Title 4--DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Division 240--Public Service Commission

Chapter 22--Electric Utility Resource Planning 4 CSR 240-22.010 Policy Objectives

PURPOSE: This rule states the public policy goal that this chapter is designed to achieve and identifies the objectives that the electric utility resource planning process must serve.

- (1) The commission's policy goal in promulgating this chapter is to set minimum standards to govern the scope and objectives of the resource planning process that is required of electric utilities subject to its jurisdiction in order to ensure that the public interest is adequately served. Compliance with these rules shall not be construed to result in commission approval of the utility's resource plans, resource acquisition strategies or investment decisions.
- (2) The fundamental objective of the resource planning process at electric utilities shall be to provide the public with energy services that are safe, reliable and efficient, at just and reasonable rates, in compliance with all applicable state and federal energy and environmental policies, and in a manner that serves the public interest. This From time to time, the legislature and citizens of Missouri may pass initiatives that redefine the manner in which the public interest is served by demand-side resources, renewable energy and other electric energy resources. The fundamental objective requires that the utility shall--:
- (A) Consider and analyze demand-side efficiency and resources, renewable energy management measures and traditional supply-side resources on an equivalent basis, subject to compliance with supply-side alternatives applicable state and federal legal mandates that may affect the selection of utility electric energy resources, in the resource planning process;
- (B) Use minimization of the present worth of long-run utility costs as the primary selection criterion in choosing the preferred resource plan; and
- (C) Explicitly identify and, where possible, quantitatively analyze any other considerations which are critical to meeting the fundamental objective of the resource planning process, but which may constrain or limit the minimization of the present worth of expected utility costs. The utility shall document the process and rationale used by decision makers to assess the tradeoffs and determine the appropriate balance between minimization of expected utility costs and these other considerations in selecting the preferred resource plan and developing contingency options. the resource acquisition strategy. These considerations shall include, but are not necessarily limited to, mitigation of-
- 1. Risks associated with critical uncertain factors that will affect the actual costs associated with alternative resource plans;
- 2. Risks associated with new or more stringent energy and/or environmental lawslegal mandates or regulations that may be imposed at some point within the planning horizon; and
 - 3. Rate increases associated with alternative resource plans.

AUTHORITY: sections 386.040, 386.610 and 393.140, RSMo 1986 and 386.250, RSMo Supp. 1991.* Original rule filed June 12, 1992, effective May 6, 1993. *Original authority: 386.040, RSMo 1939; 386.250, RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991; 386.610, RSMo 1939; and 393.140, RSMo 1939, amended 1949, 1967. (Add authorities)

PURPOSE: This rule defines terms used in the rules comprising 4 CSR 240-22--Electric Utility Resource Planning.

PUBLISHER'S NOTE: The publication of the full text of the material that the adopting agency has incorporated by reference in this rule would be unduly cumbersome or expensive. Therefore, the full text of that material will be made available to any interested person at both the Office of the Secretary of State and the office of the adopting agency, pursuant to section 536.031.4, RSMo. Such material will be provided at the cost established by state law.

- (1) Avoided cost means the cost savings obtained by substituting demand-side resources for existing and new supply resources. 4 CSR 240-22.050(2) requires the utility to develop the following measures of avoided cost:
- -(A) Avoided utility costs developed pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.050(2)(D), which include energy cost savings plus demand cost savings associated with generation, transmission and distribution facilities; and
- -(B) Avoided probable environmental costs developed pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.050(2)(D) and 4 CSR 240-22.040(2)(B).
- (2) Candidate resource options are demand-side programs resources that pass the screening test required by 4 CSR 240-22.050(7), or supply-side resources that are not rejected on the basis of the screening analysis required by 4 CSR 240-22.040(2).

- (3) Annual update filing means the annual update report prepared by the utility in advance of the annual update workshop and the summary report prepared by the utility following the workshop as referenced in 4 CSR 240-22.080(3).
- (4) Capacity means the maximum capability to continuously produce and deliver electric power via supply-side resources or theavoidance avoidance of the need for this capability by demand-side resources.
- (4) Chance node is a decision-tree fork consisting of two (2) or more branches that represent the range and number of relevant potential outcomes for an uncertain factor.
- (5) Coincident demand means the hourly demand of a component of system load at the hour of system peak demand within a specified interval of time.
- (6) Concern means anything that, while not rising to a deficiency, may prevent the electric utility's resource acquisition strategy from effectively fulfilling the objectives of 4 CSR 240-22.010(2)(A)-(C).
- (7) Contingency option means an alternative choice, decision or course of action designed to enhance the utility's ability to respond quickly and appropriately to events or circumstances that would render the preferred resource plan obsolete.
- (7) Decision node is a decision tree fork consisting of two (2) or more branches that represent the set of decision alternatives being considered by utility planners at that stage of the resource planning process.
- (8) Decision tree is a diagram that specifies the order in which key resource decisions must be made, enumerates the set of decision alternatives to be considered at each stage, identifies the critical uncertain factors that affect the outcome of each decision and shows how the potential range of values for uncertain factors interact with each decision option to affect the expected cost of providing an adequate level and quality of energy services.

- (8) Deficiency means anything that would cause the electric utility's resource acquisition strategy to fail to meet the requirements identified in 4 CSR 240-22.010(2)(A)-(C).
- (9) Demand means the rate of electric power use measured in kilowatts (kW).
- (10) Demand-side measure is synonymous with end-use measure.
- (11) Demand-side resource (or program) means an organized process for packaging and delivering to a particular market segment a portfolio of end-use measures that is broad enough to include at least some measures that are appropriate for most members of the target market segment.
- (12) Driver variable means an external economic or demographic factor that significantly affects some component of utility loads.
- (11) Demand-side rate means a rate structure for retail electric service designed to reduce the net consumption or modify the time of consumption of a customer rate class.
- (12) Demand-side resource is a demand-side program or a demand-side rate conducted by the utility to modify the net consumption of electricity on the retail customer's side of the meter. A load building program or rate is not a demand-side resource.
- (13) Electric utility or utility means any electrical corporation as defined in section 386.020, RSMo which is subject to the jurisdiction of the commission.
- (14) End-use energy service or energy service means the specific need that is served by the final use of energy, such as lighting, cooking, space heating, air conditioning, refrigeration, water heating or motive power.
- (15) End-use measure means an energy-efficiency measure or an energy-management measure.
- (16) Energy means the total amount of electric power that is generated or used over a specified interval of time measured in kilowatt-hours (kWh).
- (17) Energy-efficiency measure means any device, technology, rate structure or operating procedure that makes it possible to deliver an adequate level and quality of end-use energy service while using less energy than would otherwise be required.
- (18) Energy-management measure means any device, technology, rate structure or operating procedure that makes it possible to alter the time pattern of electricity usage so as to require less generating capacity or to allow the electric power to be supplied from more fuel-efficient generating units.
- (19) Expected cost of an alternative resource plan is the statistical expectation of the cost of implementing that plan, contingent upon the uncertain factors and associated subjective probabilities represented by chance nodes the decision tree. 4 CSR 240-22.060 requires the. The utility toshall consider probable environmental costs as well as direct utility costs in its assessment of alternative resource plans.
- (20) Expected unserved hours means the statistical expectation of the number of hours per year that a utility will be unable to supply its native load without importing emergency power.

- (21) Fixed cost margin means the portion of electric energy and demand rates that is designed to recover all nonvariable costs. (22
- (21) Implementation period means the time interval between the triennial compliance filings required of each utility pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.080. (23
- (22) Implementation plan means descriptions and schedules for the major tasks necessary to implement the preferred resource plan over the implementation period.
- (24) Inefficient energy related choice means any decision that causes the lifecycle cost of delivering an adequate level and quality of end-use energy service to be higher than it would be for an available alternative choice.
- (25) Inefficient price means a price that is not equal to the long-run marginal cost of providing a good or service.

(23

(26) Information means any fact, relationship, insight, estimate or expert judgment that narrows the range of uncertainty surrounding key decision variables or has the potential to substantially influence or alter resourceplanning decisions.

- (24) Legal mandates include state or federal legislation, rules, ordinances, codes, executive orders, regulatory orders, court decisions and any other government mandate affecting the electric utility loads, resources or resource plans.
- (25) Levelized cost means the dollar amount of a fixed annual payment for which a stream of those payments over a specified period of time is equal to a specified present value based on a specified rate of interest.
- (26-(28)) Life-cycle cost means the present worth of costs over the lifetime of any device or means for delivering end-use energy service. (29
- (27) Load-building program means an organized promotional effort by the utility to persuade energy-related decision-makers to choose electricity instead of other forms of energy for the provision of energy service or to persuade existing customers to increase their use of electricity, either by substituting electricity for other forms of energy or by increasing the level or variety of energy services used. This term is not intended to include the provision of technical or engineering assistance, information about filed rates and tariffs, or other forms of routine customer service.
- (30) Load duration curve is a plot of ranked hourly demand versus the number of hours in which demand was greater than or equal to that value over a specified interval of time.
- (31) Load factor means the average demand over a specified interval of time divided by the maximum demand in the interval.
- (28) Load impact means the change in energy usage and the change in diversified demand during a specified interval of time due to the implementation of a demand-side measure or program.

- (29) Load profile means a plot of hourly demand versus chronological hour of the day from the hour ending 1:00 a.m. to the hour ending 12:00 midnight.
- (30) Load-research data means average hourly demands (kWhs per hour) derived from the metered instantaneous demand for each customer in the load-research sample.

(35) Load research estimates, or class hourly loads, or class load estimates means the statistical expectation of the average hourly demands for each major class derived from the load-research data for that class.

(31

- esearch sample means a subset of utility customers class whose demands are metered to provide statistical estimates of class hourly loads to a specified level of accuracy.
- (37) Long run means an analytical framework within which all factors of production are variable.

138

(32) Lost margin or lost revenues means the reduction between rate cases in billed demand (kW) and energy (kWh) due to installed demand-side measures, multiplied by the fixed-cost margin of the appropriate rate component.

- (33) Major class is a cost of service class of the utility.
- (34) Market imperfection means any factor or situation that contributes to inefficient energy-related choices by decision-makers, including at least--
- (A) Inadequate information about costs, performance and benefits of end-use
- (B) Inadequate marketing infrastructure or delivery channels for end-use measures;
 - (C) Inadequate financing options for end-use measures;
- (D) Mismatched economic incentives resulting from situations where the person who pays the initial cost of an efficiency investment is different from the person who pays the operating costs associated with the chosen efficiency level;
- (E) Ineffective economic incentives when decision-makers give low priority to energy-related choices because they have a short-term ownership perspective or because energy costs are a relatively small share of the total cost structure (for businesses) or of the total budget (for households); or
- (F) Inefficient pricing of energy supplies.

- (35) Market segment means any subgroup of utility customers (or other energyrelated decision-makers) which has some or all of the following characteristics in common: they have a similar mix of end-use energy service needs, they are subject to a similar array of market imperfections that tend to inhibit efficient energy-related choices, they have similar values and priorities concerning energy-related choices, or the utility has access to them through similar channels or modes of communication.
- (36441) Nominal dollars mean future or then-current dollar values that are not adjusted to remove the effects of anticipated inflation.
- (37(42) Participant means an energy-related decision-maker who implements one (1) or more end-use measures as a direct result of a demand-side program.

(38) Planning horizon means a future time period of at least twenty (20) years' duration over which the costs and benefits of alternative resource plans are evaluated.

- (39) Preferred resource plan means the resource plan that is contained in the resource acquisition strategy that has most recently been adopted for implementation by the electric utility.
- (45) Probable environmental benefits test is a test of the cost-effectiveness of end-use measures that uses the sum of avoided utility costs and avoided probable environmental costs to quantify the savings obtained by substituting the end-use measure for supply resources.

- (40) Probable environmental cost means the expected cost to the utility of complying with new or additional environmental lawslegal mandates, regulations, taxes or other requirements that utility decision-makers judge may be imposed at some point within the planning horizon which would result in compliance costs that could have a significant impact on utility rates.
- (41) Realistic achievable potential of a demand-side candidate resource option or portfolio is an estimate of the load impact that would occur if that resource option or portfolio were implemented in amounts consistent with the most aggressive cost-effective implementation of the resource option or portfolio considered by the utility.
- (42) Resource acquisition strategy means a preferred resource plan, an implementation plan and a set of contingency options for responding to events or circumstances that would render the preferred plan obsolete. (48
- (43) Resource plan means a particular combination of demand-side and supply-side resources to be acquired according to a specified schedule over the planning horizon.

149

- (44) Resource planning means the process by which an electric utility evaluates and chooses the appropriate mix and schedule of supply-side and demand-side resource additions to provide the public with an adequate level, quality and variety of end-use energy services.
- (50) Screening test or cost-effectiveness test means the probable environmental benefits test for demand-side measures and the total resource cost test for demand-side programs.

451

- (45) RTO means Regional Transmission Organization.
- (46) Special contemporary issues means a written list of issues prepared by commission staff with input from intervenors that are evolving new issues, which may not otherwise have been addressed by the utility or continuations of unresolved issues from the preceding full compliance filing or annual update filing. Each utility shall evaluate and incorporate special contemporary issues in its next full compliance filing or annual update filing.
- (47) Stakeholder group means:
- (A) Staff, public counsel, and any person or entity granted intervention in a prior Chapter 22 proceeding of the electric utility. Such persons or entities shall be a party to any subsequent related Chapter 22 proceeding of the electric utility without the necessity of applying to the commission for intervention;
- (B) Any person or entity granted intervention in a current Chapter 22 proceeding of the electric utility.
- (48) Subjective probability means the judgmental likelihood that the outcome represented by each branch of a chance node will actually occur. The sum of the probabilities associated with the branches of a single chance node must equal one (1). This means that the specified set of potential outcomes must be exhaustive and mutually exclusive.
- (52) Sulfur dioxide emission allowance is an authorization to emit, during or after a specified calendar year, one (1) ton of sulfur dioxide, as defined in Title IV of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, 42 USC 7651a(3). (49

(53) Supply-side resource or supply resource means any device or method by which the electric utility can provide to its customers an adequate level and quality of electric power supply.

- (50) Technical potential of an end use measure a demand-side candidate resource option or portfolio is an estimate of the load impact that would occur if that measure resource option or portfolio were installed implemented at every location in the utility's service territory where the measureresource option or portfolio is technically feasible but has not yet been installed. implemented. Since each demand-side candidate resource option or portfolio is cost-effective under the total resource cost test, the technical potential is the load impact that would occur if the cost-effective resource option or portfolio were implemented everywhere it is feasible. It is the same as the maximum economic potential of the demand-side resource option or portfolio. (55
- (51) Total resource cost test is a test of the cost-effectiveness of demand-side programs or demand-side tariffs that compares the sum of avoided utility costs plus avoided probable environmental costs to the sum of all incremental costs of related to the end-use measures that are implemented due to the program or related to the tariffs (including both utility and participant contributions), plus utility costs to administer, deliver and evaluate each demand-side program or demand-side tariff to quantify the net savings obtained by substituting the demand-side program or demand-side tariff for supply resources.
- (52) Uncertain factor means any event, circumstance, situation, relationship, causal linkage, price, cost, value, response or other relevant quantity which can materially affect the outcome of resource planning decisions, about which utility planners and decision-makers have incomplete or inadequate information at the time a decision must be made.
- (53-(57)) Utility costs are the costs of operating the utility system and developing and implementing a resource plan that are incurred and paid by the utility. On an annual basis, utility cost is synonymous with utility revenue requirement.

(58

- (54) The utility cost test is a test of the cost-effectiveness of demand-side programs or demand-side tariffs that compares the avoided utility costs to the sum of all utility incentive payments, plus utility costs to administer, deliver and evaluate each demand-side program or demand-side tariff to quantify the net savings obtained by substituting the demand-side program or demand-side tariff for supply resources.
- (59) The utility benefits test is a test of the cost-effectiveness of end-use measures that uses avoided utility costs to quantify the savings obtained by substituting the end use measure for supply resources. 160
- (55) Utility discount rate means the post-tax rate of return on net investment used to calculate the utility's annual revenue requirements.
- (56) Weather measure means a function of daily temperature data that reflects the observed relationship between electric load and temperature.

