

Exhibit No: _____
Issue: Rate Design
Witness: William G Eichman
Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony
Sponsoring Party: Empire District
Case No: ER-2004-0570
Date Testimony Prepared: Nov 23, 2004

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

**SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY
OF
WILLIAM G. EICHMAN**

NOVEMBER 2004

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY
OF
WILLIAM G. EICHMAN
THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY
BEFORE THE
MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
CASE NO. ER-2004-0570

1 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

2 A. My name is William G. Eichman. My business address is: P.O. Box 127, Joplin,
3 Missouri, 64802.

4 **Q. Are you the same William G. Eichman that presented rebuttal testimony in**
5 **this case?**

6 A. Yes.

7 **Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?**

8 A. The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to comment on Janice Pyatte's rebuttal
9 testimony regarding the need to maintain symmetry between the proposed High
10 Voltage Credit for the Large Power ("LP") rate and the existing Substation
11 Facilities Charge on the Special Transmission Service ("ST") and the Special
12 Contract: Praxiar ("SC") rates.

13 **Q. Are there any other clarifications necessary before you proceed?**

14 A. Yes. In my rebuttal testimony, I coined the term Distribution Credit to describe
15 the same concept that Mr. Brubaker termed as High Voltage Credit. To avoid
16 possible confusion, I will adopt and use the term High Voltage Credit when
17 referencing this proposed adjustment to the LP rate.

18 **Q. Do you agree with Ms. Pyatte's statement regarding the need to maintain**
19 **symmetry with respect to the existing Substation Facilities Charge on the**

1 **ST and SC rate schedules and the proposed High Voltage Credit on the LP**
2 **rate schedule?**

3 A. I agree that it is desirable to maintain symmetry when appropriate. As a result, I
4 believe that it would be desirable for the portion of the proposed High Voltage
5 Credit that covers the substation components on the LP rate to be symmetrical
6 with the Substation Facilities Charge on the SC and ST rate.

7 **Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?**

8 A. Yes.