```
Page 1
 1
            BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 2
                      STATE OF MISSOURI
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
                      Rulemaking Hearing
 8
                          Volume I
 9
                        July 20, 2023
                  Jefferson City, Missouri
10
11
12
13
    In the Matter of
    a Proposed Rulemaking to
    Promulgate a New Rule
14
    Regarding a Residential
                                   ) File No. AX-2023-0175
15
    Customer Disconnection Data
    Reporting Rule
16
17
18
                            JOHN CLARK, Presiding
19
                           REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
20
21
    REPORTED BY:
    Shelley Bartels, CCR, RPR
22
23
24
25
```



```
Page 2
 1
    APPEARANCES:
 2
         MR. ERIC VANDERGRIFF
         Public Service Commission
 3
         200 Madison Street
         P.O. Box 360
 4
         Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
         573.751.4140
 5
    For: Staff of the Missouri Public Service
         Commission
 6
         MS. LINDSAY VANGERPEN
 7
         Office of the Public Counsel
         200 Madison Street
         P.O. Box 2230
 8
         Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
 9
         573.751.5324
    For: Office of the Public Counsel
10
         MS. RACHEL L. NIEMEIER
         rachel.niemeier@amwater.com
11
         American Water
12
         727 Craig Road
         St. Louis, Missouri 63141
13
         314.996.2390
          Missouri American Water Company
    For:
14
         MS. JERMAINE GRUBBS
15
         jgrubbs@ameren.com
         Ameren
         1901 Chouteau Avenue
16
         St. Louis, Missouri 63103-3003
         314.621.3222
17
          Ameren Missouri
    For:
18
         MR. JAMES M. FISCHER
19
         Fischer & Dority
         2081 Honeysuckle Lane
         Jefferson City, Missouri 65109
2.0
         573.636.6758
21
    For:
          Evergy Companies
2.2
         MS. DIANA CARTER
         Liberty Utilities
23
         428 East Capitol Avenue, #303
         Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
24
          Liberty Utilities
    For:
25
```



1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	Page 3
2	JOHN B. COFFMAN Consumers Council of Missouri	
3	871 Tuxedo Boulevard St. Louis, Missouri 63119	
4	573.424.6779 For: Consumers Council	
5	PHILIP FRACICA	
6	Renew Missouri 915 East Ash Street	
7	Columbia, Missouri 65201 AND ANDREW J. LINHARES	
9	andrew@renewmo.org Renew Missouri	
10	3115 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 600 St. Louis, Missouri 63118	
11	314.471.9973 For: Renew Missouri	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
L7		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
24		
25		



PROCEEDINGS

2.2

Rulemaking Hearing

		Jt	JDGE (CLAF	RK: (Good	morr	ning.	Ιt	's n	OW
nine	0'0	clock,	, so I	Let'	s go	on t	the r	record.		Toda	y's
date	is	July	20th	of	2023	and	the	curren	t	time	
is 9	:00	a.m.									

We are conducting this Rulemaking Hearing from room 310 of the Governor Office Building and some parties will also be participating via Webex.

The Commission has set aside this time for a rule comment hearing on the file captioned as, In the matter of proposed rulemaking to promulgate a new rule regarding a residential customer disconnection data reporting rule. And that is file number AX-2023-0175. These rules were published in the Missouri Register on June 15th, 2023, volume 48 number 12 and those are pages 1025 and 1026.

The subject of this Rulemaking Hearing is the proposed rule or Commission rule 20 CSR 4240-130.75, Service disconnection reporting requirements for electric, gas, sewer, and water utilities.

At this time I would remind the parties, anybody who's speaking who's in the room here in Jefferson City, to use the microphone and be sure your microphone is on when you're speaking and off

1	when you're not. Likewise, if you're participating
2	via Webex, I would ask that you keep yourself muted
3	until such time as you are offering comments or need
4	to speak. If you are appearing via Webex, we
5	everything that is being said is being taken down by
6	a court reporter and because that court reporter is
7	not familiar with everybody who is going to be on
8	Webex, I'm going to ask that if you're making Webex
9	comments, that you identify yourself before making
10	your comment.
11	My name is John Clark and I'm the
12	regulatory law judge overseeing this hearing today.
13	I'm going to begin by asking the attorneys who are
14	here to enter their appearance and I will start with
15	Commission staff.
16	MR. VANDERGRIFF: Eric Vandergriff with
17	Staff.
18	JUDGE CLARK: I don't think your
19	microphone's on.
20	MR. VANDERGRIFF: Eric Vandergriff with
21	Staff. The reporter already has my address.
22	JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Vandergriff.
23	On behalf of OPC or the Office of Public Counsel.
24	MS. VANGERPEN: Lindsay VanGerpen on

And the

behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel.

1 court reporter also has my address. 2 JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Ms. VanGerpen. On behalf of Missouri American Water Company. 3 4 MS. NIEMEIER: Good morning. Rachel 5 Niemeier on behalf of Missouri American Water 6 Company. The court reporter also has our 7 information. 8 JUDGE CLARK: Thank you. On behalf of 9 Ameren Missouri. 10 MS. GRUBBS: Good morning. Jermaine 11 Grubbs on behalf of Ameren Missouri. My business 12 address is 1901 Chouteau Avenue in St. Louis, 13 Missouri 63103. Thank you. 14 Thank you. What companies JUDGE CLARK: 15 have I missed? 16 Judge on behalf of the MR. FISCHER: 17 Evergy companies, my name is James Fischer with the law firm of Fischer & Dority P.C. Our address 18 19 is 2081 Honeysuckle Lane, Jefferson City, 20 Missouri 65101. I'm sorry, 109. 21 Thank you, Mr. Fischer. JUDGE CLARK: 2.2 MS. CARTER: This is Diana Carter 23 appearing for the Empire District Electric Company, 24 the Empire District Gas Company, Liberty Utilities

Midstates Natural Gas Corp., and Liberty Utilities

1 Missouri Water, LLC, all of which do business as 2 Liberty. 3 JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Ms. Carter. 4 there are a couple of non-company entities who are 5 participating today. Mr. Coffman. 6 Good morning, your Honor. MR. COFFMAN: 7 John B. Coffman on behalf of Consumers Council of 8 Missouri. My address is 871 Tuxedo Boulevard, 9 St. Louis, Missouri 63119. 10 JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Coffman. 11 Have I missed anyone? Okay. This is not a 12 contested -- go ahead, please. 13 Philip Fracica. I'm here MR. FRACICA: 14 representing Renew Missouri. We're at 915 East Ash 15 Street, Columbia, Missouri 65201 and my name is 16 spelled P-h-i-l-i-p F-r-a-c-i-c-a. Thank you. 17 Thank you. JUDGE CLARK: This is not a 18 contested case so there's no cross-examination from 19 the parties. The Commission or myself may ask 20 questions. If any commissioners have questions, I'm 21 not going to really pause to take questions, so just 22 let me know verbally that you have a question to ask 23 and we can go ahead and ask that, or just go ahead 24 and ask your question.

Now, as you're providing comments please

1	be sure to state your name and position.
2	Now, pursuant to Commission
3	rule 20 CSR 4240-2.1808D comments will be taken from
4	those supporting the proposed rule followed by those
5	opposing the proposed rule unless the presiding
6	officer says otherwise, which I don't.
7	So is there anybody, just so I have rough
8	feel for this, is there anybody here who's offering
9	comments today in opposition to this rule? Okay.
10	I nobody appears to be, so I will just take
11	comments. Why don't we start with I think
12	traditionally the Commission Staff has gone last. Is
13	that correct? Why don't we start with the Office of
14	Public Counsel.
15	DR. MARKE: Your Honor, would you like me
16	to go up to the stand?
17	JUDGE CLARK: Are you testifying or just
18	making comments?
19	DR. MARKE: Testifying.
20	JUDGE CLARK: If you're testifying, you
21	can you can come up to the witness box.
22	DR. MARKE: If you want, I'd be fine with
23	going up to the podium. Done that before for the
24	rulemaking.
25	JUDGE CLARK: That would be fine. If

Rulemaking Hearing Page 9 1 you're more comfortable at the podium, I'm fine with 2 it. 3 DR. MARKE: Thank you. Good morning. Mγ 4 name is Geoff Marke -- oh. 5 JUDGE CLARK: Do you swear or affirm that 6 the testimony you're about to give at this rulemaking 7 hearing is the truth? 8 DR. MARKE: It is. 9 JUDGE CLARK: Thank you. Please qo 10 ahead. 11 DR. MARKE: Good morning. My name's 12 Geoff Marke. I'm chief economist with the Missouri 13 Office of Public Counsel. Thank everybody for 14 showing up today. This was a rulemaking initiative 15 instituted by the Office of Public Counsel 1,333 days 16 ago. 17 My comments today are going to be in four 18 parts just to level set for the audience that may not 19 have been present three and a half months ago -- or 20 three years and -- three and a half years ago how we 21 got here, part one. Part two, what we did in that 2.2

intermediate period. Part three, the current economic status facing customers today. And finally we're going to respond to some of the comments that have been filed in EFIS.



23

24

So on November 25th, 2019 the Office of Public Counsel fired -- filed a petition to go ahead and open up a working docket to discuss data disconnection standardization practices across our utilities. Specifically I'm going to read the first page.

The OPC attempted to answer a series of questions that was posed to us by the National Consumer Law Center or NCLC in anticipation of a series of webinars hosted by the National Regulatory Research Institute and the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, a committee on consumer and public interest regarding delinquencies and disconnections. Those questions can be summarized as follows: One, do utilities report the number of involuntary disconnections. Two, is that information publicly available. Three, have the number of disconnects reported by the utilities changed over time. And finally, if yes, how they changed.

In an attempt to answer those four mentioned questions and understand customer disconnection numbers and patterns across Missouri utilities and across time, the OPC reviewed and consolidated each electric, gas, and large water



utility non-case related submissions of annual reports in the Commission's EFIS as it pertains to disconnections and bill assistance from 2009 to the present. So ten years' worth of data.

Based on our research, OPC concluded that the electric, gas -- the electric and gas utilities but not water do report the number of disconnections in at least two periodic filings with the Commission, the annual report and the monthly cold weather reports. However, the disconnect numbers were not the same when examined on a year-to-year basis across -- within the utilities and across utilities.

The utility disconnection information was not easily accessible to the public and in some cases, had been designated as confidential. The number of disconnections had changed considerably over time but reported number of disconnects depended on the filing year and company varied considerably. Based on the consolidated filing, there does not appear to be an agreed-to standardization of data. Consequently, despite over a decade of collected data, no definitive statements about customer disconnections can be made as it appears how a disconnect is counted varies considerably across utilities.

2.2

Page 12

Public counsel opened up we had a
series of workshops with stakeholders from Ameren
Electric and Gas; Evergy Metro and West; Liberty Gas,
Electric, and Water; Spire East, Spire West; Summit
Natural Gas; Missouri American Water; Central States
Water Resources; and Raytown Water. The two
workshops were a collaborative process. I can say in
my career in this field, it was probably one of the
more cohesive events of herding cats that I've ever
experienced. Everybody recognized the problem and we
worked together as well as could be expected in the
middle of COVID remotely to try to come to agreed-to
outcomes. I think it's a testament to what you've
already heard today that no party is contesting these
rules.

We also instituted a voluntary one-month case study which about more than half of the utilities took up with our office, and we had individual meetings and follow ups with utilities based off of feedback that we received.

To provide -- this was also on a -- it goes without saying there, was a resolution passed by NARUC in 2019 that became the basis for the movement within these rules.

To provide a little context on, finally,

Page 13

on the economic status of what we're looking for, the U.S. uses a number of different measures, whether it's U.S. Census data, American Community Survey data, a variety of different poverty measures that exist, whether that's Amartya Sen's index, squared poverty gap indexes, high frequency poverty measures, there's one number that really -- that I like to rely on to serve as a barometer of what we're looking at in terms of the economy that I think is very telling. And it's the Federal Reserves annual report on economic well-being of U.S. households.

What the Fed does is they effectively do a large-scale survey across the United States asking if adults could cover \$400 of an emergency using cash or an equivalent method. So \$400. In 2 -- the most recent study suggests that 37 percent of adults could not cover a \$400 expense. And these numbers are even more pronounced across race and ethnicity. For example, Asians, it's 18 percent; for Caucasian, 29 percent; for Hispanic, 53 percent; for African-American, 57 percent. And perhaps not surprisingly, it's more pronounced with adults with children. Forty-three percent of adults with children could not cover a \$400 emergency expense compared to 37 percent of adults that do not have children. The

rural/urban gap is also pronounced. Forty-five percent of rural respondents could not cover a \$400 emergency whereas 36 percent of urban respondents could not do that.

2.2

Following COVID and the influx of subsidies that occurred to go ahead and prop up the economy that are now dying out, including probably most notably LIHWAP assistance for water which in Missouri, applications ceased in June of this year and funding is expected to cease in September of this year.

The need to record and to effectively have a canary in the coal mine to gauge the status and health of our customers is all the more important. This is coupled with year-over-year increases in capital expenditures by utilities through enabling legislation like PISA, ISRS, WSIRA and volatile fuel costs that are taking place right now.

Finally I'd point out that Americans have nearly a trillion dollars in credit card debt which is exacerbated at the moment with higher interest rates and what's gotten a lot of -- a fair amount of press, \$1.78 trillion in student debt.

For all of those reasons we believe it's

2.2

Page 15

important to have measures in place to inform the public and the stakeholders involved in being able to allocate funds appropriately and to drive effective public policy.

I'd like to comment finally on comments that were filed in this case today. Effectively followed a variety of different formats. In regards to variance requests made by some utilities, we'd point out that the Variance Rule already exists within the commission rules. Putting in an additional language within the section here would just be redundant. On that note, to the extent the Commission does grant a variance to a utility, we recommend that it be on a very temporal basis or short term. Requiring a variance, you know, from a utility from this rule entirely would defeat the purpose of being able to provide this information.

