0027	
1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
	OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI
2	
3	
	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
4	
	Settlement Conference
5	
	August 23, 2004
6	Jefferson City, MissouriVolume 2
7	
8	In the Matter of the Application of)
	Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE)
9	For a Metering Variance to Serve Brentmoor) Case No.
	At Oaktree) EE-2004-0267
10	
11	KEVIN A. THOMPSON, Presiding,
	Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge
12	
13	
14	REPORTED BY:
	Jennifer L. Leibach, RPR, CCR(T)
15	MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

0028	
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	THOMAS M. BYRNE, Attorney at Law
	1901 Chouteau Avenue
4	St. Louis, Missouri 63101
5	(314) 554-2514
5	FOR: Union Electric Company
6	rok. Onion Electic Company
7	RICHARD BYRD, Attorney at Law
,	100 North Fourth street, Suite 1100
8	St. Louis, Missouri 63103
	(314) 889-8000
9	
	FOR: Brentmoor at Oaktree
10	
11	DIANA VUYLSTEKE, Attorney at Law
12	BRYAN CAVE, LLP 211 North Broadway, Suite 3600
12	St. Louis, Missouri 63102
13	(314) 257-2543
14	FOR: River's Edge Properties, LLC
15	1011. Inter 5 Edge Fleperolog, 220
	JOHN COFFMAN, General Counsel
16	P.O. Box 2230
	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
17	(573) 751-5565
18	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel and
1.0	the Public
19	DENNIC EDEV Cananal Causas
20	DENNIS FREY, General Counsel P.O. Box 360
21	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
21	(573) 751-8702
22	(0.0) ,01 0.01
	FOR: Staff of the Public Service
23	Commission
24	
25	

0029 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 JUDGE THOMPSON: Good morning, my name is 3 Kevin Thompson. I'm a Regulatory Law Judge assigned to 4 preside over this matter, which is a settlement conference in 5 a pair of consolidated cases EE-2004-0267, which is the 6 application of Union Electric Company doing business as 7 AmerenUE for metering variance to serve Brentmoor at Oaktree, 8 and EE-2004-0268, which is the application of Union Electric 9 Company doing business as AmerenUE for metering variance to 10 serve River's Edge Properties. Why don't we go ahead and 11 take oral entries of appearance at this time. Who is 12 representing UE, Mr. Byrne? 13 MR. BYRNE: Yes, your Honor. My name is Tom 14 Byrne, I'm an attorney representing Union Electric Company. 15 My address is 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri, 16 63103. 17 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. How about the 18 intervenors? 19 MR. BYRD: Richard Byrd of Polsinelli Shalton 20 Welte and Suelthaus. We represent Brentmoor at Oak Tree, the 21 intervenor. 22 JUDGE THOMPSON: Very well. 23 MS. VUYLSTEKE: Diana Vuylsteke on behalf of 24 River's Edge, with the law firm Bryan Cave LLC, 211 North

Broadway, Suite 3600, St. Louis, Missouri, 63102, and we are

25

an intervenor, but also an applicant as well. We filed a separate application for variance that was in addition to the one that was filed by UE.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Very well. Thank you. I left Staff and Public Counsel for last because I'm a little bit confused as to whether the party here is the variance committee or whether Staff and OPC are participating or litigating, I should say, in their own rights. Mr. Frey, advise me.

MR. FREY: Your Honor, I believe we filed, the Staff did, in connection with the variance committee's second recommendation in this case. We included a statement that Staff's position is that we are not representing the variance committee, but that this case should be tried de novo at this point and it would be the Staff as a party as well as Public Counsel.

 $\,$ JUDGE THOMPSON: Very well. And so you're here on behalf of Staff?

MR. FREY: Yes.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Coffman. MR. COFFMAN: Your Honor, John B. Coffman

today, appearing on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel, PO Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102, and it is my opinion of the rule that the variance committee meets

25 and consists of certain ex officio members and makes a

recommendation, and that after that recommendation is made, that the, you know, that myself and the members of the Staff will go back to their representative corners and represent their own parties going forward. So I don't think that the committee would be a proper party at this point in the proceeding.

That's my understanding of the rule, and would note that when the variance committee met for the second time and made a recommendation, I did not join that recommendation, and voted not to join that and do not, at this point, support that recommendation. At this point, I am leaning towards supporting the applicants in this matter, although I suppose I could be persuaded by further evidence to modify that position.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Very well. Thank you.

16 Mr. Frey.

MR. FREY: And may I enter my appearance, then, formally, Judge?

JUDGE THOMPSON: Fire away.

MR. FREY: Okay. Dennis L. Frey, representing the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, Post Office Box 3670, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102. Thank you.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Okay. Do any of the parties have anything to bring to my attention at this time? Very good. This is entitled a settlement conference.

1 It's what we used to call a late prehearing conference. 2 We're all learning new names of things.

I don't know that the function has changed. It's an opportunity to hear from the parties how the status of their preparation according to the procedural schedule is going, an opportunity for you to raise any controversies that might have arisen, and most importantly, a chance to bring you all together face-to-face in the same room and force you to talk about settlement.

I don't know whether that's likely to be a fruitful discussion or not, but here you are. The room is yours until 5:00 p.m., and since you don't have any further need of me, I'm going to leave. So hearing nothing further, we'll go ahead and adjourn the recorded portion of the prehearing conference, and I urge you to have fruitful and constructive discussions. I will, of course, be upstairs if you need me.

MR. BYRD: Your Honor.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes, sir.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ BYRD: At this time, would it be appropriate to raise issues regarding requests for data or

would that be a different time?

JUDGE THOMPSON: You can raise anything you want. I'll just tell you that, of course, it might come as a surprise to your adversaries, but they can scream all about

that as anyone. So go ahead, stand up and do whatever you want.

MR. BYRD: Just to provide a little preliminary information, we will be planning on objecting, just give notice to Staff, Data Request No. 3 to Brentmoor as being excessive and irrelevant. That as a Staff Data Request for Brentmoor to, and I quote, provide a listing of all requirement communities in Missouri of which our Executive Director has personal knowledge, or which are listed in documents or information such as trade association journals, et cetera. For each, we are to provide location, street addresses, city, date construction was begun, where they're separately metered and billed, and whether the service is provided under residential or non-residential rate schedules.

There are hundreds, if not thousands, of such communities, some of which are under various licensures, some of which do not require licensures, and such that information is not readily available. We're talking many hundreds of hours of attorney time and attempting to respond to this question, and I just wanted to give advance notice we will be objecting to that data request.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Very well. Anything else? Okay. Going once, going twice, all right. We'll go ahead and adjourn the recorded portion, then, at this time. As you know, our new procedures provide for prompt, expedited

```
0034
1
   resolution of discovery disputes, so as soon as you get that
    objection letter in, Staff, the ball will be in your court
    then to ask for a discovery conference, hearing, whatever
    we're calling them, and you can attend by telephone,
5
    Mr. Byrd, if you don't want to make another trip up here, and
6
    we'll get that resolved very quickly. Okay. Great. Thank
7
8
                  WHEREUPON, the recorded portion of the
9
    settlement conference was concluded.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
```