



Federal Communications Commission
Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau
Office of The Bureau Chief

CGB

06 May 2004

Via MAIL and FASCIMILE

The Honorable Stan Wise
Commissioner, Georgia Public Service Commission
President, National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners
244 Washington Street, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334

Dear Stan:

I want to express my deep appreciation for the efforts of National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) and its members in making the initial deployment of wireless number portability such a success. Since November 24, 2003, more than three million consumers have been able to choose a new wireless carrier or switch between a wireless and wireline carrier without having to sacrifice their telephone number. As you know, after May 24, 2004, consumers outside of the top markets will possess the power to make the same choice. In light of the approaching opportunity for *all* American consumers to take their phone numbers with them, I wanted to write you out of concern about certain rural wireline carriers' requests for waivers of their porting obligations that are pending in many states.

When considering requests to waive these important, consumer-friendly obligations, states should remain mindful of the tremendous customer benefits that porting generates. I know that NARUC and the FCC agree that the ability of wireless and wireline consumers to port their numbers remains central to producing competition, choice, lower costs, and increased innovation. These benefits are particularly important in rural areas where competition may be less robust than in more urban markets.

It is with those policies in mind that I hope that you, in your capacity as NARUC's president, will encourage state commissions to hold carriers that seek waivers of their porting obligations to the appropriate standard of review. At this point, I understand that many rural wireline carriers have sought waivers of their obligations, and that, in some cases, waivers have been granted. Of course, states have jurisdiction to waive porting obligations for certain rural telephone companies under Section 251 (f) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, where carriers demonstrate undue economic burden or technological infeasibility. I think we can agree that the State commissions should strictly apply that statutory standard so that the rights of consumers are protected. I encourage the State commissions to ensure that carriers seeking waivers demonstrate that they are on a path to compliance so that customers of these

Exhibit A

carriers will not be forever denied the rights their fellow consumers enjoy. If relief were to be granted in the absence of extraordinary circumstances, or for indefinite periods, it would be a setback for rural consumers. It should be noted that some of the same carriers that now seek to have their porting obligations waived have long known that they would, absent a demonstration of undue burden, be required to provide porting to both wireline and wireless carriers.

As we approach the May 24, 2004 deadline for nationwide local number portability deployment, the FCC looks forward to working with NARUC and the State Commissions to make sure that the interests of the American consumer are protected. Because of the publicity regarding the nationwide implementation of wireless and intermodal LNP, consumers in all markets will expect to receive its benefits. Where it is deemed appropriate to grant relief, it is important that consumers be educated so that they can make informed decisions as to their telephone service.

I would be happy to discuss this issue further with you or any of your members in the coming weeks.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "K. Dane Snowden", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

K. Dane Snowden
Chief
Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau



Federal Communications Commission
Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau
Office of The Bureau Chief

CGB

06 May 2004

Via MAIL and FASCIMILE

The Honorable Stan Wise
Commissioner, Georgia Public Service Commission
President, National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners
244 Washington Street, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334

Dear Stan:

I want to express my deep appreciation for the efforts of National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) and its members in making the initial deployment of wireless number portability such a success. Since November 24, 2003, more than three million consumers have been able to choose a new wireless carrier or switch between a wireless and wireline carrier without having to sacrifice their telephone number. As you know, after May 24, 2004, consumers outside of the top markets will possess the power to make the same choice. In light of the approaching opportunity for *all* American consumers to take their phone numbers with them, I wanted to write you out of concern about certain rural wireline carriers' requests for waivers of their porting obligations that are pending in many states.

When considering requests to waive these important, consumer-friendly obligations, states should remain mindful of the tremendous customer benefits that porting generates. I know that NARUC and the FCC agree that the ability of wireless and wireline consumers to port their numbers remains central to producing competition, choice, lower costs, and increased innovation. These benefits are particularly important in rural areas where competition may be less robust than in more urban markets.

It is with those policies in mind that I hope that you, in your capacity as NARUC's president, will encourage state commissions to hold carriers that seek waivers of their porting obligations to the appropriate standard of review. At this point, I understand that many rural wireline carriers; have sought waivers of their obligations, and that, in some cases, waivers have been granted. Of course, states have jurisdiction to waive porting obligations for certain rural telephone companies under Section 251(f) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, where carriers demonstrate undue economic burden or technological infeasibility. I think we can agree that the State commissions should strictly apply that statutory standard so that the rights of consumers are protected. I encourage the State commissions to ensure that carriers seeking waivers demonstrate that they are on a path to compliance so that customers of these

Exhibit A

carriers will not be forever denied the rights their fellow consumers enjoy. If relief were to be granted in the absence of extraordinary circumstances, or for indefinite periods, it would be a setback for rural consumers. It should be noted that some of the same carriers that now seek to have their porting obligations waived have long known that they would, absent a demonstration of undue burden, be required to provide porting to both wireline and wireless carriers.

As we approach the May 24, 2004 deadline for nationwide local number portability deployment, the FCC looks forward to working with NARUC and the State Commissions to make sure that the interests of the American consumer are protected. Because of the publicity regarding the nationwide implementation of wireless and intermodal LNP, consumers in all markets will expect to receive its benefits. Where it is deemed appropriate to grant relief, it is important that consumers be educated so that they can make informed decisions as to their telephone service.

I would be happy to discuss this issue further with you or any of your members in the coming weeks.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "K. Dane Snowden". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

K. Dane Snowden
Chief
Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

CC: Commissioner Robert Nelson, Chair, Telecommunications Committee, NARUC
Commissioner Carl Wood, Chair, Consumer Affairs Committee, NARUC
John Muleta, Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
William Maher, Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau