BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application)
Of the Empire District Electric)
Company for Authority to Issue)
And Sell Under Its AExisting)
Indenture of Mortgage and Deed)
Of Trust Dated as of September 1,)
1994, as Amended and Supplemented)Case No.
EF-2004-0109
Up to and Including \$200,000,000)
Principal Amount of Its First)
Mortgage Bonds in One or More)
Series and to, Among Other Things,)
Execute and Deliver a Supplemental)
Indenture or Indentures to Provide)
For the Terms of Said Bonds.)

PRE-CONFERENCE HEARING

TRANSCRIPT PROCEEDINGS

VOLUME 1

JUDGE KEVIN THOMPSON, Presiding

DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

FILED

OCT 6. 2003

Missouri Public Service Commission

ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS

714 West High Street • Jefferson City, MO 65109 1,573.636.7551 • 1.888.636.7551 • 1.573.636.9055 (Fax) Jefferson City • Columbia • Rolla • St. Louis • Clayton • St. Charles www.missouridepos.com

spherion.

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI
3	
4	In the Matter of the Application) Of the Empire District Electric)
5	Company for Authority to Issue) And Sell Under Its AExisting)
6	Indenture of Mortgage and Deed) Of Trust Dated as of September 1,)
7	1994, as Amended and Supplemented)Case No. EF-2004-0109 Up to and Including \$200,000,000)
8	Principal Amount of Its First) Mortgage Bonds in One or More)
9	Series and to, Among Other Things,) Execute and Deliver a Supplemental)
10	Indenture or Indentures to Provide) For the Terms of Said Bonds.)
11	
12	PRE-CONFERENCE HEARING
13	TRANSCRIPT PROCEEDINGS
14	VOLUME 1
15	
	TIMAS VICITIA MUNICIPALITY D
16	JUDGE KEVIN THOMPSON, Presiding
16 17	DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
	DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
17 18 19	DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE Thursday, September 18, 2003 10:00 a.m.
17 18 19	Thursday, September 18, 2003 10:00 a.m. Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street
17 18 19 20	DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE Thursday, September 18, 2003 10:00 a.m. Public Service Commission
17 18 19 20 21	Thursday, September 18, 2003 10:00 a.m. Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO
17 18 19 20 21 22	Thursday, September 18, 2003 10:00 a.m. Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO REPORTED BY: Monnie S. VanZant, CCR, CSR, RPR Associated Court Reporters
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Thursday, September 18, 2003 10:00 a.m. Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO REPORTED BY: Monnie S. VanZant, CCR, CSR, RPR Associated Court Reporters 714 W. High Street Jefferson City, MO 65102
17 18 19 20 21 22	Thursday, September 18, 2003 10:00 a.m. Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO REPORTED BY: Monnie S. VanZant, CCR, CSR, RPR Associated Court Reporters 714 W. High Street

1	APPEARANCES
2	For Empire District Electric Company:
3	Mr. Paul A. Boudreau
4	& James C. Swearengen Brydon, Swearengen & England
5	312 E. Capitol Ave. Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456 (573) 635-7166
7	
8	For Public Service Commission:
9	Mr. Dennis L. Frey & Steve Dottheim Missouri Public Service Commission
10	P.O. Box 320 200 Madison Street, Suite 305
11	Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-8700
12	(3/3/ /31 0/00
13	For Office of the Public Counsel:
14	Mr. John Coffman Office of Public Counsel
15	P.O. Box 7800 200 Madison Street
16	Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-5565
17	
18	INDEX
19	WITNESS: GREGORY KNAPP PAGE
20	Examination by Mr. Boudreau 6
21	-
22	Examination by Mr. Coffman 8
23	
24	
25	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE THOMPSON: Good morning. We are here in the matter of the Application of Empire District Electric Company for authority to issue and sell under its existing indenture of mortgage and deed of trust dated as of September 1, 1944, as amended and supplemented up to and including \$200 million, prinicpal amount of its first mortgage bonds, in one or more series and to, among other things, execute and deliver a supplemental indenture or indentures to provide for the terms of said bonds, Case No. EF-2004-0109.

My name is Kevin Thompson. I am not the judge assigned to preside over this case. I am filling in for Judge Mills, who is otherwise occupied.

We will go ahead and take oral entries of appearance at this time. Why don't we begin with the company?

MR. BOUDREAU: Very good. Let the record reflect the appearance of Paul A. Boudreau on behalf of the Empire District Electric Company. My address is -- or I'm with the law firm of Brydon, Swearengen &

England, Post Office Box 456, 312 East Capitol 1 2 Avenue, Jefferson City, Missouri. 3 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir. 4 Staff? 5 MR. FREY: Thanks, Judge. Representing the staff of the Missouri Public 6 7 Service Commission, Dennis L. Frey and Steve Dottheim, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, 8 9 Missouri, 65101. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. 10 11 Frey. Public counsel? 12 MR. COFFMAN: Yes. Appearing on 13 behalf of the Office of Public Counsel, John 14 B. Coffman, P.O. Box 7800, Jefferson City, 15 Missouri, 65102. 16 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 17 here an application filed on August 25th, a 18 motion for expedited treatment filed simultaneously, which requests that the 19 Commission issue its order granting the 20 21 requested authority no later than September 22 19th, which is set tomorrow. 23 MR. BOURDREAU: That's tomorrow. 24 JUDGE THOMPSON: That is tomorrow. 25 To be effective no later than September 30th.

I have staff's response filed, I think, the 29th perhaps?

MR. BOUDREAU: I believe that's correct.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Telling me that they -- they look with disfavor upon the request for expedited treatment and explaining that they can't possibly file their memo before November 26th. Then there is a reply filed by the company, I believe, September 5th, explaining that there is a treasury lock forward transaction, a phrase pregnant with meaning but absolutely obscure to someone like me, which will expire by October 15th, and that this creates and exigency requiring that the Commission act in an expedited manner. So why don't we begin by having someone explain to me what a treasury lock forward transaction is?

MR. BOUDREAU: That would probably fall to me if -- if it -- if it's okay with everybody.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Are we going to have to take expert testimony on this?

MR. BOUDREAU: I may want to defer

to Mr. Knapp to explain in summary fashion, if 1 2 that's acceptable to everybody else. I don't know if you need to swear him in or just for 3 information purposes your -- we can just have 4 5 Mr. Knapp explain the instrument. 6 JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Knapp, why 7 don't you come on up and sit in my witness chair? 8 9 MR. KNAPP: Yes, sir. 10 JUDGE THOMPSON: State your name, 11 please. 12 MR. KNAPP: Gregory A. Knapp. GREGORY A. KNAPP, 13 being first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole 14 truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: 15 16 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. You may 17 inquire. DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 19 BY MR. BOUDREAU:

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Thank you. Mr. Knapp, would you please explain for the benefit of the hearings officer and actually for your own lawyer and the other lawyers in the room what a treasury lock forward instrument is in -- is in as concise a way as you can?

A Right. Okay. It -- this agreement -- this -this arrangement is -- an arrangement whereby
the company entered into a contract with a
third party, another party, someone I'll call
a counter party, in this case, it is our
investment banker, to lock in an interest rate
that is tied to -- in the case of the one
we're talking about here, the 30-year treasury
notes.

JUDGE THOMPSON: So just like when I go to refinance my house, and I want to lock in my rate?

A Exactly.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay.

A We entered into an arrangement to enter into this lock. The lock is for a certain amount of money for a certain lengths of time. The time frame that is part of this contract does expire October 15th. So our interest rate lock that we would have is good through the 15th of October. At that point, to the extent we finance after that point, we're subject to interest rate fluctuations from the point of that lock to whatever the interest rate happens to be.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 1 2 you, Mr. Boudreau. Mr. Frey? 3 MR. FREY: Yes, your Honor. 4 JUDGE THOMPSON: Any questions? 5 MR. FREY: I have no questions, your 6 Honor. 7 JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Coffman? 8 MR. COFFMAN: Yes. 9 EXAMINATION BY MR. COFFMAN: 10 11 Q When did you enter into this agreement to lock 12 in an interest rate? 13 Α In the middle of May. 14 Q Okay. And why didn't Empire District Electric 15 Company file its application back in May? We had some finance -- we actually entered 16 Α 17 into two --18 MR. BOUDREAU: I -- at this point, I 19 realize that Mr. Knapp's taken the stand to 20 explain what this is, but it is turning out to 21 be a hearing on the merits, I think. 22 JUDGE THOMPSON: I agree. 23 MR. BOUDREAU: And it may be unfair 24 to everybody in the room, not the least of which is Mr. Frey, who on my representation I 25

don't think had all his folks down here. I thought I was going to be late.

JUDGE THOMPSON: I understand. And you're absolutely right. The only reason we put Mr. Knapp up was so he could tell us what a treasury lock forward transaction is. I don't think it's -- it's fair to any of the parties, and more particularly, I think the Commissioners would be awfully hot if we went ahead and entered into an evidentiary hearing on whether or not this expedited motion ought to be granted or not in their absence. So I'm going to go ahead and shut down this line of questioning, relevant and material as it is, for another time if necessary.

MR. SWEARENGEN: Just as a housekeeping matter, your Honor, perhaps
Mr. Knapp ought to say what his position is with the Empire District Electric Company so we do have that on the record.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Well, that's a good point. Why don't you fill us in on what you do for Empire?

MR. KNAPP: I am the vice president of finance, chief financial at Empire.

