

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

Noranda Aluminum, Inc., et al,)	
)	
Complainants,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. EC-2014-0223
)	
Union Electric Company, d/b/a)	
Ameren Missouri)	
)	
Respondent.)	

JOINT POSITION STATEMENT
OF AARP AND THE CONSUMERS COUNCIL OF MISSOURI

COMES NOW AARP and the Consumers Council of Missouri (“Consumers Council” or “CCM”), and provides the following position statement (in bold):

1. Can and should the Commission order a reduction in Ameren Missouri’s rates as proposed by Complainants, to apply to service rendered after the conclusion of this case?

Yes, the testimonies of Complainant witnesses Greg R. Meyer and Michael P. Gorman provide the Commission with sufficient evidence to prove that Ameren Missouri is currently over-earning by a substantial and material amount with regard to its jurisdictional electric rates. The testimony offered by Staff also supports a finding of substantial and material over-earnings by this utility under the currently authorized ROE.

Consumers deserve to have a timely reduction in rates implemented based upon the record in this case, which contains all relevant factors necessary to establish new rates pursuant to Chapters 386 and 393 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri. Missouri law contains no requirement

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, emailed or hand-delivered to the parties contained on official service list of this proceeding on this 22nd day of July, 2014.

/s/ John B. Coffman
