Exhibit No.:

Issue:

Capital Structure, Post-in-

Service, AFUDC & Deferred .

Depreciation, Rate Base and

**Income Taxes** 

Witness:

James E. Salser

Type of Exhibit:

Direct

Sponsoring Party: MAWC

Case No.:

WR-2000-281/SR-2000-282

#### MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

**CASE NO. WR-2000-281 CASE NO. SR-2000-282** 

**FILED** 

NOV 1 9 1999

Missouri Public Service Commission

**Direct Testimony of** JAMES E. SALSER

on Behalf of

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY (MAWC)

**NOVEMBER 19, 1999** 

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

# Direct Testimony of JAMES E. SALSER

REASON FOR FILING RATE CASE Page 5
TRUE-UP - TEST YEAR Page 6
SPONSORING SCHEDULE
PRO FORMA CAPITAL STRUCTURE
POST-IN-SERVICE AFUDC AND DEFERRAL OF DEPRECIATION EXPENSE Page 11
RATE BASE Page 11
INCOME TAXES Page 13

#### MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY DIRECT TESTIMONY JAMES E. SALSER

#### 1. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS. Q. A. My name is James E. Salser and my business address is 38 Summit Ct., Marlton, 2 New Jersey 08053. 3 BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED? 2 Q. A. I am self employed providing consulting services to public utility companies in the 5 areas of rate, acquisition and economical analyses. 6 3. Q. PLEASE OUTLINE YOUR EDUCATION AND BUSINESS EXPERIENCE. 7 I have a Bachelors Degree in Business Administration from West Virginia State A. 8 College. I also attended the NARUC Water Utility Rate Seminar in 1973. 9 On January 1, 1966, I was employed by the American Water Works Service 10 Company (herein after the "Service Company") as a staff accountant assigned to the 11 property section of the Midwest Division, located in Richmond, Indiana. 12 Approximately a year later, I was promoted to the Accounting Department. 13 On August 1, 1968, I was transferred to Charleston, West Virginia, and the Southern 14 Region of the Service Company. In Charleston, I was assigned to the Rate 15 Department. My principal duties in Charleston were to prepare and testify on 16 accounting exhibits for the operating companies' rate filings. While in Charleston, 17 I testified before the West Virginia Public Service Commission and the Virginia 18

State Corporation Commission on many occasions as an accounting witness.

19

On March 1, 1980, I transferred to Massachusetts to establish a Rate Department for the New England Division of the Service Company.

On November 1, 1983, I was elected Treasurer and Vice President of the nine (9) operating companies comprising the New England Division. On January 1, 1984, I was promoted to Manager of Finance for the New England Division. During my assignment in the New England Division, I testified as the accounting and financial witness before the regulatory commissions in the states of Connecticut, New York, Rhode Island, New Hampshire, and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. I have also testified on the sale of preferred stock in the State of Rhode Island, and on the sale of bonds and common stock in the State of Connecticut.

In the spring of 1986, I was given an additional assignment to set up a complete online, real-time billing and accounting system on personal computers for the Massachusetts and New Hampshire companies. All of the companies were on the system by July 1, 1987.

On September 1, 1987, I transferred to the Corporate Office in New Jersey as Director of System Accounting-Accounting Systems. In this position, I was a member of a team investigating the possibilities of setting up an on-line, real-time accounting and financial system for the total American Water System at one location. I was also in charge of the budgeting process system-wide. During the summer of 1988, I was involved in the development of on-line accounting and financial system for the Western Region of the Service Company.

On January 1, 1989, I transferred to Richmond, Indiana, as Director of the Rates and Revenue Department of the Mid-America Regional Office. During the assignment at the Mid-American Region, I submitted financial testimony in rate cases for Iowa-American Water Company, Illinois-American Water Company,

ı	Indiana-American Water Company, Ohio-American Water Company and
2	Missouri-American Water Company (herein after "MAWC" or the "Company").
3	On January 1, 1994, I accepted a transfer to Mount Laurel, New Jersey, as Director
4	of the Rates and Revenue Department of the new Region Office. Since January 1,
5	1994, I have submitted testimony, among other things, related to rate increase
6	applications for Kentucky-American Water Company, Virginia-American Water
7	Company, Ohio-American Water Company, Maryland-American Water Company,
8	Iowa-American Water Company, Michigan-American Water Company,
9	Tennessee-American Water Company and the last four rate cases for
0	Missouri-American Water Company.
11	On September 1, 1999, I retired from American Water Works Service Company to
12	establish my own consulting practice. Shortly thereafter I was retained by the Service
13	Company - Region Office as a Rate Consultant for five of the operating companies.
14 <b>4</b> . <b>Q.</b>	WHEN YOU WERE THE DIRECTOR OF RATES AND REVENUE FOR
15	THE REGION, WOULD YOU PLEASE ELABORATE UPON YOUR
16	DUTIES.
17 A.	I had responsibility for the preparation and presentation of applications for rate
18	adjustments with the regulatory bodies having jurisdiction over twelve (12) operating
19	subsidiaries of American Water Works Company Inc., including MAWC.
20	As Director of Rates and Revenue, I directed the management, development and
21	operation of the office and personnel engaged in the preparation of rate applications
22	and other related matters for the operating companies assigned to the Region.
23	
24	I was also responsible for the preparation of the financial and economic aspects of
25	rate increase applications in accordance with the financial objectives established for

25

I			th	e operating companies assigned to the Region including, but not limited to, the
2			fo	ollowing:
3			1.	Supervise and coordinate the preparation of applications in regulatory proceedings
4				including supporting documents and exhibits as prescribed by management
5				policies and respective regulatory commissions.
6			2.	Testify before regulatory commissions on various regulatory matters, as required.
7			3.	Communicate with staff members of regulatory commissions.
8			4.	Review operating companies' financial statements and reports and supervise rate
9				analysis thereof, including recommendations for rate applications.
10			5.	Prepare studies relating to the impact that proposed rates will have on the
11				revenues, rate of return and tariff structure of the operating company involved.
6. Supervise and coordinate the implementation of regulatory orders, include				Supervise and coordinate the implementation of regulatory orders, including the
13				development of tariff pricing to produce the authorized revenue.
14			7.	Prepare the projected rate increases required in the five-year business plan to
15				maintain the company's ability to attract additional capital to finance construction
16				projects.
17	5.	Q.	v	VHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
	٥.	Q. A.		the purpose of my testimony is to discuss on behalf of MAWC:
18		A.		• • •
19			(1)	The reason why the Company is seeking a rate increase;  The test year and the Company's request for a true-up;
20			(2)	
21			(3)	The Company's capital structure and immediate financing plans;
22			(4)	The Company's pro forma level of certain operations and maintenance expenses;
23			(5)	The depreciation and amortization of the premature retirement of the old St. Joseph
24				treatment plant;
25			(6)	The property, state income and federal income taxes; and
26			(7)	The rate base, including the Company's request for post-in-service AFUDC and
27				deferred depreciation expense related to the new St. Joseph treatment plant.

#### REASON FOR FILING RATE CASE

# 2 6.. Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE RATE INCREASE REQUESTED BY MAWC IN THIS PROCEEDING.

A. MAWC seeks a rate increase that would produce additional annual water revenues district of approximately \$16.4 million, or an approximately 54%. The Company now seeks an increase in sewer rates to produce additional annual revenues of \$2,363 or a 5% increase

#### 8 7. O. WHY DOES THE COMPANY SEEK A RATE INCREASE?

- A. The Company's ability to provide water service is dependent on a consistent level of adequate earnings. Adequate earnings are those which justify the investment of capital in the Company. Revenues must be sufficient to cover operating expenses, such as employee payroll and benefits, taxes, depreciation and costs associated with maintenance and operation, and, thereafter, provide for the payment of capital costs which include interest and dividends. Revenues generated by the current rates the Company is authorized to charge for water and sewer service will not adequately accomplish this task. Therefore, a water rate increase of approximately \$16.4 million and a sewer rate increase of approximately \$2,400 is requested.
  - The water rate increase is primarily due to the following capital investment projections:
    - 1) St. Joseph's new source of supply, treatment facilities, and related source of supply and transmission mains (\$74,684,000).
    - 2) Warrensburg's additional source of supply (\$950,000), well shaft replacement (\$150,000) and hydrogen sulfide removal plant (\$4,200,000).
    - 3) Mexico's plant improvements (\$5,000,000), well and water transmission main (\$675,000) and a new pump and column (\$85,000).
- 4) Platte County's new one million gallon tank and booster (\$2,338,000).

1	5)	St. Charles's relocation	on of mains	(\$2,208,000).
---	----	--------------------------	-------------	----------------

2

3

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 6) Joplin's additional well and apparatus (\$500,000), Grand Falls Dam improvements (\$225,000) and renovation of filter piping and controls (\$108,000).
- All of these projects have been undertaken since the Company's last rate case and will be placed in service no later than April 30, 2000.
- In addition, since its last rate case, MAWC's utility operating income will have declined by over \$1,050,000. Interest costs are projected to increase by over \$3,250,000 annually despite the fact that the overall cost rate on long-term debt has declined from 7.78% to projected 7.22%.

# 10 8. Q MR. SALSER, WHAT TEST YEAR HAS MAWC USED IN THIS RATE 11 CASE?

12 A. MAWC has used a historical test year ending September 30, 1999, adjusted for changes that are known and measurable at this time and will be effective by the time rates are scheduled to go into effect.

#### TRUE-UP TEST YEAR

#### 16 9. Q IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING A TRUE-UP IN THIS CASE?

A. Yes. If rates are to be set properly reflecting the cost of providing service, a true-up of rate base and related operating costs at a point in time as close as possible to the operation of law date should be permitted. Otherwise, the new rates will not be sufficient to cover all of MAWC's expenses and investments which have been incurred or made to provide safe and adequate service. In this case the Company is proposing a true-up at April 30, 2000.

#### **SPONSORING SCHEDULES**

1

23

24

25

30, 2000, respectively.

2	10.	Q.	MR. SALSER ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY SCHEDULES?				
3		A.	Yes. I am sponsoring Scheo	dules JES-1 through JES-5 which consist of the			
4			following:				
5			<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Description</u>			
6			JES-1	Proforma Rate of Return Summary			
7			JES-2	Post-in-Service AFWDC & Deferred			
8				Depreciation Expense			
9			JES-3	Total Company Rate Base			
10			JES-4	Water District Rate Base			
11			JES-5	Sewer District Rate Base			
12	11.	Q.	WERE THESE SCHEDU	LES PREPARED BY YOU OR UNDER YOUR			
13			SUPERVISION?				
14		A.	Yes they were.				
15	12.	Q.	WHAT IS THE SOURCE	OF THE INFORMATION FOUND ON THESE			
16			SCHEDULES?				
17		A.	The historical information	contained in these schedules is taken from MAWC's			
18			financial books and record	s at September 30, 1999. The books and records are			
19			maintained in accordance w	rith the Uniform System of Accounts for Class A water			
20			utilities prescribed by the Na	ational Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners			
21			(NARUC), and are audited	d annually by an independent accounting firm which			
22			certifies that such books an	nd records are maintained in accordance with generally			

accepted accounting principals. The Company also utilized the 1999 and 2000

investment budget to arrive at rate base elements at September 30, 1999, and April

#### PRO FORMA CAPITAL STRUCTURE

1

2	13.	Q.	MR. SALSER, WOULD YOU PLEASE DISCUSS THE COMPANY'S
3			CAPITAL STRUCTURE?
4		A.	MAWC's capital structure is set forth on Schedule JES-1 and has been calculated
5			as of the proposed true-up date (i.e., April 30, 2000).
6			It is comprised of 56.01% of long-term debt; .16% preferred equity; 1.49% of
7			preference equity; 42.05% of common equity and .30% of post 1970 deferred
8			investment tax credits.
9	14.	Q.	MR. SALSER, HOW DID YOU ARRIVE AT THIS STRUCTURE?
0		A.	The beginning point was the actual capital structure that existed at September 30,
1			1999. In addition, MAWC has included in its projected capitalization a proposed
2			\$35.0 million long-term debt financing as well as \$23.5 million of additional
3			common stock which it will issue to its parent, American Water Works Company,
4			Inc. It is anticipated that these financings will close in the Spring of 2000. The
5			proceeds from these financings will be used to (1) cover MAWC's issuance cost,
6			and (2) redeem short-term bank loans that were used to repay sinking fund
17			requirements and finance additional investments over and above internally
8			generated funds.
19	15	Q.	MR. SALSER, WHAT COST RATE SHOULD BE ASSIGNED TO THE
20			VARIOUS COMPONENTS OF YOUR CAPITAL STRUCTURE FOR
21			RATE MAKING PURPOSES?
22		A.	As shown on Schedule JES-1, the embedded cost rates I have developed are:
23			7.22% on the Company's long-term debt, 5.81% on MAWC's preferred equity and
24			9.11 % on its preference equity. Included in my calculation of the cost of the
• 5			long-term debt component are three issues of long term debt of \$16,000,000

\$3,000,000 and \$6,000,000 with an estimated coupon rate of 7.75%, 5.75% and 8% on the proposed new issues along with issuing costs of \$\$240,000, \$1,040,000 and \$90,000, respectively. A benefit of the proposed true-up will be to allow the Company to establish a more current and committed rate at that time. The cost rate assigned to the common equity component is 11%.

# 6 16. Q. WHAT IS THE BASIS OF THE 11% RATE ASSIGNED TO MAWC'S COMMON EQUITY COMPONENT?

A.

A. The common equity cost component has been developed based upon a recommendation by Mr. Harold Walker of Gannett Fleming, MAWC's consultant in this area, who has concluded that MAWC should be afforded an opportunity to earn a return on common equity of at least 11.8% and probably higher. In an effort to lessen the impact of this increase, MAWC has elected to file its case on the basis of the lower end of the recommended range of returns on equity, or 11.65%.

# 14 17. Q. MR. SALSER, IS THE COMPANY REQUESTING AN ACCOUNTING 15 AUTHORITY ORDER (AAO) TO ALLOW IT TO BOOK POST-IN 16 SERVICE AFUDC AND DEFER DEPRECIATION ON THE NEW ST. 17 JOSEPH TREATMENT PLANT AND RELATED FACILITIES?

18 A. Yes, the Company is filing a motion to that effect contemporaneously with the filing
19 of its direct testimony.

#### 20 18. Q. WHY IS IT IMPORTANT THAT THE COMMISSION GRANT THE AAO?

The St. Joseph treatment plant and related facilities are scheduled to be placed in service by April 30, 2000. Once this project is placed in service, without the granting of the AAO, the Company will stop recording AFUDC and begin recording depreciation expense for approximately five months before this major project is reflected in customer rates. The lag is too great and it will have a substantial adverse effect on the Company's earnings.

2	19.	Q.	MR. SALSER HAVE YOU PREPARED A CALCULATION SHOWING
3			THE AFFECT ON THE COMPANY'S NET INCOME FOR THE FIVE
4			MONTHS WITH AND WITHOUT POST AFUDC AND DEFERRED
5			DEPRECIATION?

1

A.

22

23

24

25

26

27

A. Yes. Shown on Schedule JES-2 is the calculation of the post-in-service AFUDC and the deferral of depreciation expense and their net income affect. In other words, if the Company is not allowed to book from the date the new plant and related facilities are placed in service until the new rates become effective the Company's net income will be reduced to \$1,736,407.

# 11 20. Q. MR. SALSER, HAVE YOU EVER REQUESTED AND RECEIVED A 12 ORDER GRANTING POST-IN-SERVICE AFUDC AND THE DEFERRED 13 DEPRECIATION EXPENSE?

A. Yes. The Public Utility Commission of Ohio (PUCO) has approved Post-in-Service
AFUDC and deferred depreciation expense in three separate orders for OhioAmerican Water Company. The Indiana Commission has approved Post-in-Service
AFUDC and deferred depreciation a number of times for Indiana-American Water
Company. Also, the Iowa Board has approved Post-in-Service AFUDC for
Iowa-American Water Company.

