

Exhibit No.:
Issue(s): Policy
Witness: Natelle Dietrich
Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony
Case No.: EA-2019-0010
Date Testimony Prepared: February 5, 2019

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
OF
NATELLE DIETRICH

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY
CASE NO. EA-2019-0010

Jefferson City, Missouri
February 2019

Staff Exhibit No. 100
Date 4-8-19 Reporter TUE
File No. EA-2019-0110

Rebuttal Testimony of
Natelle Dietrich

1 activities relate to energy efficiency, demand side management, demand response and smart
2 grid. I was a member of the Missouri Delegation to the Missouri/Moldova Partnership
3 through NARUC and the US Agency for International Development.

4 I am a member of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners
5 Subcommittee on Rate Design and the Staff Subcommittee on Telecommunications. I serve
6 on the Staff of the Federal/State Joint Board on Universal Service, serve as lead Staff for the
7 Missouri Universal Service Board, and was a member of the Governor's MoBroadbandNow
8 taskforce.

9 Q. Have you previously testified before the Commission?

10 A. Yes. My Case Summary is attached as Schedule ND-r1.

11 **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

12 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

13 A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor the Staff's Rebuttal Report
14 ("Report") that is being filed concurrently with this testimony and provide an overview of
15 Staff's position in this proceeding.

16 Q. Please briefly describe the request of The Empire District Electric Company
17 ("Empire").

18 A. On October 18, 2018, in Case No. EA-2019-0010, The Empire District Electric
19 Company ("Empire") filed an *Application for Certificates of Convenience and Necessity*
20 ("CCN") authorizing it to acquire an interest in the two holding companies that own the
21 companies that will be constructing and installing the Kings Point and North Fork Ridge wind
22 projects ("MO Wind Projects"). The MO Wind Projects are located in or near the Empire
23 service territory, and comprise approximately 150 MW of wind generation each.

Rebuttal Testimony of
Natelle Dietrich

1 On November 18, 2018, in Case No. EA-2019-0118, Empire filed an *Application for*
2 *Certificates of Convenience and Necessity and Motion for Waiver, If Necessary* authorizing it
3 to acquire an interest in the holding company that owns the company that will be constructing
4 and installing the Neosho Ridge wind project (“KS Wind Project”). The KS Wind Project is
5 located in or near the Empire service territory in Neosho County, KS, and comprises
6 approximately 300 MW of wind generation.

7 Q. What is Staff’s recommendation on the Applications?

8 A. Staff reviewed Empire’s Applications based on the five factors the
9 Commission listed in *In Re Tartan Energy*, GA-94-127, 3 Mo.P.S.C.3d 173, 177
10 (1994) -need, qualified to own, operate, control and manage the facilities and provide
11 the service, financial ability, economic feasibility and promotion of the public interest
12 (“Tartan Criteria”). Based on its review, Staff recommends the Commission grant the CCNs
13 as requested in the Applications subject to the following conditions:

- 14 • Implementation of a Market Protection Plan as described in Section II of
15 the Report;
- 16 • Completion of the Southwest Power Pool (“SPP”) Definitive Impact
17 System Impact Studies (“DISIS”);
 - 18 ○ Empire will demonstrate that the outstanding studies do not raise
19 any new issues, and if they do, that the Commission is satisfied
20 with Empire’s solution to address those issues.
- 21 • Completion, and subsequent filing with the Commission, of a sensitivity
22 analysis on curtailment and the dispatching down of each Wind Project;
 - 23 ○ Empire will demonstrate that the analysis does not raise any new
24 issues, and if it does, that the Commission is satisfied with
25 Empire’s solution to address those issues.
- 26 • Filing of the construction-level plans and specifications prior to
27 commencing construction of each Wind Project;
 - 28 ○ If the specifications materially change from those contained in the
29 Applications, Empire must file an updated application for the Wind
30 Project(s);

Rebuttal Testimony of
Natelle Dietrich

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

- Filing of the evidence of all required permits and approvals of affected governmental bodies.
- A commitment from Empire to cap network upgrade costs for which recovery may be sought at Empire's estimate plus a 10% contingency;
- Use of the in-service criteria contained in Schedule CME-r1 to determine whether the projects are in-service;
- A statement that the Commission is not making any finding on ratemaking treatment.

