1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE
2	COMMISSION
3	STATE OF MISSOURI
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	Prehearing Conference
7	September 8, 2011
8	Jefferson City, Missouri
9	Volume 1
10	
11	
12	Eddie Shepherd,)
13	Complainant,)
14	vs.) File No. EC-2011-0373
15	KCP&L Greater Missouri)
16	Operations Company,)
17	Respondent.)
18	
19	
20	DANIEL JORDAN, Presiding
	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY:
	NANCY L. SILVA, CCR
25	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

1	APPEARANCES
2	EDDIE SHEPHERD
	Pro Se
3	8675 County Road 392
	St. Joseph, Missouri 64507
4	
5	
6	
7	ROGER W. STEINER
	1200 Main Street
8	Kansas City, Missouri 64105
	816.556.2314
9	FOR: KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company
10	
11	
12	JENNIFER HERNANDEZ
	Missouri Public Service Commission
13	P.O. Box 360
	Jefferson City, Missouri 63102
14	573.526.6715
	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service
15	Commission
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	JUDGE JORDAN: Let's go on the
2	record.
3	The Commission is calling File
4	No. EC-2011-0373. This is the prehearing
5	conference for the case of Eddie Shepherd versus
6	KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations. I'm Daniel
7	Jordan. I'm the regulatory law judge assigned
8	to this action.
9	Today we're going to have some
10	discussion on the record, and after we do that,
11	I am going to leave the line open but go off the
12	record and leave the room so that the parties
13	may have discussions in my absence and not worry
14	about prejudicing me with any sensitive
15	information that they're not ready for me to
16	hear.
17	I'm going to start by taking entries of
18	appearance, which means, basically, introductions:
19	Name and address for the court reporter. Let's
20	start with the complainant, Mr. Shepherd. Will
21	you recite your name and address for us, please.
22	MR. SHEPHERD: Eddie Shepherd, 8675
23	County Road 392, St. Joe, Missouri.
24	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you,
25	Mr. Shepherd.

1	One thing I need to clarify, and that is
2	whether which side of the county line you are on.
3	Are you in Buchanan County or are you in Andrew
4	County?
5	MR. SHEPHERD: Andrew County.
6	JUDGE JORDAN: Andrew County. Thank you
7	very much.
8	And for the Utility.
9	MR. STEINER: Roger W. Steiner, attorney
10	for KCP&L Greater Operations Company, 1200 Main
11	Street, Kansas, Missouri. I gave the court reporter
12	my card.
13	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. And you have a
14	representative of your client with you.
15	MR. STEINER: Cynda, go ahead.
16	MS. HENDERSON: Hi. This is Cynda
17	Henderson, Regulatory Affairs, Kansas City Power and
18	Light Company, 1201 Walnut, Kansas City, Missouri
19	I'm sorry 1201 Main.
20	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you.
21	And for Staff.
22	MS. HERNANDEZ: Good morning. Jennifer
23	Hernandez appearing on behalf of the Staff of the
24	Missouri Public Service Commission. Our address is
25	P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. May

1	the	record	also	reflect	Dan	Beck	is	here	as	a
2	tech	nnical v	witnes	SS.						

- JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you.
- And I'll ask whether there's anyone here
 from the Office of the Public Counsel. I don't see
 anyone and I haven't heard anyone on the line yet.

7 (No response.)

JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. OPC is not present.

They have the right to be, but they're not required

to be.

Now, here's a few things that I want to mention as far as the procedure for a hearing goes.

I want to make sure that everybody understands that an administrative hearing, basically, is a trial and is much like what happens in circuit court. It is an evidentiary proceeding, and that means that the evidence that we take will be subject to statute; that is, the statutes will provide whether it comes into the record or not, and the record is where the Commission gets its facts from.

I'm going to give you a citation to a statute that constitutes almost all the law on entry of evidence, and that is Section 536.070, and that's in the Revised Statutes of Missouri. I believe you'll find that in the main volumes of RSMo 2000.

