THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Procedural Conference August 16, 2017 Jefferson Ci ty, Mi ssouri Vol ume 1

Jerrald Fisher,

Complainant,

vs.

File Number EC-2017-0281

Union Electric d/b/a
Ameren Missouri,

Respondent.

MICHAEL BUSHMANN, Presiding SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

REPORTED BY: Angie D. Threlkeld, CCR TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

_							
1	APPEARANCES						
2	JERRALD FISHER, Pro Se						
3							
4	SARAH E. GIBONEY, Attorney at Law Smith Lewis, LLP						
5	111 South Ninth Street Suite 200						
6	PO Box 918 Columbia, Missouri 65205-0918						
7	573. 443. 3141						
8	FOR: Union Electric d/b/a Ameren Missouri						
9	NICOLE MERS, Assistant Staff Counsel						
10	Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street						
11	Sui te 800						
12	PO Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.6651						
13							
14	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission						
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Let's go on the record.						
2	Today is August 16th, 2017. It's 2:00 p.m. The						
3	Commission has set this time for a procedural conference						
4	in the case captioned as Jerrald Fisher versus Union						
5	Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri, File Number						
6	EC-2017-0281.						
7	My name's Michael Bushmann. I'm the						
8	regulatory law judge in this matter.						
9	And let's begin by having Counsel make their						
10	entri es of appearance.						
11	Mr. Fisher, am I correct that you're						
12	representing yourself in this case?						
13	MR. FISHER: Unfortunately, Your Honor, I've						
14	been pro se in this whole endeavor for so long. I'm an						
15	indigent, so I have no money for an attorney.						
16	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, that's fine. And if						
17	you have any questions while we're on the record here, be						
18	sure and stop me and ask me. I want to make sure that						
19	you understand what's going on. And there is a court						
20	reporter sitting here next to me, who will be						
21	transcribing everything that we say while we're on the						
22	record.						
23	Appearing for Ameren Missouri.						
24	MS. GIBONEY: For Ameren Missouri, I'm Sarah						
25	Gibonev from the law firm of Smith Lewis. And the court						

reporter has my contact information. And with me today 1 2 is a representative of Ameren Missouri. This is Cathy 3 Hart. 4 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you. 5 And Commission staff. 6 MS. MERS: Nicole Mers, appearing on behalf 7 of the Commission staff. And my information has been 8 provided to the court reporter. 9 JUDGE BUSHMANN: And I don't see anybody here 10 from public counsel. All right. Well, the first thing I wanted to 11 12 do was to take up the Ameren Missouri motion to dismiss 13 that was included with its answer. The standard for 14 reviewing a motion to dismiss is solely a test of the 15 adequacy of Mr. Fisher's complaint to see if it alleges a 16 violation of state law or a tariff or Commission rule. 17 Since Mr. Fisher does make that allegation in 18 his complaint, I don't think it would be appropriate to 19 grant the motion to dismiss now, based solely on the 20 adequacy of the complaint itself. 21 However, Ameren Missouri does raise some 22 really good points in its motion about how the Commission 23 may not be able to grant some of the relief that 24 Mr. Fisher is asking for, such as constitutional claims 25 and request for money damages.

I should let you know, Mr. Fisher, that the Commission is not a court of law, and so it doesn't have the authority to make a decision about constitutional claims or to award any money damages. The only thing the Commission can decide is whether or not Ameren Missouri violated a state law, one of its tariffs, or one of the Commission's rules.

Since the facts of the case could apply to multiple claims that Mr. Fisher has made in his complaint, I think what I'll do is take the motion to dismiss with the case and deal with that in a final decision. But any evidence that might be presented that's not related to the issues the Commission can decide would be not relevant and would be subject to an objection.

So I think we're going to need a procedural schedule to move the case forward. Since Mr. Fisher is not represented by counsel, I would ask staff to please file a proposed procedural schedule by August 24th. And I would be willing to consider skipping prefiled testimony and going with live testimony at an evidentiary hearing, if the parties decide that that would be appropriate and make that request.

Mr. Fisher, the procedural schedule that I mentioned is something that once we go off the record you

1 and the other parties can talk about it. It's a schedule 2 of events for the case and the dates by which those 3 events need to occur that would lead up to an evidentiary 4 hearing and then a final decision by the Commission. 5 Do you have any questions about the -- what 6 I've been talking about, any of those things? 7 MR. FI SHER: Yes, sir, I do. 8 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, go ahead. 9 MR. FISHER: I have provided for the Court in that email item that I mentioned earlier a procedural 10 11 document that you requested. There is one on file in the 12 Court as we speak. 13 Okay. Well, I don't have a JUDGE BUSHMANN: 14 copy of that with me. But when we go off the record, you 15 can talk with the other parties about what dates would 16 work for you for the different things that need to occur. 17 The attorneys can let you know what the usual case events 18 are for hearings of this type, cases of this type; and 19 then you can kind of come to an agreement with them about 20 when would be the best date to do that. 21 Did you have any other questions about any of 22 that? 23 MR. FISHER: Yes, sir, I do have questions. Go ahead. 24 JUDGE BUSHMANN: 25 MR. FISHER: The question that I have is that

I have suffered long delay. My power has been off going on three years because of this decision of denial of service. Clearly, as in my procedural schedule submitted to the Court, it says that they have admitted in the answers to the complaint that they have denied me service. And I can testify to being denied service. I haven't had service in close to three years. So that is prima facie.

My contentions are all well written in that

My contentions are all well written in that document I sent to the Court, and the problem here is a matter of delay. I've been out of my home. They broke in my home; they stole my pipes on Memorial Day. I am a veteran. I haven't had no place to live. I live on \$750 a month. I don't know why I'm being persecuted by a multimillion dollar -- billion dollar corporation over this issue at hand. And it's been continuing. And I think that is absolutely wrong to have another date to deal with this over a procedural schedule, when I have before the Court now a procedural schedule.

And it is with respect, sir, that I ask that it be read so at least my side is mentioned in the Court, sir.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. Well, the Commission has certain rules that it has to follow. Those rules are required for us to follow. Some of those rules require

us to have certain procedural events and have an evidentiary hearing. There has to be presentation of evidence. The Commission has to receive that evidence, and then make a final decision on that.

So I understand that you've submitted what you would request would be done at a certain time, but the Commission is the one that makes the ultimate decision about when the case events would occur leading up to an evidentiary hearing. That's what you and the other counsel can talk about once we go off the record, and hopefully you can come to some agreement. If you cannot come to an agreement, you can submit your own request for a procedural schedule separate from the other parties. It's good if you can all agree. But if you can't agree, you can provide something on your own.

I don't remember receiving anything from you that's what you're describing. There was some correspondence that was received shortly after there was a notice of this conference, but I don't remember it saying anything as to what you described. So you may want to double-check, if you have access to any of the case files from the Commission. It's on the Internet. If you're able to access that, you can see everything that has been filed in the case. And if there's something that's missing that you want to include, then

1 you can try and send that again to the Commission's data 2 center. 3 Let me throw it open then to other parties 4 and see if there's anything else that they have that need 5 to be discussed while we're on the record. 6 MS. MERS: No, sir. 7 MS. GIBONEY: I don't believe so, Judge. 8 JUDGE BUSHMANN: 0kay. 9 MR. FISHER: Your Honor? 10 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Yes, sir. 11 MR. FISHER: I have a problem with the fact 12 that they're unprepared on the date of this procedure. 13 The Court sent notice to me that we would have this at 14 2:00 on this day. I did respond with a written 15 procedural schedule, which is in the Court. 16 only correspondence I sent you. And my problem is I 17 cannot get discovery from Ameren. And I've gone as far 18 as the Federal Court to force discovery and have been 19 unable to get any information from them. They have a --20 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, I --21 MR. FISHER: -- and a delay and a -- and this 22 needs to come to an end. 23 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, one of the things that 24 would be decided in the procedural schedule is, if 25 there's any special requests for the number of days that