# 20 21. Q. MR. SALSER, WILL YOU BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE TYPE OF PROJECTS THAT WERE APPROVED BY THESE THREE COMMISSIONS?

The Ohio Commission approved projects that cost over \$500,000 which were incurred to comply with the Federal EPA, the Ohio EPA or the Ohio Commission regulations such as replacing or improving treatment plant facilities, developing additional sources of supply and increasing distribution storage capacity. In the states of Indiana and Iowa, the Commission/Board approved projects that replaced or renovated treatment facilities which had similar financial impact on the respective

1	companies as the new St. Joseph treatment plant will have on Missouri-American
2	Water Company.

#### POST IN SERVICE AFUDC AND DEFERRAL OF DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

A.

# 4 22. Q. MR. SALSER, HOW HAS THE COMPANY REFLECTED THE 5 POST-IN-SERVICE AFUDC AND THE DEFERRED DEPRECIATION IN 6 THE RATE CASE?

7 A. The post-in-service AFUDC of \$2,246,744 has been included in the rate base and is being amortized over 36.75 years (the life associated with the composite depreciation rate) or \$61,136 annually. The deferred depreciation of \$619,482 will also be amortized over 36.75 years or \$61,857 annually.

#### RATE BASE

# 12 23. Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE CALCULATION OF EACH COMPONENT OF RATE BASE?

The Company's rate base is shown on JES-3 that includes the actual September 30, 1999 balance for Utility Plant In Service ("UPIS"), Accumulated Reserve, Customer Advances, Contributions In Aid of Construction ("CIAC"), and Deferred ITC. UPIS is updated for budgeted additions through April 30, 2000. Accumulated depreciation is updated for budgeted UPIS at April 30, 2000, utilizing depreciation rates approved in MAWC's Case No. WR-95-205. Customer advance were not updated except for refunds to the developers related to the customer advances as of September 30, 1999. The CIAC and Deferred ITC were updated for the amortization through April 30, 2000. Prepayments and material and supplies are based on a 13-month average. The Post-Employment Benefits ("OPEB's") contributed to external funds included \$752,918 of permanent investment recognized by the Commission in Case No. WR-95-205 and \$395,276 of investment of September 30, 1999, updated

for amortization through April 30, 2000. Post-in-service AFUDC is included in the Company's rate base because the stockholders will be paying the carrying costs on the new St. Joseph Treatment Plant and related facilities from April, 2000 (the month placed in service) to September 14, 2000 (the effective date that new rates will recognize the new St. Joseph Treatment Plant in the customer bill). The \$74,684,000 is such a significant amount that the normal regulatory lag will put this Company in severe financial hardship if post-in-service AFUDC is not recognized in the Company's rate base.

# 9 24. Q. MR. SALSER, WOULD YOU ADDRESS WHY THE COMPANY HAS 10 INCLUDED A PREMATURE RETIREMENT AMOUNT (\$3,332,906) IN 11 DETERMINING THE RATE BASE?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

A. The Premature Retirement is related to the existing St. Joseph treatment plant and 12 related facilities. The \$3,332,906 is the original cost of the old St. Joseph Treatment 13 Plant and related facilities anticipated to be retired from service at April 30, 2000, 14 less, the estimated accumulated depreciation. This amount also includes \$500,000 15 for cost of removal to cut off all connection from the existing St. Joseph treatment 16 plant to the distribution system and to secure the structure from vandalism and 17 remove facilities that create a liability to the company. Mr. Young will provide 18 more detail of the actual work to be done. 19

# 20 25. Q. COULD YOU PLEASE DISCUSS THE TWO REMAINING ELEMENTS OF THE COMPANY'S RATE BASE?

22 A. Yes. The working capital is calculated using the lead/lag study method and deferred 23 taxes were calculated based on all plant in service at April 30, 2000, which is the 24 proposed true-up date.

# 25 26. Q. WHAT IS WORKING CAPITAL AND WHY IS IT APPROPRIATE TO BE INCLUDED IN RATE BASE?

A. Working capital is the amount of the investor supplied capital that is used to fund the day-to-day operations of the Company. This investor supplied capital is necessary to provide service to the ratepayers and, as such, the investors should be compensated for this investment. Accordingly, a working capital allowance is traditionally included as part of the Company's rate base.

## 6 27. Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THE LEAD/LAG STUDY METHOD IN 7 CALCULATING THE WORKING CAPITAL ALLOWANCE?

Α.

A. The Lead/Lag Study method measures the net time lag between the date when the customers receive service from the Company and the date when they pay for those services (revenue lag), and the lag between the date the Company receives goods and services and the date it pays for those goods and services (expense lag). If applied correctly, a lead/lag study is a useful tool in calculating the appropriate level of working capital for rate making purposes.

# 14 28. Q. SHOULD THE COMPANY PREPARE A LEAD/LAG STUDY FOR EACH 15 CASE?

No. A comprehensive study was undertaken in Case No. WR-95-205 and was updated for the Joplin District's conversion to monthly meter reading and billing in the last Rate Case (No. WR-97-237). In this case the Company is proposing that the St. Joseph District move from quarterly meter reading and billing to monthly as discussed by Mr. Amman. Therefore, the same type of adjustment was made for St. Joseph in this case as was done for Joplin in the last rate case. With those adjustments the lead/lag study performed in Case No. WR-95-205 is, in my opinion, still valid for purposes of this case.

#### **INCOME TAXES**

25 29. Q. BASED ON THE LEAD/LAG STUDY (AS ADJUSTED), WHAT IS THE

1	LEVEL OF WORKING CAPITAL ALLOWANCE THAT THE COMPANY
2	IS PROPOSING IN THIS CASE?

A. The Company is proposing a working capital allowance of \$479,000.

## 4 30. Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE RATE BASE DEDUCTION FOR DEFERRED 5 INCOME TAXES?

A. MAWC defers federal and state income taxes associated with accelerated depreciation on post 1980 property in accordance with the 1981 Economic Recovery Tax Act. These deferred taxes are paid by the ratepayers. Therefore, the balance of deferred income taxes associated with accelerated depreciation on post 1980 property is used to reduce rate base.

# 11 31. Q. DID THE COMPANY ADJUST THE LEVEL OF DEFERRED INCOME 12 TAXES THAT IS BEING USED TO REDUCE RATE BASE?

13 A. Yes. MAWC has updated its September 30, 1999 balance of deferred taxes to
14 reflect a true-up date balance (i.e. April 30, 2000). This was done to properly reflect
15 the Company's rate base as of the true-up date. MAWC also adjusted deferred taxes
16 to eliminate the deferred taxes associated with the Acquisition Adjustment. In the
17 Company's last two rate cases, the Commission disallowed any recognition of the
18 Acquisition Adjustment in rate base and removed the associated deferred taxes from
19 rate base as well.

# 20 32. Q. PLEASE ADDRESS THE PRO FORMA EXPENSE ITEMS THAT YOU ARE 21 SUPPORTING IN THE COMPANY'S RATE FILING?

A.

22

23

24

25

26

I will be sponsoring rate case expense and income taxes. MAWC is proposing a proforma level rate case expense (Schedule LJG-2.11), amortization of deferred depreciation expense (Schedule LJG-2.29), and income taxes (Schedule LJG-2.33). These schedules are attached to the direct testimony of Company witness Linda Gutowski. Each of these schedules provides a detailed narrative explanation of the

Company's proposed adjustment to the expense item.

#### 33. Q. HOW WAS THE DEFERRED DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

#### CALCULATED?

A. The deferred depreciation was identified earlier in my testimony in the amount of \$799, 409 for the five month period. The Company is proposing to amortize this deferred amount over the life of assets that generated the deferred depreciation amount. The amortization of the deferred depreciation is shown on Schedule LJG-2.29.

