Exhibit No.:

Issue: Policy Issues Related to Southwest Power Pool

Witness: Michael E. Palmer

Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Sponsoring Party: The Empire District Electric Company

Case No.____

Date Prepared: September 28, 2005

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

Michael E. Palmer

FILED

JUN 0 2 ZOAS

Service Commission

ON BEHALF OF

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY

SEPTEMBER 28, 2005

SEPTEMBER 28, 2005

Case No(s). ES 3006-015112

Date 5-12-06 Rptr 4-5

- 1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- A. Michael E. Palmer, 602 Joplin Street, Joplin, Missouri 64801.
- 3 Q. BY WHOM AND IN WHAT CAPACITY ARE YOU EMPLOYED?
- 4 A. The Empire District Electric Company (Empire or Company) is my employer. I currently hold the position of Vice President Commercial Operations.
- 6 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES?
- A. I direct Empire's power delivery, transmission policy, and reliability compliance functions. Power delivery encompasses electric transmission and distribution throughout Empire's four-state service territory, and involves transmission and distribution engineering, planning, distribution operations, construction and maintenance, and customer service.
- 12 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
 13 PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.
- 14 A. I hold a Bachelor of Science Degree in Construction Management Technology
 15 from Pittsburg State University in Pittsburg, Kansas. I joined the staff at Empire
 16 in June 1986 as a Customer Service Consultant. I later served as District
 17 Manager in Aurora and Director of Operations in Branson until 2001, at which
 18 time I was promoted to my current position. My employment with Empire has
 19 been continuous since 1986.
- 20 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED TESTIMONY BEFORE THIS OR ANY
 21 OTHER REGULATORY BODY?

- 1 A. Yes, I have testified before the Missouri Public Service Commission and the Kansas Corporation Commission.
- Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR INVOLVEMENT WITH THE SOUTHWEST POWER
 4 POOL.
- A. I am an investor-owned utility representative member of the 18-member

 Members Committee that interfaces with the independent SPP Board of Directors

 at that group's quarterly meetings. I was elected to this committee in March

 2004. I am also an active member of the SPP's Human Resources Committee

 and Strategic Planning Committee. As my schedule allows, I also attend and

 participate in the SPP Regional State Committee (RSC) meetings.

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

11

- 12 A. I am testifying on behalf of Empire in support of Empire's Application filed with
 13 the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission or MOPSC) to transfer
 14 functional control of certain Empire transmission facilities to the Southwest Power
 15 Pool, Inc. (SPP), as well as in support of Empire's continued participation and
 16 membership in the SPP (Transaction).
- 17 Q. WHAT WILL BE THE EFFECT OF THE TRANSFER OF FUNCTIONAL

 18 CONTROL OF EMPIRE'S TRANSMISSION FACILITIES TO SPP AND

 19 EMPIRE'S CONTINUED PARTICIPATION IN SPP?
- A. Empire does not believe that the transfer of functional control of its transmission facilities will have any noticeable affect on the reliability of electric service to its customers. By virtue of having functional control of Empire's transmission facilities, SPP will schedule transactions over our transmission facilities and

administer transmission service under its Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT). However, Empire will continue to own, operate and be responsible to maintain our transmission facilities.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE EMPIRE'S TRANSMISSION OPERATIONS.

A. From its system control center in Joplin, Missouri, Empire manages over 1,200 miles of transmission lines in the states of Missouri, Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Kansas that serve over 157,000 retail customers and 4 wholesale customers throughout the aforementioned four state area. Within Missouri, Empire serves over 137,000 retail customers in the southwest Missouri area as well as provides wholesale service for the Cities of Lockwood, Mt. Vernon, and Monett. Empire is one of the founding members of the SPP which came into existence in 1941. In 1998, Empire placed its transmission facilities under the SPP OATT. Empire became a network integrated transmission service (NITS) customer of SPP in 2002.