AUTHORITY: sections 386.040, 386.610 and 393.140, RSMo 1986 and 386.250, RSMo Supp. 1991.* Original rule filed June 12, 1992, effective May 6, 1993. *Original authority: 386.040, RSMo 1939; 386.250, RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991; 386.610, RSMo 1939; and 393.140, RSMo 1939, amended 1949, 1967. (Add authorities)

PURPOSE: This rule sets minimum standards for the maintenance and updating of historical data, the level of detail required in analyzing and forecasting loads, and the purposes to be accomplished by load analysis and by load forecast models. The load analysis discussed in this rule is intended to support both demand-side management efforts of 4 CSR 240-22.050 and the load forecast models of 4 CSR 240-22.030. This rule also sets the minimum standards for the documentation of the inputs, components and methods used to derive the load forecasts.

- (1) Selecting Load Analysis Methods. The utility may choose multiple methods of load analysis if it deems doing so is necessary to achieve all of the purposes of load analysis and if the methods are consistent with, and calibrated to, one another. The utility shall document its intended purposes for load analysis methods, why the selected load analysis methods best fulfill those purposes, and how the load analysis methods are consistent with one another and with the enduse consumption data used in the demand-side analysis as described in 4 CSR 240-22.050. As a minimum, the load analysis methods shall be selected to achieve the following purposes:
- (A) To identify end-use measures that may be potential demand-side resources, generally, those end-use measures with an opportunity for energy and/or demand
- (B) To derive a data set of historical values from load research that can be used as dependent and independent variables in the load forecasts;
- (C) To analyze of impacts of implemented demand-side programs and demand-side rates on the load forecasts and to assess the effectiveness of demand-side resources. This information may be used in the development of evaluation plans required by 4 CSR 240-22.070(8), or in the evaluation of the performance of the demand-side programs or rates after they are implemented; and
- (D) To preserve, in a historical data base, the results of the load analysis used to perform the demand-side analysis as described in 4 CSR 240-22.050, and the load forecasting described in 4 CSR 240-22.030.
- (2) Historical Data Base for Load Analysis. The utility shall develop and maintain data on the actual historical patterns of energy usage within its service territory. The following information shall be maintained and updated on an ongoing basis:
- (A) Customer Class Detail. (1) Historical Data Base. The utility shall develop and maintain data on the actual historical patterns of energy usage within its service territory. The following information shall be maintained and updated on
- -(A) Customer Class Detail. The As a minimum, the historical data base shall be maintained for each of the following major classes: residential, commercial, industrial, interruptible and other classes that may be required for forecasting (for example, large power, wholesale, outdoor lighting and public authorities).; (B) Load Data Detail. The historical load data base shall contain the following data:
- 1. Taking into account the requirement for an unbiased forecast as well as the cost of developing data at the subclass level, the utility shall determine what level of subclass detail is required for forecasting and what methods to use in gathering subclass information for each major class.
- 2. The utility shall consider the following categories of subclasses: residential, dwelling type; for commercial, building or business type; and for industrial, product type. If the utility uses subclasses which do not fit into these categories, it must explain the reasons for its choice of subclasses;

- -(B) Load Data Detail. The historical load data base shall contain the following data:
- 1. For each jurisdiction under which the utility has rates established and for which it prepares customer and energy and demand forecasts, for each major class, and to the extent data is required to support the detail specified in paragraph (1) (A) 1., for each subclass, actual monthly energy usage and number of customers and weather-normalized monthly energy usage;
- 2. For each jurisdiction and major class, estimated actual and weathernormalized demands at the time of monthly system peaks; and
 - 3. For the system, actual and weather-normalized hourly net system load;
- (C) Load Component Detail. The historical data base for major class monthly energy usage and demands at time of monthly peaks shall be disaggregated into a number of units component and a use kilowatt-hour (kWh) per unit component, for both actual and weather-normalized loads.
- 1. Typical units for the major classes are residential, The number of units component shall be the number of customers; commercial, square feet of floor space, devices, or commercial employment level; other units as appropriate to the customer class and industrial, production output or employment level. If the load analysis method selected by the utility uses a different unit measure, it must explain the reason for choosing different units. The utility shall select the units component with the intent of providing meaningful load analysis for demand-side analysis and maintaining the integrity of the data base over time.
- 2. The utility shall develop and implement a procedure to routinely measure and regularly update estimates of the effect of departures from normal weather on class and system electric loads.
- A. The estimates of the effect of weather on historical major class and system loads shall incorporate the nonlinear response of loads to daily weather and seasonal variations in loads.
- B. For at least the base year of the forecast, the utility shall estimate the cooling, heating and nonweather sensitive components of the weather normalized major class loads.
- measures and the methods used to estimate the effect of weather on electric loads. If statistical models are used, the documentation shall include at least: the functional form of the models; the estimation techniques employed; the data used to estimate the models, including the development of model input data from basic data; and the relevant statistical results of the models, including parameter estimates and tests of statistical significance; and
- (D) Length of Historical Data Base. Once the utility has developed the historical data base, it shall retain that data base for the ten (10) most recent years or for the period of time used as the basis of the utility's forecast, whichever is longer.
- 1. The development of actual and weather normalized monthly class and system energy usage and actual hourly net system loads shall start from January 1982 or for the period of time used as the basis of the utility's forecast of these loads, whichever is longer.
- 2. Estimated actual and weather-normalized class and system monthly demands at the time of the system peak and weather normalized hourly system loads shall start from January 1990 or for the period of time used as the basis of the utility's forecast of these loads, whichever is longer.
- (3) Analysis of Number of Units. For each major class or subclass, the utility shall analyze the historical relationship between the number of units and the economic or demographic factors (driverexplanatory variables) that affect the number of units for that major class. The analysis may incorporate or subclass. These substitute the results of secondary analyses, with the proviso that the utility analyze, document and verify the applicability of those results to its

- service territory. If the utility develops primary analyses, or to the extent they are available from secondary analyses, these relationships shall be specified as statistical or mathematical models that relate the number of units to the driverexplanatory variables.
- (A) Choice of Driver Variables explanatory variables. The utility shall identify appropriate driverexplanatory variables as predictors of the number of units for each major class or subclass. The critical assumptions that influence the driverexplanatory variables shall also be identified and documented.
- (B) Documentation of statistical models shall include the elements specified in subparagraph (1section (2) (C) 2.C.of this rule. Documentation of mathematical models shall include a specification of the functional form of the equations if the utility develops primary analyses, or to the extent they are available if the utility incorporates secondary analyses.
- -(C) Where the utility has modeled the relationship between the number of units and the driver variables for a major class, but not for subclasses within that major class, it shall consider how a change in the subclass shares of major class units could affect the major class forecast.
- (4) Analysis of Use Per Unit. For each major class, the utility shall analyze historical use per unit by end use.
- (A) End-Use Load Detail. For each major class, use per unit shall be disaggregated by end use, where information permits, by end-uses that contribute significantly to energy use or peak demand.
- 1. Where applicable for each major class, end-use The utility shall consider developing information shall be developed foron at least the following end-use loads;
- A. For the residential sector, on lighting, process equipment, space cooling, space heating, water heating, refrigerators, freezers, cooking, clothes washers, clothes dryers, television, personal computers, furnace fans, and other uses.
- B. For the commercial sector, on space heat, cooling, ventilation, water heat, refrigeration..., lighting, office equipment, and other uses;
- C. For the industrial sector, on machine drives, HVAC, lighting, process heating, and other uses.
- 2. For each The utility may modify the end-use loads specified in section
- A. The utility may remove or consolidate the specified end-use loads if it determines that a specified end-use load is not contributing, and is not likely to contribute in the future, significantly to energy use or peak demand in a major class.
- B. The utility shall add to the specified end-use loads if it determines that an end-use load currently not specified is likely to contribute significantly to energy use or peak demand in a major class and each end use.
- C. The utility shall provide documentation of its decision to modify the specified end-use loads for which information is developed, as well as an assessment of how the modifications can be made to best preserve the continuity and integrity of the end-use load data base.
- 3. For each major class and each end-use load, including those listed in paragraph (3 section (4)(A)1., if information is not available, the utility shall provide a schedule for acquiring this end-use load information or demonstrate that either the expected costs of acquisition were found to outweigh the expected benefits over the planning horizon or that gathering the end-use load information has proven to be infeasible.
- 3. If the utility has not yet acquired end-use space heating for a major class, the 4. The utility shall determine the effect that weather has on the total load of that major class by disaggregating the load into its cooling, heating and nonweathernon-weather-sensitive components. If the cooling or heating components are a significant portion of the total load

- of the major class, then the cooling or heating components of that load shall be designated as end uses for that major class.
- 4. The difference between the total load of a major class and all end uses for
- -(B) The data base and historical analysis required for each end use shall be developed from utility-specific survey or primary data. The data base and analysis may incorporate or substitute the results of secondary data, with the proviso that the utility analyze, document and verify the applicability of those results to its service territory. The data base and historical analysis required for each end use shall include at least the following:
- 1. Measures of the stock of energy-using capital goods. For each major class and end— $_$ use $_{7}$ load, identified in section (4)(A), the utility shall implement a procedure to develop and maintain <u>surveyadequate</u> data on the energy-related characteristics of the building, appliance and equipment stock including saturation levels, efficiency levels and sizes where applicable. The utility shall update these surveys the data before each scheduled filing pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.080; and
- 2. Estimates of end-use energy and demand. For each—the end--use, loads identified in section (4)(A), the utility shall estimate end use monthly energies and demands at time of monthly system peaks and shall calibrate these energies and demands to equal the weather-normalized monthly energies and demands at time of monthly peaks for each major class for the most recently available data.
- (4) Analysis of Load Profiles. The utility shall develop a consistent set of daily load profiles for the most recent year for which data is available. For each month, load profiles shall be developed for a peak weekday, a representative of at least one (1) weekday and a representative of at least one (1) weekend day.
- -(A) Load profiles for each day type shall be developed for each end use, for each major class and for the net system load.
- (B) For each day type, the estimated end-use load profiles shall be calibrated to sum to the estimated major class load profiles and the estimated major class load profiles shall be calibrated to sum to the net system load profiles.
- (5) Selecting Load Forecasting Models. The utility shall select load forecast models and develop the historical data base needed to support those models. The selected load forecast models will include a method of end-use load analysis for at least the residential and small commercial class, unless the utility demonstrates that end-use load methods are not practicable and provides documentation that other methods are superior. The utility may choose multiple models and methods if it deems doing so is necessary to achieve all of the purposes of load forecasting and if the methods and models are consistent with, and calibrated to, one another. The utility shall document its intended purposes for load forecast models, why the selected load forecast models best fulfill those purposes, and how the load forecast models are consistent with one another and with the end-use usage data used in the demand-side analysis as described in 4 CSR 240-22.050. As a minimum, the load forecast models shall be selected to achieve the following purposes:
- (A) Assessment of consumption drivers and customer usage patterns: to better understand customer preferences and their impacts on future electricity energy and demand requirements, including weather sensitivity of load;
- (B) Long term load forecasts: to serve as a basis for planning capacity and energy service needs. This can be served by any forecasting method or methods that produce reasonable projections (based on comparing model projections of loads to actual loads) of future demand and energy loads;

- (C) Policy analysis: to assess the impact of legal mandates, economic policy and rate policy on future electricity energy and demand requirements. The utility shall use forecast models based on end-use loads for the analysis of actual or proposed legal mandates and forecast models including appropriate econometric parameters for the analysis of economic and rate policies. The utility may substitute other types of load forecast models if it demonstrates that the substitute load forecast models can adequately analyze the impacts of legal mandates, economic policies and rate policies;
- (6) Load Forecasting Model Specifications.
- (A) For each load forecasting model selected by the utility pursuant to section 4 CSR 240-22.030(5), the utility shall:
- 1. Identify appropriate independent variables as predictors of energy and peak demand for each major class. The critical assumptions that influence the independent variables shall also be identified.
- A. The utility shall assess the applicability of the historical explanatory
- variables pursuant to section (3)(A) to its selected forecast model;

 B. To the extent that the independent variables selected by the utility differ from the historical explanatory variables, the utility shall explain and document those differences.
- 2. Develop and document any mathematical or statistical equations comprising the load forecast models, including a specification of the functional form of the equations.
- 3. Assess the applicability of any load forecast models or portions of models that were utilized by the utility but developed by others, including a specification of the functional forms of any equations or models, to the extent they are available.
- (B) If the utility selects load forecast models that include end-use load methods, the utility shall explain and document any deviations in the independent variables or functional forms of the equations from those derived from load analysis in sections (3) and (4).
- (C) Historical Data Base for Load Forecasting. In addition to the load analysis data base, the utility shall develop and maintain a data base consistent with and as needed to run each forecast model utilized by the utility. As a minimum, the utility shall:
- 1. Develop and maintain a data set of historical values for each independent variable of each forecast model. The historical values for each independent variable shall be collected for a period of ten (10) years, or such period deemed sufficient to allow the independent variables to be accurately forecasted over the entire planning horizon.
- 2. Archive previous projections of all independent variables used in the energy usage and peak load forecasts made in at least the past ten (10) years and provide a comparison of the historical projected values in prior plan filings to actual historical values and to projected values in the current compliance filing.
- 3. Archive all previous forecasts of energy and peak demand, including the final data sets used to develop the forecasts, made in at least the past ten (10) years. Provide a comparison of the historical final forecasts to the actual historical energy and peak demands, and to the current forecasts in the current triennial compliance filing. The utility shall use the historical forecast information in its assessment of energy consumption trends and the ability of forecasting methods to produce reasonable projections of future demand and energy loads pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.030 (5).
- (7) Base-Case Load Forecast. The utility's base-case load forecast shall be based on projections of the major economic and demographic driver independent variables that utility decision-makers believe to be most likely. All components

- of the base-case load forecast shall be based on the assumption of normal weather conditions. The load impacts of implemented demand-side programs and rates shall be incorporated in the base-case load forecast but the load impacts of proposed demand-side programs and rates shall not be included in the basecase forecast.
- (A) CustomerMajor Class and Total Load Detail. The utility shall produce forecasts of monthly energy usage and demands at the time of the summer and winter system peaks by major class for each year of the planning horizon. Where the utility anticipates that jurisdictional levels of forecasts will be required to meet the requirements of a specific state, then the utility shall determine a procedure by which the major class forecasts can be separated by jurisdictional components.
- (B) Load Component Detail. For each major class, the utility shall produce separate forecasts of the number of units and use per unit components based on the analysis described in sections (2) and (3) of this rule.
- 1. Number of units forecast. The utility's forecast of number of units for each major class shall be based on the analysis of the relationship between number of units and driver variables described in section (2). Where judgment has been applied to modify the results of a statistical or mathematical model, the utility shall specify the factors which caused the modification and shall explain how those factors were quantified.
- A. The forecasts of the driver variables shall be specified and clearly documented. These forecasts shall be compared to historical trends and significant differences between the forecasts and long-term and recent trends shall be analyzed and explained.
- B. The forecasts of the number of units for each major class shall be compared to historical trends. Significant differences between the forecasts and long-term and recent trends shall be analyzed and explained.
- 2. Use per unit forecast. The utility's forecast of monthly energy usage per unit and seasonal peak demands per unit for each major class shall be based on the analysis described in section (3).
- A. The forecasts of the driver variables for the use per unit shall be specified. The utility shall document how the forecast of use per unit has 1. The utility shall document how the base case forecasts of energy usage and demands have taken into account the effects of real prices of electricity, real prices of competitive energy sources, real incomes and any other relevant economic and demographic factors. - If the methodology does not incorporate economic and demographic factors, the utility shall document how it accounted for the effects of these factors.
- B. End-use detail. For each major class and for each end use, the 2. The utility shall forecast both monthly energy use and demands at time of the summer and winter system peaks.
- C. The stock of energy using capital goods. For each end use for which the utility has developed measures of the stock of energy using capital goods and where the utility has determined that forecasting the use of electricity associated with these energy-using capital goods is cost-effective and feasible, it shall forecast those measures and document the relationship betweenhow the forecasts of the measures energy usage and demands have taken into account the effects of legal mandates affecting the consumption of electricity, such as but not limited to the forecasts of end-use energy and demands at time of the summer and winter system peaks. The values of the driver variables used to generate forecasts of the measures of the stock of energy-using capital goods shall be specified and clearly documented federal, state and local appliance efficiency standards or building codes.
- D. The major class forecasted use per unit 3. The utility shall document how the forecasts of energy usage and demands are consistent with trends in historical consumption patterns, end uses and end-use efficiency in the

- utility's service area as identified pursuant to sections 4 CSR 240-22.030(2), (3) and (4).

 4. For at least the base year of the forecast, the utility shall estimate the
- monthly cooling, heating and non-weather-sensitive components of the weathernormalized major class loads.
- 5. Where judgment has been applied to modify the results of its energy and peak forecast models, the utility shall specify the factors which caused the modification and shall explain how those factors were quantified.
- (B) Forecasts of independent variables. The forecasts of independent variables shall be specified and clearly documented.
- 1. Documentation of mathematical models developed by the utility to forecast the independent variables shall include the reasons the utility selected the models as well as specification of the functional form of the equations.
- 2. If the utility adopted forecasts of independent variables developed by another entity, documentation shall include the reasons the utility selected those forecasts, an analysis showing those forecasts are applicable to the utility's service territory, and if available a specification of the functional form of the equations.
- 3. These forecasts of independent variables shall be compared to historical trends in weather normalized use per unit. Significant those variables and significant differences between the forecasts and long-term and recent trends shall be analyzed and explained.
- 4. Where judgment has been applied to modify the results of a statistical or mathematical model, the utility shall specify the factors which caused the modification and shall explain how those factors were quantified.
- (C) Net System Load Forecast. The utility shall produce a forecast of net system load profiles for each year of the planning horizon. The net system load forecast shall be consistent with the utility's forecasts of monthly energy and peak demands at time of summer and winter system peaks for the major rate classes.

- (8) Load Forecast Sensitivity Analysis. The utility shall analyze the sensitivity of the components dependent variables of the base-case forecast for each major class to variations in the key driver variables, including the real price of electricity, the real price of competing fuels and economic and demographic factors independent variables identified in section (2) and subparagraph (5) (B) 2.4 CSR 240-22.030(6) (A.).
- (7) High-Case and Low-Case Load Forecasts. Based on the sensitivity analysis described in section (6), the utility (A) The utility shall produce at least two (2) additional normal weather load forecasts (a high-growth case and a lowgrowth case) that bracket the base-case load forecast. Subjective probabilities shall be assigned to each of the load forecast cases. These forecasts and associated subjective probabilities shall be used as inputs to the strategic risk analysis required by 4 CSR 240-22.070060.
- (8) Reporting Requirements. To demonstrate compliance with (B) The utility shall estimate the provisions sensitivity of this rule, and system peak load forecasts to extreme weather conditions. This information will be used by utility decision makers to assess the ability of alternative resource plans to serve load under extreme weather conditions when selecting the preferred resource plan pursuant to the requirements of 4 CSR 240-22.070(1).
- (9) Reporting Requirements. To demonstrate compliance with the provisions of this rule, and pursuant to the requirements of 4 CSR 240-22.080, the utility shall 080, the utility shall prepare a report that contains at least the following information:
- (A) Identification of the load forecast models selected by the utility pursuant to section (5).

- 1. The narrative will describe the forecast models selected to fulfill each of the functions in sections (5) (A)-(C), explain why they were selected, and how the utility maintains consistency between the models. For example, if an enduse load model was selected to analyze the impacts of legal mandates for energy efficiency, but an econometric model was selected to develop the long term forecast, the utility shall explain what it did to assure that the end-use and econometric models used consistent inputs and generated consistent results.
- 2. The utility shall provide, describe and document the mathematical or statistical relationships between the independent variables and the forecasts of energy and peak loads pursuant to (6)(A), including any assumptions made that influence those relationships.
- 3. The narrative will describe the how the forecast models selected address the economic, demographic and legal factors specified in (7)(A).
- 4. The narrative will also identify and describe the independent variables utilized in the load forecast models pursuant to sections (6)(A) and (6)(B). The utility shall provide, describe and document mathematical or statistical relationships used to forecast the independent variables pursuant to (7) ((B), and the assumptions influencing these independent variables.
- (B) The utility shall describe its load analysis pursuant to sections (2), (3) and (4). The narrative shall describe and document the analysis of the trends in the number of units, including the identification and selection of explanatory variables as required in section (3). The narrative shall also describe and document the analysis of energy use per unit as required in section
- (C) For each major class specified in subsection (1pursuant to section (2)(A), the utility shall provide plots of number of units, energy usage per unit and total class energy usage.
- 1. Plots shall be produced for the summer period (June through September), the remaining nonsummernon-summer months and the calendar year.
 - 2. The plots shall cover the historical data base period and the forecast period of at least twenty (20) years.
 - A. The historical period shall include both actual and weather-normalized energy usage per unit and total class energy usage.
 - B. The plots for the forecast period shall show each end use component of major class energy usage per unit and total class energy usage for the base-case forecast., and where available, the energy usage per unit by end-use load.
 - (B) C. The utility shall provide a narrative discussion that identifies, analyzes and explains significant differences between the forecast energy use per unit and the long-term and recent trends.
 - (D) The utility shall describe any adjustments that it made to historical data prior to using it in its development of the forecasting models.
 - (E) For each major class specified in subsection (1pursuant to section (2)(A), the utility shall provide plots of class demand per unit and class total demand at time of summer and winter system peak. The plots shall cover the historical data base period and the forecast period of at least twenty (20) years.
 - 1. The plots for the historical period shall include both actual and weathernormalized class demands per unit and total demands at the time of summer and winter system peak demands.
 - 2. The plots for the forecast period shall show each end-use component of major class coincident demands per unit and total class coincident demands for the base-case forecast, and where available, the coincident demands by end-use load.
 - (CF) For the forecast of class energy and peak demands, the utility shall provide a summary of the sensitivity analysis required by section $(\frac{6}{8})$ of this rule that shows how changes in the driverindependent variables affect the forecast. The utility shall identify and describe independent variables, describe how and why they were determined to be key independent variables,

provide and document the expected range of values for the key independent variables and show how changes in the independent variables affect the forecast. The utility shall provide plots comparing the system peak demand assuming normal weather and extreme weather.

- (DG) For the net system load, the utility shall provide plots of energy usage and peak demand.
- 1. The energy plots shall include the summer, non-summer and total energy usage for each calendar year.
 - 2. The peak demand plots shall include the summer and winter peak demands.
- 3. The plots shall cover the historical data base period and the forecast period of at least twenty (20) years. The historical period shall include both actual and weather-normalized values. The forecast period shall include the base-case, low-case and high-case forecasts.
- 4. All plots will be labeled as stand alone figures, axes will be labeled with units and the plot will be referenced and explained in the text.
- 5. The utility shall describe in a narrative how the subjective probabilities assigned to each base, low and high forecast were determined.
- -(E 6. The utility shall describe any adjustments that it made to historical data prior to using it in its development of the forecasting models
- (H) For each major class, the utility shall provide estimated load profile plots for the summer and winter system peak days.
 - 1. The plots shall show each end-use componentload of the hourly load profile.
- 2. The plots shall be provided for the base year of the load forecast and for the fifth, tenth and twentieth years of the forecast.
- (FI) For the net system load profiles, the utility shall provide plots for the summer peak day and the winter peak day.
- 1. The plots shall show each of the major class components of the net system load profile in a cumulative manner.
- 2. The plots shall be provided for the base year of the forecast and for the fifth, tenth and twentieth years of the forecast.
- (G 3. All plots will be labeled as stand alone figures, axes will be labeled with units and the plot will be referenced and explained in the text.
- (J) The data presented in all plots also shall be provided in tabular form. Data tables will be labeled including an identification of the corresponding plot, numbered, and identified and explained in the text.
- (HK) The utility shall provide a description of the methods used to develop all forecasts required by this rule, including an annotated summary that shows how these methods comply with the specific provisions of this rule. If end-use load methods have not been used in forecasting, an explanation as to why they have not been used shall be included. Also included shall be the utility's schedule to acquire end-use load information and to develop end-use load forecasting techniques or a discussion as to why the acquisition of end-use load information and the development of end-use load forecasting techniques are either impractical or not cost-effective.
- (L) The utility shall provide a summary of its archived historical forecasts. The summary shall include:
- 1. A comparison of the historical final forecasts filed in triennial compliance filings over the preceding ten (10) years to the current forecasts and actual loads;
 - 2. A narrative discussion of consumption trends identified in the forecasts
- 3. A narrative discussion of the ability of various forecasting models considered by the utility to produce reasonable projections.
- (M) The utility shall provide a description of its procedure to measure and update the affects of weather sensitivity on class and system electric loads, and shall document the methods used as required by section (2) (C) 2. and 3.

AUTHORITY: sections 386.040, 386.610 and 393.140, RSMo 1986 and 386.250, RSMo Supp. 1991.* Original rule filed June 12, 1992, effective May 6, 1993. *Original authority: 386.040, RSMo 1939; 386.250, RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991; 386.610, RSMo 1939; and 393.140, RSMo 1939, amended 1949, 1967. (Add authorities)

PURPOSE: This rule establishes minimum standards for the scope and level of detail required in supply-side resource analysis.

- (1) The analysis of supply-side resources utility shall begin with the identification of identify a variety of potential supply-side resource options which the utility can reasonably expect to develop and implement solely through its own resources or for which it will be a major participant. These options include new plants using existing generation technologies; new plants using new generation technologies, including technologies expected to become commercially available within the twenty (20) year planning horizon; utility renewable energy resources, including a wide variety of renewable generation technologies; technologies for distributed generation; life extension and refurbishment at existing generating plants; enhancement of the emission controls at existing or new generating plants; purchased power from utility sources, cogenerators or independent power producers; efficiency improvements which reduce the utility's own use of energy; and upgrading of the transmission and distribution systems to reduce power and energy losses. The utility shall collect generic cost and performance information forsufficient to fairly analyze and compare each of these potential resource options which shall include, including at least the following those attributes where applicable: needed to assess capital cost, fixed and variable operation and maintenance costs, and probable environmental costs.
- -(A) Fuel type and feasible variations in fuel type or quality;
- (B) Practical size range;
- (C) Maturity of the technology;
- -(D) Lead time for permitting, design, construction, testing and startup;
- (E) Capital cost per kilowatt;
- (F) Annual fixed operation and maintenance costs;
- (G) Annual variable operation and maintenance costs;
- (H) Scheduled routine maintenance outage requirements;
- -(I) Equivalent forced-outage rates or full- and partial-forced-outage rates;
- -(J) Operational characteristics and constraints of significance in the screening process;
- -(K) Environmental impacts, including at least the following:
- 1. Air emissions including at least the primary acid gases, greenhouse gases, ozone precursors, particulates and air toxics;
- (2. Waste generation including at least the primary forms of solid, liquid, radioactive and hazardous wastes;
- 3. Water impacts including direct usage and at least the primary pollutant discharges, thermal discharges and groundwater effects; and
- 4. Siting impacts and constraints of sufficient importance to affect the screening process; and
- -(L) Other characteristics that may make the technology particularly appropriate as a contingency option under extreme outcomes for the critical uncertain factors identified pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.070(2).
- (2) Each of the) The utility shall analyze each supply-side resource options option referred to in section (1) shall be subjected to). The utility may conduct a a preliminary screening analysis-to determine a short list of candidate resource options, or it may consider all of the supply-side resource options to be preliminary supply-side candidate resource options pursuant to section (2)(C). The purpose of this stepthe preliminary screening analysis is to provide an initial ranking of these options based on their relative annualized utility costs as well as their probable environmental costs and to eliminate from further consideration those options that have significant disadvantages in terms of utility costs, environmental costs, operational efficiency, risk

reduction or planning flexibility, as compared to other available supply-side resource options. All costs shall be expressed in nominal dollars.

- (A) Cost rankings shall be based on estimates of the installed capital costs plus fixed and variable operation and maintenance costs levelized over the useful life of the resource using the utility discount rate. In lieu of levelized cost, the utility may use an economic carrying charge annualization in which the annual dollar amount inreases each year at an assumed inflation rate and for which a stream of these amounts over the life of the resource yields the same present value.
- (B) The probable environmental costs of each supply-side resource option shall be quantified by estimating the cost to the utility -to comply with additional environmental legal mandates or regulations that may be imposed at some point within the planning horizon.
- 1. The utility shall identify a list of environmental pollutants for which, in the judgment of utility decision-makers, additional laws or regulations may be imposed at some point within the planning horizon which would result in compliance costs that could have a significant impact on utility rates.
- 2. For each pollutant identified pursuant to paragraph (2)(B)1., the utility shall specify at least two (2) levels of mitigation that are more stringent than existing requirements which are judged to have a nonzero probability of being imposed at some point within the planning horizon.
- 3. For each mitigation level identified pursuant to paragraph (2)(B)2., the If the utility determines that only one level of mitigation is possible, the utility shall explain why only one level of mitigation is possible and provide justification for the selected level. The utility shall specify a subjective probability that represents utility decision-maker's judgment of the likelihood that additional lawslegal mandates or regulations requiring that level of mitigation will be imposed at some point within the planning horizon. The utility, based on these probabilities, shall calculate an expected mitigation level for each identified pollutant.
- 4. The probable environmental cost for a supply-side resource shall be estimated as the joint cost of simultaneously achieving the expected level of mitigation for all identified pollutants emitted by the resource. The estimated mitigation costs for an environmental pollutant may include or may be entirely comprised of a tax or surcharge imposed on emissions of that pollutant. -(C) The utility (C) The utility shall indicate which supply-side options it considers to be preliminary supply-side candidate resource options for purposes of developing the alternative resource plans required by 4 CSR 240-22.060(3). Any utility using the preliminary screening analysis to identify preliminary supply-side candidate resource options shall rank all supply-side resource options identified pursuant to section (1) in terms of both of the following cost estimates: utility costs and utility costs plus probable environmental costs. The utility shall indicate which supply-side options are considered to be candidate resource options for purposes of developing the alternative resource plans required by 4 CSR 240-22.060(3). The utility shall also indicate which options are eliminated from further consideration on the basis of the screening analysis and shall explain the reasons for their elimination.
- (3) The analysis of supply-side resource options shall include a thorough analysis of existingthe interconnection and planned any other transmission requirements associated with the preliminary supply-side candidate resource options.
- (A) The analysis shall include the identification of transmission constraints, as estimated pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.045 (3), whether within the RTO's footprint, on an interconnected generation resources. The analysis can be performed by the individual utilityRTO, or in the context of a joint planning study with other area utilities. transmission system that is not part of a RTO.

The purpose of this analysis shall be to ensure that the transmission network is capable of reliably supporting the supply-side candidate resource options under consideration, that the costs of transmission system investments associated with supply-side resources as estimated pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.045(3) are properly considered and to provide an adequate foundation of basic information for decisions about the following types of supply-side resource alternatives: (A) 1. Joint participation in generation construction projects; (B) 2. Construction of wholly-owned generation or transmission facilities; and (C) 3. Participation in major refurbishment, life extension, upgrading or retrofitting of existing generation or transmission resources. facilities; (4) The utility shall identify and analyze opportunities for life extension and refurbishment of existing generation plants, taking into account their current condition to the extent that it is significant in the planning process. (5) The utility shall identify and evaluate potential opportunities 4. Improvements on its transmission and distribution system to increase efficiency and reduce power losses; 5. Acquisition of existing generating facilities; and 6. Opportunities for new long-term power purchases and sales, and short-term power purchases that may be required for bridging the gap between other supply options, both firm and nonfirm, that are likely to be available over all or part of the planning horizon. This evaluation shall be based on an analysis of at least the following attributes of each potential transaction: -(A) Type or nature of the purchase or sale (for example, firm capacity, summer onlv); (B) Amount of power to be exchanged; (C) Estimated contract price; -(D) Timing and duration of the transaction; - (E) Terms and conditions of the transaction, if available; - (F) Required improvements to the utility's generating system, transmission system, or both, and the associated costs; and -(G) Constraints on the utility system caused by wheeling arrangements, whether on the utility's own system, or on an interconnected system, or by the terms and conditions of other contracts or interconnection agreements. (6) For the utility's preferred resource plan selected pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.070(7), the utility shall determine if additional future transmission facilities will be required to remedy any new generation-related transmission system inadequacies over the planning horizon. If any such facilities are determined to be required and, in the judgment of utility decision-makers, there is a risk of significant delays or cost increases due to problems in the siting or permitting of any required transmission facilities, this risk shall be analyzed pursuant to the requirements of 4 CSR 240-22.070(2). (7) The utility shall assess the age, condition and efficiency level of existing transmission and distribution facilities, and shall analyze the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of transmission and distribution system loss-reduction measures as a supply-side resource. This provision shall not be construed to require a detailed line-by-line analysis of the transmission and distribution system, but is intended to require the utility to identify and analyze opportunities for efficiency improvements in a manner that is consistent with the analysis of other supply-side resource options. (8) The utility shall indicate which of the preliminary supply side candidate resource options, if any, are eliminated from further consideration on the basis of the interconnection and other transmission analysis and shall explain the reasons for their elimination. (C) The utility shall include the cost of interconnection and any other

transmission requirements, in addition to the utility resource and probable environmental costs, in the cost of supply-side candidate resource options

