Exhibit No.:

Issues: Relief Requested and Summary of

Witnesses, Reason for Rate Request, Test Year and Company's Request for True-Up, Revenues, Pensions, OPEBs, Regulatory Expense, Service Company

Support Services, Main Break

Expenses, Tank Painting Costs, Hydrant

Painting Costs, Income Taxes,
Depreciation Rates, Pension/OPEB
Tracker, Corporate Allocations, Costs to
Provide Billing Data to MSD, Rate

Design

Witness: Edward J. Grubb
Exhibit Type: Direct- PUBLIC

Sponsoring Party: Missouri-American Water Company

Case No.: WR-2008-XXXX

SR-2008-XXX

Date: March 31, 2008

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. WR-2008-XXXX CASE NO. SR-2008-XXXX

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

EDWARD J. GRUBB

ON BEHALF OF

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

IN THE MATTER OF MISSOURI-AMERICAN)	
WATER COMPANY FOR AUTHORITY TO)	
FILE TARIFFS REFLECTING INCREASED	j	CASE NO. WR-2008-XXXX
RATES FOR WATER AND SEWER	j	CASE NO. SR-2008-XXX
SERVICE	j	

AFFIDAVIT OF EDWARD J. GRUBB

Edward J. Grubb, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the witness who sponsors the accompanying testimony entitled "Direct Testimony of Edward J. Grubb"; that said testimony and schedules were prepared by him and/or under his direction and supervision; that if inquires were made as to the facts in said testimony and schedules, he would respond as therein set forth; and that the aforesaid testimony and schedules are true and correct to the best of his knowledge.

Edward J. Grubb

State of Missouri County of St. Louis SUBSCRIBED and sworn to

Before me this and day of March

_ 2008.

Notary Public

My commission expires:

Stact A. Olsen
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
St. Charles County
. Commission # 05519210

My Commission Expires: March 20, 2009

DIRECT TESTIMONY EDWARD J. GRUBB MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY CASE NO. WR.2008.XXXX CASE NO. SR.2008.XXXX

		PAGE
1.	WITNESS INTRODUCTION	
2.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	2
3.	RELIEF REQUESTED AND SUMMARY OF WITNESSES	4
4.	REASON FOR RATE REQUEST	5
5.	TEST YEAR AND COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR TRUE-UP	8
6.	REVENUES	9
7.	PENSIONS	14
8.	OPEBS	14
9.	REGULATORY EXPENSE	15
10.	SERVICE COMPANY SUPPORT SERVICES	15
11.	MAIN BREAK EXPENSES	17
12.	TANK PAINTING COSTS	18
13.	HYDRANT PAINTING COSTS	18
14.	INCOME TAXES	19
15.	DEPRECIATION RATES	19
16.	PENSION/OPEB TRACKER	20
17.	CORPORATE ALLOCATIONS	20
18.	COSTS TO PROVIDE BILLING DATA TO MSD	22
19.	RATE DESIGN	25

DIRECT TESTIMONY

EDWARD J. GRUBB

1		<u>WITNESS INTRODUCTION</u>
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A.	My name is Edward J. Grubb, and my business address is 727 Craig Road,
4		St. Louis, Missouri 63141.
5	4	
6	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
7	A.	I am employed by American Water Service Company, Inc. as the Director,
8		Rates and Regulations for the Central Region and I am also the Assistant
9		Treasurer for Missouri- American Water Company ("Company" or "MAWC").
10		
11	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND
12		AND BUSINESS EXPERIENCE?
13	A.	My background and qualifications are summarized in Schedule EJG-1 of this
14		testimony.
15		
16	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY PARTICIPATED IN REGULATORY MATTERS?
17	Α.	Yes, I have prepared rate cases and presented testimony before the
18		Maryland Public Service Commission, West Virginia Public Service
19		Commission, Tennessee Public Service Commission, Illinois Commerce
20		Commission, Kentucky Public Service Commission, Iowa Utilities Board,

