Exhibit No. Issues: Applicant, Qualifications, Capital Structure, Debt Financing, Staff Proposed Conditions, Tariffs and Rates, Public Interest Witness: Josiah Cox Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Sponsoring Party: Confluence Rivers File Nos.: WM-2018-0116 and SM-2018-0117 Date: November 19, 2018

Missouri Public Service Commission

Direct Testimony

of

Josiah Cox

On Behalf of

Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Inc.

November 19, 2018

Table of Contents

WITNESS INTRODUCTION	1
PURPOSE	3
CONFLUENCE RIVERS	4
QUALIFICATIONS	4
CAPITAL STRUCTURE	
DEBT FINANCING	
STAFF'S PROPOSED CONDITIONS	
TARIFFS AND RATES	
PUBLIC INTEREST	

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOSIAH COX CONFLUENCE RIVERS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.

1 WITNESS INTRODUCTION

2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A.	My name is Josiah Cox. My business address is 500 Northwest Plaza Drive,
4		Suite 500, St. Ann, MO, 63074.
5	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR POSITION WITH CONFLUENCE RIVERS UTILITY
6		OPERATING COMPANY, INC. (CONFLUENCE RIVERS OR COMPANY)?
7	A.	I hold the office of President of Confluence Rivers.
8	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL
9		EXPERIENCE.
10	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science with a major in Environmental Science from the
11		University of Kansas. After graduation and a brief tenure at the Kansas
12		Biological Survey, I was employed by Fribis Engineering, a Civil Engineering
13		Firm in Arnold, MO. I spent approximately two and a half years working with
14		Fribis Engineering. I was involved during that time in various facets of the land
15		development process to include permitting, entitlement, civil design, project
16		management, and construction management. I focused mainly on the water and
17		wastewater side of the civil engineering business and participated in every part of
18		the civil business from wasteload allocation studies (now known as the anti-
19		degradation processes), design, permitting, project management, and

1 construction management. I also ran the environmental consulting division and 2 was the second private consultant to submit a water quality impact study in the 3 state of Missouri in 2003. At Fribis Engineering, I joined the executive leadership 4 team and helped run all of the operations of the firm. Thereafter, in 2005, I raised 5 money from a group of investors and formed Trumpet LLC. Trumpet LLC was a 6 full-service civil engineering, environmental consulting, general contracting, and 7 construction management firm. In early 2006, I started the Executive Masters of 8 Business Administration (MBA) program at Washington University in St. Louis. I 9 graduated with my MBA from Washington University in the 2007. At Trumpet 10 LLC, as the Chief Operating Officer and finally Chief Executive Officer, I obtained 11 extensive experience with rural communities in every facet of the water and 12 wastewater compliance process including environmental assessment, permitting, 13 design, construction, operation and community administration of the actual water 14 and wastewater (sewerage) systems. At Trumpet, we performed stream 15 sampling and built waste-load allocation models to determine receiving water-16 body protective permit-able effluent pollutant loads. We have done full 17 engineering design of multiple whole community wastewater and water 18 infrastructure systems including wells, water distribution, water treatment, water 19 storage, wastewater conveyance, and wastewater treatment plants and taken 20 these designs through federal and state administered permitting processes in 21 Missouri. Trumpet also administered the construction of these water and 22 wastewater systems from green field site selection all the way through system 23 startup and final engineering sign off. In 2008, I took over the operations on an

1		existing rural non-profit sewer district and I still currently operate a system
2		managing the functioning, testing, and maintenance of the system. Finally, I also
3		act as the manager for this municipal system performing all the billing,
4		emergency response, accounts payable / accounts receivable, collections,
5		budgeting, customer service, and public meetings required to service the
6		community.
7	Q.	DO YOU ALSO HAVE A POSITION AT CENTRAL STATES WATER
8		RESOURCES, INC.?
9	A.	Yes. I have been the President of Central States Water Resources, Inc., which
10		manages First Round CSWR, LLC (First Round), for approximately four and a
11		half years. First Round, though its subsidiaries, has completed several
12		acquisition and financing cases with the Missouri Public Service Commission
13		(Commission).
14		
15		PURPOSE
16	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
17	A.	I will provide a description of Confluence Rivers and its qualifications to own and
18		operate the water and sewer systems it seeks to purchase. Further, I will
19		describe Confluence Rivers' efforts to obtain financing for the purchase and
20		improvement of the subject systems, as well as the capital structure Confluence
21		Rivers has targeted for this operation. Finally, I will state the Company's position
22		as to the conditions proposed by the Staff of the Commission, indicate what rates

