹⁴ Due to Georgia Power's low cost of capital and its ability to apply
461 all tax credits generated in a year against other taxable income, Georgia Power's ownership

¹⁴ Georgia Power Company's 2016 Integrated Resource Plan and Application for Decertification of Plant Mitchell Units 3, 4A and 4B, Plant Kraft Unit 1 CT, and Intercession City CT, pg. 10-106.

462 of wind will provide more value to Georgia Power ratepayers than the purchase of wind
463 under a PPA.¹⁵ Clean Line's analysis indicates that a Georgia Power investment in wind
464 will be approximately \$12/MWh cheaper than the same wind procured via a power
465 purchase agreement, which translates to savings of hundreds of millions of dollars over the
466 life of the asset.

467 Plains & Eastern will tap some of the most competitively priced wind generation
468 that would otherwise be stranded due to lack of transmission. Wind farm development and
469 construction in the Oklahoma Panhandle region is low risk due to favorable permitting
470 rules, low population density, good soil conditions, and local community support. The
471 Request for Information and Open Solicitation processes have shown that thousands of
472 megawatts of wind are under development in the Plains & Eastern resource area. Georgia
473 Power could partner with one of these wind developers, and could ultimately take control
474 of the wind development upon commercial operation, after the development and
475 construction risk has been eliminated.

476 **Q. In the past, Georgia Power has found that power purchase agreements have been**
477 **more economic than utility ownership of solar plants. Would the same be true for**
478 **wind farms?**

¹⁵ Georgia Power's recent issuance of \$325 million of Green Bonds is one evidence of Georgia Power's ability to utilize lower costs of capital compared to typical project financed deals. Typical project finance issuances are priced at approximately 200-250 basis points above U.S. Treasury yields. With a coupon rate of 3.25% issued on March 2nd, Georgia Power's Green Bond is 145 basis points above the 10-year U.S. Treasury yield of approximately 1.8% at the time.

479 A. Likely not. Due to restrictions in the tax code on the solar Investment Tax Credit (“ITC”),
480 third-party generators have an inherent advantage over cost-of-service utilities like Georgia
481 Power. The Internal Revenue Service requires that cost-of-service utilities incorporate the
482 solar investment tax credit (“ITC”) into their ratebase pro rata over the useful life of the
483 facility. This is commonly known as “normalization.” For example, if a solar plant has a
484 useful life of 25 years, a utility could reduce its ratebase in the plant by only 4% of the ITC
485 value per year. In contrast, a third-party generator can recognize the full value of the ITC
486 as soon as the solar plant is placed in service. The present value of the ITC to end-use
487 customers is therefore approximately twice as high for a third-party PPA than for utility
488 ownership, assuming a 7% discount rate.

489 There is no normalization requirement for the wind PTC. In fact, due to their lower
490 cost of capital and tax base, many utilities have found that ownership of wind farms is
491 cheaper for customers than a third-party power purchase agreement.

492 **Q. Have affiliates of Georgia Power owned wind assets?**

493 A. Yes. Georgia Power’s independent power producer affiliate, Southern Power, owns 344
494 MW of wind farms in the United States. While Southern Power is legally separated from
495 Georgia Power, its investment in wind assets is evidence that a low cost of capital and tax
496 capacity allow companies with the Southern Company group to be efficient owners of wind
497 farms.

498 **Q. Please provide examples of other utilities that have benefited from ownership of wind**
499 **assets.**

500 A. According to the American Wind Energy Association, at least 15% of all wind energy
501 generated since 2009 was generated from wind projects owned by utilities. Berkshire

502 Hathaway entities MidAmerican and PacifiCorp own 3,343 MW and 1,031 MW of wind
503 generation respectively. As discussed above, MidAmerican recently announced plan to
504 install another 3,600 MW of wind generation to take advantage of the current PTC
505 extensions. Puget Sound Energy, Portland General Electric, Xcel Energy, Alliant Energy
506 and Minnesota Power all own over 500 MW of wind generation.¹⁶

507 VII. Conclusion

508 **Q. Please provide a summary of Clean Line's recommendations to the Commission and**
509 **Georgia Power Company.**

510 **A.** Clean Line makes the following recommendations on the planned REDI RFP to ensure that
511 the maximum value is realized for Georgia ratepayers.

- 512 • Authorize and direct the procurement of additional renewables beyond the 525 MW
513 currently identified in the IRP so long as the resources are below Georgia Power's
514 avoided cost.
- 515 • Maintain the RFP's flexibility across technologies
- 516 • Accelerate the timing of the RFP to align with the wind Production Tax Credit
517 phase out, resulting in lower costs of wind generation.
- 518 • Allow proposals commencing operations as late as 2021 if they offer higher net
519 benefits to customers.
- 520 • Encourage Georgia Power to evaluate ownership of wind assets.

521 Clean Line commends Georgia Power and the Georgia Public Service Commission for its
522 demonstrated commitment to providing affordable, reliable energy to the residents of the

¹⁶ AWEA, *US Wind Industry Annual Market Report, Year Ending 2015*, pg. 46-47.

523 State of Georgia. The recommendations above will ensure ratepayers have access to the
524 cheapest portfolio of resources for years to come.

525 **Q. Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?**

526 **A. Yes, it does.**