Chapter 10 - Appendix A

Preferred Plan Selection Scorecard¹

Planning Objectives, Weights and Measures						
Category Category Weight	Environmental/ Renewable/ Resource Diversity 20%	Financial/ Regulatory 20% PV Free Cash	Customer Satisfaction 20%	Economic Development 10% Net Job Growth	Cost 30%	Overall Assessment 100%
Plan	Resource Diversity	Flow	Rate Increases	(FTE-years)	PVRR	
R - RAP-35% CO2 Reduction	2	5	5	4	5	4.30
A - RAP	1	5	4	4	5	3.90
P - Meramec Retired 2020	1	5	4	4	5	3.90
Q - RES Compliance only	1	5	4	4	5	3.90
B - RAP EE only	1	5	3	3	5	3.60
M - Rush Island Retired 2024	3	4	3	4	4	3.60
N - Labadie Retired 2024	4	3	3	4	4	3.60
O - Meramec 2020-Labadie 2024	4	3	3	4	4	3.60
D-MAP	1	4	2	5	5	3.40
E - MAP EE only	1	4	1	3	5	3.00
F - MAP DR only	1	5	4	1	3	3.00
C - RAP DR only	1	5	4	1	2	2.70
L - No DSM-Solar	1	4	4	1	2	2.50
K - No DSM-Wind&SC	2	3	3	2	2	2.40
G - No DSM-CC	2	3	3	1	2	2.30
I - No DSM-Pumped Storage	2	3	3	1	2	2.30
H - No DSM-SC	1	3	3	1	2	2.10
J - No DSM-Nuclear	2	1	1	3	1	1.40

Scoring Guide			
Significant Advantage	5		
Moderate Advantage	4		
No Advantage or Disadvantage	3		
Moderate Disadvantage	2		
Significant Disadvantage	1		

Overall Assessment Guide			
Top-tier Plan			
Mid-tier Plan			
Bottom-tier Plan			

	Notes on Scores by Policy Objective			
Environmental/Diversity	Inclusion of MAP or RAP energy efficiency; new nuclear; combined cycle; significant early coal retirement; additional wind, solar or pumpe			
	hydro were viewed as advantageous.			
Financial Regulatory	Financial and regulatory risks associated with new nuclear; significant early coal retirement; cessation of energy efficiency programs;			
	and/or implementation of overly aggressive energy efficiency programs were viewed as disadvantageous, as were large negative impacts			
	on cash flow.			
Customer Satisfaction	Lower levelized annual rate increases, inclusion of energy efficiency and demand response, inclusion of additional new zero carbon			
	resources, and reductions in coal-fired emissions were viewed as advantageous.			
Economic Development	Plans were rated on a relative scale based on direct jobs (FTE-years) including both construction and operation.			
Cost (PYRR)	Plans were rated on a relative scale based on present value of revenue requirements (PVRR).			

Key to Abbreviations	CC = Combined Cycle Gas Turbine Generator	DR Only = Demand Response Only, No Energy Efficiency
EE Only = Energy Efficiency Only, No Demand Response	MAP = Maximum Achievable Potential DSM Port	t MEEIA = Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act Cycle 1
RAP = Realistic Achievable Potential DSM Portfolio	RES = Renewable Energy Standard	SC = Simple Cycle Gas Turbine Generator

 $^{^1}$ 4 CSR 240-22.010(2)(C); 4 CSR 240-22.010(2)(C)1 through 3; 4 CSR 240-22.070(1); 4 CSR 240-22.070(1) (A) through (D)

Compliance References

4 CSR 240-22.010(2)(C)	
4 CSR 240-22.010(2)(C)1 through 3	
4 CSR 240-22.070(1)	
4 CSR 240-22.070(1) (A) through (D)	