1		and regulations Confluence Rivers seeks to use in providing service, and explain
2		why the proposed transactions are in the public interest.
3		
4		CONFLUENCE RIVERS
5	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE CONFLUENCE RIVERS.
6	A.	Confluence Rivers is a Missouri corporation with its principal office and place of
7		business at 500 Northwest Plaza Drive, Suite 500, St. Ann, MO, 63074.
8		Confluence Rivers is a Missouri corporation active and in good standing.
9		Confluence Rivers was formed for the purpose of providing water and sewer
10		service to the public and intends to be a "water corporation," a "sewer
11		corporation," and a "public utility" as those terms are defined in Section 386.020,
12		RSMo, and will be subject to the jurisdiction and supervision of the Commission.
13	Q.	IS CONFLUENCE RIVERS A PART OF A LARGER ORGANIZATION?
14	A.	Yes. First Round CSWR, LLC is Confluence Rivers' ultimate parent company.
15		Central States Water Resources, Inc. is the manager for First Round CSWR,
16		LLC. I commonly refer to the entire business organization as a whole by the
17		name "Central States" or "CSWR."
18		
19		QUALIFICATIONS
20	Q.	WHAT DOES CONFLUENCE RIVERS PROPOSE IN THIS MATTER?
21	A.	Confluence Rivers proposes to purchase substantially all of the water and/or
22		sewer assets of Smithview H2O Company; M.P.B., Inc. (also referred to as Villa

23 Ridge and Lake Virginia); Mill Creek Sewers, Inc.; Roy-L Utilities, Inc.; Port Perry

1		Service Company, LLC; Gladlo Utilities, Inc.; The Willows Utility Company, Inc.;
2		Majestic Lakes Homeowners Association, Inc.; Evergreen Lakes Water Supply
3		Co.; Eugene Water System, Cole County, MO; Calvey Brook Water, Inc. and
4		Calvey Brook Sewer, Inc.; Forest Ridge, LLC (Auburn Lake Estates);
5		(collectively, the "Selling Utilities").
6	Q.	WHAT IS THE GENERAL CONDITION OF THE SYSTEMS TO BE
7		ACQUIRED?
8	Α.	All of the systems to be acquired lack the financial, technical, and/or managerial
9		capacity needed to provide safe and reliable water and/or sewerage service.
10		The systems have distinct problems I will discuss on a system by system basis.
11		The Direct Testimony of Todd Thomas also addresses the conditions of the
12		systems of the Selling Utilities.
13 14		Kuhle H2O, Incorporated, (H2O Company's water):
15		Kuhle H2O, Incorporated, (Smithview) is an existing Commission-regulated
16		(drinking water only) utility located approximately three miles north of the city
17		limits of Columbia, MO in unincorporated Boone County. The water system is
18		approximately 44 years old. The existing owner abandoned the drinking water
19		system in the winter of 2016. The existing owner had not reinvested in the
20		system, was not correctly billing customers, and lacked an operator. At the
21		request of the PSC Staff, CSWR took over emergency interim operations of
22		Smithview on a path to future ownership (now the Confluence Rivers case) to
23		provide safe, reliable water service to existing customers until a larger

1	consolidated acquisition case could be brought before the Commission. The
2	Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) has sited Smithview for
3	numerous issues. Those MDNR/operation issues are detailed in Mr. Todd
4	Thomas' testimony but in general they revolve the water system being exposed
5	to potential pathogen contamination, a lack of functioning emergency backup
6	service, the main water tankage is failing, dilapidated well house which exposes
7	the system to pathogen while providing a security risk, and a lack MDNR
8	mandated Lead-Copper and Well Head plans.

9 In addition, the water tower, wellhouse, and access road do not have title to the10 property they sit on.

11 Existing Well House:



12

1 Mill Creek Sewers, Incorporated (Mill Creek):

2 Mill Creek Sewers, Inc. (Mill Creek) is an existing regulated wastewater system 3 located within the city limits of Florissant, MO in St. Louis County. Mill Creek has 4 been in state appointed receivership for 7 years. Mill Creek's systems is over 25 5 years old. As a receivership Mill Creek lacks access to the financial resources 6 needed to provide MDNR compliant safer sewerage service to residents. Mill 7 Creek is currently under a MDNR schedule of compliance and has MDNR 8 violations for three issues. The existing plant is required by MDNR to treat 9 waste for human pathogens (disinfection) and remove nutrients ammonia as 10 nitrogen, which the existing plant cannot treat. In addition Mill Creek currently 11 has sewer surcharge issues. These surcharges are the result of ground water 12 infiltration and inflow (I&I) and the community's sewer usage. After rain events 13 the WWTP is washed out into the receiving stream sending undertreated waste 14 into the environment.