- advanced for purposes of developing the alternative resource plans required by 4 CSR 240-22.060(3).
- (D) The supply-side candidate resource options that the utility passes on for further evaluation in the integration process shall represent a wide variety of supply-side resource options with diverse fuel and generation technologies, including a wide range of renewable technologies and technologies suitable for distributed generation.
- (4) Before developing alternative resource plans and performing the integrated resource analysis, the utility shall develop ranges of values and probabilities for several important uncertain factors related to supply resources.-side candidate resource options. These values can also be used to refine or verify information developed pursuant to section (2) of this rule. These cost estimates shall include at least the following elements and shall be based on the indicated methods or sources of information, as applicable to the supply-side candidate resource option:
- (A) Fuel price forecasts, including fuel delivery costs, over the planning horizon for the appropriate type and grade of primary fuel and for any alternative fuel that may be practical as a contingency option-
- 1. Fuel price forecasts shall be obtained from a consulting firm with specific expertise in detailed fuel supply and price analysis or developed by the utility if it has expert knowledge and experience with the fuel under consideration. Each forecast shall consider at least the following factors as applicable to each fuel under consideration:
- A. Present reserves, discovery rates and usage rates of the fuel and forecasts of future trends of these factors;
- B. Profitability and financial condition of producers;
- C. Potential effect of environmental factors, competition and government regulations on producers, including the potential for changes in severance taxes;
- D. Capacity, profitability and expansion potential of present and potential fuel transportation options;
- -E. Potential effects of government regulations, competition and environmental legislation on fuel transporters;
- F. In the case of uranium fuel, potential effects of competition and government regulations on future costs of enrichment services and cleanup of production facilities; and
- C. Potential for governmental restrictions on the use of the fuel for electricity production.
- 2. The utility shall consider the accuracy of previous forecasts as an important criterion in selecting providers of fuel price forecasts.
- 3. The provider of each fuel price forecast shall be required to identify the critical uncertain factors that drive the price forecast and to provide a range of forecasts and an associated subjective probability distribution that reflects this uncertainty;;
- (B) Estimated capital costs including engineering design, construction, testing, startup and certification of new facilities or major upgrades, refurbishment or rehabilitation of existing facilities.
- 1. Capital cost estimates shall either be obtained from a qualified engineering firm actively engaged in the type of work required or developed by the utility if it has available other sources of expert engineering information applicable to the type of facility under consideration.
- 2. The provider of the estimate shall be required to identify the critical uncertain factors that may cause the capital cost estimates to change significantly and to provide a range of estimates and an associated subjective probability distribution that reflects this uncertainty;;

- (C) Estimated annual fixed and variable operation and maintenance costs over the planning horizon for new facilities or for existing facilities that are being upgraded, refurbished or rehabilitated-;
- 1. Fixed and variable operation and maintenance obtained from the same source that provides the capital cost estimates. - 2. The critical uncertain factors that affect these cost estimates shall be identified and a range of estimates shall be provided, together with an associated subjective probability distribution that reflects this uncertainty;
- (D) Forecasts of the annual cost or value of sulfur dioxide emission allowances to be used or produced by each generating facility over the planning horizon-- 1. Forecasts of the future value of emission allowances shall be obtained from a qualified consulting firm or other source with expert knowledge of the factors affecting allowance prices.
- 2. The provider of the forecast shall be required to identify the critical uncertain factors that may cause the value of allowances to change significantly and to provide a range of forecasts and an associated subjective probability distribution that reflects this uncertainty; and;
- (E) Annual fixed charges for any facility to be included in rate base or annual payment schedule for leased or rented facilities-; and
- (F) Estimated costs of interconnection or other transmission requirements associated with each supply-side candidate resource option.
- (5) Reporting Requirements. To demonstrate compliance with the provisions of this rule, and pursuant to the requirements of 4 CSR 240-22.080, the utility shall (9) Reporting Requirements. To demonstrate compliance with the provisions of this rule, and pursuant to the requirements of 4 CSR 240-22.080, the utility shall furnish at least the following information:
- (A) A summary table showing each supply-side resource identified pursuant to section (1) and the results of the screening analysis, including: - 1. The calculated values of the utility cost and the probable environmental cost for each resource option and the rankings based on these costs an assessment of whether each supply-side resource qualifies as a utility renewable energy resource;
- 2. (B) Identification of preliminary supply-side candidate resource options that may be included in alternative resource plans; and
- 3. Anan explanation of the reasons why each rejected supply-side resource option rejected as a result of the screening analysis was not included as a preliminary supply-side candidate resource option;
- (BC) A summary of the interconnection and other transmission requirements associated with each preliminary supply-side candidate resource option pursuant to section (3), including the cost of those transmission requirements;
- (D) A list of the supply-side candidate resource options for which the forecasts, estimates and probability distributions described in section $(\frac{84}{})$ have been developed or are scheduled to be developed by the utility's next scheduled compliance filing pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.080;
- (EE) A summary of the results of the uncertainty analysis described in section (84) that has been completed for supply-side candidate resource options; and
- (DF) A summary of the mitigation cost estimates developed by the utility for the supply-side candidate resource options identified pursuant to subsectionsection (2)(C). This summary shall include a description of how the alternative mitigation levels and associated subjective probabilities were determined and shall identify the source of the cost estimates for the expected mitigation level-;
- (G) A narrative describing the utility's process for identifying and analyzing supply-side resource options and documenting the utility's choice of supply-side candidate resource options to advance to the integration analysis.

AUTHORITY: sections 386.040, 386.610 and 393.140, RSMo 1986 and 386.250, RSMo Supp. 1991.* Original rule filed June 12, 1992, effective May 6, 1993. *Original authority: 386.040, RSMo 1939; 386.250, RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991; 386.610, RSMo 1939; and 393.140, RSMo 1939, amended 1949, 1967.ä (Add authorities)

4 CSR 240-22.045 Transmission and Distribution Analysis

PURPOSE: This rule specifies the minimum standards for the scope and level of detail required for transmission and distribution network analysis and reporting.

- (1) The electric utility shall consider the adequacy of the transmission and distribution networks in fulfilling the fundamental planning objectives set out in 4 CSR 240-22.010. Each utility shall consider at least those improvements to the transmission and distribution networks required to:
- (A) Reduce transmission power and energy losses. Opportunities to reduce transmission network losses are among the supply-side resources evaluated pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.040 (3). The utility shall assess the age, condition and efficiency level of existing transmission and distribution facilities, and shall analyze the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of transmission and distribution network loss-reduction measures.

 (B) Interconnect new generation facilities. The utility shall assess the need
- to construct transmission facilities to interconnect any new generation pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.040(3) and shall reflect those transmission facilities in the cost benefit analyses of the resource options.
- (C) Facilitate power purchases or sales. The utility shall assess the transmission upgrades needed to purchase or sell pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.040 (3). An estimate of the portion of costs of these upgrades that are allocated to the utility shall be reflected in the analysis of resource options.
- (D) Incorporate advanced transmission and distribution network technologies that may become available during the planning horizon. The utility shall assess transmission and distribution improvements that facilitate or expand the availability and cost effectiveness of demand-side or supply-side resources. The costs and capabilities of these advanced transmission and distribution technologies shall be reflected in the cost benefit analyses of the resource options.
- (2) Avoided transmission and distribution cost. The utility shall develop an avoided transmission capacity cost and an avoided distribution capacity cost to include in the avoided demand cost pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.050(4)(A).
- (3) Transmission analysis. The utility and the Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) it belongs to both participate in the process for planning transmission upgrades. Each year, the RTO develops long-term transmission expansion plans designed to meet North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) reliability standards. The RTO transmission expansion plans include upgrades for the purposes of interconnecting generation, improving reliability and improving economics.
- (A) The utility shall actively participate in the development of the RTO transmission plan, and shall review the RTO transmission expansion plans each year to assess whether the RTO transmission expansion plans, in the judgment of the utility decision makers, are in the interests of the utility's customers.
- (B) The utility may use the RTO transmission expansion plan and where necessary shall develop supplemental information:
- 1. To develop information regarding the cost and timing of transmission upgrades to reduce losses, to interconnect generation, to facilitate power purchases and sales, and to otherwise maintain a viable transmission network;
- 2. To identify transmission upgrades to incorporate advanced technologies;
- 3. To estimate avoided transmission costs;
- 4. To estimate the portion and amount of incremental costs of regional transmission upgrades that would be allocated to the utility; and

- 5. To estimate any revenue credits the utility will receive in the future for previously built or planned regional transmission upgrades; and
- 6. To estimate timing of needed transmission and distribution resources and any transmission resources being built by the RTO for economic reasons that may impact the alternative resource plans of the utility.
- (C) The utility shall provide copies of the RTO expansion plan, its assessment of the plan and any supplemental information developed by the utility to fulfill the requirements in section (3)(B) of this rule.
- (4) Analysis required for transmission and distribution network investments to incorporate advanced technologies.
- (A) The utility shall augment the RTO plans for transmission upgrades to incorporate advanced transmission technologies as necessary to optimize the investment in the advanced technologies for transmission facilities owned by the
- (B) The utility shall develop plans for distribution network upgrades as necessary to optimize its investment in advanced distribution technologies.
- (C) The utility shall optimize investment in advanced transmission and distribution technologies based on an analysis of:
- 1. Total costs, including:
 - a. Costs of the advanced grid investments;
 - b. Costs of the non-advanced grid investments;
- c. Reduced resource costs, especially through enhanced demand response resources and enhanced integration of customer owned generation resources; and
 - d. Reduced production costs;
- 2. Cost effectiveness, including:
- a. The monetary values of all incremental costs of the energy resources and delivery system based on advanced grid technologies relative to the costs of the energy resources and delivery system based on non-advanced grid technologies;
- b. The monetary values of all incremental benefits of the energy resources and delivery system based on advanced grid technologies relative to the costs of the energy resources and delivery system based on non-advanced grid technologies; and
 - c. Additional non-monetary factors considered by the utility;
 - 3. Societal benefit, including:
 - a. More consumer power choices;
 - b. Improved utilization of existing resources;
 - c. Opportunity to minimize cost in response to price signals;
- d. Opportunity to minimize environmental impact in response to environmental signals;
 - 4. Any other factors identified by the utility; and
- 5. Any other factors identified in the special contemporary issues process pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.080 (4) or the stakeholder group process pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.080 (5).
- (E) Before investing in non-advanced transmission and distribution grid technologies the utility shall:
- 1. Conduct an analysis which demonstrates that investment in each non-advanced transmission and distribution upgrade is more beneficial to consumers than an investment in the equivalent upgrade incorporating advanced grid technologies;
 - 2. Document the analysis;
- 3. Document its decision to invest in non-advanced transmission or distribution grid technologies; and
- 4. Include investment in non-advanced transmission and distribution grid technologies in its resource acquisition strategy pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.070 **(7)**.

- (5) Reporting Requirements. To demonstrate compliance with the provisions of this rule, and pursuant to the requirements of 4 CSR 240-22.080, the utility shall furnish at least the following information:
- (A) Copy of the most recent RTO long-term transmission expansion plan including the utility's summary of the portions of RTO plan relevant to the utility's resource planning;
- (B) A report documenting the utility's assessment of the applicability of the RTO's long-term transmission expansion plan to each of the requirements of section (3), including documentation of the analysis and conclusions;
- (C) A report documenting the supplemental information developed by the utility to satisfy the requirements of section (3), including documentation of the analysis and conclusions;
- (D) A report for consideration in 4 CSR 240-22.040(3) that identifies the physical transmission upgrades needed to interconnect generation, facilitate power purchases and sales, and otherwise maintain a viable transmission network,
- 1. A list of the transmission upgrades needed to physically interconnect a generation source within the RTO footprint;
- 2. A list of the transmission upgrades needed to enhance deliverability from a point of delivery within the RTO including: a) required for firm transmission service from the point of delivery to the utility's load; and b) required for financial transmission rights from a point of delivery within the RTO to the utility's load;
- 3. A list of transmission upgrades needed to physically interconnect a generation source located outside the RTO footprint;
- 4. A list of the transmission upgrades needed to enhance deliverability from a generator located outside the RTO including: a) required for firm transmission service to a point of delivery within the RTO footprint; and b) required for financial transmission rights to a point of delivery within the RTO footprint;
- 5. The estimated total cost of each transmission upgrade and estimated congestion costs;
- 6. The estimated fraction of the total cost and amount of each transmission upgrade allocated to the utility.
- (E) A report that documents the utility's plans to upgrade transmission and distribution networks to incorporate advanced grid technologies. The report shall include:
- 1. Documentation of the analysis and utility's conclusions regarding the utility's investments in transmission and distribution advanced grid technologies;
- 2. A description the utility's efforts at incorporating advanced grid technologies into its transmission and distribution networks;
- 3. A description of the impact of the implementation of distribution advanced grid technologies on the selection of a resource acquisition strategy; and
- 4. A description of the impact of the implementation of transmission advancedgrid technologies on the selection of a resource acquisition strategy.
- (F) If the utility plans to implement non-advanced technologies instead of advanced grid technologies, the report shall document the analysis that demonstrates that non-advanced grid technologies are more appropriate and beneficial to consumers.
- (G) A report that presents and documents the utility's calculation of avoided transmission costs and avoided distribution costs.

AUTHORITY: (Add authorities)

PURPOSE: This rule specifies the methodsprinciples by which end-use measures andpotential demand-side programsresources shall be developed and screenedanalyzed for cost-effectiveness. It also requires the ongoing evaluation of end-use measures and programs, and the use of program evaluation information to improve program design and cost-effectiveness analysis. (1) Identification of End-Use Measures. The analysis selection of demand-side resources shall begin with the development of a menu of candidate resource options that are passed on to integrated resource analysis in 4 CSR 240-22.060 and an assessment of their technical potentials and realistic achievable potentials.

- (1) The utility shall identify a set of potential demand-side resources from which demand-side candidate resource options will be identified for the purposes of developing the alternative resource plans required by 4 CSR 240-22.060(3). A potential demand-side resource consists of a demand-side program designed to deliver one or more energy efficiency and energy management measures that or a demand-side rate. The utility shall design the set of potential demand-side resources:
- (A) To provide broad coverage of—:
- (A) All major customer classes, including at least residential, commercial, industrial and interruptible;
- (B) 1. Appropriate customer market segments within each major class;
- 2. All significant decision-makers, including at least those who choose building design features and thermal integrity levels, equipment and appliance efficiency levels, and utilization levels of the energy-using capital stock; (C) 3. All major end uses, including at least lighting, refrigeration, space cooling, space heating, water heating and motive power; and
- (D) 4. Renewable energy sources-, distributed generation resources and energy technologies that substitute for electricity at the point of use-; (2) Calculation of Avoided Costs. The utility shall develop estimates of the cost savings that can be obtained by substituting demand-side resources for existing and new supply-side resources. These avoided cost estimates, expressed in nominal dollars, shall be used for cost-effectiveness screening and ranking of end-use measures and demand-side programs.
- (A) Supply Resource Cost Estimates. The utility shall use the cost estimates developed pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.040(2) to calculate the following two (2) estimates of avoided cost: avoided utility costs and avoided utility costs plus avoided probable environmental costs.
- 1. The choice of new generation options used to calculate avoided costs shall be limited to those which will meet the need for capacity under the base-case load forecast at approximately the lowest present value of utility revenue requirements over the planning horizon. The utility shall document the basis on which the timing and choice of the new generation options were determined to be approximately least cost.
- 2. The utility shall calculate the annual capacity cost of each new generation option and new transmission and distribution facilities as the sum of the levelized capital cost per kilowatt-year and the fixed operation and maintenance cost per kilowatt-year.
- 3. The utility shall calculate the direct running cost of each generation option as the sum of fuel costs, sulfur dioxide emission allowance costs, and variable operation and maintenance costs per kilowatt-hour (kWh). The probable environmental costs calculated pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.040(2)(B) shall also be expressed on a per-kilowatt hour basis for both existing and new generation resources.

