P

Exhibit:

Issue(s)

CCN for

Empire Kings Point and North Folk

Ridge Projects

Type of Exhibit:

Written Rebuttal

Rebuttal Testimony

Witness:

File No.:

Dr. Janet

Sponsoring Party:

Haslerig Missouri

Department of Conservation

EA-2019-0010

Date Testimony Prepared:

Feb. 4, 2019

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FILE NO. EA-2019-0010

WRITTEN REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DR. JANET HASLERIG ON BEHALF OF MISOURI DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION

FEBRUARY 4, 2019

DENOTES CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

DENOTES HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

MAC Exhibit No. 404 P
Date 4-8-19 Reporter TV
File No. EA -2019 -0010

EXHIBIT

606

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	3
II.	Bald Eagles, Generally	5
III.	Bald Eagles in Missouri	8
IV.	The Impact of the Projects on Bald Eagles	9
V.	MDC's Recommendation Concerning Bald Eagles	14

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DR. JANET HASLERIG MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION

CASE NO. EA-2019-0010

1	T	INT	RC	DII	CTIC	N
1	1.	TILL	$\mathbf{I} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}$	ω	\mathbf{v}	~1

- 2 Q. Please state your name, title and business address.
- 3 A. Janet Haslerig, Ph.D., Resource Scientist, Missouri Department
- 4 of Conservation, P.O. Box 180, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0180.
- 5 Q. What are your qualifications and experience?
- 6 A. I have a Ph.D. in Wildlife Ecology and over 15 years of
- 7 professional experience in wildlife conservation. I have served as the Bald
- 8 Eagle, Interior Least Tern and Northern Harrier Recovery Leader for the
- 9 Missouri Department of Conservation ("MDC") since October 2010. As
- 10 Recovery leader, I monitor the recovery of these species in the state. I am the
- 11 state coordinator for the North American Breeding Bird Survey and the
- 12 Principal Investigator for a long-term songbird research project (MOFEP:
- 13 Missouri Ozark Forest Ecosystem Project). I review the state wildlife
- 14 collector's permit applications for avian species. I serve on the Missouri Bird
- 15 Plan Technical committee.
- 16 Q. Have you testified previously before the Missouri Public
- 17 Service Commission?

- 1 A. Yes. I have provided testimony in Case No. EA-2018-0202 and
- 2 Case No. EA-2019-0021. Both cases involved an application for Certificate of
- 3 Convenience and Necessity filed by Ameren for wind projects.
- 4 Q. Are you familiar with the application for Certificate of
- 5 Convenience and Necessity in the current case filed by Empire?
- 6 A. Yes. I am familiar with the project proposal by Empire District
- 7 Electric ("Empire") to construct a wind farm in Barton, Dade, Jasper, and
- 8 Lawrence counties, Missouri known as the Kings Point and North Fork Ridge
- 9 Wind Farm (the "Projects"). I have reviewed shapefiles provided by Empire
- 10 designating the project boundary and have compared that information with
- data contained in the MDC's Natural Heritage Database, which indicates
- 12 current and past locations of threatened and endangered species as well as

¹The Missouri Natural Heritage Program, which provides scientific information about Missouri's species and natural communities of conservation concern to help guide effective conservation action and natural resource management. Information critical to the conservation of Missouri's biodiversity is made available to governmental agencies, private industry, conservation organizations, researchers, academia, and the public to inform decision-making and prioritization of resources. Identifying, mapping, and understanding Missouri's biodiversity is essential to protect our natural heritage. As a member of the multi-national Natural Heritage Program Network organization, NatureServe, the Missouri Natural Heritage Program contributes to an understanding of global biodiversity and helps to provide for the conservation and recovery of the earth's species and ecosystems. MDC collects and manages information on the location and status of species and natural communities of conservation concern throughout the state. The Missouri Natural Heritage Database is a repository for this information and is part of an international network.