Now, the point of a hearing is to resolve disputed facts, so if we have a hearing, it really ought to be because there is a dispute as to the facts of what happened. If there's no real disputes as to the facts but only what the facts signify under the law, there's really no need for a hearing.

KCP&L-GMO has filed some motions to dispose of this action without a hearing which, under the regulations, can happen. It didn't quite come together for them, but the thing I want to note is that the documents they show so far, if true, may go a long way to prove their case, so I'd like the parties to think very hard about what would actually happen at a hearing and why we actually need a hearing.

If the parties can agree to facts and submit this case on a stipulation of facts, it saves everyone a lot of time, a lot of hassle; moreover, it's even better if the parties can work out a solution between them, and I know the parties have been working on that, but I'm going to encourage more work on that.

The law encourages settlement, and the reason that the law encourages settlement is that the parties can usually come to some arrangement that is

1	better than an outsider, like the Commission, can
2	come up with simply because the parties know better
3	what they really need than the Commission does.
4	The Commission can only apply the law to
5	the facts. That's all the Commission can do, and
6	that's surprisingly limited in a case like this,
7	whereas the parties can in an action like this,
8	the parties can work out virtually anything that they
9	want to, so you have a lot more possibilities for
10	getting what you really want out of this action than
11	if the Commission goes to hearing.
12	On the other hand, I want to emphasize
13	that everybody has the right to a hearing if they
14	want. I'm just asking everyone to consider the
15	economies of time.
16	Any questions so far?
17	MR. SHEPHERD: Just continue on right now.
18	JUDGE JORDAN: Say that again,
19	Mr. Shepherd.
20	MR. SHEPHERD: Continue on right now.
21	JUDGE JORDAN: All right. I will do that.
22	Let's see. Now, in connection with that,
23	the Commission offers mediation services; that is,

the Commission, someone who's not going to hear the

case, will act as a neutral party to help the -- will

24

act as a neutral to help the parties work out a deal that can get the parties what they want, and that would be a session kind of like what we're doing now. It can be face-to-face. It can be over the phone.

In that kind of situation, that third person won't be a decision-maker. It will be someone who has background with the law, and the parties can share information confidentially with that person, sensitive information they might not want the decision-maker to hear, and work towards some kind of a resolution of the action, so I offer that as a possibility also.

If we do go to hearing, remember I am not an accountant and I'm not an engineer and I'm not an economist. I'm an administrative lawyer. My expertise is in conducting hearings. That's my angle. So anyone who wants to present evidence for my consideration in making a recommendation to the Commission will have to speak in very plain and simple terms.

Now, that being said, let me pause there and ask if anybody has any questions. Mr. Shepherd, any questions about the procedure at hearing?

25 MR. SHEPHERD: Not at the moment, I don't.

1	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Any questions from
2	the Utility?
3	MR. STEINER: You mentioned mediation. If

we're interested in that, both parties would just file something that says that we want to mediate? Is that the process? I've never asked for it before.

That's why I'm asking.

JUDGE JORDAN: That's a good question, and I'm glad you asked. The Commission would want something in writing to tell the Commission that you want mediation. It is best done under agreement of all parties, and that should be in writing also.

It is true that the Commission can compel any party -- under its regulations, the regulations provide that the Commission can compel any party to attend mediation. That's not the best way. We've done that in the past, and the results have been mixed.