Q. WHAT FACILITIES WOULD BE SUBJECT TO EMPIRE'S PROPOSED TRANSFER OF FUNCTIONAL CONTROL?

- A. Empire is proposing the transfer of functional control of its Missouri related transmission facilities that are considered transmission per the SPP OATT. A list of Empire's 100 kv and greater facilities is marked **Appendix C**, attached to Empire's Application. The list of facilities is incorporated by reference into my testimony.
- Q. IS THIS AN EXHAUSTIVE LIST OF THE FACILITIES OVER WHICH THE SPP

 RTO WILL HAVE FUNCTIONAL CONTROL?

A. No. This list of facilities includes Empire's current transmission facilities of 100 kv and above. However, it will change as existing facilities are retired, modified, and new facilities are constructed. It also may change if the specific definition of transmission facilities is modified by future orders issued by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC).

- Q. WILL THE TRANSFER OF FUNCTIONAL CONTROL AND CONTINUED

 MEMBERSHIP IN THE SPP BE DETRIMENTAL TO THE INTERESTS OF

 MISSOURI ELECTRIC CUSTOMERS?
- A. No. In fact, I believe that customers may benefit by Empire's continued membership in the SPP through participation in SPP's transmission expansion and proposed energy markets. The recently completed Cost Benefit Study (CBS) that was independently performed by Charles Rivers International (CRA) indicated a general benefit of approximately \$42 million for Missouri customers and specifically, approximately \$48 million over the study period for Empire's customers in its four state service territory. I believe the Commission should authorize Empire to transfer functional control of Empire's transmission facilities to SPP and allow Empire to continue its participation in the SPP Regional Transmission Organization (RTO).
- Q. WHAT ARE THE FACTORS YOU CONSIDERED IN COMING TO YOUR CONCLUSION?
- A. Empire believes that the factors listed below, which are further discussed in some detail later in my testimony, support the Application:
 - The effect of the transaction on customers.

1		Whether the transaction will be beneficial to state and local
2		economies and to communities served by the resulting public utility
3		operations in the state.
4		Whether the transaction will preserve the jurisdiction of the MOPSC
5		and the capacity of the MOPSC to effectively regulate and audit
6		public utility operations in the state.
7		Whether the transaction maximizes the use of Missouri energy
8		resources.
9		Whether the transaction will reduce the possibility of economic
10		waste.
11		What impact, if any, the transaction has on public safety.
12		Results of the CRA Cost Benefit Study.
13	Q.	HAS THE SPP MADE ANY EFFORT TO ADDRESS THE BENEFITS OF THE
14		TRANSFER OF FUNCTIONAL CONTROL AND CONTINUED MEMBERSHIP
15		IN THE SPP?
16	A.	Yes. SPP's Regional State Committee (RSC) engaged CRA to conduct a CBS
17		analyzing the impacts of SPP becoming an RTO. SPP plans to sponsor
18		testimony in this case to explain CRA's CBS. The CBS is also relevant to the
19		analysis of the transfer of control of transmission assets from MOPSC-
20		jurisdictional utilities to SPP, as well as Empire's continued participation in the
21		SPP RTO.
22	Q.	WHAT WAS EMPIRE'S INVOLVEMENT IN THE CBS?

A. Empire was not a voting member of the RSC Cost Benefit Task Force (CBTF).

However, at my direction, Empire was actively involved in the many meetings

and teleconferences to support the CBTF, RSC and CRA processes – which

included staff of this Commission.

Q. DOES THE CBS ADDRESS ANY OF THE ABOVE FACTORS?

A. I believe that it does. CRA found that the transfer of control of transmission facilities and continued membership in SPP should result in lower costs of electricity for Missouri customers in general and Empire customers in particular as compared to Empire discontinuing its membership in the SPP. CRA also found that the proposed SPP energy imbalance market should result in reductions in both NO_x and SO_x emissions for the region.

Q. WHAT IS YOUR OPINION OF CRA'S CBS CONCLUSIONS?

A. Empire is generally supportive of the modeling assumptions, analytical structure and results of the CBS from a SPP regional and state of Missouri perspective.

The results suggest that over the next several years, Empire's transfer of functional control of its transmission facilities and continued participation in the SPP RTO should not be detrimental and may provide benefits.

