BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Public Counsel's Petition)	
To Open a Case to Investigate AmerenUE's)	Case No. EO-2009-0126
Plan to Construct and Finance a Second Unit)	
At the Callaway Nuclear Plant Site)	

PUBLIC COUNSEL'S SECOND MOTION TO COMPEL

COMES NOW the Office of the Public Counsel and for its Motion to Compel and Request for Waiver states as follows:

- 1. On October 31, 2008, Public Counsel submitted data requests (DRs) 7001-7005 to AmerenUE, copies of which are attached as Attachment 1. These DRs generally asked for information concerning analysis that AmerenUE had conducted regarding the financing of a second generating unit at the Callaway nuclear station.
- 2. On November 3, 2008, Public Counsel submitted data requests (DRs) 2002-2010 to AmerenUE, copies of which are attached as Attachment 2. These DRs generally asked for information concerning analysis that AmerenUE had conducted regarding the financing of a second generating unit at the Callaway nuclear station, including information filed at federal agencies and supporting documentation.
- 3. On November 10, 2008, AmerenUE objected to all of these DRs, by way of a letter which is attached as Attachment 3. The gravamen of AmerenUE's objection is that it believes Public Counsel does not have investigative authority outside of a particular case. Because the Commission has not affirmatively acted on Public Counsel's

¹ Public Counsel submitted more DRs on November 3, 2008 (to which AmerenUE also objected) than are the subject of this motion. Public Counsel received some of the information sought in DRs 2011-2024 through separate discovery in Case No. ER-2008-0318, and does not seek to compel production of responses to DRs 2011-2024 through this motion.

motion to open a case, AmerenUE contends that Public Counsel has no right to investigate the targeted aspects of AmerenUE's operations.

- 4. Commission rule 4 CSR 240-2.090(8)(A) requires a party, as a first step in seeking to compel responses to discovery, to confer or attempt to confer with opposing counsel. On January 22, 2009, Public Counsel conferred by telephone with Wendy Tatro, an attorney for AmerenUE. 4 CSR 240-2.090(8)(B) requires a conference call with the presiding officer after counsel have conferred. Public Counsel, along with Tom Byrne representing AmerenUE, conducted such a conference on January 26, 2009.
- 5. AmerenUE fails to identify any specific objection to the subject DRs. It simply argues generally that some of the DRs the Public Counsel has submitted may be objectionable for some vague reasons, none of which are persuasive. AmerenUE's general premise on which its vague objections are based is faulty. AmerenUE incorrectly asserts that Public Counsel's ability to discover information from regulated utilities is limited to contested proceedings. Sections 386.390, 386.450, 386.480 and 386.710 RSMo 2000 (among others) conclusively prove that assertion to be wrong, as the Commission has repeatedly recognized.² In order to adequately represent and protect the

_

² In Case No. WO-94-192, Raytown Water Company's objected to Public Counsel DRs for reasons very similar to those raised by AmerenUE here. In an order issued January 5, 1994, the Commission overruled the company's objections and ordered it to respond to the DRs. In Case No. WR-2000-281, the Commission cited the Raytown Water ruling and expanded upon it:

[[]T]he Staff of the Commission and the Public Counsel enjoy broader discovery powers than other litigants. Section 386.450, RSMo, authorizes the Commission and the Public Counsel to examine "books, accounts, papers or records" in the hands of "any corporation, person or public utility," "kept . . . in any office or place within or without this state[.]" The Commission has interpreted this statute to authorize Public Counsel to serve DRs on regulated entities, and the Commission to compel responses to those DRs, even in the absence of a pending proceeding.

public interest as required by 386.710, Public Counsel must have unfettered access to a utility's books and records. In order to evaluate whether complaint should be brought pursuant to 386.390, Public Counsel must have unfettered access to a utility's books and records. Section 386.450 gives Public Counsel unfettered access to a utility's books and records even if they are kept out of state. Section 386.710.4 gives Public Counsel "all powers necessary or proper" to carry out Public Counsel's duties. None of this authority depends, as AmerenUE alleges, on the existence "of some pending action."