#### 9 34. O. PLEASE DISCUSS THE CALCULATION OF INCOME TAXES?

The calculation of current federal and state income taxes is shown on Schedule Α. LJG-2.33. Pro forma Utility Operating Income is adjusted for the statutory additions and deductions to arrive at taxable income. Statutory tax rates for federal (35%) and state (6.25%) were used to calculate the current federal and state income taxes at present and proposed rates in the Company's rafiling. Deferredred taxes associated with accelerated depreciation are shown on Schedule LJG-2.34. The calculation is based on current and prior tax codes and reflects deferred taxes on utility plant installed after 1980 in accordance with the 1981 Economic Recovery Tax Act. The deferred tax expense was reduced to eliminate deferrassociated cociated with the Acquisition Adjustment. A corresponding adjustment was also made to eliminate the tax deduction for accelerated depreciation associated with the Acquisition Adjustment. Other deferred taxes were eliminated. The calculation of MAWC's federal and stax liability taxliability included a normalized level of these items, and therefore, these tax timing differences do not exist on a pro forma basis.

#### 25 35. Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

A. Yes, it does.

1

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

#### Pro Forma Rate of Return Summary Test Year Ending September 30, 1999

#### Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri-American Water Company Total Company

Case No. WR-2000-281 Schedule JES-1 Page 1 of 3

Line					187-1-64
Na. 1			Percent	Cost	Weighted Cost of
2	Class of Capital	<u>Amount</u>	to Total	Rate	Capital
3					
5	Long-Term Debt	\$95,409,103	56.10%	7.22%	4.05%
6 7	Preferred Equity	253,734	0.15%	5.90%	0.01%
8 9	Preference Equity	2,540,782	1.49%	9.11%	0.14%
10 11	Accumulated Deferred ITC Post 1970 (JDITC)	\$509,460	0.30%	9.11%	0.02%
12 13	Common Equity	\$71,355,391	41.96%	11,654%	4.89%
14 15	Total Capitalization	\$170,068,470	100.00%	•	9.11%
16	•				

#### Pro Forma Cost of Long-term Debt Test Year Ending September 30, 1999

#### Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri-American Water Company Total Company

Case No. WR-2000-281 Schedule JES-1 Page 2 of 3

Line No.										
1										
2	Debt Issue				Pro Forma					Total
3	Туре,	issue	Maturity	Principal	Outstanding	Unamortized	Net	Annual	Annual	Annual
4	Coupon Rate	<u>Date</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<b>6</b> 4/30/00	<u>Debt Exp.</u>	<u>Proceeds</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Amortization</u>	<u>Cost</u>
5										
6	General Mortgage Bonds									
7								_		
8	9.01% Series	03/20/90	02/15/05	\$5,700,000	\$5,700,000	\$16,770	<b>\$</b> 5,683,230	<b>\$</b> 513,570	\$3,470	\$517,040
9	5.50% Series	05/18/93	01/01/23	5,000,000	4,950,000	294,22 <del>9</del>	4,655,771	272,250	12,981	285,231
10	7.14% Series	03/16/94	03/01/31	12,500,000	12,500,000	283,263	12,216,737	892,500	8,371	900,871
11	10% Series	10/28/87	10/15/02	5,000,000	905,000	3,512	901,488	90,500	2,451	92,951
12	7.125% Series	02/01/91	Called 2/98	4,500,000	0	122,132	(122,132)	0	94,274	94,274
13	8.58% Series	04/21/95	03/01/25	3,000,000	3,000,000	64,001	2,935,999	257,400	2,595	259,995
14	- 5.85% Series	07/26/96	07/01/26-	6,000,000	6,000,000	388,175	5,611,825	351,000	14,930	365,930
15	7.79% Series	06/01/97	06/01/27	8,000,000	8,000,000	102,269	7,897,731	623,200	3,799	626,999
16	5.00% Series	02/01/98	02/01/28	4,500,000	4,500,000	326,331	4,173,669	225,000	11,831	236,831
17	5.00% Series	11/01/98	11/01/28	19,000,000	19,000,000	1,189,438	17,810,562	950,000	41,980	991,980
18	7.75% Series	12/31/99	12/31/29	16,000,000	16,000,000	237,333	15,762,667	1,240,000	000,8	1,248,000
19	5.75% Series	12/31/99	12/31/29	13,000,000	13,000,000	1,028,444	11,971,556	747,500	34,667	782,167
20	8.% Series	04/30/99	04/30/29	6,000,000	6,000,000	90,000	5,910,000	480,000	3,000	483,000
21										
22	Total			\$108,200,000	\$99,555,000	\$4,145,897	\$95,409,103	\$6,642,920	\$242,34 <u>9</u>	\$6,885,269

Cost of Long-Term Debt [Total Annual Cost/Net Proceeds]

7.22%

#### Pro Forma Cost of Preferred Stock Test Year Ending September 30, 1999

#### Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri-American Water Company Total Company

Case No. WR-2000-281 Schedule JES-1 Page 3 of 3

Lìne No. 1 2 3	Type, Dividend Rate, Par Value	Issue Date	Outstanding Amount	Unamortized Issuance Expense	Carrying <u>Value</u>	Annual <u>Dividends</u>	Annual Amortization	Total Annual Cost
4 5 6	Cumulative Preferred Stock							
7 8	4 1/4% Series, \$100 par 5 7/8% Series, \$100 par	12/28/49 10/11/66	\$14,000 240,000	\$266 0	\$13,734 240,000	\$595 14,100	\$266 0	\$861 14,100
10 11	Total		254,000	266	253,734	14,695	266	14,961
12 13	Cost of preferred stock [Total Annual Cost/C	Carrying Value)						5.90%
14 15	Preference Stock			40 900				
16 17 18	9.18% Series, \$100 par  Cost of preference stock [Total Annual Cost	10/03/91 (Corp.ing Volvo)	2,500,000	40,782	2,540,782	229,500	1,854	231,354
19	COSt of preference stock [10tal Annual Cost	can takin annal	•				L	9.11%

### Calculation of Post-in-Service AFUDC and Deferred Depreciation Expense for the Period April 2000 through September 14, 2000.

Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri - American Water Company Water Districts

Case No. WR-2000-281 Schedule JES-2 Page 1 of 1

Line			
No.			
1			
2		Post-in-Service	
3		AFUDC Deferred	
4	Post-in-Service	Depreciation	
5	AFUDC	Expense	
6	<del></del>		
7	Total Cost of the new St. Joseph Treatment Plant and Facilities \$74,684,000	\$74,684,000	
8			
8	Less: Land n/a	420,385	
10	Retirement of old St. Joseph Treatment Plant and Facilities <u>n/a</u>	5,637,567	
11			
12	Basis for calculation 74,684,000	68,626,048	
13	7.000	4 20008/	es half was assumption to the
14 15	Rate	1.302976	< half year convention in the year placed in service
16	Annual amount - 5,392,185	935,304	year praced in service
17	All the attribute 2,002,100	* 000,004	•
18	Months 12	g	< <re>maining months placed</re>
19			in service April, 2000.
20	Monthly amount 449,349	103,923	
21		•	
22	Regulatory lag (months) 5	5.5	
23			
24	Post-in-Service AFUDC \$2,246,745		
25			
26	Post-in-Service Deferred Depreciation Expense	<u>\$571,577</u>	
27			
28	Net Income		
29 30	Affect		
31	Allect	-	
32	Post-in-Service AFUDC \$2,246,745		
33	1 001 11 001 100 10 000		
34	Post-in-Service Deferred Depreciation Expense 571,577		
35		-	
36	Pre tax income 2,818,322		
37			
38	State income tax @ 5.21326% (146,926)	)	
39			
40	Federal income tax @ 35% (934,988)	<u>)</u>	
41			
42	Net income affect \$1,736,407	:	
43			
44			

### Rate Base Summary For the Test Year Ended September 30, 1999

Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri-American Water Company Total Company

