In the Matter of:

Barbara Edwards

V.

Evergy Missouri West

EC-2020-0252, VOL 1

May 19, 2020



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

```
STATE OF MISSOURI
 2
                     PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 3
                     TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
                       Procedural Conference
 6
                             May 19, 2020
 8
                      Jefferson City, Missouri
 9
                               Volume 1
10
11
12
     Barbara Edwards,
13
        Complainant,
14
                                  ) File No. EC-2020-0252
       Vs.
15
     Evergy Missouri West, Inc., )
16
        Respondent.
17
18
19
                      JANA JACOBS, Presiding
20
                       REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
21
22
23
24
     REPORTED BY:
     Cindy Keast Plowman, CCR No. 774
     TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
25
```

1	APPEARANCES
2	TRAVIS PRINGLE, Legal Counsel
3	TAMMY HUBER
	AMANDA COFFER JOEL SMITH, Intern Staff Counsel Office
4	Suite 800 200 Madison Street
5	P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360
6	FOR: Staff of the Public Service Commission
7	ROGER W. STEINER, Attorney at Law
8	TIA ALEXANDER, Regulatory Affairs Department 1200 Main Street
9	Kansas City, Missouri 64105 FOR: Evergy Missouri West
10	
11	Also participating: Barbara Edwards
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

2.5

JUDGE JACOBS: So we are on the record for the conference scheduled today which is May 19th, 2020 in this matter which is file Number EC-2020-0252. This concerns Ms. Barbara Edwards, the complainant, and Evergy Missouri West, Incorporated, doing business as Evergy Missouri West, the respondent.

It looks like there's actually a couple -- No. I got the Evergy entities correct there.

So the conference was set for one-fifteen p.m. today.

It is now one-seventeen p.m. I thank everyone for being on time. My name is Jana Jacobs. I am the Regulatory

Law Judge assigned to this matter and this conference is being conducted by telephone. The Commission has set

The purpose of the conference is to allow the parties to meet to establish a hearing date and discuss other procedural issues. Once I leave the call, the parties may wish to discuss the possibility of settlement to resolve this case.

this time for a procedural conference in this case.

The purpose of the conference is not to resolve Ms. Edwards' claim so we will not be hearing any argument today about the facts or allegations in this case. Instead we're simply getting together to give you the opportunity to discuss the process for resolving the

case.

2.5

We have a court reporter on the line who will be preparing a transcript of the on-the-record portion of this conference. In addition, one of the Commission's Senior Regulatory Law Judges, Mr. Ron Pridgin is also on the line to observe today.

I will begin by asking the parties to enter their appearances for the record. So let's start with the complainant, Ms. Barbara Edwards. Ms. Edwards, if you would just give your name and then spell it for the court reporter, please, ma'am.

MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. It's Barbara, B-a-r-b-a-r-a, Edwards, capital E-d-w-a-r-d-s.

JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much, ma'am. And because we're all participating by phone, I'm going to ask the lawyers who are participating here today to identify themselves by name and then provide your address and phone number for the court reporter. We'll start with the company. So Mr. Steiner, for Evergy Missouri West.

MR. STEINER: Yes. Let the record reflect the appearance of Roger W. Steiner for Evergy Missouri West. My address is 1200 Main Street, Kansas City, Missouri, 64105. Phone number is 618-556-2314.

JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much, sir.

1	And appearing for Commission staff today?				
2	MR. PRINGLE: Good morning, Judge. This is				
3	Travis Pringle, legal counsel for the Staff, Missouri				
4	Public Service Commission. My address is 200 Madison				
5	Street, P.O. Box 360. Phone number, 573-751-4140.				
6	I am also joined by Tammy Huber and Amanda				
7	Coffer from Staff. And Joel Smith, Intern for the Staff				
8	Counsel Office.				
9	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you very much,				
10	Mr. Pringle.				
11	Mr. Steiner, did you want to introduce				
12	anyone who's on the line for the company?				
13	MR. PRINGLE: Tia Alexander is from the				
14	regulatory affairs department of Evergy.				
15	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Ms. Alexander, how do				
16	you spell your name?				
17	MS. ALEXANDER: First name is T-i-a. Last				
18	name is A-l-e-x-a-n-d-e-r.				
19	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you very much.				
20	And I don't believe we have anyone on the				
21	line today for Office of Public Counsel. So I think				
22	everyone who appears with us has been introduced. If I				
23	missed you, speak up now, but I don't think I should				
24	have missed anyone.				
25	Okay. So first I'll just start with asking				