15 In addition, the wastewater plant property does not belong to Mill Creek Sewers,

16 Inc., nor is there an existing easement to access the wastewater plant.

17

JOSIAH COX DIRECT TESTIMONY



1 2

3

M.P.B. Sewers, Inc. (Villa Ridge and Lake Virginia Wastewater Systems):

M.P.B. Sewer Inc.'s sewerage systems were abandoned by their original owners,
who were accused of falsifying wastewater testing data during their years of
operating wastewater plants. M.P.B. Sewer Inc. was placed into receivership
over four years ago. The Villa Ridge and Lake Virginia systems are being
purchased out of receivership.

9 Villa Ridge:

Villa Ridge is an existing regulated wastewater system located in Villa Ridge, MO
 in Franklin County. Villa Ridge is currently under a MDNR compliance schedule
 to disinfect human pathogens out of the wastewater and remove nutrients from

the wastewater. The wastewater plant's existing collection systems has rain and
groundwater infiltration and inflow problems (I&I) with the plant experiencing
wash outs during rain events. The plant's receiving creek has visible sludge
deposits. One of the two pump stations is not currently functional and has been
videoed draining directly into a lake located in the center of the residential
subdivision.

7 Lake Virginia:

8 Lake Virginia is an existing regulated wastewater system made up of two-tiered 9 lagoon without any mechanical systems located in Hematite, MO in Jefferson 10 County. Lake Virginia is currently under a MDNR compliance schedule to 11 disinfect human pathogens out of the wastewater and remove nutrients from the 12 wastewater. The wastewater plant's existing collection systems has rain and 13 groundwater infiltration and inflow problems (I&I) with the system backing up 14 manholes and flooding nearby residents' basements. The plant's receiving creek 15 has visible sludge deposits. The lagoon berms are visibly failing draining directly 16 into a creek near the residential subdivision.

17 In addition, the lagoons reside on property that the utility does not own.

18 Majestic Lakes Homeowners Association (Majestic Lakes):

19 Majestic Lakes Homeowners Association (Majestic Lakes) is an existing

20 unregulated wastewater system located approximately 2 miles southeast of

- 21 Moscow Mills, MO in unincorporated Lincoln County. The community's original
- 22 developer lost the development in the great recession, and the community was
- 23 liquidated by the back to a third-party financial firm.

Majestic Lakes is subject to a Missouri Attorney General Enforcement Action and
 has been under an MDNR building moratorium. The Attorney General Action
 and moratorium revolve around the fact that the concrete walls on the poured-in place wastewater system are failing. CSWR has a settlement agreement with
 MDNR and the MO Attorney General. A quote from MDNR regarding Majestic
 Lakes:

7 During the inspection, staff observed that the headworks bar screen 8 concrete box was leaking around the base; wastewater was leaking 9 from the cracks in the concrete walls, both of which are bypasses of 10 the treatment process; and a metal plate had been installed 11 between the outside wall and the aeration tank wall for temporary 12 reinforcement. Staff also observed that the recirculation pump was 13 nonoperational; the transducer for measuring flow was also 14 nonoperational and in need of repair; the emergency generator at 15 the WWTF had been removed; and the generator at the lift station 16 had not been repaired since it was flooded in 2008.

17

JOSIAH COX DIRECT TESTIMONY







1	
2	Evergreen Lakes:
3	Evergreen Lakes is a regulated water system located approximately 5 miles
4	outside of Catawissa, MO in unincorporated Franklin County. The Evergreen
5	Lake water system is over 40 years old. The existing Owner of Evergreen Lakes
6	is over 80 years old and is the sole operator of the system including meter
7	reading. The system needs back up disinfection and room to segregate chemical
8	addtion from water tanks.
9	In addition, Evergreen Lakes does not have easements for the existing water
10	distribution system.
11	



1

Roy – L Utilities, Incorporated (Roy L):

Roy – L Utilities, Inc. (Roy - L) is an existing regulated water and wastewater
system located approximately 3 miles north of High Hill, MO in unincorporated
Montgomery County. The water and wastewater systems are over 40 years old.
The existing owner is over eighty and the widow of the community's original
developer.

8 The wastewater system is under an MDNR schedule of compliance for nutrient 9 removal with violations. The wastewater plant's existing collection systems has 10 rain and groundwater infiltration and inflow problems (I&I) with the lagoon 11 experiencing wash outs during rain events. The system's I&I has been a long-

term issue. Roy L's most recent rate had a sewer rate design component to
investigate the collection system. Unfortunately, even the adjusted revenue
requirement could not support existing operations, but CSWR has completed part
of the collection system investigation as part of CSWR's engineering design to
support the current acquisition case.



7 Roy L's water system lacks back up pumping for service resiliency, lacks MDNR 8 mandated disinfection back, and does not have a separate chemical room. A 9 chlorination system inside the well house feeds from the well into the ground 10 storage tank. Inside the well house the storage tank feeds a single bladder tank 11 connected to a single centrifugal pump providing water pressure to the residential 12 drinking water conveyance system. 13 In addition, Roy, L Utilities, Inc. does not have legal property access to the water 14 plant or the wastewater plant.

15The Willows Utility Company, Inc. (The Willows):

6

16 The Willows is a Commission-regulated water and wastewater system located

17 outside of Republic, in Greene County, Missouri. The owners are a multifamily

1 real estate corporation that does not have utility experience based out of Texas 2 that have sold the existing community The Willows utility serves. The Willows is 3 under a current Attorney General enforcement action with MDNR for compliance 4 issues including violating the Missouri Clean Water Law by causing pollution to a 5 tributary of Pond Creek both in the form of undertreated waste and sanitary 6 sewer overflows presenting a public health risk to nearby residents. The drinking 7 water system has a history of unreported low-pressure events presenting a 8 potential imminent health risk to customers. Both the water and waste water 9 systems need extensive urgent upgrades for reliability and compliance.

10 **Port Perry Service Company, LLC (Port Perry):**

11 Port Perry is a PSC regulated water and waste water system located south of 12 Perryville, in Perry County, Missouri. The water and wastewater systems are 13 over 40 years old. To gain control of the Port Perry systems the current owners 14 bought the shares of Port Perry Service Company, LLC from the Perry County 15 Assessor per a tax sale for unpaid property tax in 2002. One of current owners 16 runs a school bus company and the other works at the local Missouri Farmers 17 Association. The existing owners have been unable to successfully file a rate 18 case to support professional operations and capital investment over their last 16+ 19 years of ownership. Due to their lack of utility experience the owners wish to exit 20 the utility business.

21 The Port Perry existing land application wastewater system has major

22 wastewater application distribution issues precluding its ability to correctly apply

23 waste, the system is manual, and the systems lacks adequate security fencing.

1	The Port Perry drinking water system does not have a reliable second source of
2	water correctly tied into the existing disinfection system for disinfection contact
3	time before the drinking water goes into the distribution system, and the
4	wellhouse is aging and in need of urgent upgrades for reliability and control.
5	In addition, most of the existing utility easements have major clouding or
6	impairments due to numerous changes of ownership control without the
7	commensurate recorded deed transfer documentation.
8	Gladlo Utilities, Inc. (Gladlow):
9	Gladlo is an existing PSC regulated water and wastewater located east of Rolla,
10	in Phelps County, Missouri. The Gladlow water and wastewater systems are
11	over 40 years old. Gladlow has been in PSC state appointed receivership for 9
12	years.
13	The Gladlow wastewater system is a lagoon without any mechanical systems.
14	Gladlow is under a MDNR compliance schedule for nutrient and the system has
15	significant I&I issues.
16	The Gladlow drinking water system is a single tank feed by a single well. The
17	Gladlow drinking water system lacks service stability redundancy, emergency
18	service, and exposes the drinking water system to potential pathogen
19	contamination.
20	Calvey Brook Water, Inc. and Calvey Brook Sewer, Inc. (Calvey Brook):
21	Calvey Brook is an existing PSC regulated water and wastewater located near
22	Robertsville, in Franklin County, Missouri. The original Calvey Brook subdivision
23	developer was forced to sell to his lender, which now owns the water and

1	wastewater systems by default. The bank has no experience with utilities and
2	needs to exit the business.
3	The Calvey Brook wastewater system is a recirculating sand filter and is under
4	an MDNR compliance schedule for human pathogen disinfection.
5	The Calvey Brook drinking water system needs significant internal piping
6	replacement and mechanical systems rehab to support reliable drinking water
7	service.
8	Aulburn Lake Homeowner Association. (Aulburn Lake):
9	Aulburn Lake is an unregulated homeowner association water and wastewater
10	system located near Moscow Mills, in Lincoln County, Missouri. The original
11	Aulburn Lake subdivision developer was forced to liquidate in 2008 and a
12	successor homeowner association ended up with the water and wastewater
13	systems by default. The homeowner's association has no experience with
14	utilities and needs to exit the business.
15	The Aulburn Lake wastewater system is Masterson Plant, was constructed in
16	2008 and was fallow in the ground, never started up. The plant needs significant
17	mechanical and electrical work.
18	The Aulburn Lake drinking water system was also built in 2008, but left fallow
19	and never turned on. The entire electrical system needed brought on-line in
20	order to have functioning drinking water.
21	Eugene Drinking Water System (Eugene):
22	The Eugene Drinking water system is located south of Jefferson City, in Cole
23	County Missouri. The former City of Eugene, MO, which disincorporated in 1997,

1	originally owned this drinking water system. The Eugene drinking water system
2	is over 40 years old. After Eugene's disincorporation the Cole County Public
3	Works Department, whom mainly operates as a street department was ordered
4	to take over the drinking water system. The Cole County Public Works
5	Department has tried to dispose of the system for over 20 years without success.
6	The Eugene water systems needs tank work and well house piping replacement
7	to ensure reliable service.

9 Q. WHAT IS FIRST ROUND'S EXPERIENCE WITH WASTEWATER/SEWER

10 SYSTEMS?

11 Α. On the wastewater side of the business, First Round has purchased 16 12 wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) with associated sewer pumping stations, gravity force mains, and gravity conveyance lines. In Missouri the companies 13 14 have designed, permitted, and completed construction, with Missouri Department 15 of Natural Resources approval, of approximately \$3.2 million of sanitary sewer 16 systems since March of 2015. These improvements include wastewater line 17 repairs to remove infiltration and inflow, building sewer main extensions, the 18 repair of multiple lift stations, the construction of lift stations, the closure of an 19 existing regulatory impaired WWTP, building two fully activated sludge plants, 20 constructing moving bed bio-reactor plants (MBBR), converting two failing WWTP's into sludge storage/flow equalization and treatment basins, converting 21 22 failed mechanical systems to I-Fast systems, and constructing various other 23 wastewater supporting improvements. Central States has completed the design

1 and construction permitting for major wastewater improvements for two additional 2 wastewater systems in Missouri, for new utility acquisitions recently approved in 3 an Elm Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. acquisition case. These designs 4 include constructing two moving bed bio-reactor plants (MBBR) and constructing 5 various other wastewater supporting improvements. Central States has also 6 completed or is in the permitting process with the Arkansas Department of 7 Environmental Quality (ADEQ) the design of improvements associated with 10 8 additional wastewater plants in Arkansas.

9 Q. WHAT IS FIRST ROUND'S EXPERIENCE WITH WATER SYSTEMS?

10 Α. On the drinking water side of the business, the companies have purchased four 11 drinking water systems in Missouri and Arkansas. In Missouri CSWR has 12 designed, permitted, and has completed construction with Missouri Department of Natural Resources approval of approximately \$3.1 million of drinking water 13 14 systems since March of 2015. These improvements include construction of three 15 new ground water storage tanks, construction of three sets of drinking water 16 pressurization pump assemblies, drilling a deep water well, erecting two new 17 well-houses, closing two failed well-houses, closing an exposed failed deep 18 water drinking well, blasting/coating existing tankage, rehabbing existing 19 impaired well-house, closing a failing booster pump station house, replacement 20 of over 700 meter pits with new meters, replacement of numerous existing water 21 service lines, installing numerous isolation valve systems, installing multiple flush 22 hydrants, repairing almost hundreds of leaks and repairing or building various 23 other supporting drinking water system improvements. Central States is also

currently permitting new drinking water construction with ADEQ for another water
 system owned in Arkansas.

Q. HAS THE ORGANIZATION TAKEN STEPS TO IMPROVE SERVICES AT THE 4 SYSTEMS IT NOW OPERATES?

5 Α. Yes. In addition to the capital improvements made on all of the systems, the 6 organization has built from scratch customer service systems at each utility that 7 comply with the Commission's Chapter 13 rules and provide benefits to the 8 customers. This includes 24 hour emergency service phone lines for potential 9 service issues, on-call emergency service contractor personnel, implementation 10 of a computerized maintenance management system for wastewater and 11 drinking water utility assets, customer dissemination of Missouri Department of 12 Natural Resources mandated drinking water testing information, on-line bill-pay 13 options, up-to-date website bulletins about current service status, and service

14 initiation or discontinuance procedures that are Commission compliant

15 Q. DOES CONFLUENCE RIVERS HAVE THE TECHNICAL CAPACITY TO

16 OPERATE THE ASSETS IT PROPOSES TO PURCHASE?

A. Yes. I have experience in the design and operation of water and sewer systems. Confluence Rivers intends to utilize a contract operator for plant operations, utilizing the services of appropriately qualified and licensed utility system operators (for water and for sewer) ultimately supervised by me. The contract operator will undertake routine day-to-day inspections, checks, sampling and reporting for the water and sewer systems, along with meter reading, as well as accomplish most system repairs and extraordinary operations tasks as the need

1		arises, to address proper facility operations and customer service matters. All of
2		these activities will be tracked inside CSWR's computerized maintenance
3		management system.
4	Q.	DOES CONFLUENCE RIVERS HAVE THE FINANCIAL CAPACITY TO
5		OPERATE THE ASSETS IT PROPOSES TO PURCHASE?
6	Α.	Yes. Confluence Rivers has been able to attract investment to provide safe and
7		reliable water and wastewater service.
8	Q.	DOES CONFLUENCE RIVERS HAVE THE MANAGERIAL CAPACITY TO
9		OPERATE THE ASSETS IT PROPOSES TO PURCHASE?
10	Α.	Yes. Similar to routine facility operations, Confluence Rivers intends to utilize a
11		contract billing agent, and utilize an emergency answering service. The billing
12		agent will be responsible for computing, printing, and sending monthly bills to
13		customers, and then collecting payment. The billing agent will also have
14		customer service staff that will be able to take and process customer calls with
15		inquiries pertaining to billing, make bill adjustments and enter into payment plans
16		within company guidelines, interact with Staff in working with customer
17		complaints, and manage new customer accounts and the closing of customer
18		accounts. In conjunction with an answering service that may be a different agent,
19		the contract billing agent will also be available to route service complaints and
20		inquiries. I will also be available by telephone at most all times when severe
21		emergencies arise. Confluence Rivers intends to comply with the Commission's
22		regulations with respect to customer billing and customer complaints/inquiries,
23		certain aspects of utility system upkeep including placement of customer meters

1		along with meter testing/replacement, and recordkeeping to document company
2		operations expenses including vehicle use, equipment use, and telephone use.
3		
4		CAPITAL STRUCTURE
5	Q.	HOW DOES CONFLUENCE RIVERS PLAN TO FINANCE THE PURCHASE
6		OF THE SYSTEMS TO BE ACQUIRED AND THE MAKING OF THE
7		NECESSARY IMPROVEMENTS?
8	Α.	Confluence Rivers plans to utilize a combination of equity and debt for these
9		purposes.
10	Q.	WHAT CAPITAL STRUCTURE DO YOU ESTIMATE WILL RESULT?
11	Α.	We estimate that the purchases and improvements will require approximately
12		\$4,700,000. Of that amount, we plan to utilize \$2,600,000 of debt financing, and
13		the remainder will be funded using equity. This would result in a capital
14		structure of approximately 45% equity and 55% debt.
15		
16		DEBT FINANCING
17	Q.	WHAT AUTHORITY DOES THE COMPANY SEEK FROM THE COMMISSION
18		REGARDING DEBT FINANCING?
19	A.	Confluence Rivers seeks a Commission order granting it the authority to issue up
20		to \$2,600,000 of secured indebtedness.
21	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS FINANCING?
22	A.	As stated above, this financing will allow Confluence Rivers to fund the
23		construction necessary to bring the systems into regulatory compliance.

1 Q. WHAT ARE THE TERMS OF THE PROPOSED FINANCING?

- 2 A. Confluence Rivers intends to execute a Term Loan. The Term Loan will be based
- 3 on monthly principal and interest payments amortized over 20 years. The Term
- 4 Loan will allow Confluence Rivers to complete the proposed acquisitions, along
- 5 with the construction of drinking water and wastewater improvements described
- 6 above. Attached as <u>Schedule JC-A-C</u> is the term sheet for the Conditional Loan
- 7 Commitment, which outlines the principal terms of the agreement including the
- 8 interest rate, applicable fees and required collateral. <u>Schedule JC-A-C</u> has been
- 9 identified as Confidential in accordance with Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-
- 2.135(2)(A)(3) and (6), as it contains market specific information and information
 representing strategies employed in contract negotiations.

12 Q. WHAT SECURITY WILL BE PROVIDED FOR THE LOAN?

A. The loan will result in a first lien on substantially all of the properties acquired by Confluence Rivers. Consequently, the loan obligations will be secured by a lien or encumbrance on Confluence Rivers' utility franchises, plant and system that are used to provide service to its customers, and also on an encumbrance against any additional plant and assets.

18 Q. DID YOU INVESTIGATE OTHER FINANCING OPTIONS?

19 A. Yes. Confluence Rivers applied to many banks seeking financing for this project.

- 20 The banks to which Confluence Rivers applied were Bank of Bloomsdale, Bank
- of Old Monroe, CoBank, First Business Bank, First Missouri Bank of SEMO,
- 22 Fortune Bank, Parkside Financial, and UMB Bank.