1		Indi	ana Utility Regulatory Commission, Public Utility Commission of Ohio, and
2		this	Commission.
3			
4			PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
5	Q.	WH	AT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
6	A.	The	purpose of my testimony is to discuss on behalf of MAWC:
7		1)	Relief Requested and Summary of Witnesses;
8		2)	Reasons for Rate Request;
9		3)	The Test Year and the Company's Request for a True-up;
10		4)	Revenues;
11		5)	Pensions;
12		6)	OPEBs;
13		7)	Regulatory Expense;
14		8)	Service Company Support Services;
15		9)	Main Break Expense;
16		10)	Tank Painting Costs;
17		11)	Hydrant Painting Costs;
18		12)	Income Taxes;
19		13)	Depreciation Rates;
20		14)	Pension/OPEB Tracker
21		15)	Corporate Allocations;
22		16)	MSD Cost Analysis; and,
23		17)	Rate Design.
24			

1	Q.	MR. GRUBB.	ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY SCHEDULES	3?
---	----	------------	----------------------------------	----

2 A. I am sponsoring Schedules EJG-1, EJG-2, and EJG-3.

3

4 Q. WILL YOU BE TESTIFYING TO ANY OTHER SCHEDULES?

5 A. Yes. Don Petry is sponsoring all of the Company's Accounting Schedules 6 (CAS). These schedules consist of a Rate Increase Summary, Rate Base, 7 Income Statement, Summary of Adjustments, and a Bill Analysis at Present 8 and Proposed Rates. I will be testifying in support of specific schedules 9 within the CAS, which will be identified later in my testimony. I will also 10 present and comment on the results of the cost analysis concerning the 11 provision of water usage data to the St. Louis Metropolitan Sewer District.

12

13

14

- Q. WERE THESE SCHEDULES PREPARED BY YOU OR UNDER YOUR SUPERVISION?
- 15 A. Yes, they were.

16

17 Q. WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF THE INFORMATION FOUND ON THE 18 SCHEDULES?

The historical and pro forma information contained in these schedules is taken from MAWC's financial books and records at December 31, 2007, and other Company sources. The books and records are maintained in accordance with the Uniform System of Accounts for Class A water utilities prescribed by the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC), and are audited annually by an independent accounting firm which

1		certifies that such books and records are maintained in accordance with
2		generally accepted accounting principals.
3		
4		(1) RELIEF REQUESTED AND SUMMARY OF WITNESSES
5	Q.	WHAT RELIEF IS MAWC SEEKING IN THIS CASE?
6	A.	MAWC is seeking a rate increase to produce additional annual water
7		revenues of \$49.6 million, or an overall 26.4% increase, and additional annual
8		sewer revenues of \$133,011, or an overall 28.7% increase.
9	Q.	WHAT WITNESSES WILL BE FILING DIRECT TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT
10		OF MAWC'S PROPOSED RATE INCREASE AND TARIFF SHEETS AND
11		WHAT SUBJECTS WILL THEY BE ADDRESSING?
12	A.	1) Frank Kartmann, Vice President Operations, will testify regarding the
13		operations of the Company.
14		2) Kevin Dunn, Director, Engineering, will testify concerning capital additions
15		since the last rate case and, specifically, the additions and improvements to
16		the Joplin Treatment Plant since the last case.
17		3) Donald Petry, Financial Analyst III, will testify concerning production costs,
18		Insurance Other Than Group, property taxes and other selected proforma
19		operating expense adjustments.
20		4) Scott Rungren, Financial Analyst III, will testify to the capital structure,
21		including proforma rates for debt and preferred stock. He has incorporated a
22		proposed 11.25% return on equity which will be supported by Ms. Ahern.

1	5) Pete	Thakad	diyil, Financia	al Anal	yst II,	will testify	to proforma labo	or, payroll
2	taxes,	group	insurance,	401k	and	incentive	compensation	expense
3	adjustm	nents.						

- 6) Tyler Bernsen, Financial Analyst II, will testify to rate base, depreciation expense and other selected proforma operating expense adjustments.
- 7) Pauline Ahern, Consultant with AUS, will testify concerning cost of equity.

4

5

11

12

13

- 8) Paul Herbert, Consultant with Gannett Fleming, will testify to a Cost of Service Study and Tariff Design.
- 9 9) Professor Edward Spitznagel, Consultant, will testify on the usage trend and weather normalization for all the districts.