6. To limit Public Counsel's authority to conduct investigations to matters for which the Commission itself has already opened a case would totally gut Public Counsel's independence and make Public Counsel subordinate to the Commission. The Commission does not believe that an investigation into costs and financing options for Callaway 2 is needed now, but Public Counsel does. This is precisely the type of situation in which Public Counsel's independent right to determine areas to investigate and independent right to conduct such an investigation are so critical. Public Counsel believes it must begin an investigation now to comply with its broad responsibility under Section 386.710 RSMo 2000. AmerenUE has no grounds to object to such an investigation, and the Commission has no grounds to prevent such an investigation.

WHEREFORE Public Counsel respectfully requests that the Commission compel AmerenUE to fully respond to data requests 7001-7005 and 2002-2010.

Likewise, this authority is not conditioned on considerations of relevance under Rule 56.01(b)(1), Mo. R. Civ. Pro., made applicable to Commission proceedings by Section 536.073.2, RSMo, and Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.090(1).

More recently, in Case No. ER-2007-0002 (another case with which AmerenUE should be familiar), in an order issued March 15, 2007, the Commission noted with respect to Section 386.450: "That statute does not require Public Counsel to show that the requested documents are relevant to any particular issue in a contested case. Indeed, the statute allows the Commission to require the production of the requested documents even if there were no contested case in existence."

Respectfully submitted, OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL /s/ Lewis R. Mills, Jr.

By:_____

Lewis R. Mills, Jr. (#35275) Public Counsel P O Box 2230 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-4857 (573) 751-5562 FAX lewis.mills@ded.mo.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, emailed or hand-delivered to the following this 27th day of January 2009:

General Counsel Office Missouri Public Service Commission GenCounsel@psc.mo.gov Mills Lewis Office Of Public Counsel opcservice@ded.mo.gov Dottheim Steve Missouri Public Service Commission

Steve.Dottheim@psc.mo.gov

Boudreau A Paul Aquila Networks PaulB@brydonlaw.com

Morrison A Bruce Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now bamorrison@greatriverslaw.org Robertson B Henry Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now hrobertson@greatriverslaw.org

Henry G Kathleen Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now khenry@greatriverslaw.org Morrison A Bruce Mid-Missouri Peaceworks bamorrison@greatriverslaw.org Robertson B Henry Mid-Missouri Peaceworks hrobertson@greatriverslaw.org

Henry G Kathleen Mid-Missouri Peaceworks khenry@greatriverslaw.org Morrison A Bruce Missouri Coalition for the Environment bamorrison@greatriverslaw.org

Robertson B Henry Missouri Coalition for the Environment hrobertson@greatriverslaw.org

Henry G Kathleen Missouri Coalition for the Environment khenry@greatriverslaw.org Woods A Shelley Missouri Department of Natural Resources shelley.woods@ago.mo.gov Langeneckert C Lisa Missouri Energy Group llangeneckert@spvg.com

Vuylsteke M Diana Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers dmvuylsteke@bryancave.com Healy Douglas Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Commission dhealy@mpua.org Kincheloe E Duncan Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Commission dkincheloe@mpua.org

Conrad Stuart Noranda Aluminum, Inc. stucon@fcplaw.com Morrison A Bruce Sierra Club bamorrison@greatriverslaw.org Robertson B Henry Sierra Club hrobertson@greatriverslaw.org

Henry G Kathleen Sierra Club khenry@greatriverslaw.org Sullivan R Steven Union Electric Company srsullivan@ameren.com Byrne M Thomas Union Electric Company tbyrne@ameren.com

Tatro Wendy Union Electric Company wtatro@ameren.com

/s/ Lewis R. Mills, Jr.