In regards to the Staff's request for Cold Weather Rule to continue maintaining that data, we don't object to that. It was not included in the current drafted rules in part because of feedback that we got from stakeholders that the information would be redundant.

In terms of whether or not this would require an undue burden on utilities or perhaps



2.2

that's I misspoke undue burden isn't the right
choice of words, but I think the example was given
that a full-time employee might need to be hired to
deal with this data. We would respectfully disagree.
We believe that, and based off of our experience with
working with the case studies with the utilities,
effectively a little bit of hand holding was needed
and familiarity with a process the first time around.
But effectively, after that's in place, it should be
fairly easy to implement or to at least follow
through thereafter.

There have been a number of comments suggesting that the information be more finite in nature. The first one would be distinguishing between utility sections. So the example might be Spire West versus Spire East and all the way down to postal zip code data. On this note, as a general rule and within a vacuum, we would absolutely agree that more finite data's better, all things being equal. Being able to know if a certain section of the state is being negatively impacted is extremely important from our perspective in terms of being able to allocate funds and work with community action agencies and other social service problems to direct funding appropriately. There has been a concern, I



think from our office, in terms of potential privacy issues and simplicity in nature of the utilities being able to disseminate this information on the commission's website.

2.2

monthly disconnection data that these rules envision putting on the commission's website be fairly simple. That we limit it to voluntary and involuntary disconnections, that additional information on a more finite basis on postal data or zip code data be information that we would not object to being filed with the Commission. I think the issue comes down to who would have access to that information. It does very little for other intervenors like that — that are stakeholders for low income or low income advocates not having access to that information.

To that end, you know, we would like to hear the feedback from the other speakers today before we reserve any additional comment, I think maybe even later or hopefully take a second bite at the apple during this public hearing. But we -- again, I would stress that on a whole, we appreciate and understand the importance of it. I think the issue just again comes down to privacy concerns where hopefully we can find a path forward as far as that's

1	concerned. I'll pause here it anybody has any
2	questions.
3	JUDGE CLARK: I have just a couple for
4	you. You had mentioned that a variance was
5	unnecessary for this you felt. And without looking,
6	because I don't know off the top of my head, does
7	Chapter 13 already contain a variance in it?
8	DR. MARKE: I'd defer to my legal counsel
9	or Ms. Contessa King might now.
10	MS. VANGERPEN: Your Honor, Chapter 13
11	does not include general variance provisions, but it
12	is included in Chapter 2. And I apologize, I don't
13	have that exact rule with me. But it is a general
14	variance provision that says the Commission can grant
15	a variance or waiver from any commission rule.
16	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Thank you very much.
17	Go ahead.
18	MS. KING: Contessa King,
19	C-o-n-t-e-s-s-a, last name King, K-i-n-g. I am the
20	manager of the customer experience department. And I
21	just wanted to mention that there is a section in
22	Chapter 13; it's 13.065, and it is called Variance.
23	Whenever a utility is requesting a variance from
24	anything in Chapter 13, this kind of lays out kind of
25	the provisions for that.

Page 19

1	JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Ms. King.
2	MS. KING: You're welcome.
3	JUDGE CLARK: My next question involves a
4	filing, Evergy's comments filed in this case. They
5	filed a proposed format for the information. Did you
6	have an opportunity to look at that?
7	DR. MARKE: We did.
8	JUDGE CLARK: And did OPC have anything
9	they wanted to say in regards to that formula? Is
10	that something or that format. Is that something
11	that would be that is that along the lines of
12	what Public Counsel envisioned?
13	DR. MARKE: It was not. And again, most
14	of our this was based off of feedback of trying to
15	appease multiple different utilities in terms of the
16	information that was provided. My understanding, and
17	my legal counsel might be able to expound on that if
18	need be, that we're at this stage of the game
19	after three and a half years, the feedback that we've
20	gotten was to move forward with what we had drafted.
21	JUDGE CLARK: Is there a particular
22	format contemplated?
23	MS. VANGERPEN: Judge Clark, if I could
24	jump in here, so the format that Evergy provided
25	which was just a simple I believe it was

1	originally given in an Excel format. I think that is
2	more that is close to what we've envisioning. The
3	rule specifies that the data should be provided in an
4	Excel format with any I'm just making sure in a
5	native electronic spreadsheet format with all links
6	and formulas intact.
7	And I think believe that is how it was
8	originally provided to us. So if we're just looking
9	at the format, I think that was something
10	DR. MARKE: I stand corrected. If the
11	question is just the format, then yeah.
12	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Thank you. And
13	you're going to hear me ask this next question a
14	lot. And that is rulemakings require that you submit
15	both a public and a private cost. And those
16	currently as it was submitted were estimated at zero
17	or below \$500. And that's that's total; that's
18	not annually. That's over the entire lifetime of the
19	rule.
20	You had indicated that you disagreed that
21	this would require that utilities hire an additional
22	person to manage this. Has Public Counsel got an
23	estimate or guess as to what the public and private

My understanding would be

costs of this would be for utilities?

DR. MARKE:

24

2.2

under \$500. I mean, effectively the information	1S
already being collected by the utilities. Based	off
of feedback and again, affirmation from the utili	ties
that they could provide the information in the fo	rmat
that we saw, we looked at this as a job or a func	tion
that would be allocated to existing personnel.	

Again, utilities have been required to file disconnection information in two separate filings for the Commission for over a decade now.

The problem at hand here is that that information really hadn't been checked and cross-checked and when we did look at that information, it didn't make sense. We couldn't -- we couldn't form any basis for the wild fluctuations between the two sets of data and within utilities over time. So it really is the exact same function; it's just trying to standardized how that's coming across and being reported to the Commission.

JUDGE CLARK: So what you're telling me is that this information is already collected by the utility and broken down in the way that would be easy to respond to this rule?

DR. MARKE: We believe so.

JUDGE CLARK: Okay. I have no further questions. Thank you for your testimony.



1	DR. MARKE: Before I leave, I just wanted
2	to express my gratitude to, you know, all the parties
3	that participated in this. This is not an easy
4	process, especially with trying to execute this
5	through COVID, putting on a full workshop remotely
6	with, I think we had close to, you know, 65 people on
7	our first call. Trying to navigate the rules was
8	quite the endeavor and it really took a lot of
9	patience from the utilities, so hats off to them.
10	Thank you.
11	JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Dr. Marke.
12	Missouri American Water Company, did you
13	have any comments today?
14	MS. NIEMEIER: Good morning, Judge.
15	Rachel Niemeier on behalf of Missouri American Water
16	Company. We filed our comments and we don't really
17	have anything further to add unless there are
18	questions from the bench or the commissioners. We
19	would add only that we support Liberty's proposal for
20	a delay between the adoption of the rule and the
21	implementation because it is something that we will

have to program and work with the Office of Public

Counsel and Staff to ensure that the formatting is

correct and we have to have the personnel to be able

22

23

24

25

to do that.

1	I would respond to Mr. Marke's comments
2	about the cost, that this information isn't being
3	collected in the way that it's being proposed to be
4	presented in this proceeding or I don't know that
5	there would be a need for this proceeding. And so
6	the specifics of that are unknown and the time that
7	it'll take are unknown, but it's certainly going to
8	take some time for somebody to pull that information,
9	gather it, go through it and make sure that the
10	personal information is removed and then filing the
11	documents. So we do not believe that it would not
12	exceed 500 for all the utilities to do that.
13	JUDGE CLARK: Would you say that last
14	line again?
15	MS. NIEMEIER: We do we do not
16	agree so we think it would exceed \$500 for all of
17	the utilities to implement this rule. What the
18	actual cost is we don't know at this time.
19	JUDGE CLARK: And I will note that
20	Dr. Marke had indicated that this information
21	appeared to be compiled by other utilities already,
22	but not so much by water utilities. Is that correct?
23	MS. NIEMEIER: That is correct.
24	JUDGE CLARK: Do you have any estimation
25	or even guess as to what the cost to Missouri

1	American Water Company would be?
2	MS. NIEMEIER: At this point we don't
3	have anything beyond what we included in our comments
4	because this would be a new report for us. And it's
5	not worth the cost for experimentation if it doesn't
6	get implemented and go through the formal process.
7	So we don't have any specific information to answer
8	that question at this time.
9	JUDGE CLARK: Do you think it would
10	require a full-time employee a full day of work?
11	MS. NIEMEIER: We think it is possible
12	that it would take a full-time employee or would add
13	to 25 percent or a hundred percent of someone's time,
14	especially if you add it to all the other reporting
15	that Missouri American does.
16	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Thank you for your
17	comments.
18	MS. NIEMEIER: You're welcome. Thank you
19	for your time. We appreciate the opportunity to
20	participate.
21	JUDGE CLARK: Does Ameren Missouri have
22	any comments in regard to this rulemaking?
23	MS. GRUBBS: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
24	Jermaine Grubbs appearing on behalf of Ameren
25	Missouri. I am joined by Aubrey Krcmar who is a

regulatory liaison for Ameren Missouri.

First off I want to express appreciation on behalf of Ameren Missouri for the opportunity to participate not only throughout the rulemaking docket, but the prior working docket as well and echo OPC's appreciation for everyone's efforts on this important rulemaking.

So I would like to clarify a couple of comments that were filed last week and I guess early this week. So I would note Ameren Missouri supports Liberty's point that delaying the comments between the adoption of the new rule and the due date for the submission of the first report under the new rule will allow configuration of queries for the reporting, making sure that we have the formatting that OPC and others are expecting, those sorts of things. And from Ameren's perspective, we're estimating approximately 90 days would be sufficient for that.

I would note that Ameren Missouri does not support Staff's suggested addition to require the duplicative types of reporting under the Cold Weather Rule for the Cold Weather Rule reporting so that basically you'd have the duplication of -- you'd have similar but not necessarily apples-to-apples



Page 26

comparable data under the Cold Weather Rule and then a separate report under this new rule. So we would not express support of that change.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ameren Missouri also does not support, I believe it was Sierra Club, Consumer Council of Missouri, and Professor Konisky, and I apologize if I mispronounce the professor's name, but they suggested addition of another provision to provide some data by zip code or -- I believe it was Professor Konisky's proposal was to provide at the census-block level. Ameren Missouri would have to try to develop, you know, special analysis to provide any information by And from what I understand from our zip code. technical folks, we do not store data by census block and there could be some concerns about the accuracy of the geo-coordinates, especially in our more rural areas that are served. It may not be great. sure that by census block is workable or not, at least, you know, to a level of accuracy that would likely be expected.

I would also want to clarify I believe in Dr. Marke's testimony earlier today, he did mention or reference the annual report versus the monthly cold weather reporting and may have described that as there were inconsistencies or inaccuracies. I



2.2

believe Ameren Missouri in our initial comments in
the working docket did clarify that the annual report
includes a different slice of information. The
annual report includes disconnections for both
residential and nonresidential customers whereas the
Cold Weather Rule reporting only provides residential
disconnection information. So just wanted to clarify
that, again, for the record here.

And then on, I believe the question arose about the public or private cost of the rule. I would note that in Ameren's Missouri initial comments filed in the AW -- the working docket that preceded this, which we filed those comments on February 14th of 2020, in there at that time based on that version of the proposed rule, we estimated that the cost would be between 8,000 and \$15,000. I don't have a more updated estimate for you today, especially as we try to figure out what the final rule is actually going to look like, so we would continue to say at least currently our estimate is between 8 and 15 thousand dollars.

It would really be -- the basis for that is there are -- there's digital time or, you know, IT to program the querying of the system, so that programming time. So it would likely be more of an



Page 28

1	up front cost and then there would be sort of a
2	monthly pulling of that some and time for employees
3	to do that.
4	So I appreciate the opportunity to make
5	these comments on behalf of Ameren Missouri, and
6	we'll try to address any questions.
7	JUDGE CLARK: Now, in regard to
8	that 8,000 to 15,000 that the company's estimating,
9	is that over the lifetime of the rule or is that an
10	annual cost? You indicated that the bulk of the cost
11	will be up front.
12	MS. GRUBBS: So I believe that the 8,000,
13	the lower end of the range, was that IT and kind of
14	the up front. And we're not sure what the monthly
15	expense would be for that and so kind of gave that
16	range, at least for that first year of
17	implementation, thought that the 8 to 15 captured it.
18	So not over the life of the rule, but probably that
19	first year at least.
20	JUDGE CLARK: What kind of employee time
21	do you believe would be necessary each year once
22	those up front IT concerns are well, the ability
23	to query the system as you said?
24	MS. GRUBBS: So then on that monthly

basis I believe there would be, you know, an

1 individual internally who would then run the queries. 2 There would then be a hand off for the actual 3 submission of the report. So if that, on a monthly 4 basis is -- I don't have a firm answer from the IT 5 I would estimate that it was less than --6 less than ten hours. And so then if that was as a 7 monthly report so times 12, you know, I would suggest 8 it would likely be less than 120 hours a year, but 9 that -- I'm sorry, that's a very, very rough 10 estimates. I believe that's all that's 11 JUDGE CLARK: 12 required is an estimate, so thank you. 13 Judge, if I may, quick DR. MARKE: 14 response to that. 15 JUDGE CLARK: That would be fine. 16 DR. MARKE: I just -- not contesting 17 anything Ms. Grubbs said. Appreciate her insight with this. 18 19 I would point out that Ameren was one of 20 the case studies that we worked with and was able to 21 produce the information to Public Counsel in a timely 2.2 fashion already. 23 JUDGE CLARK: Thank you. And are there 24 any questions for Ms. Grubbs from the Commission?

Ms. Grubbs, was Ms. Krcmar going to be -- Krcmar

1	going to be testifying today?
2	MS. GRUBBS: No, your Honor.
3	JUDGE CLARK: I have no further questions
4	for you. Thank you for your comments.
5	Are there any comments from Evergy
6	Missouri?
7	MR. FISCHER: Just briefly, Judge. This
8	is Jim Fischer, F-i-s-c-h-e-r. The Evergy companies
9	did participate in the workshops. Appreciated very
10	much the efforts of all the parties to work together
11	to come to a resolution of it. We filed comments on
12	July 14. I really don't have much to add there. In
13	those comments we indicated the companies could
14	comply with the proposed rule as long as they were
15	using a format like we had suggested. As I
16	understood Public Counsel's comments, they were okay
17	with that, although they wanted the Excel formulas
18	intact so they could do some analysis. We often
19	provide that as a part of discovery, so I don't think
20	that'll be a problem.
21	There were some comments filed regarding
22	providing the data by zip code. We didn't
23	specifically analyze all of that. In other context
24	we found that sometimes it is difficult to provide

some data along that line and by zip code, and I

1	think we'd be concerned if that was added to the
2	rule.
3	But with that, unless you have questions,
4	I don't have anything else.
5	JUDGE CLARK: Well, you've had an
6	opportunity so far to hear as I indicated, you'll
7	hear me ask about costs a lot. Does Evergy have an
8	estimation as to the cost of compliance with this
9	rule?
10	MR. FISCHER: I unfortunately don't have
11	that number. I can try to provide that to you at a
12	later date or not.
13	JUDGE CLARK: I don't know if that will
14	be necessary, but I will let you know. I think after
15	this hearing today, we're beyond the comment period,
16	so. Thank you, Mr. Fischer.
17	Are there any questions for Mr. Fischer
18	or for Evergy?
19	Does Liberty Missouri have any comments
20	regarding this rule?
21	MS. CARTER: Hi, Judge, thank you. This
22	is Diana Carter. We filed written comments on behalf
23	of the four Liberty utilities operating in Missouri.
24	And I'm available to answer any questions there may



be on those comments. And Hayley Sirmon, our

Page 32

1	director o	of	customer	experience,	is	also	available	on	
2	the line.								

2.2

We're supportive of the rule with two conditions, that utilities be able to obtain variances when necessary for good cause shown. And as Ms. King pointed out, there is the Chapter 13 provision on variances. There's also the Chapter 2 provision on variances, so I don't believe any additional language is needed; we just wanted to note that for the stakeholders and for the Commission.

And then we also think it will be important that the first report, that there is a delay between implementation of the rule and the due date for the first report to allow the utilities to gather the data and get the process going.

For Liberty we would give a rough estimate, and that is truly a very rough estimate, of \$5,000 possibly in up front costs. We know we will have to write new reports to gather this data and provide it across all of the Liberty utilities.

JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Thank you. Did your witness want to testify today or just available to answer questions?

MS. CARTER: Just available if necessary.

Thank you.



Page 33

1	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Thank you.
2	Ms. Carter, you've answered all my questions on
3	behalf of Liberty.
4	Are there any Commission questions for
5	Liberty?
6	Okay. Comments from Consumers Council of
7	Missouri.
8	MR. COFFMAN: Thank you, your Honor. Can
9	you hear me?
10	JUDGE CLARK: Yes, Mr. Coffman, go ahead.
11	MR. COFFMAN: Yes. Just briefly, we have
12	filed written comments, but I wanted to emphasize a
13	couple points. First of all, we believe that this
14	data is extremely important and is really at the core
15	of the public protections that the PSC is here for.
16	And we would point out that utility
17	disconnections can lead to serious health risks,
18	especially for seniors and people with disabilities.
19	Utility disconnections have been proven in multiple
20	studies to create barriers for children in
21	educational learning. Disconnections have been
22	proven to be linked to emergency room visits and
23	serious educational problem or serious health
24	issues as well as mobile mobility issues, all of
25	the social problems that are related to people being

forced out of their home. For many people, a disconnection is also an eviction notice.

2.2

And so it's really at the -- at the center of many issues that, as a society, we are wrestling with. And that's why starting with the good trustworthy data that is comparable from one utility to the next and from one year to the next is really important for the Commission to be able to have informed decision making and to really have a good gauge of how serious a problem is at any point. So we very much support the rule and praise the Staff and Office of the Public Counsel for working for this.

This has been a goal of the Commission at least since 1977 when the Cold Weather Rule was first put into place. And it has been carefully documented by OPC and Geoff Marke, the data really has not been that useful unfortunately. And the reason is because different utilities have interpreted it differently. They have complied with a variety of different formats. And the rule itself as currently written and has been in place since 1977 is inadequate.

So we really think that the draft rule as written will help and hopefully get this reporting to a point where the Commission can get a good snapshot



of how, you know, how critical utility disconnection data is and what's going on with that.

The second problem that this rule would correct is that there has been a lot of confusion about whether this is even public information.

Unfortunately because the current rule says that the dat -- the Cold Weather Rule, that data is provided to Staff and Public Counsel, there has been an argument made that that is not necessarily public information, could not be obtained through a Sunshine request or through other means. It often finds its way into the public data. So the fact that this data would be posted on the Commission's website is good, and we're really glad that that has been updated.

We have one more suggestion that we think would be help -- especially if this rule is going to be in effect for 10, 20, 30, 50 more years. We think that the addition of zip code data is pretty important. It has already become a granular feature of much of the data that the major regulated utilities in Missouri have done. I have no doubt that utilities are able to produce information about their customers on a zip code basis. We are specifically asking that you do a five-digit zip code, not a ten-digit zip code.

1	Page And that's because at some point if you
2	go to that level, the ten-digit postal code, that
3	sometimes are only one or two customers there. So we
4	think that doing it by the five-digit zip code will
5	keep the information from revealing any private
6	information, but give the kind of localized data that
7	the Commission I think would want to see so as to
8	know if there was a particular region or city or
9	neighborhood that was facing a problem. It would
10	help community action agencies, other agency in the
11	state begin to focus in on where there might be a
12	problem with poverty or with public health risks.
13	So we're hoping that the Commission at
14	least requires this data to be at the granular level
15	of five-digit zip codes. We have suggested one way
16	that that could be done in our comments, and so that
17	amendment, it would be only applied to the
18	subsections that deal with disconnections themselves
19	and not necessarily arrearages. But that is
20	something that I think the Commission will be glad
21	that they did a few years down the road, if not
22	decades down the road, so that we don't have another

I agree with the utilities that it would

generation of disagreeing and mismatched data and not



having the data that would really be helpful.

	Rulemaking Hearing July 20, 202
1	Page 37 be good to have a little bit of lead time, a couple
2	of months or so to let them adapt to the new format.
3	That's not a problem.
4	We do have some concern about the idea
5	using variances. Obviously there's no need for a
6	variance procedure. There's one in Chapter 13 and
7	one in Chapter 2. But if the utilities are allowed
8	to get waivers from, you know, any particular
9	provision on an ongoing basis, it would defeat the
10	rule. So we do hope that the Commission will insist
11	that each of the utilities provide the data in a
12	consistent format so that we finally have a good,
13	official gauge of what's going on generally. So I
14	understand they have a right to ask for a variance,
15	but hopefully that would be very narrow and very
16	short in time if any such variance was granted.
17	So that is essentially our comments and
18	we thank the Commission for having this hearing.
19	I'll take any questions.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, Consumers JUDGE CLARK: Council. I don't have any questions for you, but it looks like Dr. Marke may have a comment that he wants to make.

> Thank you, Judge. DR. MARKE:

Mr. Coffman, I've got David Konisky has

1	contacted me that has a brief window to opine on
2	this, if that's acceptable. He's online right now.
3	JUDGE CLARK: Say that again please.
4	DR. MARKE: Dr. David Konisky from the
5	University of Indiana has contacted me just now and
6	asked he's got a brief window to speak up on this
7	rulemaking if that works for you.
8	JUDGE CLARK: Are there any objections
9	to I'm going to butcher his name
LO	DR. MARKE: I know. I butchered it too.
L1	JUDGE CLARK: Is there any objection to
L2	taking the professor's comments now?
L3	MR. VANDERGRIFF: No objection no
L4	objections from Staff, your Honor.
L5	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. I see in here no
L6	other objections. Professor, are you on the Webex?
L7	DR. KONISKY: I am.
L8	JUDGE CLARK: Would you please
L9	DR. KONISKY: Good morning.
20	JUDGE CLARK: Would you please state and
21	spell your name and then you may offer your comments.
22	DR. KONISKY: I will. Good morning.
23	Thank you, your Honor. My name is David Konisky,
24	K-o-n-i-s-k-y. I'm a professor at Indiana University
25	at the Paul H. O'Neill School of Public and



- 1 | Environmental Affairs where I also codirect our
- 2 | Energy Justice Lab. Thanks for the opportunity.
- I'll keep my comments brief, and I am grateful for your accommodating my schedule this morning.

First thing to say is I strongly endorse
this rule. We have been studying energy insecurity
in particular and the problem of utility
disconnections for many years. It is extremely
important and rulemakings like this go a long way to
helping us understand the nature of the problem and

who's most affected by these challenges.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I'll just make three very brief comments.

I filed comments for the record, so obviously happy
to answer any questions about those. I just wanted
to underscore three points.

First, in our work on energy insecurity, we have learned that challenges people have paying their energy bills, whether it's electricity, natural gas, are quite ubiquitous. By the best data we have, one of four families struggle every year paying their energy bills and thereby are subject to risk utility disconnections. This -- we know that this leads to all sorts of difficulties, not just in terms of material hardship, but also physical and mental health effects as well.

1 Importantly, there are really

thing to say.

well-documented disparities in who experiences these kinds of utility insecurity. We know people of color, households of low income, families with children, those who are medically compromised are all more likely to experience this. So that's the first

The second thing to say is that currently there is no nationally consistent data provided to allow us to understand this problem. So rulemakings like these are essential at the state level for requiring utilities to disclose more about the disconnections, arrearages, and other types of challenges in this space. And as many, I'm sure everybody in the room knows, we had more reporting done during the COVID pandemic which is quite helpful, but rulemakings like this will allow us to better understand this data and better understand these situations and there really is no substitute for these kinds of disclosure requirements.

The last thing I want to quickly say is that the rulemaking proposed here is very consistent with what other places have done. Utilities have been required in many other states, not just during COVID, but going forward to report similar data. In

some cases, this is been done historically; in other cases, this is a newer phenomenon. But this -- this has been shown to be possible and quite informative.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will -- I want to comment briefly on the issue of geographic granularity. While it is most common for disclosure requirements to be asked for at the utility service territory level, it is extremely important that we have more geographical granular data to understand geographic and socioeconomic patterns of arrearages and disconnections. It is more challenging to collect the information, but utilities do have the ability to do this, in my opinion, and they can do so without compromising the privacy, the real privacy concerns that we have with protecting customer data. It does require a little bit more work so that may speak to some of the cost questions that you raised, but having this information is the only way to truly understand the disparities that occur with respect to disconnections in energy and other forms of utility insecurity.

So I would strongly recommend an amendment to the rulemaking that would ask utilities to report this information at the zip code level or at the census block, group, or track level to allow



	5.5, 2.5
1	Page 43 some leverage on what the nature of sort of the
2	underlying patterns of these issues are across the
3	state of Missouri.
4	Thank you. Happy to answer any
5	questions.
6	JUDGE CLARK: Thank you very much. I do
7	have a question for you. You had indicated that
8	other states do, in fact, require the reporting of
9	some of this information. Do you I would say I
10	hate putting you on the spot, but that's kind of my
11	job. What states to your knowledge require that this
12	information be reported in a somewhat similar
13	fashion?
14	DR. KONISKY: Yeah. That's a great
15	question. So we have complied this information in
16	an online tool called UtilityDisconnections.org,
17	which you can find at utilitydisconnections.org. And
18	this there are about about two-thirds of the
19	states have required in some form disclosure around
20	utility disconnections in particular. Practices

22 a little more spotty. But this is a pretty common 23 practice in many parts of the country.

21

24

25

In some states, off the top of my head, Illinois, Minnesota, New Jersey, California zip code

around arrearages varies a little bit more than that,



- 1 require -- data reporting is also common, so 2 utilities have been asked to do that over some time. 3 So that's a little bit less common, but frankly I 4 think becoming more and more accepted practice. 5 reporting around disconnections in particular is not 6 everywhere, but for regulated utilities in those --7 in these 30 or states, it is now pretty common 8 practice. 9 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. I have no further 10 questions. Thank you for your time today and thank 11 you for your comments. 12 Thank you. DR. KONISKY: I appreciate 13 the opportunity. 14 JUDGE CLARK: Does Renew Missouri have 15
 - any comments that they would like to offer today regarding this rulemaking docket?
- MR. LINHARES: Yes, thank you, Judge, briefly.

- JUDGE CLARK: Would you identify yourself
 for the court reporter.
- MR. LINHARES: Yes. Thank you very much.

 My name is Andrew Linhares representing Renew

 Missouri. I am the regional director and senior

 counsel based in St. Louis.
- 25 My comments today mainly serve to echo



- those of Dr. Marke for the Office of Public Counsel and John Coffman for the Missouri Consumers Council.
- I believe that this proposed rule, that the primary values are standardization, transparency, and timeliness of the disclosures.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And on the issue of waivers, I think that waivers, when applied too broadly, can defeat these broad purposes, if they're allowed to be too broad. So I would echo the comments that waivers should be tailored narrowly.

I would also like to echo the comments from our previous speaker that the proposed rule's consistent with rules in other states that have been in existence or are currently being sought, especially since the COVID pandemic.