JUDGE ATHOMPSON: Thank you. You may step down. All we wanted was the definition. And any other allegation, innuendos or smears can be done just through written pleadings as necessary to move this thing along.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BOUDREAU: Fair enough.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Now that we know what that is and why it's important to the company, it's obvious that nothing's going to happen by tomorrow. I think the company can see that that is case. So the question, then, is when can something happen? I'm not -- I don't know that anyone is actually opposed to the transaction, that anyone's got to the point where they're saying this is a bad, bad thing for the rate payers or it's a bad, bad thing for Missouri or what have you. So I don't think we're in that position, at least not yet. I think there has certainly been some skepticism by staff and it appears by public counsel as to the pace. Is that fair, Mr. Coffman?

MR. COFFMAN: I'm simply curious.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. You're

curious. Okay. I'm just reading that into the questions that you sought to ask of Mr. Knapp. So leaving all those issues of, gosh, could you have filed this thing sooner, why didn't you call staff and tell them this was coming and all that kind of stuff, let's leave all that aside. And, instead, what I'd like to do is have you all open a -- a fruitful discussion on just how quickly this thing can happen, assuming that no one finds anything in all these indentures and what have you to raise a red flag. Okay?

I can tell you that I think assuming that everything else is in order that this is a reasonable kind of transaction that utility -- utility companies enter into and it's a reasonable amount and the purposes are sensible. All those things being equal, I think the Commissioners would look kindly upon the idea of, well, let' grab a good rate because, after all, the -- the rate payers will pay less, will they not, to pay back this money? So I think you will find that the Commission is perhaps not going to be disfavorable to the idea of acting as quickly

as reasonably possible. But, of course, that is the operative term. What is reasonably possible? I have no idea what staff has to do to review one of these things. I have no idea what you guys do to review one of these things. I don't know how quickly it could be done. I realize have you lots and lots and lots of other work to do and I could, you know, give you a list of other things I have to do, too..

MR.FREY: Judge, thank you. It probably is something of an over-statement to suggest at this point that the staff doesn't have substantive concerns with the application, at least at this point. It's not strictly a matter of how fast can we can do this. We -- we did attempt to indicate concern, for example, about the interest rates ceiling --

JUDGE THOMPSON: I saw that.

MR. FREY: -- in the pleading.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay.

MR. FREY: And as you've noted and as -- and has come out at this point, we were blind-sided as we indicated to the pleading.

And then we found out later about this derivative instrument. And so this raises a number of other questions that we have in connection with this application. So it -- it isn't strictly a matter of going through a routine exercise and just trying to find a way to get to the end of the road. We have some serious inquiry, I think, that we're going to have to do. And also discussions with the company, perhaps today, to help move this process forward.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Well, I think that's all very reasonable, and I understand those things. Nonetheless, is there -- is there any possibility that staff could come up with a memorandum or file a pleading opposing the transaction if, in fact, that's what staff decides is the right thing to do sooner than Thanksqiving?

MR. FREY: Well, that provides another opportunity, I guess, to perhaps correct at least an implication that your comments may have made. I think you said earlier that the staff indicated it was not possible to file a recommendation in this case

before November 26th.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Uh-huh.

MR. FREY: I believe we said no later than November 26th. I don't think we meant to foreclose the possibility of getting it done sooner than at the time.

JUDGE THOMPSON: The staff indicated a range and that was the end point of the range.

MR. FREY: I don't think we indicated a range. We just simply said that we would file no later than November 26th.

But didn't -- again, did not --

JUDGE THOMPSON: Well, excuse me.

As a judge, it's been my experience when a
lawyer tells me he's going to get me something
more later than X, I sure don't get it before
X, do I?

MR. FREY: Fair point.

JUDGE THOMPSON: That's just speaking from my position, but I hold out the possibility that that could happen. It could happen. What I want to know is how quickly -- what would be the early side of that range that staff could perhaps act by?

MR. FREY: Again, your Honor, I don't know that there's an early side. And perhaps this would be -- it would be appropriate to allow Mr. Schallenberg (ph.) to speak on this issue if that's agreeable to -- to everyone.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Well, then somebody's going to want to cross-examine him and get him to say stuff, and the Commissioners aren't here to listen to all that. So I'll --

MR. FREY: Then I'll have to consult with my client.

JUDGE THOMPSON: I'll let you do that off the record. Okay? What we're going to do we're going to finish up the recorded portion of this. We're going to go off the record, let you guys discuss whatever you want to in here without myself being present. I will just tell you what Judge Mills told me to tell you, which is that if you can't find a date, he's going to pick one. And it's not going to be as late as the one staff suggested and it's not going to be as early as the one the company suggested. It's going to be

somewhere in between. And he doesn't much care who is more irritated by it. Okay? So I suggest that you talk talk among yourselves and come up with a date that you can live with or else Judge Mills is going to find a date that you'll have to live with. All right. Anything further?

MR. BOUDREAU: No.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you very much. Nice to see you all this morning. The recorded portion is now adjourned.

б