1	a party has to respond to a discovery request, part of				
2	that is in the Commission rules. So if you wanted to try				
3	and get a copy of that. I think it was sent to you it				
4	may have been sent to you. And it says in there about				
5	how long a party has to respond once they've received a				
6	di scovery request.				
7	And in the future, if you don't receive a				
8	response or receive a response that you're not satisfied				
9	with, you do have the power under Commission rules to				
10	request that the Commission compel a response. So that				
11	is an option that will be open to you. But that would be				

Right now all we're talking about today is getting a procedural schedule so we can get the case resolved as quickly as possible.

something we would deal with later in a separate matter.

MR. FISHER: Your Honor?

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Yes, sir.

MR. FISHER: May I have an additional word,

sir?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Yes.

MR. FISHER: In regards to compelling this information, when the issue was in the Federal Court, I did just that very same thing. I petitioned the Court to have them respond to discovery and asked the Court to compel them to respond. They have not responded to this

date. And it was thrown out of court, and the Court ruled they did not have to respond.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, this is a separate thing -- this is a separate proceeding from what you may have already filed in Federal Court. Nothing that happened in that court applies here. We are not a court of law. We're just an administrative agency.

MR. FISHER: Yes, sir.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: So if you do have discovery that you want to submit to the company, then you would need to do that again in this proceeding under the rules of the Commission. So you might want to take a look at those Commission procedural rules that were sent to you so you know what's going on with the procedures here.

MR. FISHER: Yes, sir, I do understand that.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay.

MR. FISHER: The biggest problem with that is the long delay and nothing getting done.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: I understand. And that's why we'll try and get the parties together, once I leave here, and you can see if there's a way we'll move the case along as quickly as we can. I understand that that that's difficult for you based on your circumstances, but the Commission has certain procedures it has to follow.

MR. FISHER: May I ask a question, one last

i ssue? 1 2 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Yes, go ahead. 3 MR. FISHER: I have tried to speak with their 4 attorney for some type of settlement for the wrongness 5 they've done to me and continuing, as well as the massive 6 lawsuit I have lost. And Ameren Missouri being a 7 monopoly, I have no one else to go to. And I have 8 suffered and am suffering at this very moment, continued 9 losses without remedy. 10 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, I can't talk about 11 settlement. I can't talk about settlement. But once I 12 leave the room, then you're welcome to bring that up with 13 the other parties. 14 MR. FISHER: Yes, sir. Thank you. 15 If there's JUDGE BUSHMANN: All right. 16 nothing further then, we will go off the record. And you 17 have -- the conference number is open till 4:00, if you 18 need it. Also, I've got a copy of the adjudication 19 calendar for the next six months, so it will help you to 20 come up with a schedule. 21 Mr. Fisher, I'm going to leave the room now, 22 and you can continue your conversation off the record 23 with the other attorneys. 24 Thank you, sir.

MS. GIBONEY: Thank you, Judge.

25

EC-2017-0281

1	MR. FISHER: Thank you so much, Your Honor.
2	(Off the record.)
3	(011 110 1 0001 01)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Angie D. Threlkeld, a Certified Court Reporter, CCR No. 1382, the officer before whom the foregoing hearing was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing hearing was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken, and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

Ingie D. Shrelkeld

Angie D. Threlkeld, CCR

			1.000	1100 1111 22
A	attorneys 6:17	Certified 14:3	12:22	difficult 11:23
ability 14:6	12:23	certify 14:5	copy 6:14 10:3	direction 14:7
able 4:23 8:23	August 1:7 3:2	circumstances	12:18	discovery 9:17
absolutely 7:17	5:19	11:23	corporation	9:18 10:1,6,24
access 8:21,23	authority 5:3	City 1:7 2:12	7:15	11:9
action 14:9,13	award 5:4	claims 4:24 5:4	correct 3:11	discussed 9:5
additional 10:18		5:9	correspondence	dismiss 4:12,14
adequacy 4:15	<u>B</u>	Clearly 7:3	8:18 9:16	4:19 5:11
4:20	based 4:19	close 7:7	counsel 2:9 3:9	document 6:11
adjudication	11:23	Columbia 2:6	4:10 5:18 8:10	7:10
12:18	behalf 4:6	come 6:19 8:11	14:8,11	dollar 7:15,15
administrative	believe 9:7	8:12 9:22	court 1:20 3:19	double-check
11:7	best 6:20 14:6	12:20	3:25 4:8 5:2	8:21
admitted 7:4	biggest 11:17	Commission 1:1	6:9,12 7:4,10	
agency 11:7	billion 7:15	2:13 3:3 4:5,7	7:19,21 9:13	E
agree 8:14,15	Box 2:6,11	4:16,22 5:2,5	9:15,18 10:22	E 2:1,1,4
agreement 6:19	bring 12:12	5:13 6:4 7:23	10:23,24 11:1	earlier 6:10
8:11,12	broke 7:11	8:3,7,22 10:2,9	11:1,5,6,6 14:3	EC-2017-0281
ahead 6:8,24	Building 2:10	10:10 11:12,13		1:12 3:6
12:2	Bushmann 1:17	11:24	D	Electric 1:13 2:8
	3:1,7,16 4:4,9	Commission's	D 1:20 14:3,19	3:5
allegation 4:17	6:8,13,24 7:23	5:7 9:1	d/b/a 1:13 2:8	email 6:10
alleges 4:15	9:8,10,20,23	company 3:5	3:5	employed 14:8
Ameren 1:14 2:8	10:17,20 11:3	11:10	damages 4:25	14:11
3:5,23,24 4:2	11:9,16,19	compel 10:10,25	5:4	employee 14:11
4:12,21 5:5	12:2,10,15	compelling	data 9:1	endeavor 3:14
9:17 12:6		10:21	date 6:20 7:17	entries 3:10
Angie 1:20 14:3	C	Complainant	9:12 11:1	events 6:2,3,17
14:19	C 2:1	1:11	dates 6:2,15	8:1,8
answer 4:13	calendar 12:19		day 7:12 9:14	evidence 5:12
answers 7:5	captioned 3:4	complaint 4:15	days 9:25	8:3,3
anybody 4:9	case 3:4,12 5:8	4:18,20 5:10	deal 5:11 7:18	evidentiary 5:21
appearance 3:10	5:11,17 6:2,17	7:5	10:12	6:3 8:2,9
appearing 3:23	8:8,22,24	conference 1:6	decide 5:5,14,22	0.3 0.2,3
4:6	10:14 11:22	3:3 8:19 12:17	decide 5.5,14,22 decided 9:24	$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$
applies 11:6	cases 6:18	consider 5:20	decision 5:3,12	facie 7:8
apply 5:8	Cathy 4:2	constitutional	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	fact 9:11
appropriate	CCR 1:20 14:4	4:24 5:3	6:4 7:2 8:4,8	facts 5:8
4:18 5:23	14:19	contact 4:1	delay 7:1,11	far 9:17
asked 10:24	center 9:2	contentions 7:9	9:21 11:18	Federal 9:18
asking 4:24	certain 7:24 8:1	continue 12:22	denial 7:2	10:22 11:5
Assistant 2:9	8:6 11:24	continued 12:8	denied 7:5,6	file 1:12 3:5 5:19
attorney 2:4	CERTIFICATE	continuing 7:16	described 8:20	6:11
3:15 12:4	14:1	12:5	describing 8:17	filed 8:24 11:5
14:11	14.1	conversation	different 6:16	meu 0.24 11.3