Line

Case No. WR-2000-281 and SR-2000-282 Schedule JES-3 Page 1 of 1

No.					
1					
2		Supporting	Test Year Ended		Pro Forma
3	Rate Base Component	Reference	September 30, 1999	<u>Adjustments</u>	Rate Base
4					
5	Utility Plant in Service	Sch JES-4.1, JES-5.1	\$144,420,369	\$87,137,007	\$231,557,376
7	Assessment of Country of Country				
,	Accumulated Provision for Depreciation	Sch JES-4.2, JES-5.2	(31,831,469)	2,979,637	(28,853,433)
9	Post -in-Service AFUDC	Sch JES-2	0	0.404.000	0.404.000
10	Other Utility Plant Adjustments	SCII JES-2	o o	2,421,006	2,421,006
11	One only Fall Adjustrients				
12	Net Utility Plant		112,588,900	92,537,649	205,124,949
13	rote wanty i source		112,000,000	32,507,043	200,124,040
14	•	•	•	•	•
15	Less:				
16	Customer Advances	Sch JES-4.3	(4,941,612)	0	(4,941,612)
17	Contributions in Aid of Construction	Sch JES-4.3	(23,189,415)	O	(23,189,415)
18	Accumulated Deferred ITC (3%)	Workpapers	(61,736)	2,801	(58,935)
19	Deferred Income Taxes	Workpapers	(4,905,886)	(346,387)	(5,252,273)
20					
21	Subtotal		(33,098,649)	(343,586)	(33,442,235)
22					
23	Add:				
24	Cash Working Capital	Sch JES-4.4	479,000	0	479,000
25	Materials and Supplies	Sch JES-4.5	429,776	0	429,776
26	Prepayments	Sch JES-4.6	153,089	0	153,099
27	OPEB's Contributed to External Fund	Workpapers	1,166,161	(17,967)	1,148,194
28	Premature Retirement of St Joseph Plant	Workpapers	0	3,332,906	3,332,906
29	0.14-1-1				
30	Subtotal		2,228,036	3,314,939	5,542,975
31	Total Original Cost Data Boss		£04.740.000	<b>*</b> 05 500 000	£477 00£ 200
32	Total Original Cost Rate Base		\$81,718,288	\$95,509,002	\$177,225,690
33					

### Rate Base Summary For the Test Year Ended September 30, 1999

#### Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri-American Water Company Water Districts

Line

Case No. WR-2000-281 Schedule JES-4 Page 1 of 1

No.			•		
NO.					
1					_
2	B-4- B B	Supporting	Test Year Ended		Pro Forma
3	Rate Base Component	Reference	September 30, 1999	<u>Adjustments</u>	Rate Base
5	Utility Plant in Service	Sch JES-4.1	8444 000 TOO	407 407 407	4564 477 774
8	Outry Plant in Service	SCN JES-4.1	\$144,338,768	\$87,137,007	\$231,475,773
7	Accumulated Provision for Depreciation	Sch JES-4.2	(24 000 005)	0.070.007	(00.004.000)
Ŕ	Accumulated Florision for Depreciation	SG1 JES-4.2	(31,809,935)	2,978,837	(28,831,098)
9	Post -in-Service AFUDC	Sch JES-2		2,421,006	2,421,006
10	Other Utility Plant Adjustments	3G1 3E3-2		2,421,006	2,421,000
11	Oddi Oddy i lanchajasanana				
12	Net Utility Plant		112,528,831	92,536,849	205,065,881
13	The country of participation of the country of the		112,020,001	<u> </u>	200,000,001
14	•	-	•	•	•
15	Less:				
16	Customer Advances	Sch JES-4.3	(4,941,612)	0	(4,941,612)
17	Contributions in Aid of Construction	Sch JES-4.3	(23,189,415)	Ö	(23,189,415)
18	Accumulated Deferred ITC (3%)	Workpapers	(61,736)	2,801	(58,935)
19	Deferred income Taxes	Workpapers	(4,905,886)	(347,228)	(5,253,114)
20				·	
21	Subtotal		(33,098,649)	(344,427)	(33,443,076)
22			<del>-</del>		
23	Add:				
24	Cash Working Capital	Sch JES-4.4	476,000	0	476,000
25	Materials and Supplies	Sch JES-4.5	429,776	0	429,776
26	Prepayments	Sch JES-4.6	153,099	0	153,099
27	OPEB's Contributed to External Fund	Workpapers	1,166,161	(17,967)	1,148,194
28	Premature Retirement of St Joseph Plant	Workpapers	0	3,332,906	3,332,906
29					<del></del>
30	Subtotal		2,225,036	3,314,939	5,539,975
31	Total Oddinal Cost Date Date		404 075 040	405 507 504	4477 444
32	Total Original Cost Rate Base		<b>\$</b> 81,655,219	\$95,507,361	\$177,162,580
33					
34					
35					
36					

#### Utility Plant in Service For the Test Year Ended September 30, 1999

Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri-American Water Company Water Districts

Case No. WR-2000-281 Schedule JES-4.1 Page 1 of 2

Line No.					
1					Pro Forma
2	ACCL No.	Account Description	Balance at 9/30/99	<u>Adjustments</u>	Utility Plant
3		Intangible Plant			•
4	301	Organization	68,022	228,840	296,862
5	302	Franchises & Consents	39,500	0	39,500
6	303.99	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant Studies	284,735	13,000	297,735
7		Sub-total	392,257	241,840	634,097
8		Source of Supply Plant			
8	310	Land & Land Rights	365,939	5,000	370,939
10	311	Structures & Improvements	67,869	0	67,869
11	312	Collection & Impound Resevoirs	111,066	225,000	336,066
12	313	Lake, River, & Other Intakes	1,306,511	(1,247,527)	58,984
13	314	Wells & Springs	1,779,688	1,294,871	3,074,559
14	316	Supply Mains	1,317,402	9,366,884	10,684,286
15		Sub-total	4,948,475	9,644,228	14,592,703
16		Pumping Plant			
17	320	Pumping Land & Land Rights	22,675	368,162	390,837
18	321	Pumping Structures & Improvements	2,394,523	5,174,232	7,568,755
19	322	Boiler Plant Equipment	· 348	0	348
20	323	Force Mains	317,3 <del>4</del> 8	35,000	352,346
21	324	Steam Pumping Equipment	6,907	0	6,907
22	325	Electric Pumping Equipment	7,911,607	3,162,854	11,074,461
23	326	Diesel Pumping Equipment	110,608	0	110,608
24	328.3	Other Pumping Equipment	235,160_		235,160
25		Sub-total	10,999,174	8,740,248	19,739,422
26		Treatment Plant			
27	330	Water Treatment Land & Land Rights	33,418	0	33,418
28	331	Water Treatment Structures & Improvements	1,684,421	22,827,613	24,512,034
29	332	Water Treatment Equipment	8,913,849	29,562,213	38,476,062
30	332.4	Water Treat. Equip Filter Plant	3,073		3,073
31		Sub-total	10,634,761	52,389,826	63,024,587
32	<u>_Trans</u>	mission & Distribution Plant			
33	340	Transmission & Distribution Land	381,304	277,545	658,849
34	341	Trans. & Dist. Structures & Improvements	467,058	0	467,058
35	342	Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes	6,400,652	1,033,088	7,433,740
36	343	Transmission & Distribution Mains Conv	26,905,912	9,563,884	36,469,796
37	343.1	Transmission & Distribution Mains <4"	1,629,129	(12,164)	1,616,965
38	343.2	Transmission & Distribution Mains 6"-8"	21,573,058	80,213	21,653,271
39	343.3	Transmission & Distribution Mains >10"	21,760,895	94,173	21,855,068
40	344	Fire Mains	295,414	0	295,414
41	345	Services	<u>12,535,844</u>	<u>984,184</u> _	13,520,028
42		Subtotal	91,949,266	12,020,923	103,970,189
49					

#### Utility Plant in Service For the Test Year Ended September 30, 1999

Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri-American Water Company Water Districts

