LAW OFFICES BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND

DAVID V.G. BRYDON JAMES C. SWEARENGEN WILLIAM R. ENGLAND, III JOHNNY K. RICHARDSON GARY W. DUFFY PAUL A. BOUDREAU SONDRA B. MORGAN CHARLES E. SMARR DEAN L. COOPER PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 312 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE P.O. BOX 456 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0456 TELEPHONE (573) 635-7166 FACSIMILE (573) 635-0427

BRIAN T. MCCARTNEY GREGORY C. MITCHELL DIANA C. CARTER JANET E. WHEELER SCOTT A. HAMBLIN M. MELISSA MANDA JAMIE J. COX L. RUSSELL MITTEN

May 21, 2007

Ms. Colleen M. Dale Secretary Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

RE: Case No. ER-2007-0004

Dear Judge Dale:

On Friday, May 18, 2007, Aquila filed revised tariff sheets in compliance with the Commission's May 17th Report and Order in Case No. ER-2007-0004. Because those tariff sheets bore an effective date less than thirty (30) days from the date of issuance, Aquila filed new tariff sheets earlier today to correct that error.

In conjunction with the revised tariff sheets that were filed last Friday, Aquila also filed a "Motion for Expedited Consideration and Approval of Tariff Sheets Filed in Compliance with Commission Report and Order." Because of the aforementioned error in the tariff sheets, Aquila has also filed today a "Revised and Amended Motion for Expedited Consideration and Approval of Tariff Sheets Filed in Compliance with Commission Report and Order," which is designed to correct technical errors in the original motion that related to the tariff sheets.

Copies of both the revised tariff sheets and the revised and amended motion for expedited consideration have been served on all parties of record.

Because the Commission has today issued an order directing parties to respond by 5:00 p.m. on May 22, 2007, to Aquila's original motion for expedited approval of tariff sheets, I note that neither the revised tariff sheets filed earlier today nor the revised and amended motion differs substantively from the documents filed on May 18th. I do not believe, therefore, that any party will be prejudiced if it is required to respond to the revised and amended motion within the same timeframe that the Commission established for the original motion.

Page 2 of 2 May 21, 2007

I sincerely apologize for any inconvenience the errors in the original revised tariff sheets or the original motion for expedited consideration may have caused the Commission or any of the other parties.

Sincerely, Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. BY: . Russell Mitten

LRM/lar Enclosures