Exhibit No .:

Issues:

Class Cost of Service, Rates

Witness:

Case Nos.:

Hubbs, Wendell R.

Sponsoring Party:

MoPSC Staff

WR-2000-281 & SR-2000-282

## MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

**UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION** 



APR 6 2000

Missouri Public S**ervice Commissio**n

## MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY WATER & SEWER RATE CASE CASE Nos. WR-2000-281 & SR-2000-282

**DIRECT TESTIMONY** 

**OF** 

WENDELL R. HUBBS

Jefferson City, Missouri April, 2000

**DIRECT TESTIMONY** 1 **O**F 2 WENDELL R. HUBBS 3 CASE Nos. WR-2000-281 & SR-2000-282 4 MISSOURI - AMERICAN WATER COMPANY 5 6 Q. Please state your name and business address. 7 My name is Wendell R. Hubbs and my business address is Truman State 8 Α. 9 Office Building, Room 530, 301 West High Street, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101. Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity? 10 I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) 11 Α. as a Rate and Tariff Examination Supervisor in the Water & Sewer Department (W/S 12 13 Department) of the Utility Operations Division. What are your educational and experience qualifications? Q. 14 A. In May 1979, I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from 15 Lincoln University of Jefferson City, Missouri. From July 1979 to October 1981, I worked 16 in the Accounting Department of the Commission, where my duties were to assist with the 17 18 audits and examinations of the books and records of public utility companies operating within the State of Missouri. Those audits focussed on proposed utility rate increases and 19 20 determining whether utility books and records were being maintained in compliance with the Uniform System of Accounts. From October 1981 to September 1983, I held the 21 22 position of Rate Economist II in the Gas Department of the Commission where my duties 23 consisted of tariff review, allocations and rate design. From September 1983 to November

1990, I held the position of Assistant Manager-Rates in the Gas Department where my duties consisted of tariff review, rate design, cost of service, accounting and administrative functions. From November 1990 till May 1995, I held the position of Assistant Manager-Rates in the Energy Department where my duties were expanded to include electric and steam operations. From May 1995 till January 1998, I held the position of Regulatory Auditor IV in the Rates section of the Energy Department where my duties consisted of application analysis, tariff review, rate design, cost of service and accounting functions. In January 1998, I assumed my current position in the W/S Department where my duties consist of application analysis, tariff review, rate design, cost of service and accounting functions.

- Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- A. As set out in the testimony of Staff Witness Doyle Gibbs, the Commission's Staff (Staff) has determined the cost of service applicable to Missouri-American Water Company (MAWC or Company) providing service to its Missouri customers. That cost of service determination was made on a total company basis, as well as on an operating district basis.

My testimony addresses the allocation of the cost of service to the Company's various rate classes and also addresses the development of the customer rates necessary to recover the costs allocated to each customer class. For the purposes of

customer class allocations and rate design, I am proposing that both be done utilizing the "district specific" cost of service computations, rather than the total company cost of service.

- Q. How did you utilize the district cost of service information just discussed to allocate the costs to the separate customer classes?
- A. I allocated each district's cost of service to each class in the district using the "base-extra capacity method". Various cost of service components were allocated to the customer classes based on data pertaining to operating costs, operating revenues, system capacity and customer usage, which I received from the Company and from other Staff members.
- Q. Is the class allocation method you used consistent with that filed by the Company's witness, Mr. William M. Stout in his direct testimony in this proceeding?
- A. Yes, for the most part the class allocations are consistent, with the exception that my study is on a district specific basis rather than on a total company basis.
  - Q. Please describe the "base-extra capacity allocation method" you are using.
- A. The "base-extra capacity allocation method" is used to allocate each operating district's cost of service to the customer classifications in that specific district. The results of such allocations yield the relative cost levels that should be recovered from each customer class. Rates are then designed to recover the allocated cost for each class.

In the "base-extra capacity method" costs are usually separated into four primary cost components: "base costs"; "extra capacity costs"; "customer costs"; and "direct fire protection costs".

"Base costs" tend to vary with water consumption and are allocated to customer classifications on this consumption basis.

"Extra capacity costs" are those costs associated with meeting the requirements that are in excess of the average load conditions. They are divided into costs to meet "maximum-day extra demand" and "maximum-hour extra demand".

"Customer costs" comprise those costs associated with customers irrespective of consumption. They include customer accounting and collection expenses, meter reading expenses and billing expenses. They also include 'return on plant' related to meters and services.

"Fire protection costs" are those costs that can be directly assigned to fire protection functions.

- Q. Please describe the development of the class cost of service amounts.
- A. Allocation of each type of cost is accomplished through the application of class allocation factors. These class allocation factors are applied to the annualized and normalized expenses, plant, rate base, return of investment and return on investment.
  - Q. How are rates per class per district determined?

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Missouri-America	can Water Company's	)	
Tariff Sheets Designed to Impler	nent General Rate	)	Case No. WR-2000-281
Increases for Water and Sewer Se	ervice provided to	)	Case No. SR-2000-282
customers in the Missouri Servic	e area of the Company	)	(Consolidated)
AFFIDAVIT OF WENDELL R. HUBBS			
STATE OF MISSOURI )			
) ss			
COUNTY OF COLE )			
Wendell R. Hubbs, of la	awful age, on his oatl	n states: tl	hat he has participated in the
preparation of the foregoing written testimony in question and answer form; consisting of 5 pages			
to be presented in this case; that the answers in the foregoing testimony were given by him; that he			
has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct			
to the best of his knowledge and belief.			
			\
		, Loui	Oce H. Hulle
Wendell R. Hubbs			
Welder R. Habes			
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 6th day of April, 2000.			
	_		Λ <b>)</b>
	<u> </u>	Joyce	( lourer
	(Ng	tary Public	300
	Joyce C. Neuner		
My commission expires	Notary Public, State of Missouri County of Osage		
iviy commission expires	My Commission Exp. 06/18/2001		<del>-</del>