1	the parties whether there's any preliminary matters that			
2	you would like to discuss at this time.			
3	MR. STEINER: I don't have any, Judge.			
4	JUDGE JACOBS: And I'm sorry			
5	MS. EDWARDS: I have a question.			
6	JUDGE JACOBS: First of all Ms. Edwards,			
7	I'll come back to you. I just want to figure out who			
8	was it who said that they didn't have any. I just want			
9	to make sure the court reporter knows who the different			
10	male voices are on the line.			
11	MR. STEINER: I'm sorry. No problem, Judge.			
12	Roger Steiner does not have any preliminary questions.			
13	JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. I don't			
14	think we've heard enough from any of you today to			
15	distinguish your voices so I appreciate that.			
16	Okay. Ms. Edwards, would you like to go			
17	ahead, ma'am.			
18	MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I'd like to ask: Does			
19	the State agency the Commission and the State have			
20	powers greater than the Constitution of the United			
21	States of America?			
22	JUDGE JACOBS: So we're not going to engage			
23	in a discussion of legal concepts today. As I said			
24	before, this is a procedural conference. So you have			
25	complained to the Commission, so you've asked the			

1	Commission for some sort of relief. Your complaint has			
2	come to the Commission.			
3	MS. EDWARDS: Right. I understand that.			
4	Thank you.			
5	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. All right. So one			
6	question that I've had is whether this case should be			
7	designated a small formal complaint under the			
8	Commission's rules.			
9	Staff or anyone else, would you like to			
10	address that issue at all at this time?			
11	MR. PRINGLE: I believe that would be			
12	that is what we've being going under.			
13	JUDGE JACOBS: I don't think it has actually			
14	been designated a small formal complain yet.			
15	(A discussion was held off the record.)			
16	JUDGE JACOBS: So in fact, your case has			
17	not that designation hasn't been made. And so I've			
18	been considering whether it should be.			
19	So Mr. Pringle, you're saying that			
20	essentially Staff has proceeded essentially on the			
21	assumption that that designation would be made?			
22	MR. PRINGLE: Yes. If it's within the			
23	approved formal complaint process to proceed.			
24	JUDGE JACOBS: Right. And then within the			
25	complaint process, a case could be considered a small			

1	formal complaint which is kind of a separate subset of a			
2	complaint.			
3	MR. PRINGLE: Yes.			
4	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay.			
5	MR. PRINGLE: So that's for I think it's			
6	below a thousand dollars at issue.			
7	JUDGE JACOBS: I think it's a three thousand			
8	dollar threshold.			
9	MR. PRINGLE: Okay.			
10	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So Mr. Steiner, is			
11	there anything you want to say today about whether or			
12	not that designation should be made in this case?			
13	MR. STEINER: No. That's fine if that's			
14	what the Commission thinks it should be.			
15	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So Ms. Edwards, I			
16	believe that when this case was started, a copy of the			
17	Commission's rules were mailed to you and that			
18	information is also available on-line if you have access			
19	to the Internet to find that information.			
20	But what I'm mentioning here is just a set			
21	of rules that deal with a consumer complaint where the			
22	allegations are of less than three thousand dollars or			
23	three thousand dollars or less. So I'm just asking			
24	whether this case should be treated that way, okay?			
25	MS. EDWARDS: Well, I think if I had to take			

1	this to court, if this goes further, it's going to be a
2	lot more than three thousand dollars.
3	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. And that raises a
4	question of how much, is what you're asking the
5	Commission actually has the authority to deal with.
6	So in order to determine how the case should
7	be handled, that's one of the questions that would be
8	posed. Because, of course, the Commission isn't a
9	court.
10	MS. EDWARDS: Okay.
11	JUDGE JACOBS: It's an administrative
12	agency.
13	MS. EDWARDS: Right.
14	JUDGE JACOBS: So it doesn't have all the
15	authority that a judge may have.
16	MS. EDWARDS: Right.
17	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay.
18	MS. EDWARDS: Okay. So
19	JUDGE JACOBS: I just wanted to raise the
20	issue to let the parties know that that designation
21	hasn't been made in the case, and the result of that
22	designation kind of changes, to some degree, the way the
23	case could be handled.
24	So is that something the parties are willing
25	to discuss today once I'm no longer on the line? And if

it seems appropriate to include something like that in a proposed procedural schedule or let me know your thoughts on it. I would welcome that. If I don't have get that, I might ask for it later.

MS. EDWARDS: I don't have the law degree to really understand whether that would be appropriate to

2.5

really understand whether that would be appropriate to designate it as a small formal complaint. And as well, I think the attorneys and I would hope that the Staff attorneys -- I'm sure everybody has probably read the letters that were sent and my stand.

So I think, at this point, I'm just going to have to trust you to insure that my further actions would be appropriate if necessary.

MR. PRINGLE: Yeah. This is Travis Pringle, Staff Counsel. I can go through and make sure if this is the correct -- if the small formal complaint is the correct process for us going forward, Judge.

JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. And the reason I'm bringing that up is just because it does affect, to some degree, where a hearing would take place. And really when and where and how a hearing is going to be conducted is one of the main issues that needs to be resolved at a procedural conference. And so that's why I felt like I needed to bring that up.

Okay. So I will let the parties discuss

1	that when I am no longer be on the line and I'll see
2	what you all come up with. If it's necessary to revisit
3	this issue with another conference, then we'll do that,
4	okay?
5	MS. EDWARDS: Okay.
6	JUDGE JACOBS: All right. So as I said, one
7	of the real reasons that we're here is to talk about a
8	proposed procedural schedule. I was going to request if
9	Staff Counsel could submit that schedule on behalf of
10	the parties.
11	Mr. Pringle, is that something that you can
12	do?
13	MR. PRINGLE: Yes, Judge. I can go ahead
14	and do that for us.
15	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you. I'd like
16	to ask you to do that in ten days. Would that work?
17	MR. PRINGLE: Yes. That shouldn't be a
18	problem. That would be, I think Would that be by
19	next Friday? Next Thursday?
20	JUDGE JACOBS: The 29th. I never am very
21	good at visualizing calendars in my head. Let's see.
22	MR. PRINGLE: Yeah. The 29th would be
23	Friday.
24	JUDGE JACOBS: Yep. Very good. Okay.
25	So the issues that I would hope you would

include in the schedule, the proposed schedule, would be 1 2 whether the parties, in this case, are able to participate in a hearing by phone or by video conference 3 over the Internet, given this situation that we're in 4 with some limited use of certain buildings and 5 6 continuing restrictions on groups of people assembling 7 with the Covid-19 pandemic. 8 I think to plan the resolution of this case, 9 I think it's safe to assume that we're going to have limited venues available to actually come together 10 11 in-person. So what I'll need you to talk about is the 12 viability of conducting a hearing, if it's necessary to have one, over the phone or over video conference. 13 14 then --15 MR. PRINGLE: Okay. JUDGE JACOBS: -- a date of range -- I'm 16 sorry -- a range of proposed dates for such a hearing. 17 18 And then another issue would be whether we 19 would use pretrial written testimony in this case or if 20 some of the parties would like to use that. And you 21 might need to discuss with Ms. Edwards how that works. 22 And we can talk about that now if you'd like. 23 But typically, Ms. Edwards, often in 24 Commission proceedings, testimony is submitted in a O and A format that is written. So instead of having a 2.5

witness appear and give all their testimony in front of 1 2 the Commission, all of that is written down, and that that person might be subject to some additional 3 questions about their testimony that may take place 4 5 in-person live. So --6 MS. EDWARDS: While I was reading over some 7 of the rules and practices of the code, State regulations somewhere -- I don't know where it was that 8 9 I read that -- that they talked about this. They talked 10 about the fact of having a meeting place that is more 11 conducive or to being closer to where the complainant 12 resides. And --JUDGE JACOBS: And that issue is related to 13 14 whether or not this case should be a small formal 15 complaint. So that's one of the provisions in the Commission's rules for small formal complaints. 16 17 MS. EDWARDS: Oh, I see. I see. Thank you. 18 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. And even -- But even 19 understanding that undercurrent circumstances, I believe 20 you would be in the Kansas City area. So then there be 21 a question about whether the Commission facilities in 22 that area are available for a hearing. 23 So we have a real logistical problem about 24 whether we can come together and have a hearing in-person or not. And so that's why I'm asking the 25