And to sum up here, broadly, we don't want Missouri to be an outlier in terms of its disconnections for its electric, gas, and water utilities. We want it to be within the realm of what's consistent in the country or perhaps even a leader. And in order to gauge that, we need standardized data across the same periods of time and data that's made easily available to the public.

So I would offer our support for the proposed rule and make myself available for any



1 questions if there are any. 2 Thank you, Mr. Linhares. JUDGE CLARK: Ι 3 have no questions for Renew Missouri. Are there any Commission questions for 4 Renew Missouri? 5 6 Thank you for your time. 7 MR. LINHARES: Thank you. JUDGE CLARK: Now, before I get to 8 9 calling individuals because I know at least one 10 individual who would like to make a comment on the 11 rule, have I missed any entities that wanted to offer 12 comments on this rule? Okay. Hearing none, it is my 13 understanding that there is a Jenn DeRose who would 14 like to make comments. Ms. DeRose, are you available 15 for comments via Webex? 16 MS. DEROSE: Yes, I am. I am speaking on 17 behalf of Sierra Club. 18 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. 19 MS. DEROSE: May I qo? 20 JUDGE CLARK: Please, go ahead. 21 MS. DEROSE: Sure, thank you so much. 2.2 Thank you all for this opportunity to speak. I am 23 mostly here to again echo the comments of my 24 colleagues at Consumers Council and the Office of

25

Public Counsel.

2.2

As this rule -- we support this rule, but we think it could be further improved by adding a requirement to break disconnection data down by zip code. And again, the benefits of this are many as outlined earlier, but I just wanted to add a little more context which is that due to historic redlining, communities of color across the country are subject to hotter temperatures during the summer, contributing to their household energy burden and this may lead to a higher chance of disconnection. So warmer temperatures are also linked to poor air quality making groups vulnerable to disconnections susceptible to negative health impacts that can come with hot weather.

So if nonprofits focused on community health and financial assistance would be able to use that disconnection data broken down by zip code, we could target their assistance programs to areas where we know that folks are experiencing the most -- the most chance of disconnection.

Additionally, standardized reporting data would allow for fair analysis of how utilities compare with one another when it comes to preventing disconnections and that can help lead to better company policies ultimately preventing disconnections

1	which is a goal I think we all agree is worth
2	pursuing.
3	So, yeah, again, I just want to echo the
4	comments of my colleagues and add those comments
5	which I also submitted online. Thank you so much for
6	the opportunity to speak. Do you have any questions?
7	JUDGE CLARK: Now, these are the comments
8	of Sierra Club, not testimony. Is that correct?
9	MS. DEROSE: This is my testimony in
LO	addition to the comments from the Sierra Club.
L1	Apologies.
L2	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Then I'm going to
L3	swear you in at this time in regard to the comments
L4	you just made.
L5	MS. DEROSE: That's fine. Thank you very
L6	much.
L7	JUDGE CLARK: Do you swear or affirm that
L8	the testimony you gave at this rulemaking hearing is
L9	the truth?
20	MS. DEROSE: I do.
21	JUDGE CLARK: And the testimony you just
22	gave in regard to that, do you swear that that was
23	the truth?
24	MS. DEROSE: I do.
25	JUDGE CLARK: Thank you very much.



1 MS. DEROSE: Thank you very much.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JUDGE CLARK: Are there any other individuals or entities at this time who would like to offer comments in regards to this rulemaking or testimony? Hearing none, Staff, are you ready to make a respond -- comments in this rulemaking?

MR. VANDERGRIFF: Yes, your Honor.

Earlier we had comments about the Cold Weather Rule and I think that it was said that there's similar rules that mirror the Cold Weather Rule, but similar They're inherently different, and is not the same. we demonstrated this, the possibility on duplication, by stating that we recommend keeping the rule, but when the Cold Weather Rule occurs, we'd like the Cold Weather Rule to be followed as prescribed. The Cold Weather Rule is intending on collecting information for the Cold Weather Rule and this disconnection rule is for disconnections. They are not the same. that means they are not duplicative. If you'd like, you know, further questions on that, well, we have staff here to answer those. But that's what I have today.

JUDGE CLARK: Actually I do. I would like to hear from somebody in regard to that. Is there somebody who can offer testimony on it?



1	MR. THOMASON: Yes. I am Tyrone
2	Thomason. I am a senior research data analyst with
3	the customer experience department.
4	JUDGE CLARK: Would you raise your right
5	hand to be sworn. Do you swear or affirm that the
6	testimony you're about to give at this ratemaking is
7	the truth?
8	MR. THOMASON: I do.
9	JUDGE CLARK: And I'm going to ask you to
LO	maybe scoot the microphone just a little bit closer.
L1	I was having a little difficulty hearing you.
L2	And would you please state and spell your
L3	name for the court reporter and the record. And then
L4	you may offer your comments. Or you may offer your
L5	testimony.
L6	MR. THOMASON: Tyrone Thomason,
L7	T-y-r-o-n-e, T-h-o-m-a-s-o-n.
L8	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. I was looking at
L9	this because Staff had filed this in EFIS and it
20	recommends the addition of bold language in
21	Subsection 3 where it says, Any utility that provides
22	a report pursuant to this rule need not provide
23	separate report pursuant to 20 CSR 4240-13.055(15).
24	And then Staff is recommending the addition of the
25	language Outside the Commission's Cold Weather Rule

1	period. During Commission's designated Cold Weather
2	Rule period, November 1st through March 31st, each
3	utility providing heat-related utility service shall
4	file a report pursuant to 20 CSR 4240-13.055(15) no
5	later than the 20th day of the following month.

2.2

Mr. Vandergriff had indicated that this, while similar, they are not the same. Why is a second filing necessary?

MR. THOMASON: Staff believes that the information that is being -- that will be requested in the disconnect rule will kind of get at -- well, there's multiple things. First, Staff believes that they'll get -- the disconnect rule will get some of the general data, but it's not going to get some of the specifics. So, for example -- well, I have three things, three general categories in particular.

First, the Cold Weather Rule specific information that is in the Cold Weather Rule reporting is not going to be picked up in the disconnect rule reporting at all. So, give a specific example. Sorry. So number 12 in our comments says, Under proposed rule, utilities would not report the number of customers who requested reconnection under the Cold Weather Rule and were refused.

So that's something that's specific to the Cold Weather Rule that the disconnect rule does not address at all. And there are three other examples of Cold Weather Rule specific information that would be excluded in the disconnect rule.

2.2

Second thing, there's going to be less detail in the energy assistance and payment agreement -- payment agreements that get set up and their effectiveness if we just use the Cold -- the disconnect rule reporting and do not use the Cold Weather Rule reporting. So as an example for that, if you look at number six and Staff's comments, well, the attachments: Under the proposed rule, utilities will no longer report data on reconnections and -- or reconnections as a result of receiving energy assistance.

So we would not be able to tell how effective the energy assistance was in getting people back reconnected after they've been disconnected for nonpayment. And there are seven of those that have counted that kind of fall in that category.

The third and final category, Will have less detail on the circumstances, meaning why people were disconnected in the first place, and outcomes of that disconnection. So, for example, number 13 on



2.2

the on Staff's comments, Under the proposed rule
utilities would not report the number of customers
who did not receive energy assistance sorry.
Yeah. Under the proposed rule, utilities would not
report the number of customers who did receive energy
assistance but not enough to retain or restore
service.

So we would not know -- yeah. We would not know if -- sorry. We would not know if those customers who had been disconnected ever actually got their service back on. I don't believe there's anything in this disconnect rule reporting that actually addresses reconnections per se.

Those are our three main concerns. To summarize, it's just a matter of -- it's not only a matter of what data is being collected; it's the granular nature of that data. We lose a lot of visibility if we just rely on the disconnect rule with -- and get rid of the Cold Weather Rule. So Staff just wants to make the Commission aware that if we were to get rid of the Cold Weather Rule and just go with the disconnect rule, that would be one of the -- one of the consequences of that.

JUDGE CLARK: Thank you very much for clarifying that for me. I don't have any additional



1	questions. And thank you, Staff, for letting me
2	interrupt your comments to ask that question.
3	MS. KING: Judge
4	JUDGE CLARK: It appears Ms. King has
5	some comments that she would like to make.
6	MS. KING: Apologies for interrupting,
7	sir.
8	JUDGE CLARK: And are these going to
9	be is this going to be testimony or is this going
10	to be just a comment or clarification?
11	MS. KING: I just wanted to add to
12	Tyrone's comments if that's okay.
13	JUDGE CLARK: I'm going to go ahead and
14	treat that as testimony, so if you'd raise your right
15	hand and be sworn. Do you swear or affirm that the
16	testimony you're about to give at this rulemaking
17	hearing is the truth?
18	MS. KING: I do.
19	JUDGE CLARK: Go ahead.
20	MS. KING: Thank you, sir. I just wanted
21	to add that the Cold Weather reporting requires
22	utility companies to report the number of registered,
23	elderly, disabled that register under the Cold
24	Weather Rule. So that is currently being reported to



Under the proposed rules we would no

the Commission.

25

1	longer receive that information, and I think it's
2	important for us to know that, you know, customers
3	that are submitting that information for that
4	registration, that the companies are accepting that.
5	So we do find that is valuable information to know
6	that the registered elderly, disabled, low-income
7	folks getting registered under the Cold Weather Rule,
8	we do actually track those numbers to ensure that
9	those folks are getting properly registered. And
LO	there's an annual registration associated with that,
L1	and I think it's October of every year, so we do
L2	we do look at that. Thank you.
L3	JUDGE CLARK: Thank you for that
L4	clarification.
L5	MR. VANDERGRIFF: Your Honor, before we
L6	move on from Staff, just one more comment to add.
L7	Staff does not oppose collecting information in
L8	regards to zip codes; however, if we are responsible
L9	for going over the additional data that this proposed
20	rule may require, then Staff will likely need another
21	full-time employee. So that's another consideration.
22	JUDGE CLARK: And that would be addressed
23	in the public cost. Correct?
24	MR. VANDERGRIFF: Yes, your Honor.
25	JUDGE CLARK: Thank you. Hold on just a



time?

2.2

- 1	
	Page: MS. VANGERPEN: Your Honor, Lindsay
	VanGerpen for the OPC. I was wondering if I could
	address one comment that came up in the written
	comments.
	JUDGE CLARK: Please, go ahead.
	MS. VANGERPEN: Thank you, your Honor.
	And this is in response to, I believe it was
	Missouri American's suggestion on changing the time
	that's specified in Subsections 2A and 2B of the
	proposed rule. Now, those provisions are aimed at
	trying to determine the number of residential meters
	that are actively receiving service at the very first
	part of a calendar month and the very end of a
	calendar month. And so in order to do that, we've
	specified 00:00, so the very beginning of that first
	calendar day of the calendar month and then 24:00 of
	the last calendar day of the calendar month. And I

And I just would like to point out for the Commission that we had originally had something closer to that, something a little more general in our very first iteration of the rule back in 2019. We had specified only the first day of the month and

believe the suggestion was to change those specific

times to something like the first calendar day of the

month and the last calendar day of the month.

2.2

the last day of the month. And then based on
feedback throughout both the workshops and the
voluntary case study, it came to our attention that
we might need a little bit more specificity than that
in order to make sure that this data was being
reported the same across all the utilities. We
learned that it might change based on the time of day
when certain reports were run and whether it was
based on a calendar month or a billing month.

And so that is why we've settled on those specific times and those specific days and also specifying the calendar month. So while we understand it may be a little bit confusing, the use of the military time there, we would ask that if the Commission were to change that, to make sure that we include that specific time so that we can have that consistency across utilities.

JUDGE CLARK: And thank you, Public

Counsel, for bringing that up. That was actually something that the Secretary of State's Office had queried me about when looking at the version of the rule submitted for the Register. And I had -- I had mentioned it to you about it because I too was initially thrown thinking that 00:00 and 24:00 were, in fact, the same time and did find it confusing.

Page 58

Would it be sufficient in this case to just simply say, The first minute and the last minute of the calendar day of each month? Would that be clear?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DR. MARKE: I think so, yeah.

MS. VANGERPEN: Your Honor, I think that would be fine as well, as long as it's clear, like you said, the first minute of the first day and the last minute of the last day. I think that would be fine as well.

JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Dr. Marke.

DR. MARKE: Some more comments, your One, there was a number of utilities that Honor. responded that they may need some time to ramp up their services to provide this, and we completely understand that. You know, we wouldn't -- in fact, we would imagine the first submission of this would be a learning process for everybody. I mean, again, we -- there was some hand holding, but even that hand holding's now been, probably been a year, you know, since -- or a little less, you know. You know, it's varied between the utilities since we've had that. So the intent of if the rules move forward and are codified, having a two month or maybe three-month lag in that reporting would not be unreasonable from our

1 opinion.

2.2

The second thing, just like briefly to
comment on Consumer Council's specific
recommendations about the zip code's information.
I'm speaking from experience. No doubt that adding
that additional level of information may increase
cost and complexibility of the rule itself. I am
confident that there would be a significant return on
investment for ratepayers as a result of that. My
experience with working with utilities, with
Commission Staff, the Department of Social Services
in trying to implement and facilitate funding through
federal funds through SAFHR and other funding streams
that came down was a challenge, in part because we
did not have the data. We did not have good
individual data on a granular basis.

I believe it would also complement many of our existing low-income programs whether that's weatherization or arrearage of payment programs. So to that extent, again, just to reiterate, we do believe that it would be a good return on investment, especially long term. Thank you.

JUDGE CLARK: Thank you. And it's my understanding that Mr. Coffman would like to make an additional comment on behalf of Consumers Council.



Is that correct?

MR. COFFMAN: Yes, your Honor, just briefly. On that last point, would certainly agree with Dr. Marke and in that whatever the expense we're talking about, even if it's the additional expense to break down data by zip code, I've not heard, you know, anything more than maybe, you know, \$18,000 or something to that. Well, even if it's a full-time employee for each utility, I think it would be justified given that this data is going to help the equitable distribution of millions of dollars every year in low-income assistance, in various programs.