Number 7001

REQUESTED BY:	Lewis M	lills		
REQUESTED FROM:	Mary Hoyt			
DATE OF REQUEST:	OCTOBER 31	, 2008		
Information Requested: Please provide a copy of all documents (e.g. memos, emails, PowerPoint presentations, etc.) created by or for UE or its affiliates since January 1, 2007. that contain descriptions or analysis of difficulties that UE or Ameren may face in financing all or part of a new nuclear plant.				
	THIS RESPONSE	Includes:		
Printed Materials Please number each section # of T			Number of disks or tape:	
LIST PRINTED MATERIALS AND/	OR FILES INCLUDED:			
The information provided to the is accurate and complete, and c known facts to the undersigned Counsel if any matters are disconformation provided in response	ontains no material misrep . The undersigned agrees overed which would mater	presentations or omissions be to immediately inform the Crially affect the accuracy or or	ased upon present Office of the Public	
DATE RECEIVED:	Sig	NED BY:		

REQUESTED BY:	Lewis M	ills		
REQUESTED FROM:	Mary H	oyt	•	
DATE OF REQUEST:	OCTOBER 31, 2008			
Information Requested:	•	y of all documents (e.g. mem		
PowerPoint presentations, etc.	created by or for UE or i	ts affiliates since January 1, 2	2007 that contain	
descriptions or analysis of pos	sible plans for addressing	any difficulties that UE or Ar	meren may face in	
financing all or part of a new i	nuclear plant.			
	THIS RESPONSE	INCLUDES:		
☐ Printed Materials	Total Pages	☐ Magnetic Media	Number of disks or tapes	
Please number each section	n of multiple pages as:	File formats for data:		
<u>#</u> of _1	Total #			
LIST PRINTED MATERIALS AND	OR FILES INCLUDED:			
The information provided to t is accurate and complete, and known facts to the undersigne Counsel if any matters are dis information provided in response	contains no material misro d. The undersigned agree covered which would mate	epresentations or omissions be seto immediately inform the Carrially affect the accuracy or	ased upon present Office of the Public	
DATE RECEIVED:	Sı	GNED BY:		
	T	TLE:		

REQUESTED BY:	Lewis M	ills	
REQUESTED FROM:	Mary H	oyt	
DATE OF REQUEST:	OCTOBER 3	, 2008	
Information Requested: 9, 2008, Missourinet - June 29 AmerenUE's estimate that a n reach a total of \$9,000,000,00 all documents (e.g. memos, sp affiliates since January 1, 200 (including financing costs) if t	o, 2008, Columbia Daily To ew nuclear plant could cost 0 when the cost of financin readsheets, emails, Powerl 7 that contain descriptions	t about \$6,000,000,000 and g (AFUDC) is included. Ple Point presentations, etc.) creator analysis of the costs for a	ly 10, 2008) contain that the cost could case provide a copy of ated by or for UE or its
	THIS RESPONSE	INCLUDES:	
☐ Printed Materials Please number each section # of ☐			Number of disks or tapes
LIST PRINTED MATERIALS AND			
The information provided to the is accurate and complete, and known facts to the undersigned Counsel if any matters are discinformation provided in response	contains no material misre d. The undersigned agrees covered which would mate	presentations or omissions be to immediately inform the Crially affect the accuracy or or	ased upon present Office of the Public
DATE RECEIVED:	Sic	NED BY:	·
	Tır	LE:	

REQUESTED BY:	Lewis M	lls
REQUESTED FROM:	Mary Ho	yt
DATE OF REQUEST:	OCTOBER 31	, 2008
Information Requested: motion to open a case? Does it disagree?	disagree with that analys	ewed the analysis attached to Public Counsel's s? If so, with what specific aspects does it
	THIS RESPONSE	
Please number each section		☐ Magnetic Media Number of disks or tape. File formats for data:
# of <u>T</u> List printed materials and/		
is accurate and complete, and c known facts to the undersigned	ontains no material misre l. The undersigned agrees overed which would mate	onsel in response to the above information request presentations or omissions based upon present to immediately inform the Office of the Public rially affect the accuracy or completeness of the on.
DATE RECEIVED:	Sid	SNED BY:
	Tr	rle:

REQUESTED BY:	Lewis N	Mills		
REQUESTED FROM:	Mary Hoyt			
DATE OF REQUEST:	OCTOBER 31, 2008			
Information Requested: Has A regulation (or a similar use of accelerated so, please provide that analysis.	depreciation)	ertaken any analysis that con with regulation that allows re	ecovery of CWIP? If	
Т	THIS RESPONSE	-		
Printed Materials Total #	•		Number of disks or tapes	
LIST PRINTED MATERIALS AND/OR FILES IN	ICLUDED:			
The information provided to the Office of is accurate and complete, and contains no known facts to the undersigned. The under Counsel if any matters are discovered whi information provided in response to the above the accuracy of the control of t	material misre ersigned agrees ich would mate	presentations or omissions ba to immediately inform the C rially affect the accuracy or or	ased upon present Office of the Public	
DATE RECEIVED:	Sic	GNED BY:		
	Tn	TLE:		

NUMBER 2002

REQUESTED BY:	Ryan K	ind		
REQUESTED FROM:	Tom Byrne			
DATE OF REQUEST:	November	3, 2008		
Information Requested: as a pdf file) of the Application 00004, dated July 24, 2008.		nplete unredacted copy (in ele or Callaway Plant Unit 2, sub		
	This Response	INCLUDES:		
Printed Materials Please number each section # of To	otal #	☐ Magnetic Media File formats for data:	Number of disks or tapes	
The information provided to the is accurate and complete, and coknown facts to the undersigned Counsel if any matters are discoinformation provided in response	ontains no material misre The undersigned agrees overed which would mate	presentations or omissions base to immediately inform the Carially affect the accuracy or of	ased upon present Office of the Public	
DATE RECEIVED:	Sic	GNED BY:		
	Tr	ΓLE:		

NUMBER 2003

PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST

Union Electric Company **CASE NO.:** EO-2009-0126

n Kind			
Byrne			
BER 3, 2008			
Information Requested: Please provide a copy of the analysis that was performed by or for UE or its affiliates to calculate the six figures that appear in the table entitled "Callaway Plant Unit 2 Projected Project Cost (\$millions)" that appears on page 1-12 of Part 1 of UE's COLA for Callaway 2.			
NSE INCLUDES:			
☐ Magnetic Media Number of disks or tapes : File formats for data:			
Counsel in response to the above information request hisrepresentations or omissions based upon present grees to immediately inform the Office of the Public materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the nation.			
SIGNED BY:			

NUMBER 2004

PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST

Union Electric Company **CASE NO.:** EO-2009-0126

REQUESTED BY:	Ryan Kir	d	
REQUESTED FROM:	Tom Byrne		
DATE OF REQUEST:	November 3	, 2008	
Information Requested: Please provide a complete unredacted copy (in electronic format such as a pdf file) of UE's Part I application for loan guarantees for Callaway 2 from the DOE loan guarantee program under Title XVII of the Energy Policy Act of 2005.			
	This Response I	NCLUDES:	
☐ Printed Materials Please number each section o	Total Pages f multiple pages as:	☐ Magnetic Media Number of disks or tape File formats for data:	
<u>#</u> of <u>Tota</u>	<u>ıl #</u>		
LIST PRINTED MATERIALS AND/OR	FILES INCLUDED:		
is accurate and complete, and con	itains no material misre The undersigned agrees ered which would mate	insel in response to the above information request presentations or omissions based upon present to immediately inform the Office of the Public rially affect the accuracy or completeness of the on.	
DATE RECEIVED:	Sic	GNED BY:	
	Ti	rle:	