9

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

10

A. Yes.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of The)
Empire District Electric Company for) Case No. EA-2019-0010
Certificates of Convenience and Necessity)
Related to Wind Generation Facilities)

AFFIDAVIT OF NATELLE DIETRICH

STATE OF MISSOURI)
) ss.
COUNTY OF COLE)


COMES NOW NATELLE DIETRICH and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing *Rebuttal Testimony*; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.


Further the Affiant sayeth not.


NATELLE DIETRICH

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 4th day of February 2019.




Notary Public

Natelle Dietrich
Case Summary

Presented testimony or analysis through affidavits on the following cases and proceedings:

- Case No. TA-99-405, an analysis of the appropriateness of a “payday loan” company providing prepaid telecommunications service.
- Case No. TX-2001-73, In the Matter of Proposed New Rules on Prepaid Calling Cards.
- Case No. TO-2001-455, the AT&T/Southwestern Bell Telephone Company arbitration, which included issues associated with unbundled network elements.
- Case No. TX-2001-512, In the Matter of Proposed Amendments to Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-33.010, 33.020, 33.030, 33.040, 33.060, 33.070, 33.080, 33.110, and 33.150 (telecommunications billing practices).
- Case No. TO-2002-222, the MCI/SWBT arbitration.
- Case No. TR-2002-251, In the Matter of the Tariffs Filed by Sprint Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Sprint to Reduce the Basic Rates by the Change in the CPI-TS as Required by 392.245(4), Updating its Maximum Allowable Prices for Non-Basic Services and Adjusting Certain Rates as Allowed by 392.245(11) and Reducing Certain Switched Access Rates and Rebalancing to Local Rates as Allowed by 392.245(9).
- Case No. TX-2002-1026, In the Matter of a Proposed Rulemaking to Implement the Missouri Universal Service Fund End-User Surcharge.
- Case No. TX-2003-0379, In the Matter of Proposed Amendments to Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-3.545, formerly 4 CSR 240-30.010 (tariff filing requirements).
- Case No. TX-2003-0380, In the Matter of Proposed Amendments to Commission Rules 4 CSR 240-2.060, 4 CSR 240-3.020, 4 CSR 240-3.510, 4 CSR 240-3.520, and 4 CSR 240-3.525 (competitive local exchange carrier filing requirements and merger-type transactions).
- Case No. TX-2003-0389, In the Matter of Proposed Amendment to Commission Rules 4 CSR 240-3.530 and 4 CSR 240-3.535, and New Rules 4 CSR 240-3.560 and 4 CSR 240-3.565 (telecommunications bankruptcies and cessation of operation).
- Case No. TX-2003-0445, In the Matter of a Proposed New Rule 4 CSR 240-33.160 Regarding Customer Proprietary Network Information.
- Case No. TX-2003-0487, In the Matter of Proposed Commission Rules 4 CSR 240-36.010, 36.020, 36.030, 36.040, 36.050, 36.060, 36.070, and 36.080 (arbitration and mediation rules).
- Case No. TX-2003-0565, In the Matter of a Proposed Rulemaking to Codify Procedures for Telecommunications Carriers to Seek Approval, Amendment and Adoption of Interconnection and Resale Agreements.
- Case Nos. TX-2004-0153 and 0154, in the Matter of Proposed Rule for 211 Service (emergency and permanent rules).

