Craig Mershon

11931 El Sabado Drive St. Louis, MO 63138

January 21, 2014

JAN 3.0 2014

Missouri Public Service Commission Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65201

Missouri Public Service Commission

To Whom It May Concern:

Here are two pleadings and hopefully you will have them copied so other people within my case can have a copy of each pleading. I was told to send it to your office that is where I am sending these pleadings right now. I am having a pretty rough time with these people that are on this case. I hope you do not give me any problems either. Thank you for your time and attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

Craig D Mershon

File No/EC 2013-0521

ce: Mr. Morris Woodruff, Secretary Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street

Jefferson City, Missouri 65201

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Craig Mershon)	File No. EC 2013-0521
Complainant,)	
)))	
vs		JAN 3-0 2014

Union Electric Company a/k/a Ameren Missouri Respondent,

Missouri Public Service Commission

MOTION OF OBJECTION FOR NOTICE OF HEARING ORDER RESETTING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE, AND ORDER TO FILE RESPONSE

Mr. Craig Mershon is giving notice of his objection of an evidentiary hearing resetting the procedural schedule in accordance with *Ameren's Third Proposed*Procedural Schedule ('motion') subject to Mr. Daniel Jordan's order directing Mr.

Mershon to file a response to his order.

Mr. Mershon's response is as follows: He does not have enough time to do discovery on a limited basis when the Regulatory Judge Jordan is requesting more pleadings. Mr. Mershon understood that there will be no more pleadings from anyone until discovery is completed. Mr. Mershon spoke with Mr. Jordan on January 10, 2014 requesting that the hearing be postponed so he can do some discovery using Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP) and the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Mr. Mershon has not had a chance to file such request.

It appears Mr. Jeffrey Keevil, Attorney for the Missouri Public Service

Commission and Ms. Sarah Giboney, Attorney for Ameren Missouri is giving Mr.

Mershon a great deal of problems and this has been addressed before and nothing has been done about it the harassment, insensitivity, discrimination, and control keep resurfacing.

Mr. Mershon is objecting also to the following:

- Deadline to Serve Discovery, January 20, 2014.
- Deadline to Respond to Discovery Served, January 24, 2014.
- Final Pre Hearing Conference to Address Discovery Disputes or other Pre-Hearing Matters, January 28, 2014.
- Evidentiary Hearing beginning at 10:30 AM, February 27, 2014.
- Deadline to File Post Hearing Briefs, March 13, 2014.

Given the fact that Mr. Mershon has been unable thus for to draft any pleadings regarding to discovery it would be an injustice to him to follow a schedule that will take some time for even the opposing side to complete in a timely manner. Mr. Mershon is entitled to have two sets of Motions for Production of Documents, Request for Admissions, Interrogatories, and any other documents pertaining to his case. It appears that Mr. Mershon is still being treated unfairly by all involved in this case. Mr. Mershon will outline the dates he believes that are fair for him given the opposing side's response to his discovery request.

The schedule Mr. Mershon proposes is as follows:

- Begin Discovery on January 27, 2014. This gives Mr. Mershon's typist
 time to draft the pleading and send it back to him in order for it to reach
 the Missouri Public Service Data Center for distribution to all other
 parties.
- Deadline to Respond or Object to Discovery Served on February 7, 2014.
 This gives the opposing side time to find documents Mr. Mershon is requesting in a timely manner so that there will not be any confusion whatsoever about the documents.
- Final Pre-Hearing Conference to Address Discovery Disputes or other
 Pre- Hearing Matters on February 27, 2014.
- Evidentiary Hearing should be around 1:30 PM on a date yet to be determined depending on the completion of discovery.
- Deadline to File Post Hearing Briefs on a date to be determined depending on the completion of discovery.

Mr. Mershon believes that he is at a disadvantage because the Regulatory Judge has favored Ameren Missouri. Mr. Mershon asked the evidentiary hearing be postponed until he has completed the discovery process so he will have a chance to argue his case. It is apparent the Mr. Keevil, Attorney and Ms. Giboney are going to proceed despite Mr. Mershon's request that all pleadings be halted in regard to the evidentiary hearings except for discovery process pleadings.

 Mr. Mershon objects to all of the information set forth in the body of the Regulatory Judges order.

If the Commission convenes a pre Hearing conference on January 28, 2014 Mr.
 Mershon will not be present because discovery would not have begun and it will only be fair that Mr. Mershon complete discovery before any conference can be held.

 The Commission can convene an evidentiary hearing on February 27, 2014 at 10:30 AM in the City Hall Courtroom at 9541 Bellefontaine Rd., St. Louis, Missouri.

Mr. Mershon will not be making an appearance since his discovery is incomplete and he is beginning the process at the time of this pleading being drafted.

Mr. Mershon is responding to this notice and order. He believes he does not have enough time to do his discovery when he is put under a lot of pressure which this order and notice has caused him.

Respectively submitted,

Craig D Mershon