1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF MISSOURI
3	
4	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
5	Prehearing Conference
6	October 7, 2011
7	Jefferson City, Missouri
8	Volume 1
9	
10	
11	Brent Fallon,)
12	Complainant,)
13	vs.
14	Kansas City Power & Light) File No. EC-2012-0007
15	Company,)
16	Respondent.)
17	
18	
19	DANIEL JORDAN, Presiding
	SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
20	
21	
22	
23	REPORTED BY:
	NANCY L. SILVA, CCR
24	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	BRENT FALLON (via telephone)
	9100 El Dorado Avenue
3	Kalamazoo, MI 49009
	816.753.6006
4	FOR: Pro se
5	
6	
7	ROGER W. STEINER (via telephone)
	1200 Main Street
8	Kansas City, Missouri 64105
	816.556.2314
9	FOR: KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company
10	
11	
12	
	MEGHAN McCLOWRY
13	Missouri Public Service Commission
	P.O. Box 360
14	Jefferson City, Missouri 63102
	573.526.6715
15	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service
	Commission
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	JUDGE JORDAN: Let's go ahead and go
2	on the record.
3	The Commission is calling File
4	No. EC-2012-0007, and that is the complaint of
5	Brent Fallon versus KCP&L Greater Missouri
6	Operations Company.
7	I'm Daniel Jordan. I'm the Senior
8	Regulatory Law Judge assigned to this action. I will
9	begin by taking entries of appearance, and that
10	means, simply, an identification of oneself for the
11	court reporter. We will be transcribing this action,
12	so I'm going to ask everyone to speak up, speak
13	clearly, and it's also helpful if you identify
14	yourself before you talk, since almost everyone is on
15	the telephone.
16	We'll start with an entry of appearance
17	from Mr. Fallon, the Complainant. Please state your
18	name for the court reporter, and also give us your
19	address.
20	MR. FALLON: Yes. This is Brent
21	Fallon. Current address is 9100 El Dorado
22	Avenue, Kalamazoo, Michigan 49009.
23	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you,
24	Mr. Fallon. Is there going to be anyone else

present on this call with you today --

1	MR. FALLON: No, there's not.
2	JUDGE JORDAN: on your side? Thank
3	you.
4	MR. FALLON: No.
5	JUDGE JORDAN: Then we'll take an entry of
6	appearance from KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations
7	Company.
8	MR. STEINER: Let the record reflect
9	Roger W. Steiner, Corporate Counsel, Kansas City
10	Power and Light Company, 1200 Main Street,
11	Kansas City, Missouri 64105.
12	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Steiner.
13	And you have some representatives of your client with
14	you today.
15	MR. STEINER: Right. Tim Rush is on the
16	phone and Brad Lutz.
17	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you.
18	And for Staff?
19	MS. McCLOWRY: Meghan McClowry for the
20	Staff of the Public Service Commission. My business
21	address is P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri
22	65102, and with me today is Mary Sherman-Duncan from
23	the Consumer Services Department.
24	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you, Counsel.
25	We are here today for a prehearing

conference, and we'll do the first part of this on the record. When that is concluded, I will leave the room but leave the telephone line open. We will go off the record, and the parties may use this telephone connection to discuss matters in my absence.

We can discuss -- we will discuss the procedure for this action. We can touch upon substantive matters to a degree, and I hope that the parties will take the opportunity to discuss the possibility of settlement, because settlement often yields results that suit the parties better, better meet their needs, than something an outsider, like the Commission, can come up with.

And I also want to remind the parties that the Commission does make available mediation services to assist in the settlement of claims.

Mediation has a good record of success at this commission. All the regulatory law judges have received training in civil mediation, and I think experience shows that this is a very helpful process.

I'd like to just make sure that everybody understands a few of the fundamental aspects of complaint procedure. What we're engaging in is a procedure called a contested case, and that is a

1	formal hearing procedure, and it means this: When
2	someone claims, as Mr. Fallon has, that a public
3	utility has violated a statute or regulation or
4	tariff or order of the Commission, the Commission
5	will decide that issue, and it will do so by this
6	formal hearing process.