And I think that, you know, we saw, you know, during the pandemic an actual difficulty in getting the money spent out. And if we had better locational data about where maybe a concentration of struggling customers were, it would help other agencies as well as the parties that appear before the commission be able to more target that data.

The other thing I wanted to point out again is relating to the transparency and public information of this. We're really happy that the proposed rule, the Commission's proposed rule does it make clear in Subsection 2 that all information provided here under this proposed rule would be

public information.

And unfortunately it wasn't written that way in 1977. And this relates to the request to not re -- you know, to not require it because there's some data being provided under the Cold Weather Rule currently. And we agree with Staff that those have two -- those are two different purposes and two different reporting requirements. And our concern would be that this rule not be waived or deferred to that other rule because as it's written, the current cold weather reporting data is, in the minds of some people, not public information. We would like to clear that up for good because we believe this really should be public information. That's all I have.

JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Coffman. I want to clarify one issue in regard to the five-digit zip code reporting that you were talking about. When you say worth the cost, are you indicating that you believe that if a utility had to hire a full-time employee to comply with this rule, you believe that that would be money well spent if those costs were distributed to the ratepayers? Is that correct?

MR. COFFMAN: Yes. I would agree with that and I would -- even though I don't think it -- I don't think that this reporting requirement actually



1	Page 6 justifies a full-time employee, but if it did, I
2	think it would be clearly worthwhile. And yes, it's
3	my expectation that whatever compliance costs come
4	out of this, it would be included in rates going
5	forward. And there is language to that effect in the
6	Cold Weather Rule currently that is almost a
7	guarantee to the utilities of recovery.
8	And I would assume that any compliance
9	costs with Public Service Commission rules would be
10	considered a prudent expense. So yes. Definitely
11	not heard any claim that the cost here would be
12	beyond what would be justified I think in this very
13	important endeavor and would understand that to be
14	something that would be included in rates.

JUDGE CLARK: Thank you very much for clarifying that for me. And thank you for your further comments.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Are there any other comments at this time in regard to this rulemaking?

DR. MARKE: One more, your Honor. Thank Mr. Coffman piqued my memory on this. Section 6 and -- Section 5 and 6 there's references to posting this information on the Commission's Wanted to make that clear, if it wasn't website. from our filing, that when we mean Commission

1 website, we didn't mean buried in EFIS. That was it. 2 JUDGE CLARK: And I believe it says 3 something to the effect of, On the Commission's main 4 internet page. Correct? 5 DR. MARKE: It does. Thank you. 6 Thank you. JUDGE CLARK: Any further 7 comments on this rulemaking at this time? 8 MS. KING: Judge, if I may. 9 JUDGE CLARK: Yes. And I'll remind you 10 you're still under oath, Ms. King. 11 I just wanted to respond to MS. KING: 12 Mr. Coffman's comments about the current cold weather 13 reporting not being public information. I can't 14 speak to how the public can access it in EFIS, 15 because my rights are certainly different, as a staff 16 member, I can get to everything in EFIS, but the way 17 Staff interprets the rule which is Section 15 under the Cold Weather Rule, it does state that that 18 19 information is public information. So I just wanted 20 to point that out. 21 JUDGE CLARK: Thank you very much. 2.2 there any further comments in regard to this 23 rulemaking? Okay. Then we are done taking comments 24 about this rulemaking.