- (B) Avoided Cost Periods. The utility shall determine avoided cost periods by grouping hours on a seasonal (for example, summer, winter and transition) and time-of-use basis (for example, on-peak, off-peak, super-peak or shoulder-peak) as required to adequately reflect significant differences in running costs and the type of capacity being utilized to maintain required reserve margins. (C) Calculation of Avoided Capacity and Running Costs. Avoided costs shall be calculated as the difference in costs associated with a specified decrement in load large enough to delay the on-line date of the new capacity additions by at least one (1) year.
- 1. Avoided running cost. For each year of the planning horizon and for each avoided cost period, the utility shall calculate the avoided direct running cost per kWh (including sulfur dioxide emission allowance costs) and the avoided probable environmental running cost per kWh due to the specified load decrement. 2. Avoided capacity costs. The utility shall calculate and document the avoided capacity costs per kilowatt year for each year of the planning horizon. A. This calculation shall include the costs of any new generation, transmission and distribution facilities that are delayed or avoided because of the specified load decrement.
- B. For each year of the planning horizon, the utility shall determine the avoided cost periods in which the avoided new generation, transmission and distribution capacity was utilized, and shall allocate a nonzero portion of the annualized avoided capacity costs to each of the periods in which that capacity was utilized.
- (D) Avoided Demand and Energy Costs. The utility shall use the avoided capacity and running costs (appropriately adjusted to reflect reliability reserve margins, demand losses and energy losses) to calculate the avoided demand and energy costs for each avoided cost period. Demand periods shall be defined as the avoided cost periods in which there is a significant probability of a loss of load (for example, periods which require the use of peaking capacity to maintain power pool reserve margins). Nondemand periods are the avoided cost periods in which there is not a significant probability of a loss of load. Demand period avoided demand costs. Avoided demand costs per kilowatt-year for the demand periods of each season shall include avoided transmission and distribution capacity costs, plus the smaller of the avoided generation capacity cost allocated to the demand period or the avoided capacity cost of peaking
- 2. Demand period avoided energy costs. Any capacity cost per kilowatt-year allocated to the demand periods but not included in the avoided demand cost shall be converted to an avoided energy cost by dividing the avoided capacity cost per kilowatt-year by the number of hours in the associated demand period. The utility shall add this converted avoided capacity cost to both of the running cost estimates developed pursuant to paragraph (2)(C)1. to calculate the demand period direct energy costs and the probable environmental energy costs. 3. Nondemand period avoided demand cost. The avoided demand cost for the nondemand periods is zero (0).
- 4. Nondemand period avoided energy costs. Avoided capacity cost per kilowattyear allocated to the nondemand periods within each season shall be converted to a per kilowatt hour cost by dividing the avoided capacity cost per kilowatt year by the number of hours in the associated nondemand period. The utility shall add this converted avoided capacity cost to both of the running cost estimates developed pursuant to paragraph (2)(C)1. to calculate the nondemand period direct energy costs and the probable environmental energy costs.
- 5. Annual avoided demand and energy costs. Annual avoided demand costs shall include avoided transmission and distribution capacity costs, plus the smaller of the annual avoided generation capacity costs or the avoided capacity cost of peaking capacity. Annual avoided energy costs shall include annual avoided

- running costs plus any avoided capacity costs not included in the annual demand cost.
- (3) Cost-Effectiveness Screening of End-Use Measures. The utility shall evaluate the cost-effectiveness of each end-use measure identified pursuant to section
- (1) using the probable environmental benefits test. All costs and benefits shall be expressed in nominal dollars.
- (A) The utility shall develop estimates of the end-use measure demand reduction for each demand period and energy savings per installation for each avoided cost period on a normal-weather basis. If the utility can show that subannual load impact estimates are not required to capture the potential benefits of an enduse measure, annual estimates of demand and energy savings may be used for costeffectiveness screening.
- (B) Benefits per installation of each end-use measure in each avoided cost period shall be calculated as the demand reduction multiplied by the levelized avoided demand cost plus the energy savings multiplied by the levelized avoided
- 1. Avoided costs in each avoided cost period shall be levelized over the planning horizon using the utility discount rate.
- 2. Annualized benefits shall be calculated as the sum of the levelized benefits over all avoided cost periods.
- (C) Annualized costs per installation for each end-use measure shall be calculated as the sum of the following components:
- 1. Incremental costs of implementing the measure (regardless of who pays these costs) levelized over the life of the measure using the utility discount rate; 2. Incremental annual operation and maintenance costs (regardless of who pays these costs) levelized over the life of the measure using the utility discount
- 3. Any probable environmental impact mitigation costs due to implementation of the end-use measure that are borne by either the utility or the customer.
- (D) Annualized costs for end use measures shall not include either utility marketing and delivery costs for demand-side programs or lost revenues due to measure-induced reductions in energy sales or billing demands between rate
- (E) Annualized benefits minus annualized costs per installation must be positive or the ratio of annualized benefits to annualized costs must be greater than one (1) for an end-use measure to pass the screening test. The utility may relax this criterion for measures that are judged to have potential benefits which are not captured by the estimated load impacts or avoided costs.
- (F) End use measures that pass the probable environmental benefits test must be included in at least one (1) potential demand-side program.
- (C) For each end-use measure that passes the probable environmental benefits test, the utility also shall perform the utility benefits test for informational purposes. This calculation shall include the cost components identified in paragraphs (3) (C) 1. and 2...
- (4) The utility shall estimate the technical potential of each end-use measure that passes the screening test.
- (5) (B) To include demand-side resources with the goal of achieving all costeffective demand-side savings. To accomplish this goal, the utility shall design highly effective potential demand-side programs pursuant to section (A) that broadly cover the full spectrum of cost-effective end-use measures for all customer market segments pursuant to section (1)(A)1;
- (C) To include demand-side rates for all customer market segments pursuant to section (1)(A)1;
- (D) To consider and assess multiple designs for demand-side programs and demand-side rates, selecting the optimal for implementation and modifying them as necessary to enhance their performance; and

- (E) To include the effects of improved technologies expected over the planning horizon to:
- 1. Reduce or manage energy use; or
 - 2. Improve the delivery of demand-side programs or demand-side rates.
- (2) The utility shall conduct market research studies, customer surveys, pilot demand-side programs, pilot demand-side rates, test marketing programs and other activities as necessary to estimate the technical potential of end-use measures and realistic achievable potential of potential demand-side resources for the utility and to develop the information necessary to design and implement cost-effective demand-side programs- and demand-side rates. These research activities shall be designed to provide a solid foundation of information applicable to the utility about how and by whom energy-related decisions are made and about the most appropriate and cost-effective methods of influencing these decisions in favor of greater long-run energy efficiency and energy management impacts. The utility may compile existing data or adopt data developed by other entities, including government agencies and other utilities, as long as the utility verifies the applicability of the adopted data to its service territory.

- (3) The utility shall develop a set of potential demand-side programs that are designed to deliver an appropriate selection of end-use measures to each market segment. The demand-side program planning and design process shall include at least the following activities and elements:
- (A) Review demand-side programs that have been implemented by other utilities with similar characteristics to determine if similar programs would be applicable for the utility;
- (B) Identify market segments that are numerous and diverse enough to provide relatively complete coverage of the major classes and decision-makers identified in subsections section (1)(A) and (B), and that are specifically defined to reflect the primary market imperfections that are common to the members of the market segment;
- (B) Analyze the interactions between end-use measures (for example, more efficient lighting reduces the savings related to efficiency gains in cooling equipment because efficient lighting reduces intrinsic heat gain);
- (C) Assemble menus of end-use measures that are appropriate to the shared characteristics of each market segment and cost-effective as measured by the screening test; and, including the role of technological changes in end-uses that may be reasonably anticipated to occur during the planning horizon; (D) Assess how technological advancements that may be reasonably anticipated to occur during the planning horizon, including advanced metering and distribution systems, affect the ability to implement demand-side programs;
- (E) Design a marketing plan and delivery process to present the menu of end-use measures to the members of each market segment and to persuade decision-makers to implement as many of these measures as may be appropriate to their situation. When appropriate, consider multiple approaches for the same menu of end-use measures;
- (7) Cost Effectiveness Screening of Demand Side Programs. The utility shall evaluate (F) Estimate the characteristics needed for the twenty (20) year planning horizon to assess the cost- effectiveness of each potential demand-side program developed pursuant to section (6) using the total resource cost test. The utility cost test shall also be performed for purposes of comparison. All costs, including:
- 1. An assessment of the demand and benefits shall be expressed energy reduction impacts of each stand-alone end-use measure contained in nominal dollars. The following procedure shall be used to perform these tests: each potential demandside program;

- (A) The utility shall 2. An assessment of how the interactions between end-use measures, when bundled with other end-use measures in the potential demand-side program, would affect the stand alone end-use measure impact estimates;
- 3. An estimate of the incremental and cumulative number of program participants and end-use measure installations due to the program and incremental and cumulative demand reduction and energy savings due to the program in each avoided cost period in each year of the planning horizon. potential demand-side program;
- 1. Initial estimates 4. For each year of the planning horizon, an estimate of the incremental and cumulative demand reduction and energy savings due to the potential demand-side program load impacts; and
- 5. For each year of the planning horizon, an estimate of the costs, including:
- A. The incremental cost of each stand-alone end-use measure;
- B. The cost of incentives to customers to participate in the potential demand-side program paid by the utility. The utility shall be based on the best available consider multiple levels of incentives paid by the utility for each end-use measure within a potential demand-side program, with commensurate adjustments to the technical potential and the realistic achievable potential of that potential demand-side program;
- C. The cost of incentives to customers to participate in the potential demand-side program paid by the entities other than the utility;
- D. The cost of technology to the customer and to the utility to implement a potential demand-side program;
 - E. The utility's cost to administer the potential demand-side program; and
 - F. Other costs identified by the utility.
- (G) The utility shall describe how it performed the assessments and developed the estimates pursuant to section (F), and shall document its sources and quality of information from in-house research, vendors, consultants, industry research groups, national laboratories.
- (4) The utility shall develop potential demand-side rates designed for each market segment to reduce the net consumption of electricity or other credible sources.modify the timing of its use. The demand-side rate planning and design process shall include at least the following activities and elements: 2. As the load-impact measurements required (A) Review demand-side rates that have been implemented by subsection (9)(B) become available, these results shall be used in the ongoing development other utilities with similar electric prices and screening customer makeup to determine if similar rates would be applicable for the utility;
- (B) Identify demand-side rates applicable to the major market classes and decision-makers identified in section (1)(A). When appropriate, consider multiple rate designs for the same customer classes;
- (C) Assess how technological advancements that may be reasonably anticipated to occur during the planning horizon, including advanced metering and distribution systems, affect the ability to implement demand-side rates;
- (D) Estimate the characteristics needed for the twenty (20) year planning horizon to assess the cost effectiveness of each potential demand-side rate, including:
- 1. An assessment of the demand and energy reduction impacts of each potential demand-side rate;
- 2. An assessment of how the interactions between multiple potential demandside rates, if offered simultaneously, would affect the impact estimates;
- 3. An assessment of how the interactions between potential demand-side rates and potential demand-side programs and would affect the impact estimates of the potential demand-side programs and potential demand-side rates;

- 4. For each year of the planning horizon, an estimate of the incremental and cumulative demand reduction and energy savings due to the potential demand-side
- 5. For each year of the planning horizon, an estimate of the costs of each potential demand-side rate, including:
- A. The cost of incentives to customers to participate in the development of alternative resource plans; potential demand-side rate paid by the utility. The utility shall consider multiple levels of incentives to achieve customer participation in each potential demand-side rate, with commensurate adjustments to the technical potential and the realistic achievable potentials of that potential demand-side rate;
- B. The cost of technology to the customer and to the utility to implement the potential demand-side rate;
- C. The utility's cost to administer the potential demand-side rate; and D. Other costs identified by the utility.
- (E) The utility shall describe how it performed the assessments and developed the estimates pursuant to section (D), and shall document its sources and quality of information.
- (5) The utility shall evaluate the cost-effectiveness of each potential demandside program developed pursuant to section (3) and each potential demand-side rate developed pursuant to section (4). All costs and benefits shall be expressed in nominal dollars.
- (A) In each year of the planning horizon, the benefits of each potential demand-side program and each potential demand-side rate shall be calculated as the cumulative demand reduction multiplied by the avoided demand cost plus the cumulative energy savings multiplied by the avoided energy cost, summed over the avoided cost periods within each year. These calculations shall be performed using the avoided probable environmental costs developed pursuant to section $\frac{(2)}{(2)}$.
- (C) Utility Cost Test 1. The utility avoided demand cost shall include the capacity cost of generation, transmission and distribution facilities, adjusted to reflect reliability reserve margins and capacity losses on the transmission and distribution systems, or the corresponding market-based equivalents of those costs. The utility shall describe and document how it developed its avoided demand cost, and the capacity cost chosen shall be consistent throughout the triennial compliance filing.
- 2. The utility avoided energy cost shall include the fuel costs, emission allowance costs, and variable operation and maintenance costs of generation facilities, adjusted to reflect energy losses on the transmission and distribution systems, or the corresponding market-based equivalents of those costs. The utility shall describe and document how it developed its avoided energy cost, and the energy costs shall be consistent throughout the triennial compliance filing.
- 3. The avoided probable environmental costs include the effects of the probable environmental costs calculated pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.040(2)(B) on the utility avoided demand cost and the utility avoided energy cost. The utility shall describe and document how it developed its avoided probable environmental cost.
- (B) The total resource cost test shall be used to evaluate the costeffectiveness of the potential demand-side programs and potential demand-side rates. In each year of the planning horizon, the:
- 1. The costs of each demand-side program shall be utility incentive payments plus utility costs to administer, deliver and evaluate each demand-side program. For purposes of this test, demand-side program costs shall not include lost revenues or costs paid by participants in demand-side programs;

- (D) Total Resource Cost Test. In each year of the planning horizon, the costs of eachpotential demand-side program shall be calculated as the sum of all incremental costs of end-use measures that are implemented due to the program (including both utility and participant contributions) plus utility costs to administer, deliver and evaluate each demand side program. For purposes of test, demand-side program costs shall not include lost revenues or utility incentive payments to customers potential demand-side program;
- (E 2. The costs of each potential demand-side rate shall be calculated as the sum of all incremental costs that are due to the rate (including both utility and participant contributions) plus utility costs to administer, deliver and evaluate each potential demand-side rate;
- 3. For purposes of this test, the costs of potential demand-side programs and potential demand-side rates shall not include lost revenues or utility incentive payments to customers;
- (C) The utility cost test shall also be performed for purposes of comparison. In each year of the planning horizon:
- 1. The costs of each potential demand-side program and potential demand-side rate shall be calculated as the sum of all utility incentive payments plus utility costs to administer, deliver and evaluate each potential demand-side program or potential demand-side rate.
- 2. For purposes of this test, the costs of potential demand-side programs and potential demand-side rates shall not include lost revenues or utility incentive payments to customers;
- (D) The present value of program benefits minus the present value of program costs over the planning horizon must be positive or the ratio of annualized benefits to annualized costs must be greater than one (1) for a potential demand-side program or potential demand-side rate to pass the utility cost test or the total resource cost test. The utility may relax this criterion for programs that are judged to have potential benefits that are not captured by the estimated load impacts or avoided costs, including programs required to comply with legal mandates; and
- (F (E) The utility shall describe how it performed the cost effectiveness assessments pursuant to section (5), and shall document its methods and its sources and quality of information.
- (6) Potential demand-side programs and potential demand-side rates that pass the total resource cost test shall be considered as demand-side candidate resource options and must be included in at least one (1) alternative resource plan developed pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.060(3).
- (8) (A) The utility may bundle demand-side candidate resource options into portfolios, as long as the requirements pursuant to section (1) are met and as long as multiple demand-side candidate resource options and portfolios advance for consideration in the integrated resource analysis in 4 CSR 240-22.060.
- (B) For each demand-side program that passes the total candidate resource cost testoption or portfolio, the utility shall develop time-differentiated load impact estimates over the planning horizon at the level of detail required by the supply system simulation model that is used in the integrated resource analysis required by 4 CSR 240-22.060(4).
- (9) Evaluation of Demand-Side Programs. The utility shall (C) The utility shall assess the potential uncertainty associated with the demand-side candidate resource options or portfolios by estimating the technical potential and realistic achievable potential of each demand-side candidate resource option or portfolio.
- (7) For each demand-side candidate resource option identified in section (6), the utility shall describe the general principles it will use to develop evaluation plans for all demand-side programs that are included in the preferred

```
resource plan selected pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.070(68). The purpose of these
 evaluations shall be to develop the information necessary to improve the design
 of existing and future demand-side programs, and to gather data on the
 implementation costs and load impacts of programs for use
 screening and integrated resource analysis.
 (A) Process Evaluation. Each demand-side program that is part of the utility's
 preferred resource plan shall be subjected to an ongoing utility shall verify
 that the evaluation process which addresses at least the following questions
 about program design:
 1. What are the primary market imperfections that are common to the target
 market segment?
 2.costs in section (5)(B) and (5)(C) are —Is the target market segment
 appropriately defined or should it be further subdivided or merged with other
 3. Does the mix of end use measures included in the program appropriately
 reflect the diversity of end-use energy service needs and existing end-use
 technologies within the target segment?
 4. Are the communication channels and delivery mechanisms appropriate for the
 target segment? and
 5. and commensurate with these What can be done to more effectively overcome the
 identified market imperfections and to increase the rate of customer acceptance
 and implementation of each end-use measure included in the program?
 (B) Impact Evaluation. The utility shall develop methods of estimating the
 actual load impacts of each demand-side program included in the utility's
 preferred resource plan to a reasonable degree of accuracy.
 1. Impact evaluation methods. Com-parisons of one (1) or both of the following
 types shall be used to measure program impacts in a manner that is based on
 sound statistical principles:principles.
 A. Comparisons of preadoption and postadoption loads of program participants,
 corrected for the effects of weather and other intertemporal differences; and
 B. Comparisons between program participants' loads and those of an appropriate
 control group over the same time period.
 (8—The utility shall develop load impact measurement protocols that are designed
 to make the most cost-effective use of the following types of measurements,
 either individually or in combination: monthly billing data, load research data,
 end-use load metered data, building and equipment simulation models, and survey
 responses or audit data on appliance and equipment type, size and efficiency
 levels, household or business characteristics, or energy related building
 characteristics.
 (C) The utility shall develop protocols to collect data regarding demand-side
 program market potential, participation rates, utility costs, participant costs
 and total costs.
 (10) Demand-side programs resources and load-building programs shall be
 separately designed and administered, and all costs shall be separately
 classified so as to permit a clear distinction between demand-side
programresource costs and the costs of load-building programs. The costs of
 demand-side resource development that also serve other functions shall be
 allocated between the functions served.
 <del>(11</del>
 (9) Reporting Requirements. To demonstrate compliance with the provisions of
 this rule, and pursuant to the requirements of 4 CSR 240-22.080, the utility
 shall prepare a report that contains at least the following information:
 (A) A list of the end-use measures potential demand-side programs developed for
 initial screening pursuant to the requirements of section (13) of this rule;
```