40 41 42 Case No. WR-2000-281 Schedule JES-4.1 Page 2 of 2

Line					
No.					
1					Pro Forma
2	Acct No.	Account Description	Balance at 9/30/99	Adjustments	Utility Plant
3	Tran	smission & Distribution Plant Bal. Fwd.	91,949,266	12,020,923	103,970,189
4	346.1	Meters - Bronze Case	10,378	(5,653)	4,725
5	346.2	Meters - Plastic Case	119.874	(16,491)	103.383
6	346.3	Meters - Not Class By Type	1,557,745	560,531	2,118,276
7	346.1	Meters - Bronze Magnetic	1,732,047	505,066	2,237,113
8	347	Meter Installations	6,056,451	(9,245)	8,047,206
9	348	Hydrants	7,106,220	100,048	7,206,268
10	349	Other Transmission & Distribution Plant	18,610	Ó	18,610
11		Sub-total	108,550,591	13,155,179	121,705,770
12					, ,
13	•	General Plant	•	•	•
14	389	General Land & Land Rights	201,703	0	201,703
15	390	Stores Shops Equip. Struct	192,747	1,898,367	2.091.114
16	390.1	Office Structures	1,453,650	0	1,453,650
17	390	Gen Structures-HVAC	71,296	0	71,296
18	390.3	Miscellaneous Structures	114,584	0	114,584
19	391	Office Furniture & Equip.	655,232	97,363	752,595
20	391.2	Computers & Peripheral Equip.	1,222,437	0	1,222,437
21	391.25	Computer Software	718,098	813,229	1,531,327
22	391.26	Personal Computer Software	207,829	0	207,829
23	391.3	Other Office Equipment	58,455	0	58,455
24	392.11	Transportation Equipment - Light Trucks	753,015	27,035	780,050
25	392.12	Transportation Equipment - Heavy Trucks	109,694	0	109,694
26	392.2	Transportation Equipment - Cars	275,009	(34,221)	240,788
27	392.3	Transportation Equipment - Other	30,280	0	30,280
28	393	Stores Equipment	25,379	0	25,379
29	394	Tools, Shop, & Garage Equipment	784,367	134,928	919,295
30	395	Laboratory Equipment	246,094	(75,165)	170,929
31	396	Power Operated Equipment	434,348	(7,433)	426,915
32	397	Communication Equipment(non telephone)	216,206	(11,345)	204,861
33	397.2	Telephone Equip.	64,688	0	64,688
34	398	Miscellaneous Equip.	116,493	122,928	239,421
35	339.2	Other Tangable Property	861,924	0_	861,924
36		Sub-total	8,813,508	2,965,686	11,779,194
37			·		
38		Total Plant in Service	144,338,766	87,137,007	231,475,773
39				<del></del>	

### Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization For the Test Year Ended September 30, 1999

#### Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri-American Water Company Water Districts

Case No. WR-2000-281 Schedule JES-4.2 Page 1 of 2

Line					
No.					
1			Balance at		Pro Forma
2	Acct No.	Account Description	9/30/99	Adjustment	Accum, Deprec.
3		Intangible Plant		- manana	Charles Displays
4	301	Organization	0	0	0
5	302	Franchises & Consents	ŏ	e e	ŏ
6	303,99	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant Studies	99,661	33,222	132,883
7	000.00	Sub-total	99,661	33,222	132,883
8		Source of Supply Plant	55,557	00,222	102,000
9	310	Land & Land Rights	0	0	0
10	311	Structures & Improvements	35,744	1,316	37,060
11	312	Collection & Impound Resevoirs	70,914	4,667	75,581
12	313	Lake, River, & Other Intakes	564,521	(807,045)	(242,524)
13	314	Wells & Springs	387,416	28,974	416,390
14	316	Supply Mains	523,662	(189,342)	334,319
15		Sub-total	1,582,256	(961,430)	620,826
16		Pumping Plant	1,002,200	(551,155)	020,020
17	320	Pumping Land & Land Rights	O	0	0
18	321	Pumping Structures & Improvements	482,509	(148,424)	334,085
19	322	Boiler Plant Equipment	37,421	0	37,421
20	323	Force Mains	5,049	3,968	9,017
21	324	Steam Pumping Equipment	0	0	0
22	325	Electric Pumping Equipment	1,802,028	(716,438)	1,085,591
23	326	Diesel Pumping Equipment	17,537	1,470	19,007
24	328	Other Pumping Equipment	60,204	3,101	63,305
25		Sub-total	2,404,748	(856,323)	1,548,425
26		Treatment Plant	2, 10 1,7 10	(,,	7,0 10,120
27	330	Water Treatment Land & Land Rights	0	0	0
28	331	Water Treatment Structures & Improvements	603,517	(208,893)	394,624
29	332	Water Treatment Equipment	2,888,554	(2,211,804)	676,750
30		Sub-total	3,492,071	(2,420,697)	1,071,374
31	Trans	mission & Distribution Plant	-,,	(-,,,	.,,
32	340	Transmission & Distribution Land	0	0	0
33	341	Trans. & Dist. Structures & Improvements	177.193	13,790	190,983
34	342	Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes	1,687,154	73,296	1,760,450
35	343	Transmission & Distribution Mains Conv	12,698,279	588,896	13,287,175
36	344	Fire Mains	34,551	2,520	37,071
37	345	Services	3,058,257	135,166	3,193,423
38			.,,	•	•
39					
40				•	
41		Subtotal	17,655,434	813,668	18,469,102
42		_ 35/4/22	×-11	••	,,
43					
44					
45					
73					

### Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization For the Test Year Ended September 30, 1999

#### Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri-American Water Company Water Districts

Line

Case No. WR-2000-281 Schedule JES-4.2 Page 2 of 2

No.					
1			Balance at		Pro Forma
2	Acct No.	Account Description	9/30/99	Adjustment	Accum. Deprec.
3		·	-	emparation.	Elizabeth Debiter
4	_Tran;	smission & Distribution Plant Bal. Fwd.	17,655,434	813,668	18,469,102
5	346	Meters	1,045,018	50,048	1,095,068
6	347	Meter Installations	1,534,189	66.739	1,800,928
7	348	Hydrants	1,160,418	117,029	1,277,447
8	349	Other Transmission & Distribution Plant	2,759	331	3,090
9		Sub-total	21,397,817	1,047,815	22,445,632
10			• •	1,000	
11		General Plant			
12	389	General Land & Land Rights	0	0	0
13	390	Stores Shops Equip. Struct	482,770	(2,376)	460,394
14	391	Office Furniture & Equip.	737,285	161,725	899,010
15	392	Transportation Equipment	619,973	44,391	664,364
16	393	Stores Equipment	24,251	134	24,385
17	394	Tools, Shop, & Garage Equipment	208,323	11,999	220,322
18	395	Laboratory Equipment	68,560	(28,046)	38,514
19	396	Power Operated Equipment	167,462	2,992	170,454
20	397	Communication Equipment(non telephone)	172,888	7,181	180,069
21	398	Miscellaneous Equip.	47,476	(7,763)	39,713
22	339.2	Other Tangable Property	304,682	10,052	314,734
23		Sub-total	2,811,689	200,289	3,011,958
24				ŕ	
25		Total Plant in Service	31,788,223	(2,957,124)	28,831,099
26					<del></del>

#### Customer Advances and Contributions in Aid of Construction For the Test Year Ended September 30, 1999

Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri-American Water Company Water Districts

Case No. WR-2000-281 Schedule JES-4.3 Page 1 of 1

Line					
No.					
1					
2			Balance at		Pro Forma
3	Acct No.	Account Description	9/30/99	Adjustment	Balance
4		• "		-	
5		Customer Advances			
6	252,11	Advances For Construction - Extensions	(\$1,322,272)	(\$1,415,334)	(\$2,737,606)
7	252.71	Advances For Construction - Tax. Extensions	(3,619,340)	0	(3,619,340)
8					, , ,
9		Total Customer Advances	(\$4,941,612)	(\$1,415,334)	(\$6,356,946)
10					-
11		Contributions in Aid of Construction			
12	<b>271.11</b>	Contributions in Aid - NT Mains	(721,635)	0	(721,635)
13	271.12	Contributions in Ald - NT Ext Deposit	(1,140,005)	o ·	(1,140,005)
14	271.21	Contributions in Aid - NT Services	(2,054)	0	(2,054)
15	271.30	Contributions in Aid - NT Meters	(31,941)	O	(31,941)
16		Contributions in Aid - NT Hydrants	(801,890)	0	(801,890)
17		Contributions in Aid - NT Other	(105,567)	0	(105,567)
18	271.71	Contributions in Aid - Tax Mains	(20,884,754)	0	(20,884,754)
19	271.12	Contributions in Aid - Tax Extension Deposit	(3,625,573)	0	(3,625,573)
20	271.27	Contributions in Aid - Tax Services	(18,814)	0	(18,814)
21	271.37	Contributions in Aid - Tax Meters	(286,574)	0	(286,574)
22	271.47	Contributions in Aid - Tax Hydrants	(28)	0	(28)
23	Various	Accum. Amort CIAC	4,429,420	0	4,429,420
24					
25		Total Contributions In Aid of Construction	(\$23,189,415)	\$0	(\$23,189,415)
26				<del></del>	
27					
28				•	
29					
30					