That process includes matters like dispositive motions, such as the motion for summary determination that has been filed, pending a motion for leave to file the motion for summary determination. It also includes discovery, which is the procedure by which one party can get information from the other parties. It includes an evidentiary hearing also. I'll say a little bit more about that later. It also includes a written decision with separately-stated findings of fact.

Now, I will draft that decision. My decision draft is really just a recommendation for the Commission. The commissioners, they have the final word on this action. The parties also have the right to file written briefs, which they have the right to have the Commission read before it makes the final decision.

Any questions so far before I start talking about the evidentiary hearing?

10.
J

JUDGE JORDAN: Not hearing any, so let me say a few words about the evidentiary hearing to make sure that everyone understands what that is. It's pretty much like a trial in circuit court; that is, the parties make opening statements telling me what they think they're going to show. The parties each put on a case in chief. Evidence is presented under oath. There's the right to cross-examination. The rules of evidence are relaxed because there is no jury and because the Commission has some background in the issues before it.

I want to give you a citation to a statute that's probably the most important for you to look at in preparing for hearing. The citation is as follows: It is Section 536.070. That's in the Revised Statutes of Missouri. That has all kinds of provisions that relax rules of evidence, like the Best Evidence Rule, the Business Records Rule. It provides for affidavits on a very limited basis, subject to objection and certain others. There are 12 subdivisions, and you should go through them in preparing your case for hearing.

Any questions about that so far?

(No response.)

1	JUDGE JORDAN: Not hearing any, so let's
2	move on to the pending motions, the request to file a
3	motion for summary determination late and, also, if
4	we get that far, summary determination. Here's how I
5	look at it, and here's what I want everyone to
6	understand first: The filing of the complaint gives
7	everyone the right to a hearing, by statute. That is
8	the law. The statute provides that each party may
9	have a hearing on the complaint, a formal evidentiary
10	hearing. That's how the law fundamentally
11	contemplates the resolution of this action.
12	Now, the Commission also has the power to
13	make rules governing its hearings. Among these rules
14	is the rule on summary determination. Summary
15	determination, basically, says this to the
16	Commission: It says, Look, we have the evidence that
17	will win our case. There's really no serious dispute
18	as to this evidence, Commission, so why don't you
19	just decide the case on this evidence. That's what
20	summary determination is.
21	So, for example, the utility has filed
22	its motion for summary determination. It says, Look,
23	Commission, here's this affidavit. Summary
24	determination might also look at things like

discovery that's been presented to the Commission,

the pleadings, things like that.

What one does in summary determination is, first, establish some facts, and not just any facts you're going to win the case without a hearing on summary determination. The facts that you have to establish relate to the ma-- we call them material facts. For the Complainant, basically that means the things you would have to show at a hearing.

If you were at a hearing and you had to show X, Y, and Z to prove that there was a violation, well, your motion for summary determination has to show X, Y, and Z, and it does that in a manner described by affidavit, usually, but also, perhaps, by reference to other things on file.

Now, if those things show that you win, well, then the other side, they must -- in order to prevail, they've got to put one of those material facts at issue; that is, come up with an affidavit or something else that shows that might not be true.

On a motion for summary determination, the Commission does not weigh evidence. It doesn't decide who it believes. It only grants summary determination if someone has established the facts material to their claim or defense and the other side has not raised genuine dispute as to it, and then we

1	look at	those	facts,	and	then	we	deci	.de	if	they
2	entitle	a part	y to wi	in.						
3		I'v	e menti	Loned	how	tha	t's	don	ie :	for

Complainant. For the responding party, well, their job's a little easier since they don't have the burden of proof. They need to negate, show that it is impossible, to establish one of the elements, or they can establish all of the elements of an affirmative defense. That's basically how summary determination works.