Are there any other issues or matters



25

```
Page 64
     that need to be addressed by the Commission at this
 1
             I hear none; I see none.
                                         With that, I will
 2
     time?
     adjourn this hearing and we will go off the record.
 3
 4
                 (Off the record at 10:21 a.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	Page 65
2	STATE OF MISSOURI)	
3	COUNTY OF COLE)	
4	I, Shelley L. Bartels, a Certified Court	
5	Reporter, CCR No. 679, do hereby certify that I was	
6	authorized to and did stenographically report the	
7	transcript of proceedings; and that the foregoing	
8	transcript, pages 1 through 64, is a true record of	
9	my stenographic notes.	
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,	
11	employee, or attorney, or counsel of any of the	
12	parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of	
13	the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the	
14	action, nor am I financially interested in the	
15	action.	
16		
17	DATED this 28th day of July, 2023.	
18		
19	Shelley h Barkels	
20		
21	Shelley L. Bartels, CCR 679)
22		
23		
24		
25		



	Rulemaki	ng Hearing July 20,	2023Index: \$1.78adoptic
	1977 34:15,22	4240-2.1808D 8:3	acceptable 38:2
\$	61:3	48 4:15	accepted 43:4
\$1.78 14:24	1st 50:2		accepting 54:4
\$15,000 27:16	2	5	access 17:13,16
\$18,000 60:7		5 62:22	63:14
\$400 13:14,15,17,	2 13:15 18:12 32:7	50 35:17	accessible 11:14
24 14:2	37:7 55:15 60:24	500 23:12	accommodating
\$5,000 32:18	20 4:19 8:3 35:17 49:23 50:4	53 13:20	39:4
\$500 20:17 21:1	2009 11:3	57 13:21	accuracy 26:15,19
23:16	2019 10:1 12:23		action 16:23 36:10
0	56:24	6	actively 56:12
	2020 27:14	6 62:22	actual 23:18 29:2 60:14
00:00 56:15 57:24	2023 4:4,15	63103 6:13	adapt 37:2
4	2081 6:19	63119 7:9	-
1	20th 4:4 50:5	65 22:6	add 22:17,19 24:12,14 30:12
1,333 9:15	24:00 56:16 57:24		46:5 47:4 53:11,
10 35:17	25 24:13	65101 6:20	21 54:16
1025 4:16	25th 10:1	65201 7:15	added 31:1
1026 4:16	29 13:19	8	adding 46:2 59:5
109 6:20	2A 56:9		addition 25:21 26:8 35:18 47:10
10:21 64:4	2B 56:9	8 27:20 28:17	49:20,24
12 4:16 29:7 50:21	26 30.9	8,000 27:16 28:8,	additional 15:11
120 29:8	3	871 7:8	17:9,19 20:21
13 18:7,10,22,24	2 40 04	0/1 /.0	32:9 52:25 54:19 59:6,25 60:5
32:6 37:6 51:25	3 49:21	9	Additionally 46:21
55:14	30 35:17 43:7		
13.065 18:22	310 4:7	90 25:18	address 5:21 6:1, 12,18 7:8 28:6
14 30:12	31st 50:2	915 7:14	51:3 56:3
14th 27:13	36 14:3	9:00 4:5	addressed 54:22
15 27:20 28:17 63:17	37 13:16,24	A	55:5 64:1
15,000 28:8	4		addresses 52:13
1 5,000 26.6 15th 4:15		a.m. 4:5 64:4	addressing 55:6
	4240-13.055(15)	ability 28:22 41:12	adjourn 64:3
18 13:19	49:23 50:4	absolutely 16:18	adoption 22:20
1901 6:12	4240-130.75 4:19		25:12



60:3

bringing 57:19

broad 44:8

adults 13:14,16, 22,23,25
advocates 17:16
Affairs 39:1
affected 39:11
affirm 9:5 47:17 49:5 53:15
affirmation 21:3
African- 13:20
agencies 16:24 36:10 60:18
agency 36:10
agree 16:18 23:16 36:25 47:1 60:3 61:6,23
agreed-to 11:20 12:12
agreement 51:8
agreements 51:8
ahead 7:12,23 9:10 10:2 14:6 18:17 33:10 45:20 53:13,19 56:5
aimed 56:10
air 46:11
allocate 15:3 16:23
allocated 21:6
allowed 37:7 44:8
Amartya 13:5
amendment 36:17 41:23
Ameren 6:9,11 12:2 24:21,24 25:1,3,10,20 26:4, 11 27:1 28:5 29:19
Ameren's 25:17

Nuieman
27:11
American 6:3,5 12:5 13:3,21 22:12,15 24:1,15
American's 56:8
Americans 14:20
amount 14:23
analysis 26:12 30:18 46:22 55:8
analyst 49:2
analyze 30:23
Andrew 43:22
annual 11:1,9 13:10 26:23 27:2, 4 28:10 54:10
annually 20:18
anticipation 10:9
Apologies 47:11 53:6
apologize 18:12 26:6
appearance 5:14
appeared 23:21
appearing 5:4 6:23 24:24
appears 8:10 11:23 53:4
appease 19:15
apple 17:21
apples-to-apples 25:25
applications 14:9
applied 36:17 44:7
Appreciated 30:9
appreciation 25:2,

appropriately 15:3 16:25 approximately 25:18 areas 26:17 46:18 argument 35:9 **arose** 27:9 arrearage 59:19 arrearages 36:19 40:13 41:10 42:21 **Ash** 7:14 **Asians** 13:19 assistance 11:3 14:8 46:16,18 51:7,16,18 52:3,6 60:12 **Association** 10:11 **assume** 62:8 attachments 51:13 attempt 10:21 attempted 10:7 attention 57:3 attorneys 5:13 **Aubrey** 24:25 audience 9:18 Avenue 6:12 **AW** 27:12 **aware** 52:20 AX-2023-0175 4:14 В back 51:19 52:11 56:24

barometer 13:8

broadly 44:7,16 2 claim 62:11 code's 59:4 **cash** 13:14 **broken** 21:21 clarification 53:10 **codes** 36:15 54:18 46:17 54:14 categories 50:16 Building 4:7 clarify 25:8 26:21 **category** 51:21,22 27:2,7 61:16 **bulk** 28:10 **cats** 12:9 clarifying 52:25 burden 15:25 16:1 Caucasian 13:19 62:16 46:9 **cease** 14:10 **Clark** 4:2 5:11.18. buried 63:1 22 6:2,8,14,21 ceased 14:9 **business** 6:11 7:1 7:3,10,17 8:17,20, census 13:3 25 9:5,9 18:3,16 butcher 38:9 26:14,18 41:25 19:1,3,8,21,23 butchered 38:10 20:12 21:19,24 census-block 22:11 23:13,19,24 26:10 C 24:9,16,21 28:7, center 10:9 34:4 20 29:11,15,23 Central 12:5 C-O-N-T-E-S-S-A 30:3 31:5,13 18:19 32:21 33:1,10 challenge 59:14 37:20 38:3,8,11, calendar 56:13,14, challenges 39:11, 15,18,20 42:6 16,17,19,20 57:9, 17 40:14 43:9,14,19 45:2,8, 12 58:3 18,20 47:7,12,17, challenging 41:11 California 42:25 21,25 48:2,23 **chance** 46:10,20 49:4,9,18 52:24 call 22:7 **change** 26:3 56:18 53:4,8,13,19 called 18:22 42:16 57:7,15 54:13,22,25 55:4, calling 45:9 12,20 56:5 57:18 **changed** 10:19,20 58:11 59:23 61:15 11:16 **canary** 14:13 62:15 63:2,6,9,21 changing 56:8 capital 14:16 **clear** 58:4,7 60:24 captioned 4:10 **Chapter** 18:7,10, 61:13 62:24 12,22,24 32:6,7 captured 28:17 **close** 20:2 22:6 37:6,7 55:14,15 card 14:21 **closer** 49:10 56:23 checked 21:11 career 12:8 **Club** 26:5 45:17 **chief** 9:12 47:8,10 carefully 34:16 **children** 13:22,23, **coal** 14:13 **Carter** 6:22 7:3 25 33:20 40:5 31:21,22 32:24 **code** 16:17 17:10 choice 16:2 33:2 26:9,13 30:22,25 Chouteau 6:12 35:18,23,25 36:2, case 7:18 12:17 4 41:24 42:25 circumstances 15:6 16:6 19:4 46:4,17 60:6 29:20 57:3 58:1 51:23 61:17 city 4:24 6:19 36:8 cases 11:15 41:1,

codified 58:24 codirect 39:1 **Coffman** 7:5,6,7, 10 33:8,10,11 37:25 44:2 59:24 60:2 61:15.23 62:21 **Coffman's** 63:12 cohesive 12:9 **cold** 11:9 15:19 25:22,23 26:1,24 27:6 34:15 35:7 48:8,10,14,15,17 49:25 50:1,17,18, 24 51:2,4,9,10 52:19,21 53:21,23 54:7 61:5,11 62:6 63:12,18 collaborative 12:7 colleagues 45:24 47:4 collect 41:11 collected 11:21 21:2,20 23:3 52:16 collecting 48:16 54:17 color 40:4 46:7 Columbia 7:15 comfortable 9:1 comment 4:10 5:10 15:5 17:19 31:15 37:22 41:4 45:10 53:10 54:16 55:6 56:3 59:3,25 comments 5:3,9 7:25 8:3,9,11,18 9:17,24 15:5



16:12 19:4 22:13, 16 23:1 24:3,17, 22 25:9,11 27:1, 11,13 28:5 30:4,5, 11,13,16,21 31:19,22,25 33:6, 12 36:16 37:17 38:12,21 39:3,12, 13 43:11,15,25 44:9,11 45:12,14, 15,23 47:4,7,10, 13 48:4,6,8 49:14 50:22 51:12 52:1 53:2,5,12 55:2,13, 23 56:4 58:12 62:17,18 63:7,12, 22,23 commission 4:9, 18 5:15 7:19 8:2. 12 11:8 15:10,13 17:12 18:14,15 21:9,18 29:24 32:10 33:4 34:8, 14,25 36:7,13,20 37:10,18 45:4 52:20 53:25 55:21 56:22 57:15 59:11 60:19 62:9,25 64:1 commission's 11:2 17:4,7 35:13 49:25 50:1 60:23 62:23 63:3 commissioners 7:20 10:12 22:18 committee 10:12 common 41:6 42:22 43:1,3,7 communities 46:7 community 13:3 16:23 36:10 46:15 companies 6:14, 17 30:8,13 53:22 54:4

company 6:3,6,23, 24 11:18 22:12,16 24:1 46:25 company's 28:8 comparable 26:1 34:6 compare 46:23 compared 13:24 compiled 23:21 complement 59:17 completely 58:15 complexibility 59:7 compliance 31:8 62:3,8 complied 34:20 42:15 **comply** 30:14 61:20 complying 55:9, 10 compromised 40:5 compromising 41:14 concentration 60:16 concern 16:25 37:4 61:8 concerned 18:1 31:1 concerns 17:24 26:15 28:22 41:14 52:14 concluded 11:5 conditions 32:4

confident 59:8 confidential 11:15 configuration 25:14 confusing 57:13, 25 confusion 35:4 consequences 52:23 considerably 11:16,18,24 consideration 54:21 considered 62:10 consistency 57:17 consistent 37:12 40:9,22 44:13,20 consolidated 10:25 11:19 **consumer** 10:9,13 26:5 59:3 Consumers 7:7 33:6 37:20 44:2 45:24 59:25 contacted 38:1,5 contemplated 19:22 **Contessa** 18:9,18 contested 7:12,18 contesting 12:14 29:16 context 12:25 30:23 46:6 continue 15:19 27:19 contributing 46:9 **core** 33:14

Corp 6:25 **correct** 8:13 22:24 23:22,23 35:4 47:8 54:23 60:1 61:22 63:4 corrected 20:10 cost 20:15 23:2, 18,25 24:5 27:10, 15 28:1,10 31:8 41:17 54:23 55:6, 8 59:7 61:18 62:11 costs 14:18 20:24 31:7 32:18 61:21 62:3,9 **Council** 7:7 26:5 33:6 37:21 44:2 45:24 59:25 Council's 59:3 **counsel** 5:23,25 8:14 9:13,15 10:2 12:1 18:8 19:12, 17 20:22 22:23 29:21 34:12 35:8 43:24 44:1 45:25 57:19 Counsel's 30:16 counted 11:24 51:21 **country** 42:23 44:20 46:7 **couple** 7:4 18:3 25:8 33:13 37:1 55:12 coupled 14:15 court 5:6 6:1,6 43:20 49:13 **cover** 13:14,17,24 14:2 **COVID** 12:12 14:5 22:5 40:16,25



conducting 4:6

44:15 data's 16:19 **Diana** 6:22 31:22 24,25 48:18 **create** 33:20 date 4:4 25:12 differently 34:19 disconnects 31:12 32:14 10:18 11:17 **credit** 14:21 difficult 30:24 **David** 37:25 38:4, discovery 30:19 critical 35:1 difficulties 39:23 23 discuss 10:3 difficulty 49:11 cross-checked day 24:10 50:5 21:11 60:14 disparities 40:2 56:16,17,19,20,25 41:19 cross-examination digital 27:23 57:1,7 58:3,8,9 disseminate 17:3 7:18 **direct** 16:24 days 9:15 25:18 **CSR** 4:19 8:3 distinguishing 57:11 director 32:1 49:23 50:4 16:14 43:23 deal 16:4 36:18 **current** 4:4 9:22 distributed 61:22 disabilities 33:18 debt 14:21,24 15:21 35:6 61:10 distribution 60:11 disabled 53:23 63:12 decade 11:21 21:9 54:6 **District** 6:23,24 decades 36:22 customer 4:12 disagree 16:4 docket 10:3 25:5 10:22 11:22 18:20 decision 34:9 27:2,12 43:16 32:1 41:15 49:3 disagreed 20:20 defeat 15:16 37:9 documented customers 9:23 disagreeing 36:23 44:7 34:16 14:14 27:5 35:23 disclose 40:12 **defer** 18:8 36:3 50:23 52:2,5, documents 23:11 10 54:2 60:17 disclosure 40:20 deferred 61:9 dollars 14:21 41:6 42:19 27:21 60:11 definitive 11:22 D disclosures 44:5 delay 22:20 32:13 **Dority** 6:18 disconnect 11:10, dat 35:7 delaying 25:11 doubt 35:21 59:5 24 50:11,13,20 data 4:13 10:3 delinquencies 51:2,5,10 52:12, draft 34:23 11:4,20,22 13:3,4 10:13 18,22 drafted 15:21 15:19 16:4,17 demonstrated disconnected 19:20 17:6,10 20:3 48:12 51:19,24 52:10 **drive** 15:3 21:14 26:1,8,14 30:22,25 32:15,19 department 18:20 disconnection **due** 25:12 32:13 4:13,19 10:4,23 33:14 34:6,17 49:3 59:11 46:6 35:2,7,12,18,20 11:13 17:6 21:8 depended 11:17 duplication 25:24 36:6,14,23,24 27:7 34:2 35:1 **Derose** 45:13,14, 48:12 46:3,10,17,20 37:11 39:19 40:9, 16,19,21 47:9,15, 48:17 51:25 18,25 41:9,15 duplicative 25:22 20,24 48:1 43:1 44:22,23 48:19 disconnections 46:3,17,21 49:2 designated 11:15 10:14,16 11:3,7, dying 14:7 50:14 51:14 50:1 16,23 17:9 27:4 52:16,17 54:19 33:17,19,21 36:18 detail 51:7,23 Ε 57:5 59:15,16 39:8,22 40:13 60:6,10,16,19 determine 56:11 41:11.20 42:20



earlier 26:22 46:5

48:8

43:5 44:18 46:12,

develop 26:11

61:5,11

	Rulemaki	ng Hearing July 2	20, 2023Index: earlyfeder
early 25:9	employee 16:3	28:8	experienced
easily 11:14 44:23	24:10,12 28:20 54:21 60:9 61:20	estimation 23:24 31:8	12:10 experiences 40:2
East 7:14 12:4 16:16	62:1	ethnicity 13:18	experiencing
easy 16:10 21:21	employees 28:2	events 12:9	46:19
22:3	enabling 14:17	Evergy 6:17 12:3	experimentation
echo 25:5 43:25 44:9,11 45:23	end 17:5,17 28:13 56:13	19:24 30:5,8 31:7, 18	24:5 expound 19:17
47:3	endeavor 22:8 62:13	Evergy's 19:4	express 22:2 25:2
economic 9:23 13:1,11	endorse 39:5	everyone's 25:6	26:3
·		eviction 34:2	extent 15:12 59:20
economist 9:12	energy 39:2,6,16, 18,21 41:20 46:9	exacerbated	extremely 16:21
economy 13:9 14:7	51:7,15,18 52:3,5	14:22	33:14 39:8 41:8
educational	ensure 22:23 54:8	exact 18:13 21:16	F
33:21,23	enter 5:14	examined 11:11	
effect 35:17 62:5	entire 20:18	examples 51:4	F-I-S-C-H-E-R 30:8
63:3	entities 7:4 45:11	exceed 23:12,16	
effective 15:3	48:3 55:13	Excel 20:1,4 30:17	F-R-A-C-I-C-A 7:16
51:18	Environmental	excluded 51:5	facilitate 59:12
effectively 13:12 14:12 15:6 16:7,9	39:1	execute 22:4	facing 9:23 36:9
21:1	envision 17:6	exist 13:5	fact 35:12 42:8
ffectiveness 51:9	envisioned 19:12	existence 44:14	57:25 58:16
effects 39:25	envisioning 20:2	existing 21:6	fair 14:23 46:22
efforts 25:6 30:10	equal 16:20	59:18	fairly 16:10 17:7
EFIS 9:25 11:2	equitable 60:11	exists 15:9	fall 51:21
49:19 63:1,14,16	equivalent 13:15	expectation 62:3	familiar 5:7
elderly 53:23 54:6	Eric 5:16,20	expected 12:11	familiarity 16:8
electric 4:20 6:23	essential 40:11	14:10 26:20	families 39:20
10:25 11:6 12:3,4	essentially 37:17	expecting 25:16	40:4
44:18 electricity 39:18	estimate 20:23 27:17,20 29:5,12	expenditures 14:16	fashion 29:22 42:13
electronic 20:5	32:17	expense 13:17,24	feature 35:19
emergency 13:14,	estimated 20:16 27:15	28:15 60:4,5 62:10	February 27:13
24 14:3 33:22	estimates 29:10	experience 16:5	Fed 13:12
emphasize 33:12		18:20 32:1 40:6	federal 13:10
Empire 6:23,24	estimating 25:18	49:3 59:5,10	59:13



feedback 12:20	fluctuations 21:14	function 21:5,16	61:13
15:21 17:18	focus 36:11	funding 14:10	Governor 4:7
19:14,19 21:3 57:2	focused 46:15	16:25 59:12,13	grant 15:13 18:14
feel 8:8	folks 26:14 46:19 54:7,9	funds 15:3 16:23 59:13	granted 37:16 55:14
felt 18:5	follow 12:19 16:10		granular 35:19
field 12:8	forced 34:1	G	36:14 41:9 52:17
figure 27:18	form 21:13 42:19	game 19:18	59:16
file 4:10,13 21:8	formal 24:6	gap 13:6 14:1	granularity 41:5
50:4		gas 4:20 6:24,25	grateful 39:3
filed 9:25 10:2 15:6 17:11 19:4,5	format 19:5,10,22, 24 20:1,4,5,9,11	10:25 11:6 12:3,5	gratitude 22:2
22:16 25:9 27:12,	21:4 30:15 37:2,	39:19 44:18	great 26:17 42:14
13 30:11,21 31:22	12	gather 23:9 32:15,	group 29:5 41:25
33:12 39:13 49:19	formats 15:7	19	groups 46:12
filing 11:18,19	34:21	gauge 14:13 34:10 37:13 44:21	Grubbs 6:10,11
19:4 23:10 50:8 62:25	formatting 22:23 25:15		24:23,24 28:12,24
filings 11:8 21:9		gave 28:15 47:18, 22	29:17,24,25 30:2
final 27:18 51:22	forms 41:20	general 16:17	guarantee 62:7
finally 9:23 10:19	formula 19:9	18:11,13 50:14,16	guess 20:23 23:25
12:25 14:20 15:5	formulas 20:6 30:17	56:23	25:9
37:12	Forty-five 14:1	generally 37:13	Н
financial 46:16	Forty-three 13:23	generation 36:23	
find 17:25 42:17		geo-coordinates	half 9:19,20 12:17
54:5 57:25	forward 17:25 19:20 40:25 58:23	26:16	19:19
finds 35:11	62:5	Geoff 9:4,12 34:17	hand 16:7 21:10 29:2 49:5 53:15
fine 8:22,25 9:1	found 30:24	geographic 41:5,9	58:19
29:15 47:15 58:7, 10	Fracica 7:13	geographical 41:8	happy 39:13 42:4
finite 16:13,19	frankly 43:3	give 9:6 32:16	60:22
17:10	frequency 13:6	36:6 49:6 50:20 53:16	hardship 39:24
fired 10:2	front 28:1,11,14,		hate 42:10
firm 6:18 29:4	22 32:18	glad 35:14 36:20	hats 22:9
Fischer 6:16,17,	fuel 14:18	goal 34:14 47:1	Hayley 31:25
18,21 30:7,8	full 22:5 24:10	good 4:2 6:4,10 7:6 9:3,11 22:14	head 18:6 42:24
31:10,16,17	full-time 16:3	32:5 34:6,10,25	health 14:14
five-digit 35:24	24:10,12 54:21	35:13 37:1,12	33:17,23 36:12
36:4,15 61:16	60:8 61:19 62:1	38:19,22 59:15,21	39:25 46:13,16



hear 17:18 20:13 **hosted** 10:10 included 15:20 inherently 48:11 31:6,7 33:9 48:24 18:12 24:3 62:4, **hot** 46:14 initial 27:1,11 64:2 14 hotter 46:8 initially 57:24 **heard** 12:14 60:6 includes 27:3,4 **hours** 29:6,8 initiative 9:14 62:11 including 14:7 household 46:9 insecurity 39:6,16 hearing 4:6,10,17 income 17:15 40:4 40:3 41:21 5:12 9:7 17:21 households 13:11 inconsistencies 31:15 37:18 45:12 40:4 insight 29:17 26:25 47:18 48:5 49:11 hundred 24:13 **insist** 37:10 53:17 64:3 increase 59:6 Institute 10:11 heat-related 50:3 increases 14:16 I instituted 9:15 helpful 36:24 **index** 13:5 12:16 40:17 idea 37:4 indexes 13:6 intact 20:6 30:18 helping 39:10 **identify** 5:9 43:19 Indiana 38:5,24 intending 48:16 herding 12:9 Illinois 42:25 indicating 55:13 **intent** 58:23 **high** 13:6 imagine 58:17 61:18 interest 10:13 higher 14:22 impacted 16:21 individual 12:19 14:22 46:10 29:1 45:10 55:8 **impacts** 46:13 intermediate 9:22 59:16 hire 20:21 61:19 implement 16:10 internally 29:1 individuals 45:9 **hired** 16:3 23:17 59:12 48:3 internet 63:4 Hispanic 13:20 implementation influx 14:5 interpreted 34:19 22:21 28:17 32:13 historic 46:6 **inform** 15:1 interprets 63:17 implemented 24:6 historically 41:1 information 6:7 interrupt 53:2 implementing **Hold** 54:25 10:17 11:13 55:9,10 interrupting 53:6 holding 16:7 15:17,22 16:13 importance 17:23 58:19 17:3,9,11,13,16 intervenors 17:14 19:5,16 21:1,4,8, important 14:15 **holding's** 58:20 investment 59:9, 10,12,20 23:2,8, 15:1 16:22 25:7 21 home 34:1 10,20 24:7 26:12 32:12 33:14 34:8 involuntary 10:16 27:3,7 29:21 35:5, 35:19 39:9 41:8 Honeysuckle 6:19 17:8 10,22 36:5,6 54:2 62:13 **Honor** 7:6 8:15 41:12,18,24 42:9, involved 15:2 **Importantly** 40:1 18:10 24:23 30:2 12,15 48:16 33:8 38:14,23 involves 19:3 improved 46:2 50:10,18 51:4 48:7 54:15,24 54:1,3,5,17 59:4,6 **ISRS** 14:17 inaccuracies 55:3,11 56:1,6 60:22,24 61:1,12, 26:25 issue 17:12,24 58:6,13 60:2 14 62:23 63:13,19 41:5 44:6 61:16 62:20 inadequate 34:22 informative 41:3 issues 17:2 33:24 hope 37:10 **include** 18:11 informed 34:9 34:4 42:2 63:25 57:16 **hoping** 36:13



		ng Hearing July 20,	2023Index: iterationMark
iteration 56:24	Justice 39:2	lead 33:17 37:1	58:7 59:22
	justified 60:10	46:10,24	longer 51:14 54:1
J	62:12	leader 44:21	looked 21:5
James 6:17	justifies 62:1	leads 39:22	lose 52:17
Jefferson 4:24	K	learned 39:17 57:7	lot 14:23 20:14
6:19		learning 33:21	22:8 31:7 35:4
Jenn 45:13	K-I-N-G 18:19	58:18	52:17
Jermaine 6:10	K-O-N-I-S-K-Y	leave 22:1	Louis 6:12 7:9 43:24
24:24	38:24	legal 18:8 19:17	low 17:15 40:4
Jersey 42:25	keeping 48:13	legislation 14:17	
Jim 30:8	kind 18:24 28:13,	letting 53:1	low-income 54:6 59:18 60:12
job 21:5 42:11	15,20 36:6 42:10 50:11 51:21	level 9:18 26:10,	lower 28:13
John 5:11 7:7 44:2		19 36:2,14 40:11 41:7,24,25 59:6	10Wei 20.13
joined 24:25	kinds 40:3,20		M
judge 4:2 5:12,18,	King 18:9,18,19 19:1,2 32:6 53:3,	leverage 42:1	
22 6:2,8,14,16,21	4,6,11,18,20 63:8,	liaison 25:1	made 11:23 15:8 35:9 44:23 47:14
7:3,10,17 8:17,20, 25 9:5,9 18:3,16	10,11	Liberty 6:24,25 7:2 12:3 31:19,23	main 52:14 63:3
19:1,3,8,21,23	knowledge 42:11	32:16,20 33:3,5	
20:12 21:19,24	Konisky 26:6	Liberty's 22:19	maintaining 15:19
22:11,14 23:13, 19,24 24:9,16,21	37:25 38:4,17,19, 22,23 42:14 43:12	25:11	major 35:20
28:7,20 29:11,13,		life 28:18	make 21:12 23:9 28:4 37:23 39:12
15,23 30:3,7 31:5,	Konisky's 26:9	lifetime 20:18 28:9	44:25 45:10,14
13,21 32:21 33:1,	Krcmar 24:25 29:25	LIHWAP 14:8	48:6 52:20 53:5
10 37:20,24 38:3, 8,11,15,18,20	23.23	Likewise 5:1	57:5,15 59:24 60:24 62:24
42:6 43:9,14,17,	L	limit 17:8	
19 45:2,8,18,20		Lindsay 5:24 56:1	making 5:8,9 8:18 20:4 25:15 34:9
47:7,12,17,21,25 48:2,23 49:4,9,18	Lab 39:2	lines 19:11	46:12
52:24 53:3,4,8,13,	lag 58:24		manage 20:22
19 54:13,22,25	Lane 6:19	Linhares 43:17, 21,22 45:2,7	manager 18:20
55:4,12,20 56:5 57:18 58:11 59:23	language 15:11	linked 33:22 46:11	March 50:2
61:15 62:15 63:2,	32:9 49:20,25 62:5	links 20:5	Marke 8:15,19,22
6,8,9,21	large 10:25		9:3,4,8,11,12 18:8
July 4:4 30:12		LLC 7:1	19:7,13 20:10,25
jump 19:24	large-scale 13:13	localized 36:6	21:23 22:1,11 23:20 29:13,16
	law 5:12 6:18 10:9	locational 60:16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
June 4:15 14:9	lays 18:24	long 30:14 39:9	34:17 37:22,24



11,12 60:4 62:20 63:5
Marke's 23:1 26:22
material 39:24
matter 4:11 52:15, 16
matters 63:25
meaning 51:23
means 35:11 48:19
measures 13:2,4,6 15:1
medically 40:5
meetings 12:19
member 63:16
memory 62:21
mental 39:24
mention 18:21 26:22
mentioned 10:22 18:4 57:23
meters 56:11
method 13:15
Metro 12:3
microphone 4:24, 25 49:10
microphone's 5:19
middle 12:12
Midstates 6:25
military 57:14
millions 60:11
minds 61:11
mine 14:13
Minnesota 42:25

Rulemal
minute 58:2,8,9
mirror 48:10
mismatched 36:23
mispronounce 26:7
missed 6:15 7:11 45:11
Missouri 4:15 6:3, 5,9,11,13,20 7:1, 8,9,14,15 9:12 10:23 12:5 14:9 22:12,15 23:25 24:15,21,25 25:1, 3,10,20 26:4,6,11 27:1,11 28:5 30:6 31:19,23 33:7 35:21 42:3 43:14, 23 44:2,17 45:3,5 56:8
misspoke 16:1
mobile 33:24
mobility 33:24
moment 14:22
money 60:15 61:21
month 50:5 56:13, 14,16,17,20,25 57:1,9,12 58:3,24
monthly 11:9 17:6 26:23 28:2,14,24 29:3,7
months 9:19 37:2
morning 4:2 6:4, 10 7:6 9:3,11 22:14 38:19,22 39:4
move 19:20 54:16 58:23
movement 12:23

multiple 19:15 33:19 50:12
muted 5:2
N
name's 9:11
narrow 37:15 55:14
narrowly 44:10 55:15
NARUC 12:23
National 10:8,10,
nationally 40:9
native 20:5
natural 6:25 12:5 39:18
nature 16:14 17:2 39:10 42:1 52:17
navigate 22:7
NCLC 10:9
necessarily 25:25 35:9 36:19
needed 16:7 32:9
negative 46:13
negatively 16:21
neighborhood 36:9
newer 41:2
Niemeier 6:4,5 22:14,15 23:15,23 24:2,11,18
non-case 11:1
non-company 7:4
nonpayment 51:20

nonprofits 46:15
nonresidential 27:5
notably 14:8
note 15:12 16:17 23:19 25:10,20 27:11 32:9 55:1
notice 34:2
November 10:1 50:2
number 4:14,16 10:16,18 11:7,16, 17 13:2,7 16:12 31:11 50:21,23 51:12,25 52:2,5 53:22 56:11 58:13 numbers 10:23
11:10 13:17 54:8
0
O'NEILL 38:25
oath 63:10
object 15:20 17:11
objection 38:11, 13
objections 38:8, 14,16
obtain 32:4
obtained 35:10
occur 41:19
occurred 14:6
occurs 48:14
October 54:11
offer 38:21 43:15 44:24 45:11 48:4, 25 49:14 55:2,24 offered 55:13



	Rulemakir	ng Hearing July 20, 20	23Index: offeringproblems
offering 5:38:8	outlined 46:5	period 9:22 31:15	possibility 48:12
office 4:7 5:23,25	overseeing 5:12	50:1,2	possibly 32:18
8:13 9:13,15 10:1 12:18 17:1 22:22		periodic 11:8	postal 16:17 17:10
34:12 44:1 45:24	P	periods 44:22	36:2
57:20	P-H-I-L-I-P 7:16	person 20:22	posted 35:13
officer 8:6	P.C. 6:18	personal 23:10	posting 62:23
official 37:13	pages 4:16	personnel 21:6	potential 17:1
one-month 12:16	pandemic 40:16	22:24	poverty 13:4,6
ongoing 37:9	44:15 60:14	perspective 16:22 25:17	36:12
online 38:2 42:16	part 9:21,22 15:21	pertains 11:2	practice 42:23 43:4,8
47:5	30:19 56:13 59:14	-	,
OPC 5:23 10:7,24	participate 24:20	petition 10:2	practices 10:4 42:20
11:5 19:8 25:16 34:17 56:2	25:4 30:9	phenomenon 41:2	praise 34:11
OPC's 25:6	participated 22:3	Philip 7:13	preceded 27:12
	participating 4:8 5:1 7:5	physical 39:24	prescribed 48:15
open 10:3		picked 50:19	_
opened 12:1	parties 4:8,22 7:19 22:2 30:10 60:18	piqued 62:21	present 9:19 11:4
perating 31:23	parts 9:18 42:23	PISA 14:17	presented 23:4
pine 38:1	party 12:14	place 14:18 15:1	presiding 8:5
pinion 41:13		16:9 34:16,22 51:24	press 14:24
59:1	passed 12:22		pretty 35:18 42:22
opportunity 19:6 24:19 25:3 28:4	path 17:25	places 40:23	43:7
31:6 39:2 43:13	patience 22:9	podium 8:23 9:1	preventing 46:23, 25
45:22 47:6	patterns 10:23 41:10 42:2	point 14:20 15:9 24:2 25:11 29:19	previous 44:12
oppose 54:17		33:16 34:10,25	-
55:17	Paul 38:25	36:1 56:21 60:3,	primary 44:3
opposing 8:5	pause 7:21 18:1	20 63:20	prior 25:5
opposition 8:9	paying 39:17,20	pointed 32:6	privacy 17:1,24 41:14
opting 55:18	payment 51:7,8 59:19	points 33:13 39:15	private 20:15,23
order 44:21 56:14		policies 46:25	27:10 36:5 55:8
57:5	people 22:6 33:18, 25 34:1 39:17	policy 15:4	problem 12:10
originally 20:1,8	40:3 51:18,23	poor 46:11	21:10 30:20 33:23
56:22	61:12	posed 10:8	34:10 35:3 36:9, 12 37:3 39:7,10