(B) The estimated load impacts, annualized costs per installation and the results Documentation of the probable environmental benefits test for each end-

- use measure identified methods and assumptions used to develop the potential demand-side programs;
- (C) A list of potential demand-side rates developed pursuant to the requirements of section (1); 4) of this rule;
- (C) The technical potential each end-use measure that passes the probable environmental benefits test;
- (D) Documentation of the methods and assumptions used to develop the avoided cost estimates developed pursuant to section (2) including: potential demand-
- 1. A description of the type and timing of new supply resources, including transmission and distribution facilities, used to calculate avoided capacity
- 2. A description of the assumptions and procedure used to calculate avoided running costs;
- 3. A description of the avoided cost periods and how they were determined; 4. A tabulation of the direct running costs and the probable environmental running costs for each avoided cost period in each year of the planning horizon;
- 5. A tabulation of the avoided demand cost, the avoided direct energy costs and the avoided probable environmental energy costs for each avoided cost period in each year of the planning horizon;
- (E) Copies of completed market research studies, pilot programs, pilot rates, test marketing programs and other studies as required by section (52) of this rule and descriptions of those studies that are planned or in progress and the scheduled completion dates;
- (F) A description of each market segment identified pursuant to subsection
- (G) A description of each demand-side program developed for initial screening pursuant to section (6) of this rule; 3) (B);
- (H) (G) A tabulation of the incremental and cumulative number of participants, load impacts, utility costs and program participant costs in each year of the planning horizon for each potential demand-side program developed pursuant to section (63) of this rule and for each potential demand-side rate developed pursuant to section (4) of this rule;
- (I) The results of the utility cost test and the total resource cost test and the utility cost test for each potential demand-side program and for each potential demand-side rate developed pursuant to section (65) of this rule; and (J) A description, including a tabulation of the process and impact evaluation plans for demand side programs that are included in the preferred benefits (avoided costs), demand-side resource plan as required by section (9) of this rule and the results of any such evaluations that have been completed since the utility's last scheduled filing pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.080.costs and net benefits or costs;
- (G) Documentation of the methods and assumptions used to develop the avoided costs;
- (I) A summary of the time differentiated load impact estimates over the planning horizon for each demand-side candidate resource option pursuant to section (6)(B); and
- (J) The results of the assessment of uncertainty of demand-side candidate resource options or portfolios, including an explanation of how the utility determined the technical potential and the realistic achievable potential of those demand-side candidate resource options or portfolios.

AUTHORITY: sections 386.040, 386.610 and 393.140, RSMo 1986 and 386.250, RSMo Supp. 1991.* Original rule filed June 12, 1992, effective May 6, 1993.

*Original authority: 386.040, RSMo 1939; 386.250, RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991; 386.610, RSMo 1939; and 393.140, RSMo 1939, amended 1949, 1967. (Add authorities)

PURPOSE: This rule requires the utility to design alternative resource plans to meet the planning objectives identified in 4 CSR 240-22.010(2) and sets minimum standards for the scope and level of detail required in resource plan analysis, and for the logically consistent and economically equivalent analysis of alternative resource plans. - This rule also requires the utility to identify the critical uncertain factors that affect the performance of resource plans and establishes minimum standards for the methods used to assess the risks associated with these uncertainties.

- (1) Resource Planning Objectives. (1) Resource Planning Objectives. The utility shall design alternative resource plans to satisfy at least the objectives and priorities identified in 4 CSR 240-22.010(2). The utility may identify additional planning objectives that alternative resource plans will be designed to servemeet.
- (2) Specification of Performance Measures. The utility shall specify a set of quantitative measures for assessing the performance of alternative resource plans with respect to identified planning objectives.
- (A) These performance measures shall include at least the following: present
 - 1. Present worth of utility revenue requirements, present;
 - 2. Present worth of probable environmental costs, present;
- 3. Present worth of out-of-pocket costs to participants in demand-side programs, levelized annual average and rates and maximum;
- 4. Levelized annual average rates;
- 5. Maximum single-year increase in annual average rates-;
- 6. Financial ratios or other credit metrics indicative of the utility's ability to finance implementing the preferred plan; and
- 7. Other measures that utility decision-makers believe are appropriate for assessing the performance of resource plans relative to the planning objectives identified in 4 CSR 240-22.010(2).
- (B) All present worth and levelization calculations shall use the utility discount rate and all costs and benefits shall be expressed in nominal dollars. Utility decision-makers may also specify other measures that they believe are appropriate for assessing the performance of resource plans relative to the planning objectives identified in 4 CSR 240-22.010(2).
- (3) Development of Alternative Resource Plans. The utility shall use appropriate combinations of candidate demand-side and supply-side resources to develop a set of alternative resource plans, each of which is designed to achieve one (1) or more of the planning objectives identified in 4 CSR 240-22.010(2). Demand-side resources are the demand-side candidate resource options and portfolios developed in 4 CSR 240-22.050(5). Supply-side resources are the supply-side candidate resource options developed in 4 CSR 240-22.040(3)(B). The goal is to develop a set of alternative plans based on substantively different mixes of supply-side and demand-side resources to assess their relative performance under expected conditions as well as their robustness under a range of conditions.
- (A) The utility shall develop at least one alternative plan, and as many as may be needed to assess the range of resource options, for each of the following cases. Each of the plans shall provide resources to meet all projected load growth and resource retirements over the planning period in a manner specified by the case. The utility shall examine cases that:
- 1. Minimally comply with the state and federal standards for demand-side resources, renewable energy resources, and other mandated resources. This constitutes the compliance benchmark case for planning purposes;

- 2. Utilize only renewable energy resources, if that results in more renewable energy resources than the minimally compliant plan. This constitutes the aggressive renewable energy case for planning purposes;
- 3. Utilize only demand-side resources, if that results in more demand-side resources than the minimally compliant plan. This constitutes the aggressive demand-side resource case for planning purposes;
- 4. Utilize only other state or federal mandated energy resources, if that results in more mandated energy resources than the minimally compliant plan. For planning purposes, this constitutes the aggressive case for implementing the mandated energy resource;
- 5. Optimally comply with the state and federal standards for demand-side resources, renewable energy resources, and other targeted resources. This constitutes the optimal compliance case, where every mandate is at least minimally met, but some resources may be optimally utilized at levels greater than the mandated minimums;
- 6. Any other plan specified by the staff as a special contemporary issue pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.080(4);
- 7. Any other plan specified by commission order; and
- 8. Any additional alternative resource plans that the utility deems should be
- (B) The alternative resource plans developed at this stage of the analysis shall not include load-building programs, which shall be analyzed as required by section (5) of this rule. 4 CSR 240-22.070(5).
- (C) The utility shall include in its development of alternative resource plans the impact of:
- 1. The potential retirement or life extension of existing generation plants;
- 2. The addition of equipment on generation plants to meet environmental requirements; and
- 3. The conclusion of any currently implemented demand-side resources.
- (4) Analysis of Alternative Resource Plans. The utility shall assess the relative performance of the alternative resource plans by calculating for each plan the value of each performance measure specified pursuant to section (2). This calculation shall assume values for uncertain factors that are judged by utility decision-makers to be most likely. The analysis shall cover a planning horizon of at least twenty (20) years and shall be carried out with computer models that are capable of simulating the total operation of the system on a year-by-year basis in order to assess the annual and cumulative impacts of alternative resource plans. These models shall be sufficiently detailed to accomplish the following tasks and objectives:
- (A) The analysis of financial impact of alternative resource plans shall be modeled in sufficient detail to provide comparative estimates of at least the following prevailing measures of the utility's financial condition for each year of the planning horizon: pretax interest coverage, ratio of total debt to total capital and ratio of net cash flow to capital expenditures;;
- (B) The modeling procedure analysis shall be based on the assumption that rates will be adjusted annually, in a manner that is consistent with Missouri law-This provision does not imply any requirement for the utility to file actual rate cases or for the commission to accord any particular ratemaking treatment to actual costs incurred by the utility; ;
- (C) The modeling procedure shall include a method to ensure that the impact of changes in electric rates on future levels of demand for electric service is accounted for in the analysis; and
- -(D) The modeling procedure (C) The analysis shall treat supply-side and demandside resources on a logically consistent and economically equivalent basis. This means that the same types or categories of costs, benefits and risks shall be

considered, and that these factors shall be quantified at a similar level of detail and precision for all resource types.

- (5) The utility shall (5) Analysis of Load-Building Programs. If the utility existing load-building programs or implement new ones, it shall analyze these programs in the context of one (1) or more of the alternative plans developed pursuant to section (3) of this rule, including the preferred resource plan selected pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.070(6). This analysis shall use the same modeling procedure and assumptions described in section (4) and shall include the following elements:
- -(A) Estimation of the impact of load-building programs on the electric utility's summer and winter peak demands and energy usage;
- (B) A comparison of annual average rates in each year of the planning horizon for the resource plan with and without the load-building program;
- -(C) A comparison of the probable environmental costs of the resource plan in each year of the planning horizon with and without the proposed load-building program; and
- -(D) An assessment of any other aspects of the proposed load-building programs that affect the public interest.
- (6) Reporting Requirements. To demonstrate compliance with the provisions of this rule, and pursuant to the requirements of 4 CSR 240-22.080, the utility shall prepare a report that contains at least the following information:
- (A) A description of each alternative resource plan including the type and size of each resource addition and a listing of the sequence and schedule for retiring existing resources and acquiring each new resource addition;
- -(B) A summary tabulation that shows the performance of each alternative resource plan as measured by each of the measures specified in section (2) of this rule;
- -(C) For each alternative resource plan, a plot of each of the following over the planning horizon:
- 1. The combined impact of all demand-side resources on the base-case forecast of summer and winter peak demands;
- 2. The composition, by program, of the capacity provided by demand-side resources;
- -3. The composition, by supply resource, of the capacity (including reserve margin) provided by supply resources. Existing supply-side resources may be shown as a single resource;
- 4. The combined impact of all demand-side resources on the base-case forecast of annual energy requirements;
- 5. The composition, by program, of the annual energy provided by demand-side resources;
- 6. The composition, by supply resource, of the annual energy (including losses) provided by supply resources. Existing supply-side resources may be shown as a single resource;
- 7. The values of the three (3) measures of financial condition identified in subsection (4)(A);
- 8. Annual average rates;
- 9. Annual emissions of each environmental pollutant identified pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.040(2)(B)1; and
- 10. Annual probable environmental costs.
- (D) A discussion of how the impacts of rate changes on future electric loads were modeled and how the appropriate estimates of price elasticity were obtained;
- (E) A description of the computer models used in the analysis of alternative resource plans; and
- -(F) A description of any proposed load-building programs, a discussion of why these programs are judged to be in the public interest and, for all resource

plans that include these programs, plots of the following over the planning horizon:

- 1. Annual average rates with and without the load-building programs; and
- Annual utility costs and probable environmental costs with and without

AUTHORITY: sections 386.040, 386.610 and 393.140, RSMo 1986 and 386.250, RSMo Supp. 1991.* Original rule filed June 12, 1992, effective May 6, 1993. *Original authority: 386.040, RSMo 1939; 386.250, RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991; 386.610, RSMo 1939; and 393.140, RSMo 1939, amended 1949, 1967.ä

4 CSR 240-22.070 Risk Analysis and Strategy Selection PURPOSE: This rule requires the utility to identify the critical uncertain factors that affect the performance of resource plans, establishes minimum standards for the methods used to assess the risks associated with these uncertainties and requires the utility to specify and officially adopt a resource acquisition strategy.

(1) The utility shall use the methods of formal decision analysis to assess the impacts of critical uncertain factors on the expected performance of each of the alternative resource plans developed pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.060(3), to) and analyze the risks associated with alternative resource plans, to quantify the value of better information concerning the critical uncertain factors and to This assessment shall explicitly state and document the subjective probabilities that utility decision-makers assign to each of these uncertain factors. This assessment shall include a decision-tree representation of the key decisions and uncertainties associated with each alternative resource plan.

- (2) Before developing a detailed decision-tree representation of each resource plan, the
- (6) The utility shall conduct a preliminary sensitivity analysis to identify the uncertain factors that are critical to the performance of the alternative $resource \ \underline{\textit{plan. This analysis}} \underline{\textit{plans. The utility}} \ \ \textit{shall } \underline{\textit{assess}} \underline{\textit{consider}} \ \ \textit{at least the}$ following uncertain factors:
- (A) The range of future load growth represented by the low-case and high-case load forecasts;
- (B) Future interest rate levels and other credit market conditions that can affect the utility's cost of capital; and access to capital;
- (C) Future changes in environmental lawslegal mandates, regulations or standards;
 - (D) Relative real fuel prices;
- (E) Siting and permitting costs and schedules for new generation and generation-related transmission facilities for the utility, for a regional transmission organization and/or other transmission systems;
- ex (F) Construction costs and schedules for new generation and generationrelated transmission facilities for the utility, for a regional transmission organization and/or other transmission systems;
- (G) Purchased power availability, terms-and, cost, optionality and other benefits;
- (H) Sulfur dioxide emission allowance prices Price of emission allowances, including at a minimum sulfur dioxide, carbon dioxide and nitrogen oxides;
- **e**(I) Fixed operation and maintenance costs for new and existing generation facilities;
- (J) Equivalent or full- and partial-forced-outage rates for new and existing generation facilities;
- (K) Future load impacts of demand-side programs; and rates;
- ex (L) Utility marketing and delivery costs for demand-side programs.

- (3) For each alternative resource plan, the utility shall construct a decisiontree diagram that appropriately represents the key resource decisions and critical rates; and
- (M) Any other uncertain factors that affect the utility determines may be critical to the performance of the resource plan.
- (4) The decision-tree diagram for all alternative resource plans-shall include at least two (2) chance nodes for load growth uncertainty over consecutive subintervals of the planning horizon. The first of these subintervals shall be not more than ten (10) years long.
- (5) The utility shall use the decision-tree formulation to
- (7) The utility decision-makers shall assign a probability pursuant to section (5) of this rule to each uncertain factor deemed critical by the utility. The utility shall compute the cumulative probability distribution of the values of each performance measure specified pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.060(2), contingent upon the identified uncertain factors and associated subjective probabilities assigned by utility decision-makers pursuant to section (1) of this rule.). Both the expected performance and the risks of each alternative resource plan shall be quantified.
- (A) The expected performance of each resource plan shall be measured by the statistical expectation of the value of each performance measure.
- (B) The risk associated with each resource plan shall be characterized by some measure of the dispersion of the probability distribution for each performance measure, such as the standard deviation or the values associated with specified percentiles of the distribution.
- (8) Reporting Requirements. To demonstrate compliance with the provisions of this rule, and pursuant to the requirements of 4 CSR 240-22.080, the utility shall prepare a report that contains at least the following information:
- (A) A description of each alternative resource plan including the type and size of each demand-side and supply-side resource addition and a listing of the sequence and schedule for retiring existing resources and acquiring each new resource;
- (B) A summary tabulation that shows the performance of each alternative resource plan as measured by each of the measures specified in section (2) of this rule;
- (C) For each alternative resource plan, a plot of each of the following over the planning horizon along with a table containing the data used to create the
- 1. The combined impact of all demand-side resources on the base-case forecast of summer and winter peak demands;
- 2. The composition, by program and rate, of the capacity provided by demandside resources;
- 3. The composition, by supply-side resource, of the capacity (including reserve margin) provided by supply-side resources. Existing supply-side resources may be shown as a single resource;
- 4. The combined impact of all demand-side resources on the base-case forecast of annual energy requirements;
- 5. (The composition, by program and rate, of the annual energy provided by demand-side resources;
- 6. The composition, by supply-side resource, of the annual energy (including losses) provided by supply-side resources. Existing supply-side resources may be shown as a single resource;
- 7. The values of the prevailing measures of financial condition identified in section (4)(A);
 - 8. Annual average rates;
- 9. Annual emissions of each environmental pollutant identified pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.040(2)(B)1;

- 10. Annual probable environmental costs; and
- 11. Public and highly confidential forms of the capacity balance spreadsheets completed in the specified format.
- (D) A discussion of how the impacts of rate changes on future electric loads were modeled and how the appropriate estimates of price elasticity were obtained;
- (E) A discussion of the incremental costs of implementing more renewable energy resources than required to comply with any applicable renewable energy mandates;
- (F) A discussion of the incremental costs of implementing more energy efficiency resources than required to comply with any applicable energy efficiency mandates;
- (G) A discussion of the incremental costs of implementing more energy resources than required to comply with any other applicable energy resource mandates;
- (H) A description of the computer models used in the analysis of alternative resource plans;
- (I) A discussion and documentation of the method the utility used to determine the cumulative probability distributions pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.060(7).
- 1. An explanation of how the critical uncertain factors were identified, how the ranges of potential outcomes for each uncertain factor were determined and how the probabilities for each outcome were derived;
- 2. Documentation and analyses supporting the utility's choice of ranges and probabilities for the uncertain factors;
- (J) Plots of the cumulative probability distribution of each distinct performance measure for each alternative resource plan;
- (K) For each performance measure, a table that shows the expected value and the risk of each alternative resource plan; and
- (L) A plot of the expected level of annual unserved hours for the preferred resource plan over the planning horizon.

AUTHORITY: sections 386.040, 386.610 and 393.140, RSMo 1986 and 386.250, RSMo Supp. 1991.* Original rule filed June 12, 1992, effective May 6, 1993. *Original authority: 386.040, RSMo 1939; 386.250, RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991; 386.610, RSMo 1939; and 393.140, RSMo 1939, amended 1949, 1967. (Add authorities)

4 CSR 240-22.070 Strategy Selection and Implementation

PURPOSE: This rule requires the utility to select a preferred resource plan, develop an implementation plan and officially adopt a resource acquisition strategy. The rule also requires the utility to prepare contingency plans, and evaluate demand-side resources.

- (1) The utility shall select a preferred resource plan from among the alternative resource plans that have been analyzed pursuant to the requirements of 4 CSR 240-22.060 and sections (1) - (5) of this rule. The preferred resource plan shall satisfy at least the following conditions:
- (A) In the judgment of utility decision-makers, the preferred plan shall strike an appropriate balance between the various planning objectives specified in 4 CSR 240-22.010(2); and
- -(B) The trend of expected unserved hours for the preferred resource plan must not indicate a consistent increase in the need for emergency imported power over the planning horizon.
- (7) The impact of the preferred resource plan on future requirements for emergency imported power shall be explicitly modeled and quantified. The requirement for emergency imported power shall be measured by expected unserved hours under normal-weather load conditions.
- -(A) The daily normal-weather series used to develop normal-weather loads shall contain a representative amount of day-to-day temperature variation. Both the high and low extreme values of daily normal-weather variables shall be consistent with the historical average of annual extreme temperatures.
- -(B) The supply-system simulation software used to calculate expected unserved hours shall be capable of accurately representing at least the following aspects of system operations:
- 1. Chronological dispatch, including unit commitment decisions that are consistent with the operational characteristics and constraints of all system
- 2. Heat rates, fuel costs, variable operation and maintenance costs, and sulfur dioxide emission allowance costs for each generating unit;
- 3. Scheduled maintenance outages for each generating unit;
- 4. Partial- and full-forced-outage rates for each generating unit; and - 5. Capacity and energy purchases and sales, including the full spectrum of
- possibilities, from long-term firm contracts or unit participation agreements to hourly economy transactions.
- A. The utility shall maintain the capability to model purchases and sales of energy both with and without the inclusion of sulfur dioxide emission
- B. The level of energy sales and purchases shall be consistent with forecasts of the utility's own production costs as compared to the forecasted production costs of other likely participants in the bulk power market; and
- -(C) The utility may use an alternative method of calculating expected unserved hours per year if it can demonstrate that the alternative method produces results that are equivalent to those obtained by a method that meets the requirements of subsection (7)(B).
- (B) Invest only in advanced transmission and distribution technologies unless in the judgment of the utility decision makers, investing in those technologies to upgrade transmission and/or distribution networks is not in the public interest;
- (C) Utilize demand-side resources to the maximum amount that in the judgment of the utility decision makers is consistent with the public interest and achieves state energy policies; and
- (D) In the judgment of the utility decision-makers, the preferred plan, in conjunction with the deployment of emergency demand response measures and access

- to short term and emergency power supplies, has sufficient resources to serve load under extreme weather forecasts pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.030(8)(B).
- (2) The utility shall specify the ranges or combinations of outcomes for the critical uncertain factors that define the limits within which the preferred resource plan is judged to be appropriate and explain how these limits were determined. The utility shall also assess whether and under what circumstances other uncertain factors associated with the preferred plan exist that could significantly affect the performance of the preferred plan relative to alternative plans.
- (3) The utility shall quantify the expected value of better information concerning at least the critical uncertain factors that affect the performance of the preferred resource plan, as measured by the present value of utility revenue requirements.
- (4) The utility shall develop and document contingent resource plans in the event that the preferred plan should cease to be appropriate, whether due to the limits identified pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.070(2) being exceeded or for any other reason.
- (A) The utility shall identify as contingent plans those alternative resource plans that become preferred if the uncertain factors exceed the limits developed pursuant to section (2).
- (B) The utility shall develop a process to pick among alternative plans, or to revise the alternative plans as necessary to help ensure reliable and low cost service should the preferred resource plan no longer be appropriate for any reason. The utility may also use this process to confirm the viability of a contingent resource plan identified pursuant to section (4)(A).
- (C) Each contingency resource plan shall satisfy the fundamental objectives in 4 CSR 240-22.010(2) and the specific requirements pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.070(1).
- (5) Analysis of Load-Building Programs. If the utility intends to continue existing load-building programs or implement new ones, it shall analyze these programs in the context of one (1) or more of the alternative resource plans developed pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.060(3) of this rule, including the preferred resource plan selected pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.070(1). This analysis shall use the same modeling procedure and assumptions described in section (4) and shall include the following elements:
- (A) Estimation of the impact of load-building programs on the electric utility's summer and winter peak demands and energy usage;
- (B) A comparison of annual average rates in each year of the planning horizon for the resource plan with and without the load-building program;
- (C) A comparison of the probable environmental costs of the resource plan in each year of the planning horizon with and without the proposed load-building program; and
- (D) An assessment of any other aspects of the proposed load-building programs that affect the public interest.
- (6) The utility shall develop an implementation plan that specifies the major tasks-and, schedules and milestones necessary to implement the preferred resource plan over the implementation period. The implementation plan shall contain:
- (A) A schedule and description of ongoing and planned research activities to update and improve the quality of data used in load analysis and forecasting;

- (B) A schedule and description of ongoing and planned demand-side programs, program and demand-side rates, evaluations and research activities to improve the quality of demand-side resources;
- (C) A schedule and description of all supply-side resource research, engineering, retirement, acquisition and construction activities; and, including research to meet expected environmental regulations;
- (D) Identification of critical paths and major milestones for each demand-side resource acquisition project and each supply-side resource acquisition project, including decision points for committing to major expenditures ...; (10) The utility shall develop, document and officially adopt a resource acquisitionstrategy. This means that the utility's resource acquisition strategy shall be formally approved by the board of directors, a committee of senior management, an officer of the company or other responsible party who has been duly delegated the authority to commit the utility to the course of action described in the resource acquisition strategy. The officially adopted resource acquisition strategy shall consist of the following components:
- -(A) A preferred resource plan selected pursuant to the requirements of section (6) of this rule;
- -(B) An implementation plan developed pursuant to the requirements of section (9) of this rule;
- -(C) A specification of the ranges or combinations of outcomes for the critical uncertain factors that define the limits within which the preferred resource plan is judged to be appropriate and an explanation of how these limits were determined;
- -(D) A set of contingency options that are judged to be appropriate responses extreme outcomes of the critical uncertain factors and an explanation of why these options are judged to be appropriate responses to the specified outcomes; and
- (E) A process for monitoring the critical uncertain factors on a continuous basis and reporting significant changes in a timely fashion to those managers or officers who have the authority to direct the implementation of contingency options resource plans when the specified limits for uncertain factors are exceeded.-;
- (F) A process for monitoring the progress made implementing the preferred resource plan in accordance with the schedules and milestones set out in the implementation plan and for reporting significant deviations in a timely fashion to those managers or officers who have the authority to initiate corrective actions to ensure the resources are implemented as scheduled.
- (7) The utility shall develop, document, officially adopt and implement a resource acquisition strategy. This means that the utility's resource acquisition strategy shall be formally approved by an officer of the utility who has been duly delegated the authority to commit the utility to the course of action described in the resource acquisition strategy. The officially adopted resource acquisition strategy shall consist of the following components:
- (A) A preferred resource plan selected pursuant to the requirements of section (1) of this rule;
- (B) An implementation plan developed pursuant to the requirements of section (6) of this rule; and
- (C) A set of contingency resource plans developed pursuant to the requirements of section (4) of this rule and the point at which the critical uncertain factors would trigger the utility to move to each contingency resource plan as the preferred resource plan.
- (8) Evaluation of Demand-Side Programs and Demand-Side Rates. The utility shall develop evaluation plans for all demand-side programs and rates that are

- included in the preferred resource plan selected pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.070(1). The evaluation plans for each program and rate shall be developed before the program or rate is implemented, and shall be filed with the tariff application for the program or rate. The purpose of these evaluations shall be to develop the information necessary to improve the design of existing and future demand-side programs and demand-side rates, to improve the forecasts of customer energy consumption and responsiveness to demand-side programs and rates, and to gather data on the implementation costs and load impacts of demand-side programs and rates for use in cost-effectiveness screening and integrated resource analysis.
- (A) Process Evaluation. Each demand-side program and rate that is part of the utility's preferred resource plan shall be subjected to an ongoing evaluation process which addresses at least the following questions about program design:
- 1. What are the primary market imperfections that are common to the target market segment?
- 2. Is the target market segment appropriately defined or should it be further subdivided or merged with other segments?
- 3. Does the mix of end-use measures included in the program appropriately reflect the diversity of end-use energy service needs and existing end-use technologies within the target segment?
- 4. Are the communication channels and delivery mechanisms appropriate for the target segment?
- 5. What can be done to more effectively overcome the identified market imperfections and to increase the rate of customer acceptance and implementation of each end-use measure included in the program?
- (B) Impact Evaluation. The utility shall develop methods of estimating the actual load impacts of each demand-side program and rate included in the utility's preferred resource plan to a reasonable degree of accuracy.
- 1. Impact evaluation methods. Comparisons of one (1) or both of the following types shall be used to measure program impacts in a manner that is based on sound statistical principles:
- A. Comparisons of pre-adoption and post-adoption loads of program or rate participants, corrected for the effects of weather and other intertemporal differences; and
- B. Comparisons between program and rate participants' loads and those of an appropriate control group over the same time period.
- 2. The utility shall develop load-impact measurement protocols that are designed to make the most cost-effective use of the following types of measurements, either individually or in combination: monthly billing data, load research data, end-use load metered data, building and equipment simulation models, and survey responses or audit data on appliance and equipment type, size and efficiency levels, household or business characteristics, or energy-related building characteristics.
- (11 (C) The utility shall develop protocols to collect data regarding demandside program and rate market potential, participation rates, utility costs, participant costs and total costs.
- (9) If a preferred resource plan is replaced by a contingency resource plan as a result of the limits of one or more of the critical uncertain factors being exceeded or for some other reason, the utility shall specify the ranges or combinations of outcomes for the critical uncertain factors that define the limits within which that contingency resource plan remains appropriate.
- (10) Reporting Requirements. To demonstrate compliance with the provisions of this rule, and pursuant to the requirements of 4 CSR 240-22.080, the utility shall furnish at least the following information:

- (A) A decision-tree diagram for each of the alternative resource plans along with narrative discussions of the following aspects of the decision analysis: -1.(A) A discussion of the sequence and timing of the decisions represented by alternatives considered at each decision point; and
- 2. An explanation of how the critical uncertain factors were identified, how the ranges of potential outcomes for each uncertain factor were determined and how the subjective probabilities for each outcome were derived;
- -(B) Plots of the cumulative probability distribution of each performance measure for each alternative resource plan;
- -(C) For each performance measure, a table that shows the expected value and the risk of each resource plan;
- -(D) A plot of the expected level of annual unserved hours for the preferred resource plan over the planning horizon;
- (E) A discussion and documentation of the analysis of the value of better information required by section (\$3), a tabulation of the key quantitative results of that analysis and a discussion of how those findings will be incorporated in ongoing research activities;
- (FB) A discussion and documentation of the process used to select the preferred resource plan, including the:
- 1. The relative weights given to the various performance measures and the;
- 2. The rationale used by utility decision-makers to judge:
- A. Judge the appropriate tradeoffs between competing planning objectives and between expected performance and risk; and
- -(G) B. Determine that the preferred plan will perform adequately under extreme weather conditions; and
- 3. The names, titles and roles of the utility decision-makers in the preferred plan selection process;
- (C) A description of any proposed load-building programs, a discussion of why these programs are judged to be in the public interest and, for all resource plans that include these programs, plots of the following over the planning horizon:
- 1. Annual average rates with and without the load-building programs; and
- 2. Annual utility costs and probable environmental costs with and without the load-building programs.
- (D) The fully documented resource acquisition strategy that has been developed and officially adopted pursuant to the requirements of section (10) of this

AUTHORITY: sections 386.040, 386.610 and 393.140, RSMo 1986 and 386.250, RSMo Supp. 1991.* Original rule filed June 12, 1992, effective May 6, 1993. *Original authority: 386.040, RSMo 1939; 386.250, RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991; 386.610, RSMo 1939; and 393.140, RSMo 1939, amended 1949, 1967. (Add authorities)

4 CSR 240-22.080 Filing Schedule and, Filing Requirements and Stakeholder Process

PURPOSE: This rule specifies the requirements for electric utility filings to demonstrate compliance with the provisions of this chapter. The purpose of the compliance review required by this chapter is not commission approval of the substantive findings, determinations or analyses contained in the filing. The purpose of the compliance review required by this chapter is to determine whether the utility's resource acquisition strategy meets the requirements stated in 4 CSR 240-22.010(2)(A)--(C).) -(C). This rule also establishes a mechanism for the utility to solicit and receive stakeholder input to its resource planning process.