parties to talk about what our alternative ways of 1 2 conducting a hearing might be. 3 MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. And then -- So those 4 5 are the main things that I think you're -- that I would 6 want to make sure that you include in a proposed 7 schedule. And you know, Ms. Edwards, you could just 8 point out the issue of having a hearing in-person and 9 you correctly remembered that there's a mention of 10 conducting a hearing in small formal complaints closer 11 to where the service is provided. 12 But as I said before, we have a real question about whether we would be able to have a 13 hearing in this case in-person anytime soon. And so I 14 15 did notice that you don't have an e-mail address on 16 record in this case. So I don't know what your ability 17 for Internet access is or anything like that. 18 MS. EDWARDS: I do have an e-mail address. 19 And I actually gave it to -- I don't remember who I 20 talked with yesterday. I think it was -- Oh, it was 21 Tammy should have that. Tammy. 22 Tammy, do you still have my e-mail address? 23 MS. HUBER: Yes, I do. 24 MS. EDWARDS: Okay. 25 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So Ms. Edwards, is

```
e-mail -- I mean, do you have ready access to e-mail;
 1
 2
     you check your e-mail; you're able to check it whenever
 3
     you want to; and you have access to the Internet?
                 MS. EDWARDS: I do have access to the
 4
 5
                My computer has been giving me problems here
 6
     in the last several days. I do live out in the rural
 7
     area so sometimes the Internet is not always available
     and working properly.
 8
 9
                 JUDGE JACOBS:
                                Okay.
10
                 MS. EDWARDS: You know, without good
11
     service, it makes a difference.
12
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So I think --
13
                 MS. EDWARDS: Generally I would say I could
14
     get in.
15
                 JUDGE JACOBS:
                                Okay. Have you --
16
                 MS. EDWARDS:
                               I'm sorry.
17
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Have you participated in
18
     video conferences at all? Have you done any video
19
     conferencing?
20
                 MS. EDWARDS: I've done some -- I've done
21
     some video conferencing with school because my
22
     great-grandson is -- you know, with the Corona virus,
23
     I've been kind of home schooling him. And so I've done
24
     something like -- I don't remember -- I've used Zoom out
2.5
    here with our church trying to -- you know, for church
```

services. But Zoom doesn't seem to -- It floats in and out. So the other thing I did was -- they called it a Team app and I put that on my phone. And I was adapting to work better than the Zoom did. So that's the extent of any type of conference, just basically with the elementary school.

JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So you have done some conferencing with -- for school and church; you have some experience with that. You have maybe intermittent -- maybe not super reliable Internet but you do have Internet access at your house.

MS. EDWARD: Yes.

2.5

JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. And I will ask that you discuss this with -- if I can impose upon Staff in that way, I would ask that after I get off the call, if Staff could talk to Ms. Edwards about whether or not she wants to use e-mail as a means of communication in this case, and if she wants to do that, to make sure that information is included on EFIS so that her e-mail address is there.

If we were to actually try to do a web-conference hearing in this case, Ms. Edwards would need to have reliable -- and be using her e-mail to make sure that she had all the information she needed to participate in a conference.

It's also possible, Ms. Edwards, that you might be able to use -- It sounds like you have a smart phone of some kind. You might be able to use your phone to participate in a conference.

MS. EDWARDS: Right.

2.5

JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So Staff Counsel, please go ahead and let me know if that is something that you think Staff could do here and that's within the kind of rules that Staff normally takes on in these cases or not.

MR. PRINGLE: Normally -- This is Travis

Pringle with Staff Counsel. Normally the complainant's contact info is always just located in the Complaint.

But since her file, as a whole, is confidential and not open to the public, I don't think it would be a problem if you do want a filing with her saying, I want correspondence in this e-mail account.

JUDGE JACOBS: Right. I'm just trying to -Because she doesn't have e-mail included at all on EFIS
right now, I'm trying to avoid putting it on the record
in this discussion. And I also want her to have a
chance to consider whether her e-mail is reliable enough
that that's how she should be communicating with the
Commission.

And that all seems to be wrapped up with

this -- you know, whether her Internet access is good enough to do a hearing that way.

So I guess what I'm saying is, that in your discussion, if the parties decide that we can go ahead and attempt a virtual or video conference hearing in this matter, then we need make sure that Ms. Edwards' e-mail address is part of her contact information.

And if Staff could help with that, I would appreciate it. I don't really know how else to accomplish that.

MR. PRINGLE: Yeah. We can make sure that that e-mail address, or whatever is going to be used for the hearing, has e-mail attached to it.

MS. JACOBS: Okay. Thank you.

So those are the main issues that I was concerned to have addressed in a proposed procedural schedule. Does anybody have anything else that they want to talk about that before I excuse myself from the call and allow all the parties to talk?

MR. PRINGLE: For Staff Counsel, Judge, I just want to make sure I've got the rundown on my notes right. I have, for what you want to see in the procedural schedule file, whether we're going to do a hearing by phone or by video; a range of proposed dates for the hearing; whether we'll do pretrial versus live

1	testimony; and a confirmation of an e-mail address from					
2	for Ms. Edwards.					
3	JUDGE JACOBS: Yes. That sounds right to					
4	me. Thank you.					
5	Okay. Mr. Steiner, did you have anything					
6	you wanted to say before I ended the call?					
7	MR. STEINER: Judge, I had filed a Motion to					
8	Dismiss and wanted to know if the Commission is going to					
9	entertain that before we actually got down to having a					
10	hearing.					
11	JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Let me take a look at					
12	this. So I notice your Motion is included in your					
13	Answer so I think that if you wanted to have that					
14	addressed, it would be best if you put that in a Motion					
15	that complied in every way with the Commission's rule					
16	for What do they call it Summary Disposition.					
17	MR. STEINER: Disposition.					
18	Okay.					
19	JUDGE JACOBS: Yes. So a lot of people					
20	simply throw a Motion to Dismiss in with their Answer.					
21	I understand why they do it. But the Commission has a					
22	rule that addresses summary determination and allows you					
23	to ask for that after the filing of a Responsive					
24	Pleading.					
25	So I think that requires you to do it after					

```
you filed your Answer. And it also includes some
 1
 2
     specifics for how that's done. So I think that's the
    best way to get that addressed.
 3
 4
                 MR. STEINER: Thank you very much.
 5
                 JUDGE JACOBS: If I could make that
 6
     suggestion there. Okay.
                 MR. STEINER: Thank you very much.
 7
                                                     The
 8
    Commission doesn't ever take those up so I appreciate
 9
     that.
10
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Well, that's just one
11
    person's evaluation of the situation.
12
                 MR. STEINER: I understand.
13
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay.
14
                 MS. EDWARDS: So we're not -- Your Honor,
15
    would I have the right to object to that? Because
16
     there's some objections I have to that already.
17
                 JUDGE JACOBS: And it sounds like you have
     taken a look at the rules the Commission operates under,
18
19
    Ms. Edwards. And I can't provide you any legal advice
20
     about what your rights would be.
21
                 There is a rule that governs Summary
22
    Disposition as I just mentioned. And so you could take
23
    a look at that if you wanted to figure out how it would
24
    be handled under the rule. Okay?
2.5
                 MS. EDWARDS: Thank you.
```

Okay. All right. 1 JUDGE JACOBS: 2 Mr. Steiner, do you have anything else? MR. STEINER: I do not. Thank you. 3 4 JUDGE EDWARDS: Okay. And Ms. Edwards, did you have anything else? 5 6 MS. EDWARDS: No, thank you. JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. And one important 7 8 point that I have not yet made is that, in addition to 9 the proposed procedural schedule, this hearing -- or 10 this preconference hearing is scheduled to get you all 11 together. In addition to talking about the process, you 12 can also talk about whether it's possible to resolve the case without going to hearing. 13 So I believe that this line is reserved for 14 15 your use until three-fifteen, quite awhile there. Yeah. 16 So you have some time to discuss whether it's possible 17 to resolve this case without a hearing. And I would 18 invite you all to take advantage of that opportunity, 19 once I'm off the line, as well. 20 So I'm going to disconnect my audio line. 21 And I believe Mr. Pridgin will also do so. And then you 22 will just be on the line together and I won't be able to 23 hear the rest of your discussion. 24 So I'm going to excuse myself now unless 2.5 anyone needs anything else.

l l	
1	So hearing no additional requests or
2	comments from anyone else, we are now off the record.
3	Thank you so much. Have a great day.
4	(Off the record.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Cindy Keast Plowman, Certified Court Reporter,
4	do hereby certify that the proceedings had in the matter
5	set forth in the caption page hereon were reported in
6	shorthand by me, afterwards transcribed, and the
7	foregoing is a true and complete transcript of said
8	shorthand notes.
9	
10	
11	1.11/201
12	Lindy Keast / Cournan
13	Cindy Keast Plowman, CCR No. 774
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Date: May 20, 2020
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	addition 4:4 21:8,11	attorneys 10:8,9	check 15:2
1	additional 13:3 22:1	audio 21:20	church 15:25 16:8
1200 4:23	address 4:18,23 5:4	authority 9:5,15	Cindy 23:3,13
19th 3:3	7:10 14:15,18,22 16:20 18:7,12 19:1	avoid 17:20	circumstances
	addressed 18:16	awhile 21:15	13:19
	19:14 20:3	В	City 4:23 13:20
20 23:19	addresses 19:22		claim 3:22 closer 13:11 14:10
200 5:4	administrative 9:11	B-A-R-B-A-R-A 4:13	
2020 3:3 23:19	advantage 21:18	back 6:7	code 13:7
29th 11:20,22	advice 20:19	Barbara 3:5 4:9,12	Coffer 5:7
	affairs 5:14	basically 16:5	
3	affect 10:19	begin 4:7	Commission 3:14 5:1,4 6:19,25 7:1,2
360 5:5	agency 6:19 9:12	behalf 11:9	8:14 9:5,8 12:24 13:2, 21 17:24 19:8,21 20:8,
	ahead 6:17 11:13 17:7 18:4	Box 5:5	18
5	Alexander 5:13,15,17	bring 10:24	Commission's 4:4
573-751-4140 5:5	allegations 3:23 8:22	bringing 10:19	7:8 8:17 13:16 19:15
	alternative 14:1	buildings 12:5	communicating 17:23
6	Amanda 5:6	business 3:6	communication
618-556-2314 4:24	America 6:21		16:17
64105 4:24	anytime 14:14		company 4:19 5:12
	app 16:3	calendars 11:21	complain 7:14
7	appearance 4:22	call 3:18 16:15 18:19 19:6,16	complainant 3:5 4:9 13:11
774 23:13	appearances 4:8	called 16:2	complainant's 17:12
	appearing 5:1	capital 4:13	complained 6:25
A	appears 5:22	caption 23:5	complaint 7:1,7,23,
A-L-E-X-A-N-D-E-R	approved 7:23	case 3:15,20,24 4:1	25 8:1,2,21 10:7,16 13:15 17:13
5:18	area 13:20,22 15:7	7:6,16,25 8:12,16,24 9:6,21,23 12:2,8,19	complaints 13:16
ability 14:16	argument 3:23	13:14 14:14,16 16:18,	14:10
access 8:18 14:17 15:1,3,4 16:11 18:1	assembling 12:6	22 21:13,17	complete 23:7
accomplish 18:10	assigned 3:13	cases 17:10	complied 19:15
account 17:17	assume 12:9	CCR 23:13	computer 15:5
actions 10:12	assumption 7:21	Certified 23:3	concepts 6:23
adapting 16:3	attached 18:13	certify 23:4	concerned 18:16
	attempt 18:5	chance 17:22	

concerns 3:5	days 11:16 15:6	16:16,22 17:1,5 19:2	forward 10:17
conducive 13:11	deal 8:21 9:5	20:14,19,25 21:4,6	Friday 11:19,23
conducted 3:14	decide 18:4	Edwards' 3:22 18:6	front 13:1
10:22	degree 9:22 10:5,20	EFIS 16:19 17:19	
conducting 12:12 14:2,10	department 5:14	elementary 16:6	G
conference 3:3,10,	designate 10:7	ended 19:6	gave 14:19
13,15,16,21 4:4 6:24	designated 7:7,14	engage 6:22	Generally 15:13
10:23 11:3 12:3,13 16:5,25 17:4 18:5	designation 7:17,21	enter 4:7	give 3:24 4:10 13:1
conferences 15:18	8:12 9:20,22	entertain 19:9	giving 15:5
conferencing 15:19,	determination 19:22	entities 3:9	good 5:2 11:21,24
21 16:8	determine 9:6	essentially 7:20	15:10 18:1
confidential 17:14	difference 15:11	establish 3:17	governs 20:21
confirmation 19:1	disconnect 21:20	evaluation 20:11	great 22:3
considered 7:25	discuss 3:17,19,25 6:2 9:25 10:25 12:21	Evergy 3:6,7,9 4:20, 22 5:14	great-grandson
Constitution 6:20	16:14 21:16	excuse 18:18 21:24	greater 6:20
consumer 8:21	discussion 6:23 7:15	experience 16:9	groups 12:6
contact 17:13 18:7	17:21 18:4 21:23	extent 16:4	guess 18:3
continuing 12:6	Dismiss 19:8,20		
copy 8:16	Disposition 19:16,17 20:22	F	Н
Corona 15:22	distinguish 6:15	facilities 13:21	handled 9:7,23 20:24
correct 3:9 10:16,17	dollar 8:8	fact 7:16 13:10	head 11:21
correctly 14:9	dollars 8:6,22,23 9:2	facts 3:23	hear 21:23
correspondence 17:17		felt 10:24	heard 6:14
counsel 5:3,8,21	E	figure 6:7 20:23	hearing 3:17,22
10:15 11:9 17:6,12	E-D-W-A-R-D-S 4:13	file 3:4 17:14 18:23	10:20,21 12:3,12,17
18:20	e-mail 14:15,18,22	filed 19:7 20:1	13:22,24 14:2,8,10,14 16:22 18:2,5,13,24,25
couple 3:8	15:1,2 16:17,19,23 17:17,19,22 18:7,12,	filing 17:16 19:23	19:10 21:9,10,13,17 22:1
court 4:2,11,18 6:9 9:1,9 23:3	13 19:1	find 8:19	held 7:15
Covid-19 12:7	EC-2020-0252 3:4	fine 8:13	hereon 23:5
	EDWARD 16:12	floats 16:1	home 15:23
D	Edwards 3:5 4:9,12,	foregoing 23:7	Honor 20:14
date 3:17 12:16 23:19	13 6:5,6,16,18 7:3 8:15,25 9:10,13,16,18	formal 7:7,14,23 8:1 10:7,16 13:14,16	hope 10:8 11:25
dates 12:17 18:24	10:5 11:5 12:21,23 13:6,17 14:3,7,18,24,	10:7,16 13:14,16	house 16:11
day 22:3	25 15:4,10,13,16,20	format 12:25	

Huber 5:6 14:23	11,19 20:5,10,13,17		objections 20:16
	21:1,7	M	observe 4:6
l	Jana 3:12	made 7:17,21 8:12	Office 5:8,21
identify 4:17	Joel 5:7	9:21 21:8	on-line 8:18
important 21:7	joined 5:6	Madison 5:4	on-the-record 4:3
impose 16:14	judge 3:2,13 4:14,25 5:2,9,15,19 6:3,4,6,11,	mailed 8:17	one-fifteen 3:10
in-person 12:11 13:5,	13,22 7:5,13,16,24	main 4:23 10:22 14:5 18:15	one-seventeen 3:11
25 14:8,14	8:4,7,10,15 9:3,11,14, 15,17,19 10:17,18	make 6:9 10:15 14:6	open 17:15
include 10:1 12:1 14:6	11:6,13,15,20,24	16:18,23 18:6,11,21	operates 20:18
included 16:19 17:19	12:16 13:13,18 14:4, 25 15:9,12,15,17 16:7,	20:5	opportunity 3:25
19:12	13 17:6,18 18:20 19:3, 7,11,19 20:5,10,13,17	makes 15:11	21:18
includes 20:1	21:1,4,7	male 6:10	order 9:6
Incorporated 3:6	Judges 4:5	matter 3:4,13 18:6 23:4	Р
info 17:13		matters 6:1	
information 8:18,19	K	means 16:17	p.m. 3:10,11
16:19,24 18:7	Kansas 4:23 13:20	meet 3:17	P.O. 5:5
insure 10:12	Keast 23:3,13	meeting 13:10	pandemic 12:7
intermittent 16:10	kind 8:1 9:22 15:23	mention 14:9	part 18:7
Intern 5:7 Internet 8:19 12:4	17:3,9	mentioned 20:22	participate 12:3 16:25 17:4
14:17 15:3,5,7 16:10,		mentioning 8:20	participated 15:17
11 18:1		missed 5:23,24	participating 4:15,16
introduce 5:11	law 3:13 4:5 10:5	Missouri 3:6,7 4:20,	parties 3:17,19 4:7
introduced 5:22	lawyers 4:16	22,24 5:3	6:1 9:20,24 10:25 11:10 12:2,20 14:1
invite 21:18	leave 3:18	morning 5:2	18:4,19
issue 7:10 8:6 9:20 11:3 12:18 13:13 14:8	legal 5:3 6:23 20:19	Motion 19:7,12,14,20	people 12:6 19:19
issues 3:18 10:22	letters 10:10	N	person 13:3
11:25 18:15	limited 12:5,10		person's 20:11
<u> </u>	live 13:5 15:6 18:25	needed 10:24 16:24	phone 4:15,18,24 5:5
J	located 17:13	notes 18:21 23:8	12:3,13 16:3 17:3 18:24
Jacobs 3:2,12 4:14,	logistical 13:23	notice 14:15 19:12	place 10:20 13:4,10
25 5:9,15,19 6:4,6,13, 22 7:5,13,16,24 8:4,7,	longer 9:25 11:1	number 3:4 4:18,24 5:5	plan 12:8
10,15 9:3,11,14,17,19	lot 9:2 19:19		Pleading 19:24
10:18 11:6,15,20,24 12:16 13:13,18 14:4,		0	Plowman 23:3,13
25 15:9,12,15,17 16:7, 13 17:6,18 18:14 19:3,		object 20:15	point 10:11 14:8 21:8