Q. IS EMPIRE REQUIRED TO JOIN THE SPP RTO?

A. No. Participation in the SPP RTO is voluntary for Empire. However, by previous execution of the SPP Membership Agreement, Empire is already a member of the SPP.

Q. WHY DOES EMPIRE BELIEVE THE TRANSACTION WILL BE BENEFICIAL

TO STATE AND LOCAL ECONOMIES AND TO COMMUNITIES SERVED BY

MISSOURI UTILITIES?

- A. The SPP has recently received FERC approval of an RSC developed transmission expansion cost allocation plan. SPP, its members, and the RSC are focused on continuing to provide adequate and increased electric transmission infrastructure for the SPP region, including Missouri, which will be important for the future financial health of Missouri retail and wholesale customers and the overall Missouri economy. The SPP and RSC's focus on transmission expansion and energy markets should further promote timely construction of appropriate transmission facilities and upgrades with appropriate cost sharing among users. The transfer of functional control of transmission facilities to SPP and operation of the SPP as an RTO should also promote more efficient operation of generation and transmission facilities.
 - Q. WILL THE TRANSACTION CHANGE THE CURRENT JURISDICTION OF THE MOPSC AND THE CAPACITY OF THE MOPSC TO EFFECTIVELY REGULATE AND AUDIT PUBLIC UTILITY OPERATIONS IN THE STATE?
 - A. No. To my knowledge, the existing jurisdictional responsibilities between the MOPSC and FERC will not be affected by the Transaction. The SPP's processes, as well as the continued development of the SPP RSC, should enhance the MOPSC's ability to be appropriately involved in decision-making concerning issues related to the transmission facilities and cost allocation. The Commission's existing right to participate in FERC proceedings that may affect

the terms, rates, and conditions related to SPP policy development and filings should remain the same.

Q. WHAT IMPACT, IF ANY, WILL THE TRANSACTION HAVE ON PUBLIC SAFETY?

A. As Empire's Regional Reliability Coordinator, the SPP RTO strictly adheres to, encourages, educates, and assists its members with the compliance of the North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC) planning and operating standards. Empire does not anticipate the Transaction to negatively affect Empire's compliance efforts to meet requirements for delivering energy reliably and safely to the public. Empire will continue to own and maintain its transmission facilities. Empire will continue to direct day-to-day transmission and distribution operations under the regional oversight of SPP. Coordinated regional transmission operations should promote reliability of transmission services and operations and thereby enhance public safety.

Q. DO YOU HAVE A COMMENT REGARDING THE TIMING OF THE COMMISSION'S APPROVAL OF THIS APPLICATION?

A. Yes. The SPP and its membership are actively developing, investing in, and finalizing design and business practices related to the SPP RTO's Energy Imbalance Service (EIS) market, currently scheduled for May 1, 2006. As part of the readiness for participating in this market, Empire is moving forward with internal system and infrastructure implementation. A timely authorization from this Commission will allow Empire and the other market participants to move

forward in an orderly and timely fashion to implement EIS requirements or non-SPP membership alternatives.

Q. DOES EMPIRE HAVE ANY UNIQUE ISSUES REGARDING ITS REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION IN THIS APPLICATION?

Α.

- Yes. First, Empire is a multi-state jurisdictional utility that centrally operates its resources and manages its transmission system for its four state service area. As such, it is necessary for Empire to obtain acceptable authorizations, as required, from all of its state jurisdictions, and is therefore, requesting that authorization from this Commission be conditioned upon Empire receiving acceptable and similar conditional authorizations from its other commissions in Arkansas, Kansas, and Oklahoma as required. Empire has made a similar application with testimony to the Kansas Corporation Commission in Docket No. 06-WSEE-203-MIS and has become a party to the SPP's Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Application by Order of the Arkansas Public Service Commission in Docket No. 04-137-U. Therefore, Empire requests that approval of this Transaction be conditioned upon Empire receiving similar authorizations, that are deemed acceptable to Empire, from the states of Kansas and Arkansas and Oklahoma (if Oklahoma's approval is later determined to be required).
- Q. IF ANY OF EMPIRE'S JURISDICTIONAL COMMISSIONS DENY EMPIRE'S

