

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
STATE OF MISSOURI**

Director of the Manufactured Housing)	
and Modular Units Program of the)	
Public Service Commission,)	
)	
Complainant,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. MC-2004-0078
)	
A & G Commercial Trucking, Inc.,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

**MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT OR
ALTERNATIVE MOTION TO STRIKE**

COMES NOW Respondent A & G Commercial Trucking, Inc., by and through its undersigned attorneys, and for its Motion to Dismiss Complaint or Alternative Motion to Strike states the following:

Motion to Dismiss

For its Motion to Dismiss, Respondent states the following:

1. The relief requested by the Complainant in his Complaint includes a request for a finding by the Public Service Commission (the "Commission") that Respondent sold or offered for sale certain manufactured homes without a seal in violation of law.
2. Respondent denies the allegations contained in the Complaint.
3. The "seal" requirements of Chapter 700 RSMo., and the enforcement/penalty provisions contained in Section 700.045 RSMo., are preempted by 42 USC Section 5403(d), which states that any federal manufactured home construction or safety standard supersedes any state construction or safety standard which is not "identical" to the Federal standard. The Federal standards contain no provision

concerning a "seal." Because Section 700.010 is not identical to the Federal standards with respect to labels and seals, it is preempted by 42 USC Section 5403(d). In addition, the Federal standards do not contain any provision for conviction of a manufactured home dealer for a misdemeanor for selling a manufactured home without a seal required by Section 700.010. Accordingly, Section 700.045 RSMo., which declares certain acts to be misdemeanors, is superseded by the Federal standards, including but not limited to 42 USC Section 5403(d).

4. For the above-stated reasons, the Complaint filed in this cause should be dismissed.

5. The Commission has no jurisdiction to consider this cause or to grant the relief sought by Complainant in this cause, and the Commission's consideration of this cause is beyond the scope of its powers because the Missouri General Assembly has not authorized the Commission to impose the penalties sought by Complainant in this cause.

6. Respondent is not a registered dealer and is not required to be such, and therefore the Commission has no jurisdiction over Respondent.

7. Any civil penalty, criminal penalty or suspension imposed by the Commission in this cause would constitute a taking of property without just compensation in violation of the Missouri Constitution and the Fifth Amendment and Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

8. This proceeding violates the Fourth Amendment, Fifth Amendment, and Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution, and the due process clauses contained in the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and in Article I, Section 10 of the Constitution of the State of Missouri.

9. The Commission has no jurisdiction or legal authority to consider this cause as any purported delegation to the Commission of the power, right, or authority to consider or preside over this cause constitutes an illegal and unconstitutional delegation of powers to the Commission.

10. The civil penalties sought by Complainant in this cause are actually penal in nature and therefore constitute criminal penalties, which the Commission is not authorized to impose. Accordingly, the Commission has no jurisdiction to consider this cause, and this cause violates the Fourth Amendment, Fifth Amendment, and Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution as well as Article I, Section 10 of the Constitution of the State of Missouri.

11. Article I, Section 31 of the Constitution of the State of Missouri states that an administrative agency may not establish a rule which fixes a fine for violation of that rule. Complainant is an administrative agency which according to the Complainant's Complaint created the administrative rule on which Complainant is relying, and Complainant is now purporting to sit in the position of both prosecutor and finder of fact, which procedure and imposition of sanctions violates Article I, Section 31 of the Constitution of the State of Missouri.

12. Chapter 700 of the Missouri Revised Statutes does not empower the Commission to hold the proceedings sought to be had herein which are hence beyond the scope of those powers delegated to the Commission by the Missouri General Assembly.

13. Respondent requests oral argument and a hearing on this motion to dismiss and alternative motion to strike.

14. Complainant and Respondent have settled completely and fully all claims and controversies in this case pursuant to a written settlement stipulation. Respondent, therefore, pleads the affirmative defenses of settlement, release, waiver, and accord and satisfaction.

WHEREFORE, Respondent prays that the Commission dismiss the Complaint with prejudice and tax any taxable costs in this matter to Complainant.

Alternative Motion to Strike

For its Motion to Strike, which is made in the alternative to the Motion to Dismiss stated above and in the event the Commission overrules the above Motion to Dismiss, Respondent states the following:

1. The basis of the Complaint in this case is an allegation that Respondent sold the subject manufactured homes without HUD labels in violation of law, which violation is denied by Respondent.
2. The Complaint in this cause contains numerous allegations which are irrelevant, immaterial, and superfluous to the matters at issue before the Commission. Specifically, the allegations made in paragraphs 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 are immaterial and irrelevant and are made only in an effort to prejudice the trier of fact.
3. Pursuant to Commission Rule, the Commission may strike irrelevant allegations in a Complaint.

WHEREFORE, in the alternative to the Motion to Dismiss stated above, Respondent prays that the Commission strike the allegations contained in paragraphs 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 of the Complaint filed in this cause.

/s/ Thomas M. Harrison

Thomas M. Harrison

Van Matre and Harrison, P.C.

1103 East Broadway, Suite 101

P. O. Box 1017

Columbia, Missouri 65205

(573) 874-7777

Missouri Bar Number 36617

Attorney for A & G Commercial Trucking, Inc.

The undersigned certifies that a complete and conformed copy of the foregoing document was mailed to each attorney who represents any party to the foregoing action, by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid in the proper amount, at said attorney's business address.

/s/ Thomas M. Harrison

Dated: March 25, 2004