BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI

Northeast Missouri Rural Telephone Company)	
)	
Complainant,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. IC-2008-0285
)	
AT&T Corp.)	
)	
Respondent.)	

Northeast Missouri Rural Telephone Company Reply to AT&T's Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Complaint

Comes now Northeast Missouri Rural Telephone Company, (hereinafter "Northeast"), for its Reply to AT&T Corp.'s Answer and Affirmative Defenses to the Complaint of Northeast, and replies as follows:

- 1. Northeast denies all affirmative defenses set forth in the Answer of AT&T Corp.
- 2. AT&T's affirmative defense paragraph 3 is or should be barred by the doctrines of estoppel and / or unclean hands, in that AT&T knowingly failed to make, keep, retain, disclose or provide to Northeast or other local exchange carriers across the United States, actual records of enhanced prepaid calling card ("EPPC") traffic misreported as interstate traffic instead of intrastate traffic, thus making impossible accurate audit of such traffic. Further, Northeast and AT&T between 2005 and 2008 engaged in unsuccessful discussions aimed at resolving the dispute, with mutual agreement that the time taken to engage in such discussions would toll any applicable limitation period.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A copy of this document was served on the following parties by e-mail on this 8th day of April, 2008:

General Counsel
Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
General.counsel@psc.mo.gov

Public Counsel Office of the Public Counsel P.O.Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102 opcservice@ded.mo.gov

Leo Bub Attorney for AT&T Corp. One AT&T Center, Room 3518 St. Louis, MO 63101 leo.bub@att.com

/s/	
Craig S. Johnson	