 APPLICATION OR EMPIRE FINDS ANY SUCH AUTHORIZATIONS

 UNACCEPTABLE, WHAT WOULD EMPIRE BE REQUIRED TO DO?
- A. If any of Empire's jurisdictional states deny Empire's requested authorizations,

 Empire would plan to withdraw its membership in the SPP. Such termination

would require FERC approval. If FERC approved Empire's exit from the SPP, Empire would be obligated to pay a termination fee of approximately \$2,000,000. Empire currently has on file with the SPP a notice of intent to terminate its membership, in case this becomes necessary. Withdrawal from membership of the SPP would require Empire to make other arrangements with SPP or another regional reliability coordinator for reliability coordination services as well as determine whether membership in the Midwest Independent System Operator (MISO) RTO, or re-establishment of operations as a stand alone transmission provider and NERC approved control area would be possible and/or acceptable to Empire and its stakeholders.

Q. HAS EMPIRE CONSIDERED AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE SPP RTO, SUCH AS MISO, OR NOT PARTICIPATING IN AN RTO AT ALL?

A. Yes. Empire has monitored the development and design of the MISO RTO, as well as considered the impacts of withdrawing its membership from the SPP and standing alone. As a net importer of energy and one of the smaller transmission owning members of the SPP that is interconnected with many existing SPP members, it is important for Empire to participate in a wholesale energy market that enables us to import energy when lower cost supplies are available, as well as participate in the expansion of the regional transmission system. At this time, SPP's mission, strategy, and culture compliment the Empire strategy and culture.

Therefore, Empire believes it is a good arrangement for our customers,

employees, and shareholders. Further, Empire "is not" physically interconnected

with any current MISO, or alternative RTO utility systems, and MISO's market design is much broader in scope and complication than the proposed SPP RTO. The CRA CBS results indicated that Empire's withdrawal from the SPP and stand alone operations could increase Empire's costs to its customers by approximately \$26,000,000 over ten (10) years compared to continued membership as a transmission owner of the SPP and active participate in the EIS market. Accordingly, Empire believes the SPP RTO is a logical, and the most effective, regional organization to participate in at this time.

Q. WHAT IS EMPIRE ASKING FOR IN THIS CASE REGARDING COST RECOVERY?

- A. Empire is asking the Commission to: 1) authorize Empire to take any and all other actions which may be reasonably necessary and incidental to Empire's performance thereunder and to include performance under such subsequent agreements as may be approved by FERC; and, 2) acknowledge that if it authorizes the Application to allow Empire to participate in the SPP RTO, it will allow Empire to include in its Missouri jurisdictional rates, the following costs:
 - (a) all FERC approved costs and fees under the SPP RTO tariff assessed against and paid by Empire; and
 - (b) the prudently incurred costs of participating in the SPP RTO.
- Q. IS AN AUTHORIZATION FOR EMPIRE TO TRANSFER FUNCTIONAL CONTROL OF ITS TRANSMISSION FACILITIES TO SPP AND EMPIRE'S CONTINUED MEMBERSHIP IN THE SPP RTO DETRIMENTAL TO THE PUBLIC INTEREST?

- A. No. Based on the factors I have discussed, I believe that the authorization of the transfer of functional control of Empire's transmission facilities to SPP and Empire's continued membership in the SPP RTO is <u>not detrimental to the public</u>

 interest. I, therefore, recommend that the Commission approve this Application.
- 5 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 6 A. Yes

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
COUNTY OF Jasper)	S

I, Michael E. Palmer, state that I am employed by The Empire District Electric Company as Vice President of Commercial Operations; that the Direct Testimony attached hereto has been prepared by me or under my direction and supervision; and, that the answers to the questions posed therein are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 27+h day of September, 2005.

Patricia a Sottle
Notary Public

Michael E

My Commission Expires:

Patricia A. Swille

Notary Seal
Sum of Misecun
County of Jesper
Expires February 00, 2008