(2) REASONS FOR RATE REQUEST

- Q. WHEN WERE MAWC'S BASE RATES LAST ADDRESSED IN A GENERAL RATE CASE?
- 14 A. The Commission addressed the Company's base rates by its Order issued in
 15 Case No. WR-2007-0216, on October 4, 2007. The Commission's Order
 16 approved an increase in base rates of \$28,463,584 for MAWC. As a result of
 17 the change in the base rates, the Infrastructure System Replacement
 18 Surcharge ("ISRS") and the St. Joseph Property Tax Surcharge were reset to
 19 zero. Thus, the Company's net increase in revenues was approximately
 20 \$21.4 million or 12.3%.
- Q. SINCE BASE RATES WERE ADDRESSED IN CASE NO. WR-2007-0216,
 HAS THERE BEEN ADJUSTMENTS TO MAWC'S RATES?

- A. No. However, the Company does have an application pending before the Commission requesting a change in the infrastructure system replacement surcharge (ISRS). The Company anticipates receiving an Order on this request before the end of May 2008. The ISRS was authorized by the Missouri General Assembly in 2003 for the Company's St. Louis District only.
- Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE RATE INCREASE REQUESTED BY MAWC IN
 THIS PROCEEDING.
- A. MAWC seeks a rate increase that would produce additional annual water revenues of approximately \$49.6 million, or approximately 26.4%. The Company also seeks an increase in sewer rates to produce additional annual revenues of approximately \$133,000 or a 28.7% increase.

Q. WHY DOES THE COMPANY SEEK A RATE INCREASE?

Α.

In 2007, the Company's actual earned return on equity was 4.13% and on a proforma basis expects to earn a return on equity of 2.68%. This actual and proforma equity return is 712 and 857 basis points below the current cost of common equity recommended by Ms. Ahern in this case. We have filed this case to reverse this deficiency and to provide the Company with an opportunity to start to earn better and more appropriate returns. The Company's ability to provide water service is dependent on a consistent level of adequate earnings. Adequate earnings are those which justify the investment of capital in the Company. Revenues must be sufficient to cover operating expenses, such as employee payroll and benefits, insurance, taxes, depreciation, and costs associated with maintenance and operation, and, thereafter, provide for the payment of capital costs which include interest and

dividends. Revenues generated by the current rates the Company is authorized to charge for water and sewer service will not adequately accomplish this task. On a pro forma, present rates basis, the Company's earned return on its rate base investment is only 4.49% versus the proposed level of 8.60%. Therefore, a water rate increase of approximately \$49.6 million and a sewer rate increase of approximately \$133,000 is being requested.

Q. WHAT ARE THE REASONS FOR THE COMPANY FILING A REQUEST FOR AN INCREASE IN RATES?

10 A. The water rate increase is primarily due to the following factors:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Infrastructure investments Across the state, the Company has invested
 approximately \$149 million in the communities it serves since the true-up date
 in the last case -- including replacing and installing water lines, meters,
 hydrants and improvements at water treatment, pumping and storage
 facilities, all of which enhance customer service and support local economic
 development.
- **Property taxes and Depreciation** Increases in utility plant also result in higher property tax and deprecation expenses.
- Increases in operating costs MAWC has experienced continued

 increases in costs for labor and labor related costs, chemicals, fuel and power

 and other operating costs since the last rate order.
- Rate of Return Like all water utilities, MAWC must continually invest in the water plants, towers and pipelines that serve our communities. In order to

attract the capital needed to fund these improvements, the Company must
earn a fair rate of return. This rate increase will allow MAWC to earn a fair
rate of return which will allow us to continue with infrastructure investment
needs across the state.

Reduced Sales - The Company continues to see a decline in overall sales
of the Company. This overall decline is supported by the direct testimony of
Professor Spitznagel.

Α.

Α.

(3) TEST YEAR AND COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR A TRUE-UP

Q. MR. GRUBB, WHAT TEST YEAR HAS MAWC USED IN THIS RATE
CASE?

MAWC has used a historical test year ending December 31, 2007, adjusted for changes that are known and measurable at this time and will be effective by the time new rates are anticipated to go into effect.

