

John F. Cooney cooney@bscr-law.com St. Louis Office

April 14, 2004

FILED²
APR 1 4 2004

Hon. Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge
Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Missouri Public Service Commission

Re: Missouri Public Service Commission v. Ton Services, Inc. Claim No.: TC-2004-0383

Dear Mr. Roberts:

I have enclosed a copy of Motion to Set Aside Default Order and Request for Hearing, or in the Alternative, Application for Rehearing and reconsideration. I have also enclosed a copy of the formal Answer to the Complaint.

If you have any questions regarding these filings, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

John F. Cooney

JFC:jfc

Enclosures

W:\TON SERVICES\Roberts 04-14-04.wpd

cc: Office of the Public Counsel

P.O. Box 2230

Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Mr. Bruce H. Bates Associate General Counsel

Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360

Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

THE STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,)	APR 1 4 2004
Complainant, vs.)))	Service Commission
TON SERVICES INC.,)	
Respondent.	,)	

ANSWER

COMES NOW Respondent **TON Services Inc.**, pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.070(8) and for its Answer to the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission's Complaint states:

- 1. Respondent admits the allegations of Paragraph 1 of the Complaint.
- 2. Respondent admits the allegations of Paragraph 2 of the Complaint.
- 3. Respondent is without information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 3 of the Complaint and therefore must deny same.
- 4. Respondent is without information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 4 of the Complaint and therefore must deny same.

COUNTI

- 5. Respondent admits the allegations of Paragraph 5 of the Complaint.
- 6. Respondent is without information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 6 of the Complaint and therefore must deny same.
- 7. Respondent is without information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 7 of the Complaint and therefore must deny same.
- 8. Respondent is without information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 8 of the Complaint and therefore must deny same.

- 9. Respondent is without information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 9 of the Complaint and therefore must deny same.
- 10. Further answering and by way of affirmative defense, Respondent states that as of March 11, 2004, its 2002 Annual Report was on file with the Missouri Public Service Commission.

WHEREFORE, Respondent requests that the Commission dismiss Count I of the Complaint or, in the alternative, conduct a full hearing into the issues set forth in Count I of the Complaint, and for such other relief as the Commission deems just and proper.

COUNT II

- 11. Respondent is without information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 10 of the Complaint and therefore must deny same.
- 12. Respondent is without information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 11 of the Complaint and therefore must deny same.
- 13. Respondent is without information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 12 of the Complaint and therefore must deny same.
- 14. Respondent is without information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 13 of the Complaint and therefore must deny same.
- 15. Respondent is without information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 14 of the Complaint and therefore must deny same.
- 16. Respondent is without information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 15 of the Complaint and therefore must deny same.
- 17. Respondent is without information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 16 of the Complaint and therefore must deny same.
- 18. Respondent is without information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 17 of the Complaint and therefore must deny same.
- 19. Respondent is without information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 18 of the Complaint and therefore must deny same.

20. Further answering and by way of affirmative defense, Respondent states that as of March 11, 2004, it has paid its 2004 annual assessment in full to the Missouri Public Service Commission.

WHEREFORE, Respondent requests that the Commission dismiss Count II of the Complaint or, in the alternative, conduct a full hearing into the issues set forth in Count II of the Complaint, and for such other relief as the Commission deems just and proper.

BAKER, STERCHI, COWDEN & RICE, L.L.C.

John F. Gooney, #32522

Attorney for Respondent

1010 Market Street, Suite 1610

St. Louis, Missouri 63101

(314) 231-2925

(314) 231-4857 (fax)

email: cooney@bscr-law.com Attorney for TON Services Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copy of the foregoing mailed this <u>14</u> day of April, 2004, to **Bruce H. Bates**, attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, and the Office of the Public Counsel, P.O. Box 2230 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.