

James M. Fischer Larry W. Dority

Attorneys at Law Regulatory & Governmental Consultants

101 Madison, Suite 400 Jefferson City, MO 65101 Telephone: (573) 636-6758 Fax: (573) 636-0383

February 3, 2010

Mr. Steven Reed Secretary/General Counsel Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Re: Kansas City Power & Light Company, Case No. EE-2008-0034

Dear Mr. Reed:

This letter is to inform the Missouri Public Service Commission that Kansas City Power & Light Company (KCP&L) has determined that the Preferred Resource Plan, which was filed in the Integrated Resource Plan, Case No. EE-2008-0034 filed in August 2008, is no longer appropriate.

This notice is provided in compliance with 4 CSR 240-22.080(10). Specifically, in reference to the demand-side component of its Preferred Resource Plan, KCP&L has now determined that it is appropriate to scale back it demand-side resource programs in the earlier years of the plan. The scale back of the demand-side resources is caused by a reduction in the load forecast, primarily attributable to the unprecedented economic recession that has affected both customer and energy growth in our service territory. This does not impact the current energy efficiency and demand-side response programs established in the Experimental Regulatory Plan, Case No. EO-2005-0329 (Comprehensive Energy Program).

Additionally, due to a number of conditions, KCP&L has moved the 2009 planned addition of wind to a later period. The Company has addressed the addition of wind in several status reports filed in Case No. EO-2008-0224. KCP&L continues to aggressively pursue alternatives to allow the addition of wind into its supply portfolio. KCP&L issued a Request for Proposal (RFP) in December 2009 for 100 MW of wind in 2010 and 200 MW of wind in 2011.

KCP&L has also included solar generation to its resource portfolio in response to the requirement established in Proposition C, beginning in 2011. In May 2009, KCP&L and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company issued a RFP to meet the anticipated solar capacity needs.

The change to the Preferred Resource Plan as set out in the Integrated Resource Plan does not cause a change to KCP&L's Resource Plan as set out in the Experimental Regulatory Plan, Case No. EO-2005-0329.

Sincerely,

James M. Ferdin

James M. Fischer

Counsel for Kansas City Power & Light

Company

Cc: Parties of record in Case No. EE-2008-0034