Q. IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING A TRUE-UP IN THIS CASE?

Yes. If prospective rates are to be set that properly reflect the cost of providing service, a true-up of rate base and related operating revenues and costs at a point in time as close as possible to the operation of law date should be permitted. Otherwise, the new rates will not be sufficient to cover all of MAWC's expenses and investments which have been incurred to provide safe and adequate service. In this case, the Company is proposing a true-up at September 30, 2008, for the following components of its revenue requirement: rate base, capital structure, and revenues (using customers at

1		September 2008). Expenses MAWC proposes to true-up are labor and labor
2		related, fuel and power, chemical, purchased water, waste disposal, rate case
3		expense, property taxes, depreciation, PSC Assessment Fees and income
4		taxes. The specific items MAWC proposes to true-up will be set forth in its
5		Motion for True-Up.
6		
7		(4) REVENUES
8	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE COMPANY'S ADJUSTMENT TO THE TEST YEAR
9		LEVEL OF REVENUES.
10	A.	The adjustments to the test year level of revenues can be characterized as
11		follows:
12		1) Eliminate from or adjust the test year for items that will not recur or are
13		reflected in other adjustments.
14		2) Annualize revenues for the level of residential and commercial customers
15		as of the end of the true-up period.
16		3) Normalize the sales level for specific customer classes and usage
17		declines as supported by a detailed analysis performed by Professor
18		Spitznagel.
19		4) Adjust for known and measurable changes for specific larger customers
20		whose sales need to be annualized, increased, reduced, or eliminated.
21		5) Adjust for the level of current rates associated with the Infrastructure
22		System Replacement Surcharge and the Property Tax Surcharge.
23		6) Reflect the impact of annualizing revenues for the change in base rates
24		authorized by the Commission in Case No. WR-2007-0216.

1		7) Adjust for the level of current rates of competitive tariff customers in St
2		Louis and St. Joseph.
3		8) Reflect an annual level of revenues resulting from the Paradise Valley
4		acquisition.
5		
6	Q.	BEFORE YOU BEGIN EXPLAINING THE ADJUSTMENTS TO REVENUES.
7		PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE SCHEDULE CAS-13 and CAS-14.
8	A.	Schedules CAS-13 and CAS-14 present to the Commission a summary and
9		detail by district of the Company's pro forma test year revenues at both
10		present and proposed rates. Since the Company's current tariffs are based
11		on district-specific rates, included in the schedule reference is a three-digit
12		alpha abbreviation that identifies the district. Attached to the testimony of Mr.
13		Petry is a schedule that identifies and matches the district to the alpha
14		abbreviation. Schedule CAS-13 for each district is a summary by revenue
15		class with CAS-14 providing the detail by revenue class. The proposed rates
16		are primarily based on a cost of service study and other rate design
17		adjustments that I will address further in my direct testimony.
18	Q.	PLEASE CONTINUE WITH YOUR DISCUSSION OF THE REVENUE
19		ADJUSTMENTS.

- 19
- As shown on Schedule CAS-9 for each of the districts, unbilled revenue is 20 Α. 21 being eliminated to reflect the Company's adjustment for the annualizing and normalizing of customers and sales as of the true-up date. 22
- 23 The next adjustment shown on the schedule is labeled Bill Analysis and Other Adjustments. These adjustments are related to the bill analysis and will 24

adjust the per book revenues to the bill analysis. One example of an adjustment is to adjust and eliminate correcting journal entries made in the books. This column for the St. Joseph and St. Louis Districts also reflects the elimination of \$629,904 and \$7,975,971 of revenues from per books related to the Property Tax and ISRS. As noted earlier, these surcharges were set to zero by the Company when the Commission authorized an increase in base rates in its Final Order in Case No. WR-2007-0216, dated October 4, 2007. The next level of adjustments shown and labeled Normalization and Customer Adjustments reflects the number of customers anticipated at the true-up date and the use of a normalized level of sales. The level of normalized sales will be based on the use of a simple average of historical data, a trend line regression, or normal weather and the current trend of customer usage per day anticipated for 2008. Professor Spitznagel identifies which method is used for each district's residential and commercial classes. For all other customer classes, actual test year sales were used with the exception of the adjustment that I discuss starting on page 12.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

As noted earlier, the Company has again contracted the services of Professor Edward Spitznagel from Washington University. Professor Spitznagel has performed a detailed statistical analysis of the residential and commercial class customers for St. Louis, St. Charles, St. Joseph, and Joplin districts. Based on his analysis, Professor Spitznagel has made recommendations to the Company regarding the appropriate level of "normal" usage per day, per customer for the revenue classes noted above with the exception of Joplin's

residential, St. Charles and St. Joseph's commercial and St. Louis' monthly commercial. Professor Spitznagel has provided a detailed discussion in his direct testimony regarding his study and why some of the customer classes that he reviewed did not produce significant correlation to warrant a recommendation. In the cases of the noted customer classes for Joplin, St. Charles, St. Joseph and St. Louis, where Professor Spitznagel did not provide a weather normalized recommendation, the Company is recommending either a simple historical average or a trend line regression analysis.