outcomes 12:13 51:24	percent 13:16,19,	position 8:1	40:10
outlier 44:17	20,21,23,25 14:2, 3 24:13	55:16,18,19	problems 16:24
Outile: 44.1/	0 2 1.10		F. 5.5.5

33:25
procedure 37:6
proceeding 23:4,5
PROCEEDINGS 4:1
process 12:7 16:8 22:4 24:6 32:15 58:18
produce 29:21 35:22
professor 26:6,9 38:16,24
professor's 26:7 38:12
program 22:22 27:24
programming 27:25
programs 46:18 59:18,19 60:12
promulgate 4:11
pronounced 13:18,22 14:1
prop 14:6
properly 54:9
proposal 22:19 26:10
proposed 4:11,18 8:4,5 19:5 23:3 27:15 30:14 40:22 44:3,12,25 50:22 51:13 52:1,4 53:25 54:19 56:10 60:23,25
protecting 41:15
protections 33:15
proven 33:19,22
provide 12:21,25 15:17 21:4 26:8,

raioma
10,12 30:19,24 31:11 32:20 37:11 49:22 58:15
provided 19:16,24 20:3,8 35:7 40:9 60:25 61:5
providing 7:25 30:22 50:3
provision 18:14 26:8 32:7,8 37:9
provisions 18:11, 25 56:10
prudent 62:10
PSC 33:15
public 5:23,25 8:14 9:13,15 10:2, 13 11:14 12:1 15:2,4 17:21 19:12 20:15,22,23 22:22 27:10 29:21 30:16 33:15 34:12 35:5,8,9,12 36:12 38:25 44:1,23 45:25 54:23 55:6 57:18 60:21 61:1, 12,14 62:9 63:13, 14,19 publicly 10:17
published 4:14
pull 23:8
pulling 28:2
purpose 15:17
purposes 44:8 61:7
pursuant 8:2 49:22,23 50:4
pursuing 47:2
put 34:16

9 9 ,
Q
quality 46:12
queried 57:21
queries 25:14 29:1
query 28:23
querying 27:24
question 7:22,24 19:3 20:11,13 24:8 27:9 42:7,15 53:2
questions 7:20,21 10:8,14,22 18:2 21:25 22:18 28:6 29:24 30:3 31:3, 17,24 32:23 33:2, 4 37:19,21 39:14 41:17 42:5 43:10 45:1,3,4 47:6 48:20 53:1 55:5, 21
quick 29:13
quickly 40:21
R
race 13:18
Rachel 6:4 22:15
raise 49:4 53:14
raised 41:17
ramp 58:14
range 28:13,16
ratemaking 49:6
ratepayers 59:9 61:22
rates 14:23 62:4,

zomack. procedureerase
read 10:5
ready 48:5
real 41:14
realm 44:19
reason 34:18
reasons 14:25
receive 52:3,5 54:1
received 12:20
receiving 51:15 56:12
recent 13:16
recognized 12:10
recommend 15:14 17:5 41:22 48:13
recommendations 59:4
recommending 49:24
recommends 49:20
reconnected 51:19
reconnection 50:24
reconnections 51:14,15 52:13
record 4:3 14:12 27:8 39:13 49:13 64:3,4
recovery 62:7
redlining 46:6
redundant 15:12, 23
reference 26:23
references 62:22
refused 50:25



putting 15:10 17:7

22:5 42:10

14

Raytown 12:6

regard 24:22 28:7 47:13,22 48:24 55:24 61:16 62:19 63:22 region 36:8 regional 43:23 register 4:15 53:23 57:22 registered 53:22 54:6,7,9 registration 54:4, 10 regulated 35:20 43:6 regulatory 5:12 10:10,12 25:1 reiterate 59:20 related 11:1 33:25 relates 61:3 relating 60:21 rely 13:7 52:18 remind 4:22 63:9 remotely 12:12
regional 43:23 register 4:15 53:23 57:22 registered 53:22 54:6,7,9 registration 54:4, 10 regulated 35:20 43:6 regulatory 5:12 10:10,12 25:1 reiterate 59:20 related 11:1 33:25 relates 61:3 relating 60:21 rely 13:7 52:18 remind 4:22 63:9 remotely 12:12
register 4:15 53:23 57:22 registered 53:22 54:6,7,9 registration 54:4, 10 regulated 35:20 43:6 regulatory 5:12 10:10,12 25:1 reiterate 59:20 related 11:1 33:25 relates 61:3 relating 60:21 rely 13:7 52:18 remind 4:22 63:9 remotely 12:12
53:23 57:22 registered 53:22 54:6,7,9 registration 54:4, 10 regulated 35:20 43:6 regulatory 5:12 10:10,12 25:1 reiterate 59:20 related 11:1 33:25 relates 61:3 relating 60:21 rely 13:7 52:18 remind 4:22 63:9 remotely 12:12
54:6,7,9 registration 54:4, 10 regulated 35:20 43:6 regulatory 5:12 10:10,12 25:1 reiterate 59:20 related 11:1 33:25 relates 61:3 relating 60:21 rely 13:7 52:18 remind 4:22 63:9 remotely 12:12
regulated 35:20 43:6 regulatory 5:12 10:10,12 25:1 reiterate 59:20 related 11:1 33:25 relates 61:3 relating 60:21 rely 13:7 52:18 remind 4:22 63:9 remotely 12:12
43:6 regulatory 5:12 10:10,12 25:1 reiterate 59:20 related 11:1 33:25 relates 61:3 relating 60:21 rely 13:7 52:18 remind 4:22 63:9 remotely 12:12
10:10,12 25:1 reiterate 59:20 related 11:1 33:25 relates 61:3 relating 60:21 rely 13:7 52:18 remind 4:22 63:9 remotely 12:12
related 11:1 33:25 relates 61:3 relating 60:21 rely 13:7 52:18 remind 4:22 63:9 remotely 12:12
relates 61:3 relating 60:21 rely 13:7 52:18 remind 4:22 63:9 remotely 12:12
relating 60:21 rely 13:7 52:18 remind 4:22 63:9 remotely 12:12
rely 13:7 52:18 remind 4:22 63:9 remotely 12:12
remind 4:22 63:9 remotely 12:12
remotely 12:12
1
22:5
removed 23:10
Renew 7:14 43:14, 22 45:3,5
report 10:15 11:7, 9 13:10 24:4 25:13 26:2,23 27:2,4 29:3,7 32:12,14 40:25 41:24 49:22,23 50:4,23 51:14 52:2,5 53:22 reported 10:18
11:17 21:17 42:12 53:24 57:6
reporter 5:6,21

Rulemakin
6:1,6 43:20 49:13
reporting 4:13,19 24:14 25:15,22,23 26:24 27:6 34:24 40:15 42:8 43:1,5 46:21 50:19,20 51:10,11 52:12 53:21 58:25 61:8, 11,17,25 63:13
reports 11:2,10 32:19 57:8
representing 7:14 43:22
request 15:18 35:11 61:3
requested 50:10, 23
requesting 18:23
requests 15:8
require 15:25 20:14,21 24:10 25:21 41:16 42:8, 11 43:1 54:20 61:4
required 21:7 29:12 40:24 42:19
requirement 46:3 61:25
requirements 4:20 40:20 41:6 61:8
requires 36:14 53:21
requiring 15:15 40:12
research 10:11 11:5 49:2
reserve 17:19
Reserves 13:10
residential 4:12 27:5,6 56:11

34:11,15,21,23 35:3,6,7,16 37:10 39:6 44:3,25 45:11,12 46:1 48:8,10,13,14,15, 16,17 49:22,25 50:2,11,13,17,18, 20,22,24 51:2,4,5, 10,11,13 52:1,4, 12,18,19,21,22 53:24 54:7,20 55:10 56:10,24 57:22 59:7 60:23, 25 61:5,9,10,20 62:6 63:17,18 rule's 44:12 rulemaking 4:6, 11,17 8:24 9:6,14 24:22 25:4,7 38:7 40:22 41:23 43:16 47:18 48:4,6 53:16 55:24 62:19 63:7,23,24 rulemakings 20:14 39:9 40:10, 17 rules 4:14 12:15, 24 15:10,21 17:6 22:7 44:13 48:10 53:25 58:23 62:9 run 29:1 57:8 rural 14:2 26:16 rural/urban 14:1

S

SAFHR 59:13 schedule 39:4 **School** 38:25 scoot 49:10 Secretary 57:20



Rulemaking Hearing July 20, 2023Index: sectionsuggestion				
section 15:11	sir 53:7,20	Spire 12:4 16:16	store 26:14	
16:20 18:21 62:22 63:17	Sirmon 31:25	spot 42:10	streams 59:13	
sections 16:15	situations 40:19	spotty 42:22	Street 7:15	
Sen's 13:5	slice 27:3	spreadsheet 20:5	stress 17:22	
senior 43:23 49:2	snapshot 34:25	squared 13:5	strongly 39:5	
seniors 33:18	social 16:24 33:25	St 6:12 7:9 43:24	41:22	
sense 21:13	59:11	staff 5:15,17,21	struggle 39:20	
separate 21:8 26:2	society 34:4	8:12 22:23 34:11 35:8 38:14 48:5,	struggling 60:17	
49:23	socioeconomic 41:10	21 49:19,24 50:9,	student 14:24 studies 16:6 29:20	
September 14:10	someone's 24:13	12 52:20 53:1 54:16,17,20 55:1,	33:20	
series 10:7,10 12:2	sort 28:1 42:1	7,17,21 59:11 61:6 63:15,17	study 12:17 13:16	
serve 13:8 43:25	sorts 25:16 39:23	Staff's 15:18	57:3	
served 26:17	sought 44:14	25:21 51:12 52:1	studying 39:6	
service 4:19 16:24	space 40:14	55:16	subject 4:17 39:21 46:7	
41:7 50:3 52:7,11	speak 5:4 38:6	stage 19:18	submission 25:13	
56:12 62:9	41:16 45:22 47:6 63:14	stakeholders 12:2 15:2,22 17:15	29:3 58:17	
services 58:15 59:11	speaker 44:12	32:10	submissions 11:1	
set 4:9 9:18 51:8	speakers 17:18	stand 8:16 20:10	submit 20:14	
sets 21:14	speaking 4:23,25 45:16 59:5	standardization 10:4 11:20 44:4	submitted 20:16 47:5 57:22	
settled 57:10	special 26:12	standardized	submitting 54:3	
sewer 4:20	specific 24:7	21:16 44:22 46:21	Subsection 49:21	
short 15:15 37:16	50:17,21 51:1,4	start 5:14 8:11,13	60:24	
showing 9:14	56:18 57:11,16 59:3	starting 34:5	subsections 36:18 56:9	
shown 32:5 41:3	specifically 10:5	state 8:1 16:21	subsidies 14:6	
Sierra 26:5 45:17 47:8,10	30:23 35:24	36:11 38:20 40:11 42:3 49:12 63:18	substitute 40:19	
significant 59:8	specificity 57:4	State's 57:20	sufficient 25:18	
similar 25:25	specifics 23:6	statements 11:22	58:1	
40:25 42:12 48:9,	50:15	states 12:5 13:13	suggest 29:7	
10 50:7	specifies 20:3	40:24 42:8,11,19,	suggested 25:21	
simple 17:7 19:25	spell 38:21 49:12	24 43:7 44:13	26:7 30:15 36:15	
simplicity 17:2	spelled 7:16	stating 48:13	suggesting 16:13	
simply 58:2	spent 60:15 61:21	status 9:23 13:1 14:13	suggestion 35:15 56:8,18	



suggests 13:16
sum 44:16
summarize 52:15
summarized 10:15
summer 46:8
Summit 12:4
Sunshine 35:10
support 22:19 25:21 26:3,4 34:11 44:24 46:1
supporting 8:4
supportive 32:3
supports 25:10
surprisingly 13:21
survey 13:3,13
susceptible 46:13
swear 9:5 47:13, 17,22 49:5 53:15
sworn 49:5 53:15
system 27:24 28:23

Т

T-H-O-M-A-S-O-N 49:17 T-Y-R-O-N-E 49:17 tailored 44:10 55:15 taking 14:18 38:12 55:1 63:23 talking 60:5 61:17 target 46:18 60:19 technical 26:14 telling 13:9 21:19

temperatures 46:8,11 temporal 15:14 ten 11:4 29:6 ten-digit 35:25 36:2 term 15:15 59:22 terms 13:9 15:24 16:22 17:1 19:15 39:23 44:17 territory 41:7 testament 12:13 **testify** 32:22 testifying 8:17,19, 20 30:1 testimony 9:6 21:25 26:22 47:8, 9,18,21 48:5,25 49:6,15 53:9,14, 16 that'll 30:20 thing 39:5 40:7,8, 21 51:6 59:2 60:20 things 16:19 25:17 50:12,16 thinking 57:24 **Thomason** 49:1,2, 8,16 50:9 thought 28:17 thousand 27:21 three-month 58:24 thrown 57:24 time 4:4,9,22 5:3 10:19,24 11:17

20 37:1,16 43:2, 10 44:22 45:6 47:13 48:3 55:25 56:8 57:7,14,16. 25 58:14 62:18 63:7 64:2 timeliness 44:5 timely 29:21 times 29:7 56:19 57:11 today 5:12 7:5 8:9 9:14,17,23 12:14 15:6 17:18 22:13 26:22 27:17 30:1 31:15 32:22 43:10,15,25 48:22 55:2 **Today's** 4:3 tool 42:16 top 18:6 42:24 total 20:17 track 41:25 54:8 traditionally 8:12 transparency 44:4 60:21 treat 53:14 trillion 14:21,24 trustworthy 34:6 **truth** 9:7 47:19,23 49:7 53:17 Tuxedo 7:8 two-thirds 42:18 **types** 25:22 40:13 **Tyrone** 49:1,16 **Tyrone's** 53:12

U **U.S.** 13:2,3,11 ubiquitous 39:19 ultimately 46:25 underlying 42:2 underscore 39:15 understand 10:22 17:23 26:13 37:14 39:10 40:10,18 41:9,19 57:13 58:16 62:13 understanding 19:16 20:25 45:13 59:24 understood 30:16 undue 15:25 16:1 **United** 13:13 University 38:5,24 **unknown** 23:6,7 unnecessary 18:5 unreasonable 58:25 updated 27:17 35:14 **ups** 12:19 **urban** 14:3 utilities 4:21 6:24, 25 10:5,15,18,24 11:6,12,25 12:18, 19 14:16 15:8,25 16:6 17:2 19:15 20:21,24 21:2,3,7, 15 22:9 23:12,17, 21,22 31:23 32:4, 14,20 34:19 35:21,22 36:25 37:7,11 40:12,23 41:12,23 43:2,6

16:8 21:15 23:6,8,

27:14,23,25 28:2,

18 24:8,13,19

44:19 46:22 50:22 51:13 52:2,4 55:9 57:6,17 58:13,22 59:10 62:7

utility 10:12 11:1, 13 15:13,16 16:15 18:23 21:21 33:16,19 34:7 35:1 39:7,21 40:3 41:7,20 42:20 49:21 50:3 53:22 60:9 61:19

Utilitydisconnections.org 42:16
utilitydisconnections.org. 42:17

V

vacuum 16:18

valuable 54:5
values 44:4
Vandergriff 5:16,
20,22 38:13 48:7
50:6 54:15,24
55:3,11,17
Vangerpen 5:24
6:2 18:10 19:23
56:1,2,6 58:6
variance 15:8,9,
13,15 18:4,7,11,
14,15,22,23 37:6,
14,16 55:15
variances 32:5,7,8

variances 32:5,7,8 37:5 55:14,19

varied 11:18 58:22

varies 11:24 42:21

variety 13:4 15:7 34:20

verbally 7:22

version 27:14

57:21

versus 16:16 26:23

visibility 52:18

visits 33:22

volatile 14:18

volume 4:15

voluntary 12:16 17:8 57:3

vulnerable 46:12

W

waived 61:9 waiver 18:15 waivers 37:8 44:6, 7,9

wanted 18:21 19:9 22:1 27:7 30:17 32:9 33:12 39:14 45:11 46:5 53:11, 20 55:7 60:20 62:24 63:11,19

warmer 46:11

water 4:20 6:3,5 7:1 10:25 11:7 12:4,5,6 14:8 22:12,15 23:22 24:1 44:18

weather 11:9 15:19 25:22,23 26:1,24 27:6 34:15 35:7 46:14 48:8,10,14,15,16, 17 49:25 50:1,17, 18,24 51:2,4,11 52:19,21 53:21,24 54:7 61:5,11 62:6 63:12,18

weatherization 59:19

Webex 4:8 5:2,4,8 38:16 45:15 webinars 10:10 website 17:4,7 35:13 62:24 63:1 week 25:9,10 well-being 13:11 well-documented 40:2 West 12:3.4 16:16

West 12:3,4 16:16 wild 21:14

window 38:1,6 wondering 56:2

words 16:2

work 16:23 22:22 24:10 30:10 39:16 41:16

workable 26:18 worked 12:11

29:20

working 10:3 16:6 25:5 27:2,12 34:12 59:10

works 38:7

workshop 22:5

workshops 12:2,7 30:9 57:2

worth 11:4 24:5 47:1 61:18

worthwhile 62:2

wrestling 34:5

write 32:19

written 31:22 33:12 34:21,24 56:3 61:2,10

WSIRA 14:17