23 Q. WHAT WAS THE RESULT OF THOSE APPLICATIONS?

A. Confluence Rivers received rejection notices from all eight of these entities.
 Attached as <u>Schedule JC-B-C</u> are copies of the rejection letters. <u>Schedule JC-</u>
 <u>B-C</u> has been identified as Confidential in accordance with Commission Rule 4
 CSR 240-2.135(2)(A)(3), (6), and (8), as it contains market specific information
 and information representing strategies employed in contract negotiations.

6 **Q**.

HAVE YOU MORE RECENTLY SOUGHT TRADITIONAL DEBT FINANCING?

7 Α. Yes. After the Commission issued its Report and Order in the Indian Hills rate 8 case (Case No. WR-2017-0259), I utilized the exhibit presented in the Indian Hills 9 rate case by Greg Meyer, consultant for the Office of the Public Counsel (OPC), 10 regarding the financing purportedly available to small water and sewer systems 11 in Missouri. We applied to 18 banks. We applied to all 11 banks across the state 12 of Missouri (or the bank's successor) that OPC consultant Meyer stated would 13 finance small water and sewer utilities. In addition, we applied to 6 more 14 commercial banks. All of the applications were premised on the 50% debt to 50% 15 equity ratio awarded in the Indian Hill's Case No. WR-2017-0259 and the 16 corresponding revenue requirement. In all, CSWR received 15 written and 2 17 verbal rejections for financing related to the completed Indian Hills project. 18 Attached as **Schedule JC-C-C** are copies of the rejection letters for the Indian 19 Hills project. **Schedule JC-C-C** has been identified as Confidential in accordance 20 with Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.135(2)(A)(3), (6), and (8), as it contains 21 market specific information and information representing strategies employed in 22 contract negotiations.

1	Q.	AT THE TIME OF THESE APPLICATIONS RELATED TO INDIAN HILLS, HAD
2		THE IMPROVEMENTS TO THAT SYSTEM BEEN COMPLETED?
3	Α.	Yes. Even with the Indian Hills project being complete, MDNR compliance
4		having been met, and new customer rates in place, banks were still unwilling to
5		provide financing.
6	Q.	WHAT WERE THE REASONS GIVEN?
7	A.	Denial factors included the fact that most banks do not have a comfort level with
8		utility financing, the banks want three years of historical financials to prove rate
9		raised revenue, and banks do not want the risk of having to foreclose on utility
10		assets (or, maybe more accurately, do not want the responsibility and liability of
11		operating such assets, if they do).
12	Q.	WERE THE REASONS FOR REJECTION DIFFERENT AS TO CONFLUENCE
13		RIVERS?
14	Α.	Yes. For Confluence Rivers, where the Selling Utilities are in regulatory distress,
15		face health and safety issues, have reliability concerns, four of the systems are in
16		PSC receivership, two systems have Missouri Attorney General Enforcement

- 17 Case, one system was originally owned by a municipality that has since
- 18 dissolved, one system is owned by a bank that foreclosed on a failed land
- 19 development, two systems are owned by individuals over 80, one system was
- 20 originally acquired by the existing owners via a court house tax sale, all systems
- 21 have depressed existing customer rates, and lack historical financials, bank
- 22 financing is even more difficult to obtain.

23 Q. WHAT DO YOU CONCLUDE FROM THESE EXPERIENCES?

1	A.	The financing Confluence Rivers has secured appears to be the only financing
2		that is available to these small, distressed water and wastewater utilities.
3		
4		STAFF'S PROPOSED CONDITIONS
5	Q.	STAFF FILED A RECOMMENDATION IN REGARD TO THE ORIGINAL
6		APPLICATION AND A SUPPLEMENTAL RECOMMENDATION IN RESPONSE
7		TO THE AMENDMENT TO APPLICATION. HAVE YOU REVIEWED THOSE
8		STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	THE STAFF FILINGS PROPOSE THAT THE APPLICATION, AS AMENDED,
11		BE APPROVED, SUBJECT TO CERTAIN CONDITIONS. ARE THOSE
12		CONDITIONS ACCEPTABLE TO CONFLUENCE RIVERS?
13	A.	Yes. Confluence Rivers agrees with the conditions proposed by Staff.
14		
15		TARIFFS AND RATES
16	Q.	IF THE APPLICATION, AS AMENDED, IS APPROVED, WHAT RATES DOES
17		CONFLUENCE RIVERS REQUEST TO UTILIZE FOR THE PROVISION OF
18		SERVICE?
19	A.	For systems currently regulated by the Commission, Confluence Rivers proposes
20		to use the existing rates for all residential customers. Those existing rates are as
21		follows:

Entity	Water Rate	Sewer Rate
Calvey Brook	\$36.36 per month minimum	\$33.78 per month
	for 3,000 gallons water	

	\$2.05 per 1,000 gallons	
	above minimum	
Evergreen	Residential:	N/A
Lakes	Customer Charge 5/8" \$7.71	
	1" \$18.38	
	Commodity Charge \$2.054	
	per 1,000 gal.	
	Commercial:	
	Customer Charge 5/8" \$7.71	
	1 1/2" \$83.15	
	Commodity Charge \$2.054	
	per 1,000 gal. +	
Glad Lo	Minimum Monthly Charge	\$37.67 per month
	(First 1,000 gallons or less)	
	\$17.25	
	Water Usage Charge (All	
	over 1,000 gallons) \$2.15	
	per 1,000 gallons	
Lake Virginia	N/A	\$13.33 per month
Mill Creek	N/A	\$30.11 per month
Port Perry	Monthly Minimum per	1. Full-time Residential
	Customer (includes 2,000	Sites \$18.94/month
	gallons)	2. Part-time Residential
	Meter Size	Sites \$14 .21/month
	5/8" \$ 13.23	3. Part-time Residential
	3/4" \$ 16.26	Trailer or Camper
	1" \$ 22.33	Site with sewer service
	1 1/2" \$ 37.49	\$14.21/month
	2" \$ 55.69 3" \$ 98 .16	
	4" \$158.83	
	All usage over 2,000 gallons	
	(per 1,000 gallons) \$ 3.58	
Roy-L	Monthly Non-metered Rate:	Monthly Rate:
\\\\y-L	Full-time Customer \$50.16	Full-time Customer
	Part-time Customer \$32.99	\$36.04
	Monthly Metered Rate:	Part-time Customer
	Full-time Customer \$33.24	\$32.58
	Part-time Customer \$29.92	
	Usage Charge \$3.08 per	
	1,000 gallons of water used	
Smithview	Monthly Minimum \$5.31	N/A
	Commodity Charge \$3.36	
	per 1,000 gal. used	
Villa Ridge	N/A	\$24.24 per month

Willows	Basic monthly minimum (includes 1,000 gallons) - \$ 5.23 (additional \$1.21 for each 1,000 gal. over minimum)	Residential - \$15 per month Commercial - \$15 per month up to 6,000 gallons water used; \$1
	r,ooo gan ovor minimanij	per 1,000 additional gal. used

2

Auburn Lake, Eugene, and Majestic Lakes are currently unregulated utilities, and

3 Confluence Rivers has proposed tariffs similar to fees currently collected by the

4 managing entity. Those proposed rates are listed below:

Entity	Water Rate	Sewer Rate
Auburn Lake	\$37.50 per month	\$37.50 per month
Estates		
Eugene	\$26.00 for the first 1,999 gallons per month, plus \$6.00 per 1,000 gallons for all usage above 1,999 gallons	N/A
Majestic Lakes	\$35 per month	\$35 per month

5

6 Q. WILL THOSE RATES EVENTUALLY REQUIRE ADJUSTMENT?

7 A. Yes. The current rates for the Selling Utilities do not reflect the current cost of

8 providing service. Additionally, as indicated above, these systems will require

9 substantial investment after the purchase by Confluence Rivers that will

- 10 necessarily result in a rate increase of some amount after those additions have
- 11 been completed.
- 12 Q. IF THE APPLICATION, AS AMENDED, IS APPROVED, WHAT RULES AND

13 **REGULATIONS DOES CONFLUENCE RIVERS PLAN TO UTILIZE FOR THE**

14 **PROVISION OF SERVICE?**

1	Α.	Confluence Rivers proposes to adopt the rules and regulations where entities
2		have such approved tariff provisions in place. In the alternative, Confluence
3		Rivers will adopt the "standard" PSC Water and Sewer Department sample tariff.
4		
5		PUBLIC INTEREST
6	Q.	DO YOU BELIEVE THE PROPOSED TRANSACTIONS ARE IN THE PUBLIC
7		INTEREST?
8	Α.	Yes. For the following reasons, the proposed acquisition of the specified assets
9		and the related transactions are not detrimental to the public interest of the State
10		of Missouri and, in fact, will be consistent with and will promote the public
11		interest:
12		A. The assets would be acquired by Confluence Rivers and be subject
13		to the jurisdiction of the Commission.
14		B. Confluence Rivers is fully qualified, in all respects, to own and
15		operate the systems to be acquired and to otherwise provide safe and adequate
16		service – something that is not present at the current time.
17	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
18	Α.	Yes, it does.