- (1) Each electric utility which sold more than one (1) million megawatt-hours to Missouri retail electric customers for calendar year 19912009 shall make a filing with the commission every three (3) years that demonstrates on April 1. The electric utilities shall submit their triennial compliance with the provisions of this chapter. The utility's filing shall include at leastfilings on the following items: schedule:
- (A) Letter of transmittal;
- (B) Summary information and any press release related to the filing;
- (C) Reports and (A) Kansas City Power & Light Company and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company, or their successors, on April 1 of 2012 and every third year thereafter;
- (B) The Empire District Electric Company, or its successor, on April 1 of 2013 and every third year thereafter; and
- (C) Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE, or its successor, on April 1 of 2014 and every third year thereafter.
- (2) The utility's triennial compliance filings shall demonstrate compliance with the provisions of this chapter, and shall include at least the following items:
- (A) Letter of transmittal expressing commitment to the approved preferred resource plan and resource acquisition strategy and signed by an officer of the utility having the authority to bind and commit the utility to the resource acquisition strategy;
- (B) If the preferred resource plan is inconsistent with the utility's business plan, an explanation of the differences and why the differences exist;
- (C) Technical volume(s) that fully describe and document the utility's analysis and decisions in selecting its preferred resource plan and resource acquisition
- 1. The technical volume(s) shall include all information required by 4 CSR 240-22.030 (the rules CSR 240-22.030 through 240-22.070 and any other information and the rules CSR 240-22.030 through 240-22.070 and the rules CSR 240-22.070 and the rules CSR 240-22.070 through 240-22.070 through considered by the utility to analyze and select its resource acquisition strategy.
- 2. The technical volume(s) shall be organized by chapters corresponding to the rules CSR 240-22.030 through 240-22.070.
- 3. A separate chapter shall be designated in the technical volume(s) to address special contemporary issues pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.080(4) and input from the stakeholder group pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.080(5). The chapter shall identify the issues raised, how the utility addressed them, and where in the technical volumes(s) the reports, analyses and all resulting actions are presented.
- (D) The highly confidential form of the capacity balance spreadsheet completed in the specified format for the preferred resource plan and each candidate resource plan considered by the utility;
- (E) An executive summary, separately bound and suitable for distribution to the public in paper and electronic formats. The executive summary shall be an

- informative non-technical description of the preferred resource plan and resource acquisition strategy. This document shall summarize the contents of the technical volume(s) and shall be organized by chapters corresponding to the rules CSR 240-22.030 through 240-22.070. The executive summary shall include:

 1. A brief introduction describing the utility, its existing facilities,
- existing purchase power arrangements, existing demand-side programs, existing demand-side rates, and the purpose of the resource acquisition strategy;
- 2. For each major class and for the total of all major classes, the base load forecasts for peak demand and for energy for the planning horizon, with and without utility demand-side resources and a listing of the economic and demographic assumptions associated with each load forecast;
- 3. A summary of the preferred resource plan to meet expected energy service needs for the planning horizon, clearly showing the demand-side resources and supply-side resources (both renewable and non-renewable resources), including additions and retirements for each resource type;
- 4. Identification of critical uncertain factors affecting the preferred resource plan;
- 5. For existing legal mandates, regulations, rules and approved cost recovery mechanisms, the following performance measures of the preferred resource plan for each year of the planning horizon:
 - A. Estimated annual revenue requirement;
 - B. Estimated impact on retail rates; and
 - C. Estimated company credit rating;
- 6. If the estimated company credit rating in 5.C. of this rule is below investment grade in any year of the planning horizon, a description of any changes in legal mandates, regulations, rules and cost recovery mechanisms necessary for the utility to maintain an investment grade credit rating in each year of the planning horizon and the resulting performance measures of the preferred resource plan;
- 7. Actions and initiatives to implement the resource acquisition strategy prior to the next triennial compliance filing;
- 8), 4 CSR 240-22.040(9), A description of the major research projects and programs the utility will continue or commence during the implementation period, and the reasons for its selection; and
- (F) Such other information or format as the Commission may determine.
- (3) Beginning in 2012, on or about April 1 of every year in which the utility is not required to submit a triennial compliance filing, each electric utility shall host an annual update workshop with the stakeholder group.
- (A) The purpose of the annual update workshop is to ensure that members of the stakeholder group have the opportunity to provide input and to stay informed regarding the:
- 1. Utility's current preferred resource plan;
 - 2. Status of the identified critical uncertain factors;
 - 3. Utility's progress in implementing the resource acquisition strategy;
- 4. Analyses and conclusions regarding any special contemporary issues that may have been identified pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.080(4);
- 5. Resolution of any deficiencies or concerns pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.080(15); and
 - 6. Changing conditions generally.
- (B) The utility shall prepare an annual update report with both a public version and a highly confidential version to document the information presented at the annual update workshop and shall file the annual update reports with the commission no less than 10 days prior to the annual update workshop. The depth and detail of the annual update report shall generally be commensurate with the magnitude and significance of the changing conditions since the last filed triennial compliance filing. If the current resource acquisition strategy has

- changed from that contained in the most recently filed triennial compliance filing, the annual update report shall describe the changes and provide updated capacity balance spreadsheets required pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.080(2)(D). If the current resource acquisition strategy has not changed, the annual update report shall explicitly verify that the current resource acquisition strategy is the same as that contained in the most recently filed triennial compliance
- (C) The utility shall prepare a summary report of the annual update workshop and shall file it with the commission within 10 days following the workshop. The summary report shall list and describe any action items resulting from the workshop to be undertaken by the utility prior to next triennial compliance filing.
- (D) Stakeholders may file comments with the commission concerning the utility's annual update report and summary report.
- (4) It is the responsibility of each utility to keep abreast of evolving electric resource planning issues and to consider and analyze them in a timely manner in the triennial compliance filings and annual update reports. Commission staff may provide each electric utility with a list of special contemporary issues which the utility shall analyze and document in its next triennial compliance filing or next annual update report. The purpose of the special contemporary issues mechanism is to ensure that evolving regulatory, economic, financial, environmental, energy, technical or customer issues are adequately addressed by each utility in its electric resource planning. The special contemporary issues list will identify new and evolving issues, but may also include other issues such as unresolved deficiencies or concerns from the preceding triennial compliance filing. To develop the list of special contemporary issues:
- (A) No later than October 1, public counsel and parties to the last triennial compliance filing of each utility may provide to the manager of the commission energy department suggested special contemporary issues for each utility to consider; and
- (B) No later than November 1, staff shall provide a written list of special contemporary issues to each utility to consider in its next triennial compliance filing or annual update report or provide a written statement that there are no special contemporary issues.
- (5) Each electric utility shall convene a stakeholder group to provide the opportunity for public input into electric utility resource planning in a timely manner that may affect the outcome of the utility resource planning efforts. The utility may choose to not incorporate some or all of the stakeholder group input in its analysis and decision-making for the triennial compliance filing.
- (A) The utility shall convene at least one meeting of the stakeholder group prior to the triennial compliance plan filing to present an overview of its intended procedures, data sources, processes and findings to meet the objectives of 4 CSR 240-22.030 through 4 CSR 240-22.050(11), The stakeholders shall make a good faith effort to provide comments and identify where the utility's intended approaches may not meet the objectives of the rules.
- (B) The utility shall convene at least one meeting of the stakeholder group prior to the triennial compliance plan filing to present a draft of the triennial compliance filing corresponding 4 CSR 240-22.030 through 4 CSR 240-22.050 and to present an overview of its proposed alternative resource plans and intended procedures and analyses to meet the objectives of 4 CSR 240-22.060(6) and 4 CSR 240-22.070(11); through 4 CSR 240-22.070. The stakeholders shall make a good faith effort to provide comments on the information provided by the utility, to identify additional alternative resource plans and to identify where

- the utility's analyses and intended approaches may not meet the objectives of the rules.
- (D) A narrative description and summary of the reports and information referred in subsection (1)(C). The narrative shall specifically show that the resource acquisition strategy contained in the filing has been officially approved by the utility and that the methods used and the procedures followed by the utility in formulating the resource acquisition strategy comply with the provisions of this chapter;
- (E) A request for a protective order from the commission if the utility seeks to protect anything contained in the filing as trade secrets, or as confidential or private technical, financial or business information; and
- (F) Tariff sheets as required by 4 CSR 240-14.040(2) for demand-side programs that are promotional practices as defined by 4 CSR 240-14.010(6)(L).
- (2) The electric utility's compliance filing may also include a request nontraditional accounting procedures and information regarding any associated ratemaking treatment to be sought by the utility for demand-side resource costs. If the utility desires to make any such request, it must be made in the utility's compliance filing pursuant to this rule and not at some subsequent time. If the utility desires to continue any previously authorized nontraditional accounting procedures beyond the three (3) year implementation period, it must request reauthorization in each subsequent filing pursuant to this rule. Any request for initial authorization or reauthorization of these nontraditional accounting procedures must--
- -(A) Be limited to specific demand-side programs that are included in the utility's implementation plan; and
- -(B) Include specific proposals that contain at least the following information: - 1. An explanation of the specific form and mechanics of implementing the proposed accounting procedure and any associated ratemaking treatment to be sought:
- 2. A discussion of the rationale and justification of the need for a nontraditional treatment of these costs;
- 3. An explanation of how the specific proposal meets this need for nontraditional treatment; and
- 4. A quantitative comparison of the utility's estimated earnings over the three (3)-year implementation period with and without the proposed nontraditional accounting procedures and any associated ratemaking treatment to be sought.
- (3) The electric utilities shall make their initial compliance filings on a staggered basis in order of decreasing size of gross annual Missouri operating revenues from retail electric sales for calendar year 1991. The electric utility with the largest gross annual Missouri operating revenues shall make its initial filing seven (7) months (December 1993) after the effective date of this chapter (May 5, 1993). The remaining electric utilities shall make their initial filings in successive increments of seven (7) months from the effective date of this chapter (May 5, 1993).
- (4 (C) Within thirty (30) days of the last stakeholder group meeting pursuant to section (5)(B) of this rule, any stakeholder may provide the utility and other stakeholders with a written statement summarizing any potential deficiencies in or concerns with the utility's proposed compliance with the electric resource planning rules. The utility has the opportunity to address the potential deficiencies or concerns identified by any stakeholder in its preparation of the triennial compliance filing.
- (D) Any stakeholder input through the process described in section (5) of this rule does not preclude the stakeholder from filing reports in accordance with section (7) or section (8) of this rule.

- (6) The commission will establish a docketdockets for the purpose of receiving the triennial compliance filing and annual update reports including workshop summary reports of each affected electric utility. The commission will issue an orderorders that establishesestablish an intervention deadline, sets an early prehearing conference and provides and provide for notice. (5
- (7) The staff shall review each triennial compliance filing required by this rule and shall file a report not later than one hundred twenty (120) days after each utility's scheduled triennial compliance filing date that identifies. The report shall identify any deficiencies in the electric utility's compliance with the provisions of this chapter, any major deficiencies in the methodologies or analyses required to be performed by this chapter and any other deficiencies which, in its limited review, the staff determines would cause the electric utility's resource acquisition strategy to fail to meet the requirements identified in 4 CSR 240-22.010(2)(A)--(C). Staff may also identify concerns with the utility's triennial compliance filing, which while not rising to the seriousness of a compliance deficiency, may nonetheless prevent the utility's plan from effectively fulfilling the objectives of the electric resource planning rules. If the staff's limited review finds no deficiencies or no concerns, the staff shall state that in the report. A staff report that finds that an electric utility's filing is in compliance with this chapter shall not be construed as acceptance or agreement with the substantive findings, determinations or analysis contained in the electric utility's filing.
- (8) Also within one hundred twenty (120) days after an electric utility's triennial compliance filing pursuant to this rule, the office of public counsel and any intervenor may file a report or comments. The report or comments, based on a limited review—that, may identify any deficiencies in the electric utility's compliance with the provisions of this chapter, any deficiencies in the methodologies or analyses required to be performed by this chapter, and any other deficiencies or concerns which the public counsel or intervenor believes would causeprevent the utility s resource acquisition strategy to fail to meetplan from effectively fulfilling the requirements identified in 4 CSR 240- $\frac{22.010(2)(A)-(C)}{2}$.objectives of the electric resource planning rules. (7) All workpapers, documents, reports, data, computer model documentation, analysis, letters, memoranda, notes, test results, studies, recordings, transcriptions and any other supporting information relating to the filed resource acquisition strategy within the electric utility's or its contractors' possession, custody or control shall be preserved and made available in accordance with any protective order to the staff, public counsel and any intervenor for use in its review of the periodic filings required by this rule. Each electric utility shall retain at least one (1) copy of the officially adopted resource acquisitionstrategy and all supporting information for at least ten (10) years. (8
- (9) If the staff, public counsel or any intervenor finds deficiencies in or concerns with a triennial compliance filing, it shall work with the electric utility and the other parties to reach, within forty-five (45) days of the date that the report or comments were submitted, a joint agreement on a plan to remedy the identified deficiencies. If full agreement cannot be reached, this should be reported to the commission through a joint filing as soon as possible, but no later than forty-five (45) days after the date on which the report or comments were submitted. The joint filing should set out in a brief narrative description those areas on which agreement cannot be reached.
- (10) If full agreement on remedying deficiencies or concerns is not reached, then within sixty (60) days from the date on which the staff, public counsel or

any intervenor submitted a report or comments relating to the electric utility's triennial compliance filing, the electric utility may file a response and the staff, public counsel and any intervenor may file comments in response to each other. The commission will issue an order which indicates on what items, if any, a hearing will be held and which establishes a procedural schedule.

- 11) All workpapers, documents, reports, data, computer model documentation, analysis, letters, memoranda, notes, test results, studies, recordings, transcriptions and any other supporting information relating to the filed resource acquisition strategy within the electric utility's or its contractors' possession, custody or control shall be preserved and submitted within two (2) days of its triennial compliance or annual update filings in accordance with any protective order to the staff and public counsel, and to any intervenor within two (2) days of the intervenor signing and filing a confidentiality agreement, for use in its review of the periodic filings required by this rule. All information shall be labeled to reference the sections of the technical volumes(s) to which it s related, and all spreadsheets shall have all formulas intact. Each electric utility shall retain at least one (1) readable copy of the officially adopted resource acquisition strategy and all supporting information for at least the prior three (3) triennial compliance filings.
- (12) If, between triennial filings, the utility's business plan becomes inconsistent with the preferred resource plan, or if the utility determines that circumstances have changed so that the preferred resource plan is no longer appropriate, either due to the limits identified pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.070(10)(C) being exceeded or for other reasons, the utility, in writing, shall notify the commission within sixty (60) days of the utility's determination. The notification shall include a description of all changes, the impact of each change on the present value of revenue requirement and all other performance measures specified in the last filing pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.080, and the rationale for each change.
- (A) If the utility decides to implement any of the contingency options identified pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.070(10)(D), the utility shall file for review in advance of its next regularly scheduled triennial compliance filing a revised implementation plan resource acquisition strategy. (11 (B) If the utility decides to implement an option not identified pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.070(10)(D), it shall give a detailed description of the option and why none of the contingency options identified in 4 CSR 240-22.070(10)(D) were chosen.
- (13) Upon written application made at least twelve (12) months prior to a triennial compliance filing, and after notice and an opportunity for hearing, the commission may waive or grant a variance from a provision of this chapter for good cause shown.
- (A) The granting of a variance to one (1) electric utility which waives or otherwise affects the required compliance with a provision of this chapter does not constitute a waiver respecting, or otherwise affect, the required compliance of any other electric utility with a provision of these rules.
- (B) The commission will not waive or grant a variance from this chapter in total.

(14) The commission may extend or reduce any of the time periods specified in this rule for good cause shown.

+13

(15) The commission will issue an order which contains its findings that regarding one or more of the following:

- (A) That the electric utility's filing pursuant to this rule either does or does not demonstrate compliance with the requirements of this chapter, and that the utility's resource acquisition strategy either does or does not meet the requirements stated in 4 CSR 240-22.010(2)(A)--(C), and which addresses any utility requests pursuant to section (2) for authorization or reauthorization nontraditional accounting procedures for demand-side resource costs.).
- (B) That the commission agrees or disagrees with the joint filing on the remedies to the plan deficiencies or concerns developed pursuant to section (9) of this rule;
- (C) That the commission agrees or disagrees with each party's position for which full agreement on remedying deficiencies or concerns is not reached pursuant to section (10) of this rule; and
- (D) That the commission establishes schedules for utility filings to remedy commission-determined deficiencies or concerns.
- (16) In all future cases before the commission which involve a requested action that is affected by electric utility resources, preferred resource plans, or resource acquisition strategies, the utility must certify that the requested action is substantially consistent with the preferred resource plan specified in the most recent triennial compliance filing or annual update report.

AUTHORITY: sections 386.040, 386.610 and 393.140, RSMo 1986 and 386.250, RSMo Supp. 1991.* Original rule filed June 12, 1992, effective May 6, 1993. *Original authority: 386.040, RSMo 1939; 386.250, RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991; 386.610, RSMo 1939; and 393.140, RSMo 1939, amended 1949, 1967. (Add authorities)