My experience is that when parties attend a mediation, they may not be terribly hopeful of success in settlement but -- and further, that typically the cases do not settle on that day, but what I've seen in my almost three years here is that when parties go to mediation, though they don't settle that day, they settle later and without

1	hearing, and I think that's because it gives that
2	day they take some time to think about what's
3	happening.
4	We're not talking about vast differences,
5	distances between attorney and client. We're not
6	talking about a client that's in Los Angeles or North
7	Carolina or something like that. The parties have
8	the parties come to the table with an idea, but then
9	they do tend to think about it, and then they
10	actually they do later settle, so I find that very
11	hopeful and optimistic, and I think it commends the
12	mediation process to everybody.
13	MR. STEINER: Thank you.
14	JUDGE JORDAN: You're quite welcome. The
15	Commission encourages mediation because it generally
16	gets positive results.
17	Any other questions on that matter?
18	(No response.)
19	JUDGE JORDAN: Not hearing any, so I will
20	move on. I'm going to ask something of Mr. Shepherd.
21	There's been some you've served some subpoenas,
22	and some of that's been upheld and some of it has
23	not. My question is: Preparation for hearing, which
24	is currently scheduled for seven days from now,
25	Mr. Shepherd, how are you feeling about that?

1	MR. SHEPHERD: That's fine.
2	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay.
3	MR. SHEPHERD: I'd like to get it changed
4	up here to St. Joe, if I can.
5	JUDGE JORDAN: We can do that, and since
6	this is a small complaint case, it really should be
7	held locally, so you have the right to have that
8	hearing within your county or within 30 miles of
9	where the service was provided, and since that's a
10	matter of
11	MR. SHEPHERD: Andrew County would be
12	fine.
13	JUDGE JORDAN: Say that again, please.
14	MR. SHEPHERD: Andrew County would be
15	okay.
16	JUDGE JORDAN: Very good. Very good.
17	What I'll do then, since that's a matter of right, is
18	I will upon leaving this prehearing conference, I
19	will go to my office and start working on getting a
20	location for that hearing, and if I can keep the same
21	date, I will; otherwise, I'll change the date but,
22	yes, it will be in Andrew County.
23	MR. SHEPHERD: Okay. And my question is,
24	I submitted to you guys a long time ago a request for
25	discovery, to the light company and you guys, and I

1	ain't got none of that stuff back yet, so how am I
2	supposed to fill out the subpoenas for the people to
3	come to court when I ain't got their names or
4	nothing?
5	JUDGE JORDAN: That's a fair question, and
6	enforcement of discovery is something we can talk
7	about. Now, when you say "served on you guys," we've
8	got Staff here and we've also got the Utility here.
9	MR. SHEPHERD: Okay. I sent the
10	Commission a copy of the request for discovery, and
11	they sent it to Kansas City Power and Light. Now, I
12	have not received nothing back from Kansas City Power
13	and Light yet.
14	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. So let me take a
15	look.
16	MR. SHEPHERD: I got it right here. I can
17	read it off to you.
18	MR. STEINER: Is he talking about the
19	thing that's entitled, Western District Court?
20	JUDGE JORDAN. Right. We've had a few
21	discovery documents. We're all sorting through our
22	papers now, making sure we've got the right one for
23	you, Mr. Shepherd.
24	MR. SHEPHERD: I've got it right here in
25	my hand. I'll read it to you.

1	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. What's the heading
2	on that? Does that start with
3	MR. SHEPHERD: It's, In the United States
4	District Court for the Western District of Missouri,
5	St. Joe District.
6	JUDGE JORDAN: St. Joseph Division; is
7	that correct?
8	MR. SHEPHERD: Yeah, Division.
9	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. I'm looking at a
10	document headed that. I know we've had a few here,
11	but this one does say, Request for Discovery.
12	MR. SHEPHERD: Right. It says, Motion,
13	running at my light meter; number such and such on
14	7/28/10; that it hit my electric furnace, my air
15	conditioner, my hot water tank, and transformer
16	before that; subpoenas to testify; work order on
17	replacing the transformer out here on the pole; the
18	account history from date '91 to 2011
19	JUDGE JORDAN: Yeah. I'm see
20	MR. SHEPHERD: correct list of names of
21	all the meter readers, include the supervisor,
22	Carroll Stevey, Mr. Strausser, the two men that came
23	out that night and looked at my tree. They cut the
24	tree off the line that night 'cause they was getting
25	time and a half, but they wouldn't come back the next

1	day to cut it off 'cause they was going to get
2	regular time.
3	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. I think we're all
4	looking at the same page here now, at least. What
5	you got to understand is that discovery generally
6	happens between the parties without the Commission
7	knowing about it unless, like you're doing now, you
8	tell me that you haven't got the things you've asked
9	for so
10	MR. SHEPHERD: I ain't got nothing.
11	JUDGE JORDAN: Right. So far you've got
12	no compliance with that discovery.
13	Mr. Steiner, have you got that document
14	in front of you?
15	MR. STEINER: Yeah. I think you dealt
16	with that in an order saying that you had not seen
17	that when he filed his complaint and basically said
18	that there was not proper discovery. I'll look for
19	that order but
20	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay.
21	MR. STEINER: Then these were all
22	incorporated into his subpoenas, and that's what
23	we
24	MR. SHEPHERD: I think that was
25	MR. STEINER: were getting ready to

MR. STEINER: -- were getting ready to

produce at the hearing so --1 JUDGE JORDAN: Right. Now, if I 3 understand correctly, Mr. Shepherd has done this request for discovery so that he can get these things 5 before the hearing. Is that correct, Mr. Shepherd? MR. SHEPHERD: Correct. 6 7 JUDGE JORDAN: Now, Mr. Steiner, you're 8 saying I've already ruled on this document? 9 MR. STEINER: I believe in one of these orders you said you didn't notice that before and --10 JUDGE JORDAN: Oh. I remember because --11 12 MR. STEINER: Right. 13 JUDGE JORDAN: -- it was headed, In The 14 United States District Court --15 MR. STEINER: Right. 16 JUDGE JORDAN: -- U.S. District Court. Different jurisdiction. 17 18 MR. STEINER: Right. 19 JUDGE JORDAN: Entirely different agency, 20 so I didn't know quite what to do with that from --MR. STEINER: Exactly, and neither did 21 22 we. And then all of these have been incorporated 23 into the subpoena. 24 JUDGE JORDAN: Uh-huh. MR. STEINER: I think a lot of these we 25

1	could produce beforehand, if that's something we need
2	to do, but some of these, I don't think we can
3	produce, and we dealt with that in the Motion to
4	Quash
5	JUDGE JORDAN: Right.
6	MR. STEINER: some of these so
7	JUDGE JORDAN: You know, that might get us
8	pretty far down the road, I think, in this. These
9	things are the same items that were asked for in the
10	subpoena
11	MR. STEINER: Right.
12	JUDGE JORDAN: which has been partially
13	quashed. The remainder of them I've directed GMO to
14	produce.
15	Now, Mr. Shepherd is asking they be
16	produced before the hearing
17	MR. STEINER: Right.
18	JUDGE JORDAN: so that he can look at
19	them and prepare his case, and I think that's
20	reasonable.
21	MR. STEINER: Well
22	JUDGE JORDAN: Go ahead. Please go ahead.
23	MR. STEINER: Except for the like, some
24	of these are individuals. We wouldn't produce those
25	before the

1	JUDGE JORDAN: But you would identify
2	them.
3	MR. STEINER: Well, that's part of my
4	problem.
5	JUDGE JORDAN: If you can. If you can.
6	MR. STEINER: Some of these people
7	anyway. Yeah.
8	MR. SHEPHERD: Well, they all excuse
9	me. They all work up here at the St. Joe office.
10	MR. STEINER: Okay. I'm just saying, for
11	instance, the two men that came out that night at
12	8:30, I'm assuming that to be the lightning strike,
13	which I think has been identified on 7/28, earlier in
14	that day.
15	MR. SHEPHERD: No, that ain't they came
16	out and like January or February of this year.
17	MR. STEINER: Okay. Well, that that
18	might be part of the reason we can't find them.
19	MR. SHEPHERD: That was the dangerous
20	situation call: Limb on the line.
21	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Okay. You know, I
22	think if you guys talk about this, you'll probably be
23	able to work out what it is Mr. Shepherd wants and
24	MR. STEINER: Okay.
25	JUDGE JORDAN: I think that here's

1	what I think: This request for discovery is not
2	exactly in the format that an experienced lawyer
3	would file in circuit court, but I don't want that to
4	get in the way of resolving this case, so my hope is
5	that if Mr. Shepherd clarifies this for GMO today,
6	that GMO can produce what it can produce.
7	MR. STEINER: Right.
8	JUDGE JORDAN: That also sounds like
9	will Mr. Shepherd, you'll probably want some
10	time he'll need some time to produce those things
11	when he understands what those are. You'll want some
12	time to review them so that
13	MR. SHEPHERD: I need their names so I
14	could type up the subpoenas
15	JUDGE JORDAN: Correct.
16	MR. SHEPHERD: head back down to the
17	office in St. Joe and serve it to them.
18	JUDGE JORDAN: Right, so
19	MR. STEINER: And, you know, if it's a
20	contractor that KCP&L that did the tree work and,
21	again, we didn't have any record of this
22	MR. SHEPHERD: This this is your guys.
23	MR. STEINER: we wouldn't have the
24	power to produce them. He would have to serve a
25	third-party contractor. We wouldn't

1	MR. SHEPHERD: What's your name?
2	JUDGE JORDAN: This is
3	MR. STEINER: This is Mr. Steiner, Roger
4	Steiner.
5	MR. SHEPHERD: Steiner? This was your
6	guys's own company guys that came out that night at
7	8:30 or 9:00 o'clock that night.
8	MR. STEINER: Okay. We looked in our
9	records for 7/28 because we did we thought that
10	night meant the we'll need to know what night
11	you're talking about. My other
12	MR. SHEPHERD: All right.
13	MR. STEINER: So we can look at that new
14	date you're talking about, but we didn't have any
15	record of anyone doing that on 7/28.
16	And then our other further complication
17	is, is if it's a lot of our tree trimming is done
18	by contractors, and we would not have the ability to
19	produce those people at
20	MR. SHEPHERD: This was your guy's
21	MR. STEINER: We need names but
22	MR. SHEPHERD: tree people. A
23	technical man came out to look at the meter with him,
24	and the guy that I talked to worked at St. Joe Light
25	and Power for 40 years for that company.

1	MR. STEINER: Okay. Well, what was the
2	date that you're thinking it was?
3	MR. SHEPHERD: It's in February this year,
4	sir. I don't know exactly what date 'cause I just
5	called them and told them it was a dangerous
6	situation, at the 800 number, and they came out at
7	9:00 o'clock that night or so after they was off work
8	and they looked at it. Well, we'll cut these two
9	little limbs off. They got pictures down there of
10	the of the tree on the line and but we won't
11	come back tomorrow because we'll get regular-pay
12	hours in the daytime.
13	MR. STEINER: I want to ask the judge:
14	What would that have to do with a faulty meter
15	complaint?
16	MR. SHEPHERD: Well, the lightning hit the
17	meter, and you get me a light a letter saying it
18	hit the meter.
19	JUDGE JORDAN: Here's what we're getting
20	at.
21	MR. SHEPHERD: Yeah.
22	JUDGE JORDAN: What do you anticipate the
23	guys that cut the limb, what will they show that will
24	prove your claim or that thwart
25	MR. SHEPHERD: Technically, I pushed on

1 the meter and the meter stopped. JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. So --3 MR. SHEPHERD: Hang on a minute. 4 JUDGE JORDAN: Yeah. Go ahead. 5 MR. SHEPHERD: Pushed on the meter again and the meter speeded up, and the meter -- pushed on 6 7 it again and the meter slowed down. 8 MR. STEINER: You pushed on it? 9 MR. SHEPHERD: No, your technical guy did. MR. STEINER: Okay. This is one -- is the 10 11 technical guy the guy that allegedly cut the tree limb off? 12 13 MR. SHEPHERD: No, I cut the tree limb 14 off. 15 MR. STEINER: Who pushed on the meter? 16 The two men that came out at 8:30 at night? 17 MR. SHEPHERD: Yes, your company guys 18 pushed on the meter. 19 MR. STEINER: Pushed on the meter, and 20 that's why you want to talk to them, because they pushed on the meter? Is that right? 21 22 MR. SHEPHERD: Right. MR. STEINER: Okay. 23 24 JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. All right. 25 you for that clarification, Mr. Shepherd.

1	MR. SHEPHERD: That's fine.
2	JUDGE JORDAN: Yeah. That's the kind of
3	thing that we're here to talk about. Since the
4	parties are getting into the details of what they
5	need and what they need to do, I think my job here is
6	mostly done, unless the parties have any further
7	questions for me.
8	MR. STEINER: Well, I would have one, your
9	Honor.
10	JUDGE JORDAN: Yes.
11	MR. STEINER: I mean, you clarified this
12	request for discovery as very almost identical to
13	the subpoena. You clarified such as account
14	financial history, $6/1/91$ to $6/1/11$. When I'm
15	producing the documents to Mr. Shepherd, can I use
16	your clarifications, because you said it will only go
17	back to 2004?
18	JUDGE JORDAN: Right. You can rely on my
19	clarification order to define the scope of the
20	discovery for items that are requested. If they're
21	the same items, my ruling would be the same.
22	MR. STEINER: Okay.
23	MR. SHEPHERD: On the the the
24	account financial thing, I want the ones with the
25	daily usage of kilowatt hours on it every day.

1	JUDGE JORDAN: Now, let me ask you about
2	that, Mr. Steiner, and also your client's
3	representative here. Daily kilowatt hours, is that
4	something that appears on a bill?
5	MR. STEINER: No.
6	MR. SHEPHERD: Yeah.
7	MR. STEINER: No, it does not. Not every
8	day, no.
9	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay.
10	MR. STEINER: An average.
11	JUDGE JORDAN: An average appears on a
12	monthly bill; is that correct?
13	MR. STEINER: Right, and we would produce
14	those.
15	MR. SHEPHERD: On your monthly bill, you
16	look on your bill I've got one here somewhere
17	it says, Your average daily use was, like, 24
18	kilowatt hours a day; same period last year, 5,674.
19	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. So that's what
20	appears on the bill is is that what you're looking
21	for? Are you looking for copies of bills?
22	MR. SHEPHERD: I want the copy of my bills
23	so I could show you guys in court where my trailer
24	house used this much electricity a day, and after the
25	lightning hit the meter, jumped from that to 152

kilowatt hours a day. 1 JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. I thank you for that 3 clarification. This is very helpful. 4 MR. SHEPHERD: Okav. 5 JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Does anyone --MR. SHEPHERD: Now --6 7 JUDGE JORDAN: Something else, 8 Mr. Shepherd? 9 MR. SHEPHERD: Yeah, there's a bunch. When Carroll Stevey came out and looked at the meter, 10 11 I was bitching at the meter people down there in 12 St. Joe. The meter reader wasn't reading the meter 13 right, and she -- he came out. Carroll Stevey came 14 out and read the meter. They charged me for 17,000 15 kilowatt hours of electricity, and I used, like, 600 16 to 700 that month, and I had to pay the 17,000 off or 17 they was going to shut my lights off. 18 And when I got it paid off, I went to the 19 budget payment plan, and then they figured the 17,000 20 kilowatt water hours' electricity, plus the lowest bill I had, came up to \$152 a month payment, and I 21 22 paid that all off till I went back to the regular 23 payment thing.

And I want him to testify that they

overcharged me for the 17,000 kilowatt hours of

24

1	electricity that month, that I need Ralph not
2	Ralph Mr. Strausser to testify that he came out
3	and tested the meter out here at the pole.
4	I need to all the meter readers that
5	came out and looked at it and read the meter, 'cause
6	every time I caught them reading the meter, it was
7	like a thousand kilowatt hours a month, 900,
8	something like that, and when I don't catch them
9	reading it, it's 2500, 3200, 5,000 kilowatt hours a
10	month.
11	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. If I recall
12	correctly, my order addressed those persons and the
13	production of those persons at hearing, did it not?
14	MR. STEINER: A list of the names of those
15	people
16	JUDGE JORDAN: Right.
17	MR. STEINER: is what was requested.
18	JUDGE JORDAN: Right.
19	MR. SHEPHERD: I don't know their exact
20	names, so I can't put their exact names down.
21	JUDGE JORDAN: Correct.
22	MR. SHEPHERD: I know Carroll Strausser -
23	or Carroll Stevey I'm sorry - because I went to
24	school with him, and I know Mr. Strausser 'cause I
25	went to school with his nephew.

1	JUDGE JORDAN: And you will need those
2	before hearing so you can subpoena them to testify.
3	Am I correct?
4	MR. SHEPHERD: Correct.
5	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay.
6	MR. SHEPHERD: And the supervisor of the
7	meter readers in St. Joe, I wanted to have her
8	testify, the subpoena too. I don't know her name.
9	You know, I'm trying as best I can.
10	JUDGE JORDAN: I think I've already said
11	that you can have those things in my order of
12	clarification on your subpoena produced at trial, and
13	what I've just told Mr. Steiner is that I expect him
14	to deliver those things to you before hearing, so
15	you're going to get those things.
16	MR. SHEPHERD: That's fine.
17	MR. STEINER: Right, pursuant to your
18	clarification order, with the exception: If we can't
19	identify the names of the individuals that came out
20	at night.
21	MR. SHEPHERD: Okay. Mr
22	MR. STEINER: I don't know.
23	MR. SHEPHERD: What's your first name,
24	Mr. Shriner [sic]?

MR. STEINER: Steiner. My name's Roger.

L	MR. SHEPHERD: Roger. If you want to just
2	call me one day and I'll meet you down here at the
3	Kansas Power and Light Company, the supervisor, the
4	female supervisor, she was going to retire last year,
5	if that'll help you out any.

JUDGE JORDAN: Yeah, it may take a while for Mr. Steiner to identify who these people are, but that's something that he's working on.

You know what it sounds like? It sounds like it may be -- I think we're going to have to continue this hearing date, not only because of the location, but also because it sounds like the parties are going to need some more time to prepare their cases, produce -- find documents, identify people and exchange that information, so what I am going to do is I'm going to -- when I leave this room, I'm going to go ahead and issue an order canceling this hearing date, and what I'll do is state that I will reset it by separate order.

And what I'll also want to happen: By
the end of the day I want Staff to file a report with
me of a sentence or two giving me an idea of whether
we will need a hearing and when the parties think
will be appropriate for that.

MR. STEINER: I should be able to get this

1	discovery to Mr. Shepherd Thursday or Friday of next
2	week, if not sooner, but with the understanding that
3	I'm not I'm just giving him documents. I'm not
4	giving him people, I mean, because the discovery
5	is
6	JUDGE JORDAN: Right. You'll be
7	identifying people
8	MR. STEINER: Right.
9	JUDGE JORDAN: so that he may subpoena
10	them.
11	MR. STEINER: Then he can subpoena them.
12	JUDGE JORDAN: Right. I think that's
13	what that's what MR. SHEPHERD has in mind. Am I
14	correct, sir?
15	MR. SHEPHERD: Right.
16	JUDGE JORDAN: Very good. Very good.
17	Well, what I'm going to do now, unless
18	the parties actually have any questions for me about
19	the procedure of the hearing and how that goes, I
20	will leave the parties to further discussions, which
21	so far have been fruitful and very helpful, and I
22	encourage you to continue this dialogue in my
23	absence.
24	And while I'm out of the room, you can
25	talk about all kinds of things that may be helpful in

