

Bob Holden

Governor

Office of the Public Counsel Governor Office Building 200 Madison, Suite 650 P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 Telephone: 573-751-4857 Facsimile: 573-751-5562 Web: http://www.mo-opc.org Relay Missouri I-800-735-2966 TDD 1-800-735-2466 Voice

May 7, 2002

Mr. Dale H. Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Re: Missouri-American Water Company

Case No. WO-2002-273

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced case please find the original and eight copies Cross-Surrebuttal Testimony of Kimberly K. Bolin (HC and NP versions). Please "file" stamp the extra-enclosed copy and return it to this office.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

M. Ruth O'Neill

Assistant Public Counsel

MRO:jb

cc: Counsel of Record

SERVICE LIST CASE NO. WO-2002-273 MAY 7, 2002

VICTORIA L KIZITO

Missouri Public Service Commission PO Box 360 Jefferson City MO 65102 Attorney for Staff vkizito@mail.state.mo.us

DAVID P ABERNATHY

Missouri-American Water Company 535 N New Ballas Road St Louis MO 63141 Attorney for Applicant dabernathy@slcwc.com

JAMES B DUETSCH

Blitz Bardgette & Duetsch 308 E High Street Suite 301 Jefferson City MO 65101 City of Joplin, Missouri

JAN BOND

Deikemper Hammond Shinners Turcotte and Larrew PC 7730 Carondelet Avenue Suite 200 St Louis MO 63105 Attorney for Local 335 of the Utility Workers of America, AFL-CIO

DEAN L COOPER

Brydon Swearengen & England PC 312 E Capitol Avenue PO Box 456 Jefferson City MO 65102 Attorney for Applicant dcooper@brydonlaw.com

STUART CONRAD

Finnegan Conrad & Peterson 1209 Penntower Office Center 3100 Broadway Kansas City MO 64111 Attorney for St. Joseph Industrial stucon@fcplaw.com

JEREMIAH D FINNEGAN

Finnegan Conrad & Peterson 1209 Penntower Office Center 3100 Broadway Kansas City MO 64111 Attorney for City of Riverside, Missouri

| Exhibit No.: | |
|--------------|----------------------------|
| Issue(s): | Accounting Authority Order |

Witness // Type of Exhibit: Bolin/Cross-Surrebuttal **Sponsoring Party:** Public Counsel WO-2002-273

Case No.:

CROSS-SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

KIMBERLY K. BOLIN

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

Case No. WO-2002-273



BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| In the Matter of the Joint Application |) | |
|---|---|----------------------|
| of Missouri-American Water Company, |) | |
| St. Louis Water Company, d/b/a Missouri- |) | |
| American Water Company and Jefferson |) | Case No. WO-2002-273 |
| City Water Works, d/b/a Missouri-American |) | |
| Water Company for an accounting authority |) | |
| order relating to security costs. |) | |

AFFIDAVIT OF KIMBERLY K. BOLIN

| STATE OF MISSOURI |) | |
|-------------------|---|----|
| |) | SS |
| COUNTY OF COLE |) | |

Kimberly K. Bolin, of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

- 1. My name is Kimberly K. Bolin. I am a Public Utility Accountant for the Office of the Public Counsel.
- 2. Attached, hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes, is my cross-surrebuttal testimony consisting of pages 1 through 3 and Schedule KKB-2.
- 3. I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Kimberly K. Bolin

Subscribed and sworn to me this 7th day of May, 2002.

KATHLEEN HARRISON Notary Public - State of Missouri County of Cole My Commission Expires Jan. 31, 2006

Cathleen Harrison, Notary Public

My Commission expires January 31, 2006.

CROSS-SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

KIMBERLY K. BOLIN

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

CASE NO. WO-2002-273

| 1 | Q. | PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS. |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | Α. | Kimberly K. Bolin, P.O. Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. |
| 3 | Q. | ARE YOU THE SAME KIMBERLY K. BOLIN WHO FILED REBUTTAL |
| 4 | | TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE? |
| 5 | A. | Yes. |
| 6 | Q. | WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR CROSS-SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY? |
| 7 | A. | The purpose of my cross-surrebuttal testimony is to explain the difference between the expense |
| 8 | | figures used by Missouri Public Service Commission Staff (Staff) witness Janice Fischer's rebuttal |
| 9 | | testimony and to update my rebuttal testimony to point out an additional concern about the |
| 10 | | Company's request for an AAO. |
| 11 | Q. | ON PAGE 8, LINES 11-14 OF STAFF WITNESS JANICE FISCHER'S |
| 12 | | REBUTTAL TESTIMONY WHAT ARE THE COSTS SHE LISTS? |

Cross-Surrebuttal Testimony of Kimberly K. Bolin Case No. WO-2002-273

| 1 | A. | Ms. Fischer lists the following costs: |
|----|-------|---|
| 2 | : | Description of Expenditure Amount |
| 3 | | ** ** ** ** |
| 4 | | ** ** |
| 5 | | **** |
| 6 | Q. | DOES COMPANY WITNESS FRANK KARTMANN USE THE SAME COST AMOUNTS |
| 7 | | IN HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY? |
| 8 | A. | Yes. On Schedule FLK-3 he uses the same amounts. |
| 9 | Q. | WHAT AMOUNTS DID YOU USE IN YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY? |
| 10 | A. | I used the following amounts for heightened status: |
| 11 | | Description of Expenditure Amount |
| 12 | | ** ** ** ** |
| 13 | | ** ** |
| 14 | | **** |
| 15 | Q. | WHY DID YOU USE THESE FIGURES INSTEAD OF THE FIGURES THAT MR. |
| 16 | | KARTMANN AND MS. FISCHER USED? |
| 17 | A. | I used these figures because they were provided in staff data request number 1014 (See Attached |
| 18 | i | Schedule KKB-2) as an update to Schedule FLK-3. |
| 19 | Q. | DID THE COMPANY PROVIDE A REASON AS TO WHY THE AMOUNTS |
| 20 | | CHANGED FOR MONTHLY ON-GOING EXPENSES BY ** * ON AN |
| 21 | | ANNUAL BASIS? |

Cross-Surrebuttal Testimony of Kimberly K. Bolin Case No. WO-2002-273

| 1 | A. | Yes, the Company stated in Staff Data Request number 1014, **" |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Ω. | IN YOUR ATTACHED SCHEDULE KKB-2.5, ONE OF THE DEFERRED ONE- |
| 6 | | TIME EXPENDITURES IS LISTED AS ****. |
| 7 | | WHAT ARE ****? |
| 8 | A. | The Company has defined ** |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | ·** |
| 11 | Ω. | SHOULD THE COMPANY BE ALLOWED TO RECOVER THESE COSTS THROUGH |
| 12 | | AN ACCOUNTING AUTHORITY ORDER IF INCLUDED IN THESE COSTS ARE |
| 13 | | THE COSTS OF ORDINARY CUSTOMER DISCONNECTIONS? |
| 14 | A. | No. Customer disconnections are a normal, on-going expense that the Company and that are |
| 15 | | provided for in the cost of service during a rate case. Public Counsel is further investigating this |
| 16 | | matter to see if customer disconnections are included in the Company's AAO request. |
| 17 | Q. | DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR CROSS-SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY? |
| 18 | A. | Yes. |
| 19 | | |

SCHEDULE KKB-2 HAS BEEN DEEMED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL IN ITS ENTIRETY.