	1	1		
files 8:22	happened 11:6	11:7	multimillion	place 7:13
final 5:11 6:4	Hart 4:3	lawsuit 12:6	7:15	please 5:18
8:4	hearing 5:22 6:4	lead 6:3	multiple 5:9	PO 2:6,11
financially 14:12	8:2,9 14:5,6,9	leading 8:8		points 4:22
fine 3:16	hearings 6:18	leave 11:20	N	possible 10:15
firm 3:25	help 12:19	12:12,21	N 2:1	power 7:1 10:9
first 4:11	home 7:11,12	let's 3:1,9	name's 3:7	prefiled 5:20
Fisher 1:10 2:2	Honor 3:13 9:9	Lewis 2:4 3:25	need 5:16 6:3,16	presentation 8:2
3:4,11,13 4:17	10:16 13:1	live 5:21 7:13,13	9:4 11:11	_
' '			12:18	presented 5:12
4:24 5:1,9,17	hopefully 8:11	LLC 1:20	needs 9:22	Presiding 1:17
5:24 6:7,9,23		LLP 2:4	neither 14:8	prima 7:8
6:25 9:9,11,21	include 8:25	long 3:14 7:1	Nicole 2:9 4:6	pro 2:2 3:14
10:16,18,21	include 8:23	10:5 11:18		problem 7:10
11:8,15,17,25		look 11:12	Ninth 2:5	9:11,16 11:17
12:3,14,21	indigent 3:15	losses 12:9	notice 8:19 9:13	procedural 1:6
13:1	information 4:1	lost 12:6	number 1:12 3:5	3:3 5:16,19,24
Fisher's 4:15	4:7 9:19 10:22		9:25 12:17	6:10 7:3,18,19
follow 7:24,25	interested 14:12	M	0	8:1,13 9:15,24
11:24	Internet 8:22	Madison 2:10		10:14 11:13
force 9:18	issue 7:16 10:22	massive 12:5	objection 5:15	procedure 9:12
foregoing 14:4,5	12:1	matter 3:8 7:11	occur 6:3,16 8:8	procedures
forward 5:17	issues 5:13	10:12	Office 2:10	11:14,24
further 12:16	item 6:10	Memorial 7:12	officer 14:4	proceeding 11:4
14:10		mentioned 5:25	Okay 6:13 7:23	11:11
future 10:7	-	6:10 7:21	9:8 11:16	PROCEEDIN
	Jefferson 1:7	Mers 2:9 4:6,6	once 5:25 8:10	1:5
G	2:12	9:6	10:5 11:20	proposed 5:19
getting 10:14	Jerrald 1:10 2:2	Michael 1:17	12:11	provide 8:15
11:18	3:4	3:7	open 9:3 10:11	provided 4:8 6:9
Giboney 2:4	judge 1:17 3:1,8	missing 8:25	12:17	public 1:1 2:13
3:24,25 9:7	3:16 4:4,9 6:8	Missouri 1:2,7	option 10:11	4:10
12:25	6:13,24 7:23	1:14 2:6,8,12	outcome 14:13	
go 3:1 5:25 6:8	9:7,8,10,20,23	2:13 3:5,23,24		Q
6:14,24 8:10	10:17,20 11:3	4:2,12,21 5:5	P	question 6:25
12:2,7,16	11:9,16,19	12:6	P 2:1,1	11:25
going 3:19 5:16	12:2,10,15,25	moment 12:8	p.m 3:2	questions 3:17
5:21 7:1 11:14	T 7	money 3:15 4:25	part 10:1	6:5,21,23
12:21	K	5:4	parties 5:22 6:1	quickly 10:15
good 4:22 8:14	kind 6:19	monopoly 12:7	6:15 8:14 9:3	11:22
Governor 2:10	know 5:1 6:17	month 7:14	11:20 12:13	
grant 4:19,23	7:14 11:14	months 12:19	14:9,12	R
		motion 4:12,14	party 10:1,5	R 2:1
H	<u>L</u>	4:19,22 5:10	persecuted 7:14	raise 4:21
hand 7:16	law 1:17 2:4 3:8	move 5:17 11:21	petitioned 10:23	read 7:21
	3:25 4:16 5:2,6	110,60.17 11.21	pipes 7:12	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	1	1	1	1
really 4:22	10:1,5,24,25	side 7:21	testimony 5:21	usual 6:17