resolving these issues. 1 Does anyone have any questions about 3 hearing procedure for me before I leave the room? 4 MR. SHEPHERD: No, I do not. 5 JUDGE JORDAN: All right. Mr. Shepherd, thank you for being so well-prepared. And also, 6 7 Mr. Steiner, I appreciate your cooperation and Staff 8 being available as well. 9 MR. SHEPHERD: Before you leave the phone line --10 11 JUDGE JORDAN: Yes, sir? 12 MR. SHEPHERD: -- I want to apologize for 13 the, "In The United States District Court," 'cause 14 that's what I typed up for another lawsuit --15 JUDGE JORDAN: Ah. 16 MR. SHEPHERD: -- and I was just using 17 that template to do the motion thing for. 18 JUDGE JORDAN: Well, I figured that, and 19 it threw me off, but part of why we have these 20 conferences --21 MR. SHEPHERD: I apologize for that. 22 JUDGE JORDAN: Apology accepted, and 23 that's why we talk together so that we can straighten those things out. 24

MR. SHEPHERD: Right. You know, I sent

1	you guys pictures of the tree on the on the power
2	line
3	JUDGE JORDAN: I have those before me.
4	MR. SHEPHERD: A bunch of pictures of
5	where the burnt spot is on the meter, the burnt spots
6	are on the back of the meter, different readings that
7	I had than the ones Kansas City Power and Light had
8	so
9	JUDGE JORDAN: I see pictures of the
10	meter. I see pictures of the tree. I see pictures
11	of the dials, very detailed pictures of the dials on
12	the meter, so I've got all that in my file.
13	Well, if there are no more procedural
14	questions, we will adjourn this part of the
15	prehearing conference. I will leave this line open
16	for the parties to continue their constructive
17	dialogue.
18	MR. SHEPHERD: If Roger just wants to call
19	me right back on his phone, that's fine with me.
20	MR. STEINER: No, we'll
21	JUDGE JORDAN: Oh, we'll leave this line
22	open.
23	MR. STEINER: This line will be open. We
24	can talk now.

JUDGE JORDAN: Yes, use this Commission's

Ţ	line. It's at your disposal. And Staff will be here
2	to help you straighten things out too. They're very
3	helpful in this context.
4	MR. SHEPHERD: All right.
5	JUDGE JORDAN: And with that, if no one
6	has any other questions about procedure for me
7	MS. HERNANDEZ: No, sir.
8	MR. SHEPHERD: No, that's good enough.
9	JUDGE JORDAN: All right.
10	MR. STEINER: You are canceling next
11	week's hearing? Is that what you said?
12	JUDGE JORDAN: I will do that as soon as I
13	get back to my office.
14	And with that we'll go off the record.
15	Thank you, everyone.
16	MR. STEINER: Thank you.
17	MR. SHEPHERD: Thank you.
18	MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
19	(The hearing concluded.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Nancy L. Silva, RPR, a Certified
3	Court Reporter, CCR No. 890, the officer before
4	whom the foregoing hearing was taken, do hereby
5	certify that the witness whose testimony appears
6	in the foregoing hearing was duly sworn; that
7	the testimony of said witness was taken by me to
8	the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to
9	typewriting under my direction; that I am
10	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by
11	any of the parties to the action in which this
12	hearing was taken, and further, that I am not a
13	relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
14	employed by the parties thereto, nor financially
15	or otherwise interested in the outcome of the
16	action.
17	
18	
19	Nancy L. Silva, RPR, CCR
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	