cont'd Natelle Dietrich

- Case Nos. TO-2004-0370, IO-2004-0467, TO-2004-0505 et al, In the Matter of the Petition of various small LECs for Suspension of the Federal Communications Commission Requirement to Implement Number Portability.
- Case No. TX-2005-0258, In the Matter of a New Proposed Rule 4 CSR 240-33.045 (placement and identification of charges on customer bills).
- Case No. TX-2005-0460, In the Matter of the Proposed Amendments to the Missouri Universal Service Fund Rules.
- Case No. TO-2006-0093, In the Matter of the Request of Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a SBC Missouri, for Competitive Classification Pursuant to Section 392.245.6, RSMo (2205) – 30-day Petition.
- Case Nos. TC-2005-0357, IR-2006-0374, TM-2006-0306, the complaint case, earnings investigation and transfer of assets case to resolve issues related to Cass County Telephone Company, LP, LEC Long Distance, FairPoint Communications, Inc., FairPoint Communications Missouri Inc. d/b/a FairPoint Communications and ST Long Distance Inc. db/a FairPoint Communications Long Distance.
- Case No. TC-2006-0068, FullTel, Inc., v. CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC.
- Case No. TX-2006-0169, In the Matter of Proposed New Rule 4 CSR 240-3.570 Regarding Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Designations for Receipt of Federal Universal Service Fund Support.
- Case No. TX-2006-0429, In the Matter of a Proposed Amendment to 4 CSR 240-3.545 (one day tariff filings).
- Case No. TX-2007-0086, In the Matter of a Proposed Rulemaking to Create Chapter 37 – Number Pooling and Number Conservation Efforts
- Case No. TA-2009-0327, In the Matter of the Petition of TracFone Wireless, Inc. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Missouri for the Limited Purpose of Offering Lifeline and Link Up Service to Qualified Households.
- Case No. RA-2009-0375, In the Matter of the application of Nexus Communications, Inc. dba TSI for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Missouri for the Limited Purpose of Offering Wireless Lifeline and Link Up Service to Qualifying Households.
- Case No. AX-2010-0061, Office of Public Counsel's Petition for Promulgation of Rules Relating to Billing and Payment Standards for Residential Customers.
- Case No. GT-2009-0056, In the Matter of Laclede Gas Company's Tariff Revision Designed to Clarify its Liability for Damages Occurring on Customer Piping and Equipment Beyond the Company's Meter.
- Case No. ER-2012-0166, In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Increase Its Revenues for Electric Service. Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA).
- Case No. ER-2012-0174, In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service. Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA).

cont'd Natelle Dietrich

- Case No. ER-2012-0175, In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service. Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA).
- Case No. ER-2012-0345, In the Matter of Empire District Electric Company of Joplin, Missouri Tariff's Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Missouri Service Area of the Company. Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA).
- File Nos. EO-2013-0396 and EO-2013-0431, In the Matter of the Joint Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Mid South TransCo, LLC, Transmission Company Arkansas, LLC and ITC Midsouth LLC for Approval of Transfer of Assets and Certificate of Convenience and Necessity, and Merger and, in connection therewith, Certain Other Related Transactions; and In the Matter of Entergy Arkansas, Inc.'s Notification of Intent to Change Functional Control of Its Missouri Electric Transmission Facilities to the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator Inc. Regional Transmission System Organization or Alternative Request to Change Functional Control and Motions for Waiver and Expedited Treatment, respectively.
- Case No. MX-2013-0432, In the Matter of a Proposed Rulemaking to Revise Manufactured Housing Rules Regarding Installation and Monthly Reporting Requirements.
- Case No. TX-2013-0324, In the Matter of a Proposed Rulemaking to the Missouri Universal Service Fund.
- Case No. EO-2014-0095, In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Filing for Approval of Demand-Side Programs and for Authority to Establish Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism.
- Case No. EA-2014-0207, In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing It to Construct, Own, Operate, Control, Manage, and Maintain a High Voltage, Direct Current Transmission Line and an Associated Converter Station Providing an Interconnection on the Maywood - Montgomery 345 kV Transmission Line.
- Case No. ER-2014-0370, In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service.
- Case No. WR-2015-0301, In the Matter of Missouri-American Water Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Water and Sewer Service Provided in Missouri Service Areas.
- Case No. ER-2016-0156, In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service.
- Case No. ET-2016-0246, In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri for Approval of a Tariff Setting a Rate for Electric Vehicle Charging Stations.
- Case No. ER-2016-0285, In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service.
- Case No. ER-2016-0179, In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Increase its Revenues for Electric Service.

