Exhibit No.:

*Issue(s):* Policy

Witness: Natelle Dietrich

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony

Case No.: EM-2017-0226

Date Testimony Prepared: March 27, 2017

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION

## **SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

**OF** 

**NATELLE DIETRICH** 

## GREAT PLAINS ENERGY INCORPORATED CASE NO. EM-2017-0226

Jefferson City, Missouri March 2017

#### 1 SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY 2 OF 3 NATELLE DIETRICH 4 GREAT PLAINS ENERGY INCORPORATED 5 CASE NO. EM-2017-0226 6 Q. Please state your name. 7 A. My name is Natelle Dietrich. 8 Are you the same Natelle Dietrich that filed Direct Testimony in this case on Q. 9 December 9, 2016? 10 A. Yes I am. 11 Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony? 12 A. The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to respond to the Rebuttal Testimony of 13 Joseph A. Herz on behalf of the City of Independence, Missouri and the Rebuttal Testimony 14 of Michael P. Gorman on behalf of Midwest Energy Consumers' Group, filed on 15 February 14, 2017, in Case No. EE-2017-0113, and the Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. Gorman 16 filed on March 23, 2017 in this case. Both Mr. Herz and Mr. Gorman identify concerns with 17 the request by Great Plains Energy Incorporated for Commission approval of GPE's 18 acquisition of Westar Energy, Inc., and the grant of any associated variances ("proposed 19 transaction"). Does Staff share the same concerns identified in the Rebuttal Testimonies of Mr. Herz 20 Q. 21 and Mr. Gorman? 22 A. Yes, as indicated in Staff's Investigation Report filed in Case No. EM-2016-0324 on 23 July 25, 2016, attached as Exhibit A to Staff's Response to MECG filed on March 2, 2017 in

3

this docket and attached as Exhibit A, Staff had many of the same concerns as Mr. Herz and

2 Mr. Gorman.

A.

Q. Has Staff's concerns been mitigated?

5

4

concerns have been mitigated by the Stipulations and Agreements between the Joint

Yes, as indicated in my Direct Testimony filed in Case No. EE-2017-0113, Staff's

6

Applicants and Staff and the Joint Applicants and the Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC")

7

filed in Case No. EE-2017-0113 on October 12, 2016, and October 26, 2016, respectively.

8

Similarly, many of the same concerns were identified by the Kansas Corporation Commission

9

("KCC") staff in its rebuttal testimony filed in KCC Docket No. 16-KCPE-593-ACQ. That

10

testimony, and a summary of Staff's analysis of that testimony with identification of the

11

corresponding Joint Applicants/Staff or Joint Applicants/OPC condition(s) that respond to the

12

KCC staff concerns, can be found in the Staff Report attached as Exhibit B to Staff's

13

Response to MECG filed on March 2, 2017 in this docket. As noted in the Staff Report, the

14

Missouri merger standard – not detrimental to the public interest – is different than the KCC

15

merger standard - promotes the public interest. For ease of reference, the Staff Report is

16

attached to this testimony as Exhibit B.

additional conditions that Staff would recommend?

17

Q. Mr. Gorman, in his rebuttal testimonies, recommends additional conditions. Are there

18

A. Yes. Exhibit MPG-1 attached to the March 23, 2017 rebuttal testimony of Mr. Gorman

20

19

and Exhibit A of the Staff Report, attached herein, includes additional conditions Joint

21

Applicants' witness Darrin Ives committed to in response to KCC staff testimony. Staff

22

recommends the Commission include those additional conditions in any order approving the

Stipulations and Agreements and the proposed transaction. Specifically, the additional conditions are summarized below:

- No. 4 collective bargaining
- No. 7 staff reductions through natural attrition
- No. 8 consider targeted voluntary staffing reductions if natural attrition is not sufficient, and enhance KCPL and GMO employee severance packages
- No. 9 maintain and promote all low-income assistance programs consistent
  with those in place at all operating utility companies, except as provided for in
  the Corporate Social Responsibility section of the Joint Applicant/OPC
  Stipulation and Agreement
- No. 11 separation of assets of KCPL, GMO and Westar; conduct business as separate legal entities; maintain existing regulated and non-regulated business operations
- No. 12 provision that KCPL/GMO or Westar will include in any debt or credit instrument any financial covenants or default triggers related to GPE or any or its affiliates
- No. 14 provision that if the costs of returning KCPL or GMO to investment grade are above benefits, they shall be required to show and explain why it is not necessary, or cost effective, to take such actions and how they will continue to provide efficient and sufficient service in Missouri
- No. 22 KCPL and GMO fuel and purchased power costs shall not be adversely impacted as a result of the proposed transaction

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Plains Energy Incorporated of its Acquisition of Westa	l for App	proval	) ) )	Case No. EM-2017-0226	<u>í</u>
A	FFIDA	VIT OF	NATELLE	DIETRICH	
STATE OF MISSOURI	)				
COUNTY OF COLE	)	SS.			
COMES NOW Natell	e Dietri	ch and o	n her oath d	leclares that she is of sound	mind and
lawful age; that she contril	buted to	the fore	going Surrel	outtal Testimony; and that the	e same is
true and correct according	to her be	est know	ledge and be	lief.	
Further the Affiant says	eth not.				
			Mari	a Dutruh	
				telle Dietrich	

#### **JURAT**

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 27th day of March, 2017.

JESSICA LUEBBERT
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: February 19, 2019
Commission Number: 15633434

Notary Public