REQUESTED BY:	Ryan K	ind		
REQUESTED FROM:	Tom Byrne			
DATE OF REQUEST:	November	3, 2008		
Information Requested: Please provide a complete unredacted copy (in electronic format such as a pdf file) of UE's Part II application for loan guarantees for Callaway 2 from the DOE loan guarantee program under Title XVII of the Energy Policy Act of 2005. If UE has not yet filed a Part II application, please provide a statement to that effect.				
	THIS RESPONSE	Includes:		
☐ Printed Materials Please number each section # of ☐ LIST PRINTED MATERIALS AND	<u> Cotal #</u>		Number of disks or tapes	
The information provided to the is accurate and complete, and a known facts to the undersigned Counsel if any matters are discinformation provided in response	contains no material misrept. The undersigned agrees covered which would mater	presentations or omissions bat to immediately inform the C rially affect the accuracy or c	ased upon present	
DATE RECEIVED:	Sic	NED BY:		
		LE:		

Union Electric Company **CASE NO.:** EO-2009-0126

REQUESTED BY:	Ryan Kine	d	
REQUESTED FROM:	Tom Byrn	e	
DATE OF REQUEST:	November 3,	2008	
Information Requested: UE's COLA for Callaway 2 states on page 1-13 of Part 1 that "an acceptable federal loan guarantee program must be accompanied by approval of the Missouri Public Service Commission to fully recover AmerenUE's costs as well as any associated contractual payment obligations that it assumes related to the project." Please fully explain why UE believes that "an acceptable federal loan guarantee program must be accompanied by approval of the Missouri Public Service Commission to fully recover AmerenUE's costs as well as any associated contractual payment obligations that it assumes related to the project."			
	THIS RESPONSE 1	NCLUDES:	
<u>#</u> of	Total Pages ction of multiple pages as: Total #		Number of disks or tapes
LIST PRINTED MATERIALS	AND/OR FILES INCLUDED.		
The information provided to the Office of the Public Counsel in response to the above information request is accurate and complete, and contains no material misrepresentations or omissions based upon present known facts to the undersigned. The undersigned agrees to immediately inform the Office of the Public Counsel if any matters are discovered which would materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the information provided in response to the above information.			
DATE RECEIVED:		GNED BY:	
	Т	ITLE:	

REQUESTED BY:	Ryan K	ind	
REQUESTED FROM:	Tom By	/rne	
DATE OF REQUEST:	November	3, 2008	
Information Requested: UE's COLA for Callaway 2 states on page 1-13 of Part 1 that "an acceptable federal loan guarantee program must be accompanied by approval of the Missouri Public Service Commission to fully recover AmerenUE's costs as well as any associated contractual payment obligations that it assumes related to the project." Please specify the timing of the Missouri PSC "approval" that is referenced in the preceding quote. In particular, would UE seek this "approval" prior to making a decision about whether to build Callaway unit 2?			
	THIS RESPONSE	INCLUDES:	
Printed Materials Please number each section # of To LIST PRINTED MATERIALS AND/O	otal #		Number of disks or tapes
The information provided to the is accurate and complete, and co known facts to the undersigned. Counsel if any matters are discoinformation provided in response	Office of the Public Cour ntains no material misrep. The undersigned agrees t	to immediately inform the C	ased upon present
DATE RECEIVED:	SIGN	NED BY:	
		E:	

NUMBER 2008

PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST

Union Electric Company **CASE NO.:** EO-2009-0126

REQUESTED BY:	Ryan Kind					
REQUESTED FROM:	Tom Byrne					
DATE OF REQUEST:	November 3, 2008					
Information Requested:	Please provide a copy of UE's current plan for financing construction					
of the Callaway 2 plant. If no such plan exists, please provide a statement to that effect.						
THIS RESPONSE INCLUDES:						
☐ Printed Materials Please number each section		-	Number of disks or tapes			
<u>#</u> of <u>1</u>						
LIST PRINTED MATERIALS AND	VOR FILES INCLUDED:					
The information provided to t is accurate and complete, and known facts to the undersigne Counsel if any matters are dis information provided in response	contains no material misreged. The undersigned agrees covered which would mate	presentations or omissions batto immediately inform the Crially affect the accuracy or o	ased upon present Office of the Public			
DATE RECEIVED:		GNED BY:				

NUMBER 2009

REQUESTED BY:	Ryan Kind Tom Byrne				
REQUESTED FROM:					
DATE OF REQUEST:	November 3, 2008				
Information Requested: construction and/or operation that effect.		y of UE's current business plants of uE's current business plants of no such plants exists, please p			
	THIS RESPONSE	Includes:			
	····		Number of disks or tapes		
The information provided to the is accurate and complete, and known facts to the undersigne Counsel if any matters are discinformation provided in response	contains no material misre d. The undersigned agrees covered which would mate	presentations or omissions bas to immediately inform the Or rially affect the accuracy or co	sed upon present ffice of the Public		
DATE RECEIVED:	Sic	ENED BY:			
	Tri	TLE:			

REQUESTED BY:	Ryan Kind				
REQUESTED FROM:	Tom Byrne				
DATE OF REQUEST:	NOVEMBER 3, 2008				
Information Requested: with the planning or construct proceed with construction of t	ion of Callaway 2 that UE	re-approvals of the prudency believes will be necessary be	efore UE decides to		
and the second s	This Response				
☐ Printed Materials Please number each section	Total Pages on of multiple pages as:		Number of disks or tape:		
<u>#</u> of <u>'</u>	<u> Fotal #</u>				
LIST PRINTED MATERIALS AND	O/OR FILES INCLUDED:				
The information provided to to is accurate and complete, and known facts to the undersigned Counsel if any matters are distinformation provided in response.	contains no material misre ed. The undersigned agrees scovered which would mate	presentations or omissions be to immediately inform the operally affect the accuracy or	ased upon present Office of the Public		
DATE RECEIVED:	Si	GNED BY:			
	Tı	TLE:			

One Ameren Plaza 1901 Chouteau Avenue PO Box 66149 St. Louis. MO 63166-6149

314,554,3484 314,554,4014 (fax) wtatro@gameren.com

November 10, 2008

Lewis Mills Public Counsel 200 Madison Street, Ste 650 Jefferson City, MO 65102

RE:

EO-2009-0126

Data Requests 2001-2024 and 7001-7005



Dear Mr. Mills:

I write regarding the three sets of "data requests" identified as pertaining to docket number EO-2009-0126.

In my opinion, these data requests are not authorized by the statutes and rules governing discovery. Data requests, like other discovery tools, are available "as in civil actions in the circuit court." 4 CSR 240-2.090(1). Data requests do not exist in a vacuum; they must be related to some pending action. There is no civil or even administrative contested proceeding pending. The Commission did not sustain your motion to open an investigatory docket. The fact that a docket number exists in EFIS does not constitute a pending action. The docket number is simply the result of the Office of Public Council submitting a pleading in EFIS, which by its nature automatically creates a number.

With regard to these data requests, we believe most of them would be objectionable in any event, depending in part of the precise nature and scope of any proper case in which they might be made. I would note in this regard that no one knows for sure whether there will ever be a proper case in which discovery is appropriate or what its scope would be, a fact that itself points out the problem with requests such as these. Assuming, however, that the scope of some hypothetical proper case might exist and that the scope would be something similar to that proposed in your initial pleading, the following objections apply:

General Objection to all data requests issued: The requests are objectionable because some or all of them are overly broad, unreasonable, and wholly unauthorized by any applicable statute or rule relating to discovery, and because they seek to impose upon the Company burdens in addition to those imposed under Missouri law and procedure. They are also unduly burdensome and overbroad in light of the apparent scope of your requested investigation. Out of the thirty data

requests received to date, approximately one-fifth may relate to the issue of potential financing methods for a second Callaway nuclear plant. The remaining four-fifths of the data requests relate to OPC's desire to prove its assertion that AmerenUE has already made a firm decision to build Callaway II (which, of course, it has not).

Even the data requests which ask for information related to the issue of how to finance a potential second nuclear plant are objectionable. Besides the objections listed above, one or more of the data requests seek information that is privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure because of attorney-client privilege or because it seeks legal analysis or strategy to be employed by Amerent JE in the future.

Please call if you wish to discuss this letter.

Sincerely,

Wendy K. Tatro

Associate General Counsel