receive 8:3 10:7	11:2	sir 6:7,23 7:20	5:21	
10:8	responded 10:25	7:22 9:6,10	Thank 4:4 12:14	V
received 8:18	Respondent	10:17,19 11:8	12:24,25 13:1	versus 3:4
10:5	1:15	11:15 12:14,24	thereto 14:12	veteran 7:13
receiving 8:16	response 10:8,8	sitting 3:20	thing 4:11 5:4	violated 5:6
record 3:1,17,22	10:10	six 12:19	10:23 11:4	violation 4:16
5:25 6:14 8:10	reviewing 4:14	skipping 5:20	things 6:6,16	Volume 1:8
9:5 12:16,22	right 4:11 10:13	Smith 2:4 3:25	9:23	vs 1:12
13:2	12:15	solely 4:14,19	think 4:18 5:10	
reduced 14:7	room 12:12,21	South 2:5	5:16 7:17 10:3	W
regards 10:21	rule 4:16	speak 6:12 12:3	three 7:2,7	want 3:18 8:21
regulatory 1:17	ruled 11:2	special 9:25	Threlkeld 1:20	8:25 11:10,12
3:8	rules 5:7 7:24,24	staff 2:9,13 4:5,7	14:3,19	wanted 4:11
related 5:13	7:25 10:2,9	5:18	throw 9:3	10:2
14:8	11:11,13	standard 4:13	thrown 11:1	way 11:21
relative 14:10	11.11,13	state 1:2 4:16	TIGER 1:20	we'll 11:20,21
relevant 5:14	S	5:6	till 12:17	we're 3:17,21
relief 4:23	$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ 2:1	stole 7:12	time 3:3 8:6	5:16 9:5 10:13
	Sarah 2:4 3:24			11:7
remedy 12:9 remember 8:16	satisfied 10:8	stop 3:18 Street 2:5,10	today 3:2 4:1 10:13	welcome 12:12
	saying 8:20	,		willing 5:20
8:19	says 7:4 10:4	subject 5:14	transcribing	word 10:18
REPORTED	schedule 5:17,19	submit 8:12	3:21	work 6:16
1:19	5:24 6:1 7:3,18	11:10	TRANSCRIPT	written 7:9 9:14
reporter 3:20	7:19 8:13 9:15	submitted 7:3	1:5	wrong 7:17
4:1,8 14:1,3	9:24 10:14	8:5	tried 12:3	wrongness 12:4
REPORTING	12:20	suffered 7:1	try 9:1 10:2	
1:20		12:8	11:20	X
representative	se 2:2 3:14	suffering 12:8	type 6:18,18	
4:2	see 4:9,15 8:23	Suite 2:5,11	12:4	Y
represented	9:4 11:21	sure 3:18,18	typewriting 14:7	years 7:2,7
5:18	send 9:1			
representing	SENIOR 1:17		U	Z
3:12	sent 7:10 9:13	take 4:12 5:10	ultimate 8:7	
request 4:25	9:16 10:3,4	11:12	unable 9:19	0
5:23 8:6,13	11:13	taken 14:5,6,10	understand 3:19	1
10:1,6,10	separate 8:13	talk 6:1,15 8:10	8:5 11:15,19	
requested 6:11	10:12 11:3,4	12:10,11	11:22	11:8
requests 9:25	service 1:1 2:13	talking 6:6	Unfortunately	111 2:5
require 7:25	7:3,6,6,7	10:13	3:13	1382 14:4
required 7:25	set 3:3	tariff 4:16	Union 1:13 2:8	16 1:7
resolved 10:15	settlement 12:4	tariffs 5:6	3:4	16th 3:2
respect 7:20	12:11,11	test 4:14	unprepared	2
respond 9:14	shortly 8:18	testify 7:6	9:12	
				2:00 3:2 9:14
	=	=	•	•

EC-2017-0281

200 2:5,10 2017 1:7 3:2 24th 5:19		
$\frac{\frac{3}{3602:11}}{4}$		
4:00 12:17 5 573.443.3141		
2:7 573.751.6651 2:12		
6 65102 2:12 65205-0918 2:6		
7 750 7:13 8		
800 2:11 9 918 2:6		