portion 4:3	putting 17:20	requires 19:25	set 3:10,14 8:20 23:5
posed 9:8		reserved 21:14	settlement 3:20
possibility 3:19	Q	resides 13:12	shorthand 23:6,8
powers 6:20	question 6:5 7:6 9:4	resolution 12:8	simply 3:24 19:20
practices 13:7	13:21 14:13	resolve 3:20,21	sir 4:25
preconference	questions 6:12 9:7 13:4	21:12,17	situation 12:4 20:11
21:10		resolved 10:23	small 7:7,14,25 10:7,
preliminary 6:1,12	R	resolving 3:25	16 13:14,16 14:10
preparing 4:3		respondent 3:7	smart 17:2
pretrial 12:19 18:25	raise 9:19	Responsive 19:23	Smith 5:7
Pridgin 4:5 21:21	raises 9:3	rest 21:23	sort 7:1
Pringle 5:2,3,10,13 7:11,19,22 8:3,5,9	range 12:16,17 18:24	restrictions 12:6	sounds 17:2 19:3 20:17
10:14 11:11,13,17,22	read 10:9 13:9	result 9:21	speak 5:23
12:15 17:11,12 18:11, 20	reading 13:6	revisit 11:2	specifics 20:2
problem 6:11 11:18	ready 15:1	rights 20:20	spell 4:10 5:16
13:23 17:15	real 11:7 13:23 14:12	Roger 4:22 6:12	staff 5:1,3,7 7:9,20
problems 15:5	reason 10:18	Ron 4:5	10:8,15 11:9 16:14,16
procedural 3:15,18	reasons 11:7	rule 19:15,22 20:21,24	17:6,8,9,12 18:8,20
6:24 10:2,23 11:8 18:16,23 21:9	record 3:2 4:8,21 7:15 14:16 17:20 22:2,	rules 7:8 8:17,21	stand 10:10
·	4	13:7,16 17:9 20:18	start 4:8,19 5:25
proceed 7:23 proceeded 7:20	reflect 4:21	rundown 18:21	started 8:16
-	regulations 13:8	rural 15:6	State 6:19 13:7
proceedings 12:24 23:4	regulatory 3:12 4:5	s	States 6:21
process 3:25 7:23,25	5:14		Steiner 4:19,21,22
10:17 21:11	related 13:13	safe 12:9	5:11 6:3,11,12 8:10,13 19:5,7,17 20:4,7,12
properly 15:8	reliable 16:10,23 17:22	schedule 10:2 11:8,9 12:1 14:7 18:17,23	21:2,3
proposed 10:2 11:8	relief 7:1	21:9	Street 4:23 5:5
12:1,17 14:6 18:16,24 21:9	remember 14:19	scheduled 3:3 21:10	subject 13:3
provide 4:17 20:19	15:24	school 15:21 16:6,8	submit 11:9
provided 14:11	remembered 14:9	schooling 15:23	submitted 12:24
provisions 13:15	reported 23:5	Senior 4:5	subset 8:1
public 5:4,21 17:15	reporter 4:2,11,18 6:9	separate 8:1	suggestion 20:6
purpose 3:16,21	23:3	service 5:4 14:11	summary 19:16,22
put 16:3 19:14	request 11:8	15:11	20:21
	requests 22:1	services 16:1	super 16:10

	trust 10:12		
Т	type 16:5	Υ	
T-I-A 5:17	typically 12:23	yesterday 14:20	
takes 17:9			
talk 11:7 12:11,22 14:1 16:16 18:18,19 21:12	undercurrent 13:19	Zoom 15:24 16:1,4	
talked 13:9 14:20	understand 7:3 10:6 19:21 20:12		
talking 21:11	understanding		
Tammy 5:6 14:21,22	13:19		
Team 16:3	United 6:20		
telephone 3:14			
ten 11:16	V		
testimony 12:19,24 13:1,4 19:1	venues 12:10		
thing 16:2	versus 18:25		
things 14:5	viability 12:12		
thinks 8:14	video 12:3,13 15:18, 21 18:5,24		
thoughts 10:3	virtual 18:5		
thousand 8:6,7,22,23 9:2	virus 15:22		
three-fifteen 21:15	visualizing 11:21		
threshold 8:8	voices 6:10,15		
throw 19:20			
Thursday 11:19			
Tia 5:13	wanted 9:19 19:6,8, 13 20:23		
time 3:12,15 6:2 7:10 21:16	ways 14:1		
today 3:3,10,23 4:6,	web-conference 16:22		
16 5:1,21 6:14,23 8:11 9:25	West 3:6,7 4:20,23		
transcribed 23:6	work 11:16 16:4		
transcript 4:3 23:7	working 15:8		
Travis 5:3 10:14 17:11	works 12:21		
treated 8:24	wrapped 17:25		
	written 12:19,25 13:2		
true 23:7			