If everything goes as it should in summary determination, it will substitute for a hearing. It shows that one party wins without a hearing.

Any questions on that so far? Go ahead.

Go ahead. Any questions on that so far?

(No response.)

JUDGE JORDAN: Not hearing any questions, so here's where we stand right now: We have a motion for leave to file a motion for summary determination late. Our rule on summary determination includes one extra little provision which says that we will not grant it if we feel it is not in the public interest, and I'll tell you, these cases are complicated enough without getting into dispositive motions, that the

Commission is not terribly comfortable taking away
the right to hearing from a pro se litigant unless
the pro se litigant really thinks that's a good idea.

So here's where we're at: The utility has said, Look, Commission, we can solve this all on paper, but the statutes, as you recall, they say the complainant has the right to an evidentiary hearing, can show up and present his case in that way, if he wants.

So in deciding whether to allow KCP&L

Greater Missouri Operations to have this motion for summary determination before the Commission, late, because they took one run at it -- they weren't successful -- and they're trying again in the belief that we don't really need an evidentiary hearing, I will ask Mr. Fallon: Mr. Fallon, do you want to solve this case without a hearing, or do you want your day in the hearing room?

MR. FALLON: You know, I would go for resolution, the quickest possible way that it can be done. I don't -- I don't feel the need to gather into a formal hearing if we can take care of things without that.

JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Well, that sounds fair. Now, as far as it being quick, here's how it

1	has to go: If I were to grant leave to the utility
2	to file its motion out of time, I would give you some
3	time to put together something to counter that.
4	Understand that will take you some time also so, I
5	mean, we're talking about gathering documents, making
6	sure that they are admissible under the law of
7	evidence, things like that. That, too, will take
8	some time, so I don't really know whether that will
9	be quicker for you or whether simply showing up for a
10	hearing will be quicker for you. As you know, we
11	have the hearing scheduled for a week from today
12	MR. FALLON: Yes.
13	JUDGE JORDAN: and you will need some
14	time. We'll often give two, three, four weeks to
15	respond to a motion like this. In fact, I think
16	there's a there may be a provision in our
17	regulation on that, and it may be thirty days.
18	Ordinarily we don't let these motions even get filed
19	less than sixty days before.
20	MS. McCLOWRY: (Nodded.)
21	JUDGE JORDAN: Staff counsel is nodding
22	when I say 30 days, so that's generally what
23	MS. McCLOWRY: Some do. I'm not sure.
24	JUDGE JORDAN: Sounds about right, yeah.
25	That, generally, is what it takes, so I

1	don't really know which would be quicker for you. I
2	just want to remind you that we have a hearing
3	scheduled for Friday.
4	MR. FALLON: Okay.
5	JUDGE JORDAN: Any idea on which would be
6	quicker for you?
7	MR. FALLON: No, I really don't,
8	honestly. I'm a customer. I'm obviously not an
9	attorney. I believe what I have are my only facts
10	are bills from KCP&L
11	JUDGE JORDAN: Uh-huh.
12	MR. FALLON: and electrical usage and
13	nonusage on our home.
14	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. You know, it seems
15	to me that this may be something that you want to
16	discuss after we go off the record. Staff is a
17	neutral party in this and has expertise as to these
18	issues, so a discussion with all three parties may be
19	helpful in figuring out the best way to resolve this.
20	What I will do is, I will ask Staff to
21	file a memorandum at the end of the day, if that's
22	possible, giving me an idea of what's come out of
23	this as to whether the parties feel they can reach
24	settlement, if they feel they need the Commission's

decision on it and, if so, under which format,

1	whether the evidentiary hearing would be the more
2	efficient or maybe summary disposition would be more
3	efficient. Then on review of that, I'll have some
4	idea of what course to take.
5	There's no time limit on this case. The

There's no time limit on this case. The Commission is not required to decide this within a certain time. In other cases we have to decide them within an -- actually 11 months or 180 days. A regulation on small formal complaints aspires to decide actions within 100 days. I've never known that to happen, but the parties can feel free to discuss this, and Staff will report to me what they feel is best.

MR. STEINER: This is Roger. Mr. Fallon, did I hear you indicate that you wanted to put something together so there wouldn't be a hearing, or you just didn't know? I was unclear on which way you wanted to go.

MR. FALLON: I said it could go either way for me. It really doesn't matter. Whatever is the easiest way to resolve this.

MR. STEINER: I think the judge is giving it -- it sounds like he's giving it your option.

JUDGE JORDAN: That's correct. Since

Mr. Fallon indicated he wanted to do what was most

efficient, I don't really know what's more efficient
for Mr. Fallon. It may be simply easier to show up
for a few hours on Friday morning and present his
case. That might be the quickest and easiest way to
do it for him, so I don't want to telegraph.

I certainly am not telegraphing any preference of the Commission as to that, and I'm certainly, definitely not telegraphing anything about the motion that is pending; that is, I don't know whether if we allowed it to be filed, whether we would grant it or not. I should say, I'm not saying whether it would be granted or not, because there's a lot that goes into it.

I mean, I have to look at not only the timeliness, which we can waive, but also, Does this affidavit meet the requirements of admissible evidence? That is, Can I use this affidavit to decide the case? Then I have to look at what the affidavit supports. Are the facts, the attachments to it, are they going to be enough to establish the facts that the Commission — that the utility would need to establish to prevail?

So, I mean, there's a few things that go into that. That all takes a while. Anyway, I'm not indicating a preference. I'm not indicating who

1	would win under either procedure. Just want to make
2	that clear.
3	MR. FALLON: Okay.
4	JUDGE JORDAN: I think that's all I have
5	for the parties.
6	Are there any questions about the
7	procedure that we're looking at just now?
8	(No response.)
9	JUDGE JORDAN: Not really hearing
10	anything.
11	Any questions from Mr. Fallon on that or
12	anything else that I can help you with?
13	MR. FALLON: Not at this time, no.
14	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay.
15	And Mr. Steiner, anything from KCP&L
16	Greater Missouri Operations Company?
17	MR. STEINER: I don't believe so, your
18	Honor.
19	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Okay.
20	And Staff, any questions?
21	MS. McCLOWRY: No questions.
22	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Well, there being no
23	more matters for me to help the parties with, what
24	I'll do is go off the record and leave the room, but
25	I'll leave this line open. I'll ask Staff to hang

1	up, turn out the lights when everyone's done.
2	I hope the parties will use the remaining
3	time on this telephone connection to work out as much
4	as they can, think the issues through, and maybe,
5	just maybe, make some progress towards settling this
6	action without the Commission's decision.
7	So that's what I will do. Before I do
8	that, one more last call: Any matters for me while
9	I'm here with you?
10	MS. McCLOWRY: What time is the phone line
11	open till?
12	JUDGE JORDAN: These things usually stay
13	open for two hours. I can come back down and tell
14	you for sure.
15	MS. McCLOWRY: Two hours is fine.
16	JUDGE JORDAN: I think okay. That's
17	fine.
18	Anything else from the parties before I
19	go?
20	MS. McCLOWRY: No.
21	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Not hearing anything
22	else, then, with that I will adjourn this prehearing
23	conference and we will go off the record.
24	(The hearing concluded.)

2	I, Nancy L. Silva, RPR, a Certified
3	Court Reporter, CCR No. 890, the officer before
4	whom the foregoing hearing was taken, do hereby
5	certify that the witness whose testimony appears
6	in the foregoing hearing was duly sworn; that
7	the testimony of said witness was taken by me to
8	the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to
9	typewriting under my direction; that I am
10	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by
11	any of the parties to the action in which this
12	hearing was taken, and further, that I am not a
13	relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
14	employed by the parties thereto, nor financially
15	or otherwise interested in the outcome of the
16	action.
17	
18	
19	Nancy L. Silva, RPR, CCR
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE