Jason Kander

Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division

RULE TRANSMITTAL

Administrative Rules Stamp

RECEIVED

JAN 2 2 2014

FILED
January 22, 2014
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Rule Number 4 CSR 240-2.090	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		× 40.
Use a "SEPARATE" rule transmittal	sheet for EACH ind	ividual rulen	naking.
	Phone <u>573-751-2849</u>	FAX	573-526-6010
Email address morris.woodruff@psc.r	no.gov		
Data Entry Chris Koenigsfeld	Phone 573-751-4250	6 FAX	573-526-6010
Email address christine.koenigsfeld@	psc.mo.gov		
TYPE OF RULEMAKING ACTION Emergency rulemaking, include e Proposed Rulemaking Withdrawal Rule Action Not Request for Non-Substantive Cha Statement of Actual Cost Order of Rulemaking Effective Date for the Order Statutory 30 days OR Specific day Does the Order of Rulemaking contain	effective date tice In Addition unge		
YES—LIST THE SECTIONS W	TTH CHANGES, inc	cluding any d	leleted rule text:
Small Business Regulator Fairness Board (DED) Star	•	JCA	R Stamp
SMALL BUSINESS REGULATORY FAIRNESS I	BOARD		JAN 2 2 2014

JAN 2 2 2014

RECEIVED

Woodruff January 22, 2014 Certification of Administrative Rule

Statutory Authority: sections 386.410, RSMo Supp. 2012

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed rulemaking, please contact:

Morris L. Woodruff, Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-2849 morris.woodruff@psc.mo.gov

Morris L. Woodruff

Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Morris L. G

Enclosures



Commissioners

ROBERT S. KENNEY Chairman

STEPHEN M. STOLL

WILLIAM P. KENNEY

DANIEL Y. HALL

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov JOSHUA HARDEN General Counsel

MORRIS WOODRUFF Secretary

WESS A. HENDERSON Director of Administration and Regulatory Policy

CHERLYN D. VOSS
Director of Regulatory Review

KEVIN A. THOMPSON Chief Staff Counsel

January 22, 2014

Jason Kander Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-2.090 Discovery and Prehearings

Dear Secretary Kander,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rulemaking lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed rulemaking will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Public Service Commission further certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo 2000, that the proposed rulemaking does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law, and that the proposed rulemaking conforms to the requirements of 1.310, RSMo Supp. 2012, regarding user fees.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby also certifies that this proposed rulemaking complies with the small business requirements of 1.310, RSMo Supp. 2012, in that it does not have an adverse impact on small businesses consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees or it is necessary to protect the life, health, or safety of the public, or that this rulemaking complies with 1.310, RSMo Supp. 2012, by exempting any small business consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees from its coverage, by implementing a federal mandate, or by implementing a federal program administered by the state or an act of the general assembly.

Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Division 240—Public Service Commission Chapter 2—Practice and Procedure

RECEIVED

JAN 2 2 2014

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

4 CSR 240-2.090 Discovery and Prehearings. The Commission is amending section (2) of the rule.

PURPOSE: This amendment breaks the single section requirements into subsections to make the rule more readable and adds the requirement for submission of data requests through the Commission's Electronic Filing and Information System (EFIS).

- [(2) Parties may use data requests as a means for discovery. The party to whom data requests are presented shall answer the requests within twenty (20) days after receipt unless otherwise agreed by the parties to the data requests. If the recipient objects to data requests or is unable to answer within twenty (20) days, the recipient shall serve all of the objections or reasons for its inability to answer in writing upon the requesting party within ten (10) days after receipt of the data requests, unless otherwise ordered by the commission. If the recipient asserts an inability to answer the data requests within the twenty (20)-day time limit, the recipient shall include the date it will be able to answer the data requests simultaneously with its reasons for its inability to answer. Upon agreement by the parties or for good cause shown, the time limits may be modified. As used in this rule, the term data request shall mean an informal written request for documents or information which may be transmitted directly between agents or employees of the commission, public counsel or other parties. Answers to data requests need not be under oath or be in any particular format, but shall be signed by a person who is able to attest to the truthfulness and correctness of the answers. Sanctions for failure to answer data requests may include any of those provided for abuse of the discovery process in section (1) of this rule. The responding party shall promptly notify the requesting party of any changes to the answers previously given to a data request].
- (2) Parties may use data requests as a means for discovery.
- (A) Data request means an informal written request for documents or information that may be transmitted directly between attorneys, agents or employees of the commission, public counsel or other parties.
- (B) Answers to data requests need not be under oath or be in any particular format, but shall be signed by a person who is able to attest to the truthfulness and correctness of the answers.
- (C) The party to whom data requests are presented shall answer the requests within twenty (20) days after receipt unless otherwise agreed by the parties to the data requests.
- (D) If the recipient objects to data requests or is unable to answer within twenty (20) days, the recipient shall serve all of the objections or reasons for its inability to answer in writing upon the requesting party within ten (10) days after receipt of the data requests, unless otherwise ordered by the commission.
- (E) If the recipient asserts an inability to answer the data requests within the twenty (20)-day time limit, the recipient shall include the date it will be able to answer the data requests simultaneously with its reasons for its inability to answer.
- (F) The responding party shall promptly notify the requesting party of any changes to the answers previously given to a data request.

JAN 2 2 2014

- (G) Upon agreement by the parties or as ordered by the commission for good cause shown, the time limits for serving or answering data requests may be modified.
- (H) Any data request issued to or by the staff of the commission shall be submitted and responded to in the commission's electronic filing and information system (EFIS).
- (I) Sanctions for failure to answer data requests may include any of those provided for abuse of the discovery process in section (1) of this rule.

AUTHORITY: section 386.410, RSMo Supp. [1998] 2012.* Original rule filed Dec. 19, 1975, effective Dec. 29, 1975. Amended: Filed Nov. 7, 1984, effective June 15, 1985. Amended: Filed June 9, 1987, effective Nov. 12, 1987. Rescinded and readopted: Filed March 10, 1995, effective Nov. 30, 1995. Rescinded and readopted: Filed Aug. 24, 1999, effective April 30, 2000. *Original authority: 386.410, RSMo 1939, amended 1947, 1977, 1996.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed rule will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions in excess of \$500.00 in total.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rule will not cost private entities in excess of \$500.00 in total.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING: Anyone may file comments in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Morris L. Woodruff, Secretary of the Commission, PO Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received at the Commission's offices on or before April 2, 2014, and should include a reference to Commission Case No. AX-2014-0193. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using the Commission's electronic filing and information system at http://www.psc.mo.gov/efis.asp. A public hearing regarding this proposed rule is scheduled for April 7, 2014, at 10:00 a.m., in Room 305 of the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison St., Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule, and may be asked to respond to Commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 or TDD Hotline 1-800-829-7541.

AFFIDAVIT

PUBLIC COST

STATE OF MISSOURI) COUNTY OF COLE)
I, Mike Downing, Acting Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn, on my oath, state that it is my opinion that the cost of proposed rule, 4 CSR 240-2.090, is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof. Mike Downing Acting Director Department of Economic Development
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9th day of January, 2014, I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of 6 to 8. State of Missouri, and my commission expires on 17 July 2015.

ANNETTE KEHNER
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: July 17, 2015
Commission Number: 11492656

Notary Public

Small Business Regulator Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: January 6, 2014

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-2.090

Name of Agency Preparing Statement: Missouri Public Service

Commission

Name of Person Preparing Statement: Morris Woodruff

Phone Number: 573-751-2849 Email: morris.woodruff@psc.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement: Morris Woodruff

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique).

The only change to filing requirements is that data requests to and from the Commission's Staff must be submitted through the Commission's Electronic Filing & Information System (EFIS). There are no significant differences in compliance, reporting or any other requirements that would impact small businesses.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

This rule change will not have an impact on small businesses so their involvement has not been sought.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

The proposed rule will have no monetary impact on the MPSC or any other state agency.

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

Small utilities that send data requests to the Commission's Staff will be required to comply with the proposed rule. However, the rule change will simply require them to send data requests to a different address and will not impose a burden on them.

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

There should be no direct or indirect costs associated with compliance.

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

Regulated electric, natural gas, steam, telephone, sewer and water utilities, as well as manufactured home manufactures and dealers.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes	No X

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.