### Working Capital For the Test Year Ended September 30, 1999

Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri-American Water Company Water Districts

Case No. WR-2000-281 Schedule JES-4.4 Page 1 of 1

Line							
No.							
1		Pro Forma	Average				_
2		Proposed	Dally	Revenue	Expense	Net	Cash
3	Expense Category	Expense	<u>Expense</u>	Lag	(Lead)Lag	(Lead)Lag	Requirement
4	Base Payroll	4,210,603	11,538	45.03	10.23	34.80	401,453
5	Tax Withholding	1,370,897	3,756	45.03	15.05	29.98	112,605
6	Fuel & Power	1,333,419	3,653	45.03	37.22	7,81	28,530
7	Chemicals	503,046	1,378	45.03	32.03	13.00	17,914
8	Service Company Charges	1,624,125	4,450	45.03	(4.13)	49.16	218,762
8	Group Insurance	1,190,776	3,262	45.03	(8.54)	53.57	174,745
10	Pensions	196,773	539	45.03	0.00	45.03	24,271
11	ESOP	56,285	154	45.03	(79.50)	124.53	19,178
12	Insurance Other Than Group	359,335	984	45.03	(58.72)	103.75	102,090
13	Uncollectables	168,278	· 461	45.03	- 0.00	45.03	20,759
14	Rents	38,278	105	45.03	24.37	20,66	2,169
15	401 K	62,510	171	45.03	48.80	(3.77)	(645)
16	Other O & M	5,688,442	15,585	45.03	20.67	24.36	379,651
17	Total O & M Expenses	16,802,767					1,501,482
18	•						
19	Depreciation Expense	5,980,906	16,386	45.03	0.00	45.03	737,862
20	Property Taxes	2,244,609	6,150	45.03	182.50	(137.47)	(845,441)
21	Public Service Commission Fee	197,043	540	45.03	(29.38)	74.41	40,181
22	Franchise and Environment Tax	64,454	177	45.03	30.14	14.89	2,636
23	FICA Taxes	302,541	829	45.03	13.44	31.59	26,188
24	FUTA Taxes	5,577	15	45.03	62.05	(17.02)	(255)
25	SUTA Taxes	0	0	45.03	62.05	(17.02)	0
26	Gross Receipts Tax	0	0	19.91	73.35	(53.44)	0
27	Sales Tax	468,792	1,284	19.91	38.90	(18.99)	(24,383)
28	Federal Income Tax - current	0	0	45.03	82.05	(17.02)	0
29	State Income Tax - current	0	0	45.03	62.05	(17.02)	0
30	Deferred Income Taxes	0	0	45.03	0.00	45.03	0
31	Syncronized Interest	7,119,181	19,505	45.03	91.25	(46.22)	(901,521)
32	Syncronized Preferred Dividends	263,673	722	45.03	46.63	(1.60)	(1,155)
33	Total Working Capital Requirement	33,449,543				-	535,594
	1 * 1 * 1 1						
34 35	Total Cash Working Capital Requirement Used					-	\$ 536,000

#### Average Balance of Materials and Supplies Test Year: Twelve Months Ended: 9/30/99

### Missouri-American Water Company Company: Missouri-American Water Company Water Districts

Case No. WR-2000-281 Schedule JES-4.5 Page 1 of 1

Line No.					
1					
2		Stock A	Stock C	Stock E	Total
3		A/C 151200	A/C 151300	A/C 151100	
4		POC 131200	MC 191300	AC 151100	Company
5	Month:				
ě	September-98	1,039	82,503	294,613	378,155
7	October-98	727	85,206	289,209	375,142
8	November-98	667	69,410	283,684	353,760
Đ	December-98	527	67,479	269,279	337,284
10	January-99	527	81,492	277,542	359,561
11	February-99	423	72,946	302,854	376,223
12	March-99	1,313		364,780	444,425
13	April-99	1,194	74,108	389,512	464,813
14	May-99	1,143	69,106	437,759	508,007
15	June-99	1,001	71,611	408,645	481,257
16	July-99	618	85,221	422,125	507,963
17	August-99	1,491	76,548	421,827	499,884
18	September-99	1,328	72,705	426,604	500,637
19		,,	, _,, , ,	,	555,55
20	Total	11,996	986,663	4,588,432	5,587,092
21	••••		000,000	1,000,102	<b>4,001,002</b>
22	Test Year Average	\$ 923	\$ 75,897	\$ 352,956	\$ 429,776
23	·	, <del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>			
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
- <del>-</del> -					

### Average Balance of Prepayments Test Year: Twelve Months Ended: 9/30/99

Missouri-American Water Company

Company: Missouri-American Water Company

Water Districts

Case No. WR-2000-281 Schedule JES-4.6 Page 1 of 1

Line						
No.						
1		Prepaid	Prepaid	Prepaid	Prepaid	
2		Taxes	Insurançe	Expenses	ESOP	Total
3		A/C 165100	A/C 165200	A/C 165300	A/C 165400	Company
4						
5	Month:					
6	September-98	17,070	57,265	15,844	11,762	101,941
7	October-98	57,349	132,749	21,130	7,841	219,070
8	November-98	28,675	99,088	18,487	3,921	150,171
9	December-98	28,402	139,734	15,844	(0)	183,979
10	January-99	74,371	106,184	11,180	(0)	191,734
11	February-99	53,577	87,995	14,532	(3,921)	152,184
12 .	March-99	35,273	128,461	9,902	) o	173,637
13	April-99	29,120	96,547	5,273	35,870	167,810
14	May-99	10,816	61,006	8,590	32,262	112,674
15·	June-99	42,912	97,887	12,366	27,653	180,818
· 16	July-99	83,759	62,389	7,737	23,044	176,928
17	August-99	44,519	26,890	11,054	18,435	100,898
18	September-99	21,297	21,897	21,422	13,826	78,442
19						
20	Total	527,140	1,118,091	173,380	171,693	1,990,285
21						
22	Test Year Average	\$ 40,549	\$ 86,007	<b>\$</b> 13,335_	\$ 13,207	153,099
23						

....

### Rate Base Summary For the Test Year Ended September 30, 1999

Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri-American Water Company Sewer District

Line

Case No. SR-2000-282 Schedule JES-6 Page 1 of 1

No.					
1					Pro Forma
2		Supporting	Test Year Ended	S of to real managements.	Rate Base
3	Rate Base Component	Reference	September 30, 1999	<u>Adjustments</u>	NALE DASS
4			\$81,603	\$0	\$81,603
5	Utility Plant in Service	Sch JTS-5.1	\$61,603	•0	401,000
6		0-4 770 6.0	(21,534)	800	(22,334)
7	Accumulated Provision for Depreciation	Sch JTS-5.2	(21,004)	000	<b>/</b> //
8			D	o	0
9	Other Utility Plant Adjustments		o o	ō	Ö
10	Other Utility Plant Adjustments		· ·	•	
11	ha. 4 1 calvida mal 4		80,089	800	60,869
12	Net Utility Plant		00,000		
13	•	•	•	•	•
14 15	Lance				
16	Less: Customer Advances	Sch JTS-5.3	٥	0	0
17	Contributions in Aid of Construction	Sch JTS-5.3	Ō	0	0
17	Accumulated Deferred ITC (3%)	341313-3.5	Ö	0	a
19	Deferred Income Taxes	Workpapers	0	841	841
20	Deferred income Taxes	**O. Apapois			
21	Subtotal		<u>_</u>	841	841
22	SUDICIZI			<del></del>	
23	Add:				
24	Cash Working Capital	Sch JTS-5.4	3,000	0	3,000
25	Materials and Supplies	Sch JTS-5.5	0	0	0
26	Prepayments	Sch JTS-5.6	0	0	0
27	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0	0	0
28			0	0	0
29					
30	Subtotal		3,000	<u> </u>	3,000
31					404.740
32	Total Original Cost Rate Base		\$63,069	<u>\$1,641</u>	\$64,710

#### Utility Plant in Service For the Test Year Ended September 30, 1999

#### Missouri Public Service Commission

Company: Missourl-American Water Company Sewer District Case No. SR-2000-282 Schedule JES-5.1 Page 1 of 1

Line					
No.					
1					Pro Forma
2	Acct No.	Account Description	Bajance at 9/30/99	Adjustments	Utility Plant
3					
4		Sewer Plant			
5	352.2	Collecting Mains_SWR	30,459	0	30,459
8	353	Services Sewer	7,951	0	7,951
7	370.1	Miscellaneous Land-SWR	9,300	0	9,300
8	374	Outfall Sewer Lines	33,743_	0	33,743
9		Total Plant in Service	81,603	0	61,603
10			<u> </u>	<del></del>	

### Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization For the Test Year Ended September 30, 1999

#### Missouri Public Service Commission

Company: Missouri-American Water Company

Sewer District

45

Case No. SR-2000-282 Schedule JES-5.2 Page 1 of 2

Line				
No.				
1		Balance at		Pro Forma
2 Acct No.		9/30/99	Adjustment	Accum. Deprec.
3 301	Organization			0
4 302 5 303.99	Franchises & Consents			0
5 303.99 6				0
7	Sub-total		0	0
	Source of Supply Plant			_
	Land & Land Rights			0
	Structures & Improvements			0
	Collection & Impound Resevoirs			Q.
	Lake, River, & Other Intakes			0
12 314 13 316	Wells & Springs			0
	Supply Mains	<del></del>	<del></del>	0
14	Sub-total		. 0	0
15	Pumping Plant			_
16 320	Pumping Land & Land Rights			0
17 321	Pumping Structures & Improvements			0
18 322	Boiler Plant Equipment			0
19 323 20 324	Force Mains			0
	Steam Pumping Equipment			-
21 325	Electric Pumping Equipment			0
22 326	Diesel Pumping Equipment			0
23 328	Other Pumping Equipment		<del></del>	0
24	Sub-total		O	U
25	Treatment Plant			•
26 330	Water Treatment Land & Land Rights			0
27 331	Water Treatment Structures & Improvements			0
28 332	Water Treatment Equipment			0
29	Sub-total		U	U
	ransmission & Distribution Plant			0
31 340	Transmission & Distribution Land			_
32 341	Trans, & Dist. Structures & Improvements			0
33 342	Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes			0
34 343	Transmission & Distribution Mains Conv			_
35 344	Fire Mains			0
36 345	Services			0
37				
38				
39	<b>~</b>		<del></del>	
40	Subtotal		0	0
44				
41 42 43 44				

### Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization For the Test Year Ended September 30, 1999

Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri-American Water Company Sewer District

Case No. SR-2000-282 Schedule JES-5.2 Page 2 of 2

Line						
No.						
1				Balance at		Pro Forma
2	Acct No.	Account Description		9/30/99	Adjustment	Accum, Deprec.
3				233222	ENGRAPHIA.	Chaami, Deplet.
4	_Tran	smission & Distribution Plant	Bal, Fwd.	0	0	0
5	346	Meters		•	v	a
6	347	Meter installations				0
7	348	Hydrants				Ö
8	349	Other Transmission & Distribution	Plant			0
8		Sub-total		<del></del>	<del></del>	
10					•	ū
11		Sewer Plant				
12	352.2	Collecting Mains_SWR		12,235	237	12,472
13	353	Services Sewer		4.958	91	5,049
14	37Ô.1	Miscellaneous Land-SWR		2,543	•	2,543
15	374	Outfall Sewer Lines		1,976	294	2,270
16		Sub-total		21,712	822	- 22,334
17						
18		General Plant				
19	389	General Land & Land Rights				0
20	390	Stores Shops Equip. Struct				o
21	391	Office Furniture & Equip.				0
22	392	Transportation Equipment				0
23	393	Stores Equipment				0
24	394	Tools, Shop, & Garage Equipment	t			0
25	395	Laboratory Equipment				0
26	396	Power Operated Equipment				0
27	397	Communication Equipment(non te	lephone)			Û
28	398	Miscellaneous Equip.	•			0
29	339.2	Other Tangable Property				0
30		Sub-total			<del></del>	0
31						
32		Total Plant in Service		21,712	622	22,334
33						

#### Customer Advances and Contributions in Aid of Construction For the Test Year Ended September 30, 1999

#### Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri-American Water Company Sewer District

Case No. SR-2000-282 Schedule JES-5.3 Page 1 of 1

rjue			
No.			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
8			
10			
11			
12		-	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			
34			
35			
38			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			

42

Not Applicable

### Working Capital For the Test Year Ended September 30, 1999

Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri-American Water Company Sewer District

45

Case No. SR-2000-282 Schedule JES-5.4 Page 1 of 1

Cash Requirement

Line						
No.						
1		Pro Forma	Average			
2		Proposed	Daily	Revenue	Expense	Net
3	Expense Category	Expense	Expense	Lag	(Lead)Lag	(Lead)L
4	Base Payroll				<del></del>	
5	Tax Withholding	0				
6	Fuel & Power	0				
7	Chemicals	0				
8	Service Company Charges	0				
9	Group Insurance	0				
10	Pensions	0				
11	ESOP	0				
12	Insurance Other Than Group	0				
13 ·	Uncollectables · -	0			•	
14	Rents	0				
15	401 K	0				
16	Other O & M	33,027				
17	Total O & M Expenses	33,027				
18						
19	Depreciation Expense	3,361				
20	Property Taxes	C				
21	Public Service Commission Fee	4,754				
22	Franchise and Environment Tax	0				
23	FICA Taxes	0				
24	FUTA Taxes	0				
25	SUTA Taxes					
26	Gross Receipts Tax					
27	Sales Tax					
28	Federal Income Tax - current					
29	State income Tax - current					
30	Deferred Income Taxes					
31	Syncronized Interest					
32	Syncronized Preferred Dividends					
33	Total Working Capital Requirement					
34						
35	Total Cash Working Capital Requirement Used					
36	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
37						
38						
39						
40						
41						
42						
43						
43 44						
**						

Average Balance of Materials and Supplies Test Year: Twelve Months Ended: 9/30/99

Missouri Public Service Commission Company: Missouri-American Water Company Sewer District

Case No. SR-2000-282 Schedule 5.5 Page 1 of 1 V

ine			
lo.			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
2			•
3			
4			
15 16			
17			
8			
9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
?7			
28			
9			
30			
11			
12			
3			
14			
55			
6			
37			
8			
9			
0			

Not Applicable

### Average Balance of Prepayments Test Year: Twelve Months Ended: 9/30/99

Total

Company

Missouri Public Service Commission

Company: Missouri-American Water Company

Sewer District

Case No. SR-2000-282 Schedule 5.6 Page 1 of 1

	Sewer District				
Line					
No.		Prepaid	Prepaid	Prepaid	Prepaid
1		Taxes	Insurance	Expenses	ESOP
2		A/C 165100	A/C 165200	A/C 165300	A/C 165400
3		A/C 165100	AUC 160200	AC 100000	20 100-100
4					
5 6					
7					
8					
9					
10			Not Applicab	le	
11			14041466200		
12					-
13	•	•	•		•
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					
33					
34					
35					
36					
37					