- 1 species designated by MDC as Species of Conservation Concern ("SOCC") as
- 2 described below.
- 3 Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
- 4 A. The purpose of my testimony is to express concern that the
- 5 Projects potentially pose a risk to bald eagles and to explain why the
- 6 Commission should impose conditions on the Projects related to mitigation
- 7 and monitoring to ensure that the construction and operation of the proposed
- 8 wind turbines do not adversely impact the state's interest in protection of
- 9 wildlife species.
- 10 II. BALD EAGLES
- 11 Q. Are bald eagles currently protected by federal or state
- 12 law?
- 13 A. Yes, both. While bald eagles were removed from the federal
- 14 Endangered Species Act list in June 2007, they remain federally protected by
- the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, 16 U.S.C. 668-668c, and the
- Migratory Bird Treaty Act, 16 U.S.C. 703-712. These acts generally prohibit
- anyone, without a permit, from taking or disturbing bald eagles, including
- 18 their parts, nests, or eggs. The bald eagle has been also listed by MDC as a
- 19 Species of Conservation Concern ("SOCC"). This state designated status and
- 20 rank indicate the level of concern about the species and/or natural
- 21 community continued existence throughout its range in Missouri. The bald

- 1 eagle is currently listed as "S3" within the state which means that it is
- 2 vulnerable in the state due to a restricted range, relatively few populations or
- 3 occurrences, recent and widespread declines, or other factors making it
- 4 vulnerable to extirpation. As an SOCC, the bald eagle in Missouri warrants
- 5 routine monitoring to assess the population status and to document the
- 6 continual recovery of the species as well as detect any eminent or pending
- 7 threats to its survival.
- 8 Q. Can you explain the history of the bald eagle as it relates
- 9 to federal protection?
- 10 A. The bald eagle is a North American species with a historic range
- 11 from Alaska and Canada to northern Mexico. As many as 300,000 500,000
- 12 bald eagles once made their home on the continent in the 1700s. By 1963, less
- than 500 nesting pairs remained in the lower 48 states. Habitat destruction
- and degradation, illegal shooting, and the contamination of its food source,
- 15 largely because of dichlorobiphenyl-trichloroethane ("DDT"), decimated the
- eagle population. Consequently, in 1978, the United States Fish and Wildlife
- 17 Service ("USFWS") listed the bald eagle as endangered in all 48 contiguous
- 18 states under the Endangered Species Act. With the enforced federal
- 19 protection, bald eagles have recovered dramatically with about 10,000
- 20 nesting pairs in the lower 48 states. In 2007, the USFWS announced the

- 1 recovery of our nation's symbol and removal from the federal list of
- 2 threatened and endangered species.
- 3 Q. Can you generally describe the life history of bald eagles?
- 4 A. Bald eagles may live 15 to 25 years in the wild, and longer in
- 5 captivity. Eagles mate for life, choosing the tops of large trees to build nests,
- 6 which they typically use and enlarge each year. They may also have one or
- 7 more alternate nests within their breeding territory. Breeding bald eagles
- 8 (beginning in fourth or fifth year) typically lay one to three eggs once a year,
- 9 and they hatch after about 35 days. The breeding territory varies based on
- 10 location and the abundance of food in the area. The area traveled by a
- 11 nesting pair for food gathering, mating and caring for young, based on my
- 12 observations is generally 1-2 miles.

- Hatchlings typically become fledglings (ready for the first flight) by
- the fledgling learns how to hunt for food, they are dependent on the adults for

twelve weeks and generally remain in the nest area for several months. Until

- 16 food and will remain in the nest area for several weeks at which time they
- 17 will follow the adults to foraging sites. In addition, it is well documented that
- 18 fledged eagles typically return to the general vicinity (approximately 100
- 19 miles) of their nest area to breed once they have reached sexual maturity
- 20 between the ages of four to five. Disease, lack of food, human disturbance,

- 1 lead poisoning, electrocution, collision with vehicles or power lines kill many
- 2 fledglings.

4 III. BALD EAGLES IN MISSOURI

- 5 Q. What resources has MDC's invested in restoring,
- 6 managing and protecting bald eagles?
- 7 A. MDC has invested and will continue to invest considerable
- 8 resources in the restoration, management and protection of bald eagles. From
- 9 1981 to 1990, MDC, in cooperation with USFWS and the Dickerson Park Zoo
- 10 in Springfield, released 74 young bald eagles in Missouri to reestablish them
- 11 as nesters. The eaglets were obtained from captive breeding facilities or
- 12 healthy wild populations and released in good nesting habitat at Mingo
- 13 National Wildlife Refuge and Schell-Osage Conservation Area. Since 1990,
- 14 MDC has opportunistically monitored the population of nesting bald eagles in
- 15 the state. After the USFWS delisted the bald eagles, we have systematically
- 16 surveyed nesting bald eagles under the USFWS post-delisting monitoring
- 17 plan. This plan calls for states nationwide to monitor the status of bald eagles
- 18 for a 20-year period. In 2006 (prior to the official delisting of the bald eagle
- under the ESA), MDC participated in the pilot study to test the effectiveness
- 20 of the post-delisting monitoring protocol. Since then, MDC conducted
- 21 statewide aerial and ground surveys in 2011, 2016, 2017 and 2018. The

1	yearly estimated monetary cost of conducting aerial surveys is approximately		
2	\$10,189 (helicopter only), not including staff hours.		
3	Initiated in the spring of 2018, the Missouri Eagle Watch Program		
4	allows volunteers to contribute to "real" science by collecting critical		
5	monitoring information necessary for the conservation and protection of bald		
6	eagles in the state. The Eagle Watch Program is a standardized and		
7	comprehensive eagle nest monitoring program using citizen scientists to		
8	monitor bald eagle populations and their productive status. In just the first		
9	year, we had over 35 citizens participate in this program and we expect that		
10	number to grow rapidly.		
11			
12	IV. THE IMPACT OF THE PROJECTS ON BALD EAGLES		
13	Q. Are you concerned about the impact of the Kings Point		
14	Project proposed in this case upon bald eagles? And if so, why?		
15	A. Based upon shapefiles and reports provided by Empire in		
16	response to MDC data requests, as well as a review of the MDC's Natural		
17	Heritage Database, there are no known bald eagle active or inactive nests		
18	within the Project boundary. As defined by Empire, in the Eagle Nest Survey		
19	Area (Project boundary plus 10- mile buffer), there are		
20	***		
21	***. I am concerned about the potential impacts from ***_		

1	*** that are located less than ***			
2	*** See Figure 1.			
3	Q. Are you concerned about the impact of the North Folk			
4	Ridge Project proposed in this case upon bald eagles? And if so, why?			
5	A. Based upon shapefiles and reports provided by Empire in			
6	response to MDC data requests, as well as a review of the MDC's Natural			
7	Heritage Database, there are no known bald eagle active or inactive nests			
8	within the Project boundary. As defined by Empire, in the Eagle Nest Survey			
9	Area (Project boundary plus 10-mile buffer), there are			
10	***			
11	***. MDC's Natural Heritage Database lists an			
12	additional **			
13				
14	I have minimal concern about the			
15	proximity of this occupied/active nest to the Project boundary.			
16	Q. What evidence exists to support your claim that wind			
17	farms could impact Missouri's bald eagles?			
18	A. According to the National Audubon Society, wind turbines and			
19	their associated infrastructure kill an estimated 140,000 to 328,000 birds			

- 1 each year in North America.² However, at best these are very rough
- 2 estimates that are highly variable due in part of the lack of published and
- 3 comparable studies or the general lack of rigorous monitoring and reporting
- 4 of eagle mortalities.³ It is my understanding that wind energy is among the
- 5 fastest growing energy sectors in the world, and one of the most concerning
- 6 threats to birds and bats in the United States.⁴ At the end of 2016, there were
- 7 more than 52,000 operating, commercial-scale wind turbines in the United
- 8 States and many more currently under construction.⁵
- 9 Increasingly, estimates of raptor mortality at wind farms is the subject
- 10 of intense effort and study. Reportedly, diurnal raptors like bald eagles are

² Bryce, E. 2016. Will Wind Turbines Ever Be Safe For Birds. National Audubon Society.

³ American Wind Wildlife Institute (AWWI). 2018. Wind Turbine Interactions with Wildlife and Their Habitats: A Summary of Research Results and Priority Questions. Washington, DC.

⁴ Pagel, Joel, K. Kritz, B. Millsap, R. Murphy, E. Kershner and S. Covington. 2013. Bald Eagle and Golden Eagle Mortalities at Wind Energy Facilities in the Contiguous United States. J. Raptor Res. 47(3):311-315.

⁵ Colleen Martin, E. Arnett, and M. Wallace. 2013. Evaluating Bird and Bat Post-Construction Impacts at the Sheffield Wind Facility, Vermont. 2012 Annual Report.

⁶ Colleen Martin, E. Arnett, and M. Wallace. 2013. Evaluating Bird and Bat Post-Construction; Impacts at the Sheffield Wind Facility, Vermont. 2012 Annual Report; Hutchins, Michael. 2017. Wind Energy and Birds FAQ- Part 1: Understand the Threats Wind Energy Poses to Birds. American Bird Conservancy.

- 1 relatively vulnerable to collision with wind turbines. Because these groups
- 2 are far less abundant than song birds, there is concern that the potential
- 3 relatively high fatality rates are reflective of a high vulnerability to collision.8
- 4 The high vulnerability of birds of prey is especially problematic as many
- 5 species are slow to reproduce. Thus, a loss of breeding adults from fatal
- 6 collisions has a greater effect on the population than on many other avian
- 7 species. Significant losses to raptors are exacerbated by wind energy projects
- 8 located in or near major migratory routes, stopover sites, or key breeding or
- 9 foraging areas. 10 Disturbance, displacement from suitable habitat, or
- 10 demographic effects due to fragmentation of habitat from pre-construction,
- 11 construction, or operation and maintenance activities might result in loss of

⁷ Watson, R.T., P.S. Kolar, M. Ferrer, T. Nygard, N. Johnston, W.G. Hunt, H.A. Smit-Robinson, C.J. Farmer, M. Huso and T. E. Katzner. 2018. Raptor Interactions with Wind Energy: Case Studies From Around the World. J. Raptor Res. 52(1):1-18.

⁸ American Wind Wildlife Institute (AWWI). 2018. Wind Turbine Interactions with Wildlife and Their Habitats: A Summary of Research Results and Priority Questions. Washington, DC.

⁹ Mojica, E.K., B. Watts, and C. L. Turrin. 2016. Utilization Probability Map for Migrating Bald Eagles in Northeastern North America. A Tool for Sitting Wind Energy Facilities and Other Flight Hazards. PLoS ONE 11(6):e0157807.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0157807.

¹⁰ Pagel, supra n. 2.

- 1 productivity at nearby nests. 111 Serious disturbance or mortality effects could
- 2 result in the permanent or long-term loss of a nesting territory and
- 3 disturbances near important eagle use areas or migration concentration sites
- 4 might stress eagles so much that they suffer reproductive failure or mortality
- 5 elsewhere.
- 6 Q. Are you familiar with Eagle Conservation Plans, which
- 7 when developed in consultation with the USFWS will permit the
- 8 incidental take of eagles under certain circumstances?
- 9 A. Yes, I am familiar with Eagle Conservation Plans ("ECP"),
- 10 generally. An ECP documents how the project developer or operator intends
- 11 to comply with the regulatory requirements for programmatic permits under
- 12 the Federal Endangered Species Act and the associated federal National
- 13 Environmental Policy Act process by avoiding and minimizing the risk of
- 14 taking eagles up-front, and formally evaluating possible alternatives in
- 15 (ideally) siting, configuration, and operation of wind projects. 12 Post-
- 16 construction monitoring (i.e., disturbance and fatality monitoring) may be

¹¹ Beston, Julie A., J. Diffendorfer, Scott R. Loss, and D.H. Johnson. 2016. Prioritizing Avian Species For Tier Risk of Population-Level Consequences from Wind Energy Development. PLoS One (3): e0150813.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150813.

¹² USFWS Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance, 2013. https://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/pdf/management/eagleconservationplanguidance.pdf

- 1 required by USFWS as a condition of an eagle incidental take permit and will
- 2 be required for wind-energy projects that may potentially take eagles.

- 4 V. MDC'S RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING BALD EAGLES
- 5 Q. What is the MDC asking the Public Service Commission to
- 6 do with respect to bald eagles?
- 7 A. MDC is asking that the Commission ensure that Missouri
- 8 citizens' investment in conservation of bald eagles is protected by requiring
- 9 that an ECP be a condition of the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity
- 10 (CCN). MDC further asks that the following conditions be imposed so that
- 11 that MDC can adequately protect, monitor and determine the impacts of the
- 12 Project on the area's bald eagles, as well as raptors and bird species of
- 13 conservation concern:
- 14 1. Require Empire to conduct post-construction monitoring of eagle
- 15 fatality and disturbances in accordance with USFWS Eagle Conservation
- 16 Plan Guidance. Fatality monitoring efforts involve searching for eagle
- 17 carcasses beneath turbines and other facilities to estimate the number of
- 18 fatalities. Disturbance monitoring will determine post-construction territory
- 19 or roost occupancy rates, nest success rates and productivity.

1	2.	Require a minimum of one-mile buffer around known active and
2	inactive or	alternative eagle nests within the Project area where turbines
3	cannot be	constructed.

- 3. Require Empire to conduct bald eagle nest surveys this spring (2019) as recommended in the USFWS Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance.
- 6 4. Require Empire to report all eagle carcasses observed within 48
 7 hours to the MDC via email noting the date, turbine location (UTMs), species,
 8 and sex.
- 9 5. Require Empire to report observed mortalities for all raptor and bird species of conservation concern ("SOCC") observed annually by

 11 December 31. Describe each individual species, date found, and location.
- 12 6. Require Empire to provide MDC copies of all quarterly/annual
 13 monitoring reports submitted to USFWS.
- 7. Require Empire to provide the Public Service Commission annual reports documenting its monitoring and any raptor fatalities on the Project area.
- 17 8. Prohibit Empire or its agents from clearing any trees with active or inactive eagle nests during the construction or operation of the Project.
- 19 Q. Why should the Public Service Commission consider these 20 issues when issuing a CCN?

- 1 A. The degradation of Missouri's eagle population due to wind farm 2 mortalities damages the investments MDC has made related to bald eagles 3 and is detrimental to the public interest. The bald eagle is a symbol of 4 national significance. In 1782, a committee of the Continental Congress 5 selected the bald eagle as our nation's symbol. At that time, there were an 6 estimated 100,000 nesting pairs in the United States. By 1890, bald eagles 7 were nearly eliminated as nesters in Missouri, and by 1963, the bald eagle 8 population was reduced to only 487 nesting pairs nationwide. Through 9 increased protection, reintroductions and education spanning decades, the 10 bald eagle population slowly increased. The bald eagle's recovery is one of the 11 great conservation success stories in the United States. Although bald eagle 12 numbers have increased from delisting in 2009, they are still well below 13 historic numbers. Therefore, continued monitoring is critical to ensure a stable and increasing population. The public, through MDC's Eagle Watch 14 15 Program and "Eagle Days" events held throughout the state, are 16 enthusiastically engaged in helping ensure that the bald eagle continues to 17 thrive in the state. As an example, the 2018 Eagle Days Event at the 18 Springfield Conservation Nature Center hosted over 5,000 visitors for the 19 three-day event. This continues to be the Springfield Conservation Nature 20 Center's most popular event.
 - Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

P

1 A. Yes

FIGURE 1. KINGS POINT WIND PROJECT.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Electric District Electric Company for Approval and a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Related to its Customer Savings Plan) Case No. EA-2019-0010)
AFFIDAVIT OF DR. JANET	HASLERIG
STATE OF MISSOURI)	
OUNTY OF COLE) ss	
Dr. Janet Haslerig, being first duly sworn on her oath, state	es: -
1. My name is Dr. Janet Haslerig. I work in Je	fferson City, Missouri, and am
employed at the Missouri Department of Conservation as a	Resource Scientist.
2. Attached to this affidavit and made a part he	ereof for all purposes is my Written
Rebuttal Testimony (testimony) on behalf of Missouri Dep	artment of Conservation. The
testimony is17_ pages and has been prepared in the ap	propriate format to be introduced into
evidence in the case above.	
3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers of	contained in the attached testimony to
the questions promulgated therein are true and correct.	R. JANET HASLERIG
Sworn to and subscribed before me this day of Feb.	ruary, 2019.
	Faura M. Sticker Notary Public
My commission expires: November 24, 2021	LAURA M. STICKANN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: November 24, 2021 Commission Number: 13551367