cont'd Natelle Dietrich

- Case No. EE-2017-0113, In the Matter of the Joint Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated, Kansas City Power & Light Company and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company for a Variance from the Commission's Affiliate Transactions Rule, 4 CSR 240-20.015
- Case No. EA-2016-0358, In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Own, Operate, Control, Manage and Maintain a High Voltage, Direct Current Transmission Line and an Associated Converter Station Providing an Interconnection on the Maywood-Montgomery 345kV Transmission Line
- Case No. EM-2017-0226, In the Matter of the Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated for Approval of its Acquisition of Westar Energy, Inc.
- Case No. GR-2017-0215, In the Matter of Laclede Gas Company's Request to Increase its Revenues for Gas Service.
- Case No. GR-2017-0216, In the Matter of Laclede Gas Company d/b/a Missouri Gas Energy's Request to increase its Revenues for Gas Service.
- Case No. WR-2017-0259, In the Matter of the Rate Increase Request of Indian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc.
- Case No. WR-2017-0285, In the Matter of Missouri-American Water Company's Request for Authority to Implement General Rate Increase for Water and Sewer Service Provided in Missouri Service Areas.
- Case No. EM-2018-0012, In the Matter of the Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated for Approval of its Merger with Westar Energy, Inc.
- Case No. EO-2018-0092, In the Matter of the Application of The Empire District Electric Company for Approval of Its Customer Savings Plan.
- Case No. GR-2018-0013, In the Matter of Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff Revisions Designed to Implement a General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service in the Missouri Service Areas of the Company.
- Case No. ER-2018-0145, In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service.
- Case No. ER-2018-0146, In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service.
- Case No. EO-2018-0211, In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's 3rd Filing to Implement Regulatory Changes in Furtherance of Energy Efficiency as Allowed by MEEIA.
- Case Nos. WM-2018-0116 and SM-2018-0117, In the Matter of the Application of Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Inc. to Acquire Certain Water and Sewer Assets, For a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity, and, in Connection Therewith, To Issue Indebtedness and Encumber Assets.
- Actively participated in or prepared comments on numerous issues on behalf of the Commission to be filed at the Federal Communications Commission.
- Prepared congressional testimony on behalf of the Commission on number conservation efforts in Missouri.

cont'd Natelle Dietrich

- A principal author on Missouri Public Service Commission Comments on the Reduction of Carbon Emissions in Missouri under Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act.
- A principal author on Missouri Public Service Commission Comments on the Environmental Protection Agency's "Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Generating Unity".

Commission Arbitration Advisory Lead Staff for the following cases:

- Case No. TO-2005-0336, Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a SBC Missouri's Petition for Compulsory Arbitration of Unresolved Issues For a Successor Interconnection Agreement to the Missouri 271 Agreement ("M2A").
- Case No. IO-2005-0468, In the Matter of the Petition of Alma Telephone Company for Arbitration of Unresolved Issues Pertaining to a Section 251(b)(5) Agreement with T-Mobile USA, Inc.
- Case No. TO-2006-0147 et al, In the Matter of the Petition for Arbitration of Unresolved Issues in a Section 251(b)(5) Agreement with T-Mobile USA, Inc and Cingular Wireless.
- Case No. TO-2006-0299, Petition of Socket Telecom, LLC for Compulsory Arbitration of Interconnection Agreements with CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC and Spectra Communications, LLC, pursuant to Section 251(b)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- Case No. TO-2006-0463, In the Matter of the Petition for Arbitration of Unresolved Issues in a Section 251(b)(5) Agreement with ALLTEL Wireless and Western Wireless.
- Case No. TO-2009-0037, In the Matter of the Petition of Charter Fiberlink-Missouri, LLC for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement Between CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC and Charter Fiberlink-Missouri, LLC.