The final level of adjustments shown on CAS-9 for each of the districts is labeled Other Adjustments. These adjustments reflect specific impacts on the Company's revenues based on known and measurable changes for specific customers. Shown below is a discussion of the adjustments by District.

- 1) The Brunswick District has identified the loss of Chariton County Water District No. 2 as a Sale for Resale customer. This adjustment eliminates associated revenues at present rates in the amount of \$83,245. The adjustment is incorporated in Schedule CAS-9-BRU. The Water District has indicated to the Company that it may discontinue taking water from the Company and begin taking water from another supplier who offers softened water.
- 2) The Company has competitive tariffs with Triumph Foods in St. Joseph, and with C-1 Water District and the City of Kirkwood in the St. Louis District. During the test year, the Company increased the rates to these customers based on the contracts. The Company is proposing to

1		annualize the revenues based on the rate change during the test year.
2		For Triumph Foods, the Company has increased revenues by \$13,182.
3		For C-1 and Kirkwood, the Company has increased revenues \$32,008 and
4		\$102,822, respectively
5	3)	The Company has included the impact of the acquisition of Paradise
6		Valley into its rate case. Included in the St. Louis District's revenues is
7		\$47,705 for this acquisition.
8	4)	The Company has included additional sales to an industrial customer in
9		the St. Joseph District. A total of \$68,976 in additional revenues has been
10		added to present rate revenues.
11	5)	The final adjustment to revenues is to reduce revenues by \$380,801 for
12		reduced revenues from the Metropolitan Sewer District ("MSD") related to
13		providing billing data to MSD. In the last rate case, the Company and
14		MSD agreed to lower the amount to be billed to MSD for providing billing
15		data to MSD. This adjustment reduces test year revenues to a proforma
16		level of \$350,000 per the stipulation in the last rate case. I provide
17		additional direct testimony on this issue later on in my testimony.
18	6)	The Company has increased present rate revenues in Joplin by \$21,046
19		to reflect the addition of a large commercial customer who is projected to
20		begin taking water in August 2008.
21	7)	The Company has increased present rate revenues in Joplin by \$39,907
22		to reflect an increase in the minimum take or pay contract with Webb City.
23	8)	St. Louis District revenues were reduced \$23,668 to reflect the impact of

implementing the consolidated bill tariff for two customers.

24

Α.

2	(5)	PENSION

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENT TO OPERATING EXPENSES RELATED TO PENSION.

Missouri American has included in its pro forma pension expense the actual cost related to the FAS 87 accrual which is supported by American Water's latest actuarial report. Starting in 2006, nonunion employees hired before January 1, 2006, and union employees hired before January 1, 2001, are included as participants in the Company's defined benefit pension plan. The FAS 87 Pension cost is based on actuarial studies conducted annually by Towers Perrin for the defined benefit participants. For employees in the defined contribution plan, a rate of 5.25% of base salary is used to calculate the expense. The total costs for pension were reduced by the amounts anticipated to be capitalized based on the payroll adjustment supported by Mr. Thakadiyil. A copy of the pension actuarial study is included in the workpapers. The pro forma expense is \$2,598,306. Additional details and comments are found on Schedule CAS-15, page 3.

19 <u>(6) OPEBs</u>

20 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENT TO OPERATING EXPENSES 21 RELATED TO OTHER POST-RETIREMENT EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS 22 ("OPEBs").

American Water by Towers Perrin to calculate the pro forma cost and, like

pensions, the percent to be expensed from the labor adjustment was applied to arrive at the pro forma expense. As a result of the recent union negotiations, union employees who are not eligible for post-retirement benefits were provided an annual \$500 contribution that is to be paid into a VEBA account for the employee to pay for medical costs after retirement. The proforma OPEB expense is \$2,218,579 and is included on Schedule CAS-15, page 2 as a part of the Group Insurance expense adjustment.

Α.

(7) REGULATORY EXPENSE

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENT TO OPERATING EXPENSES RELATED TO REGULATORY EXPENSE.

The purpose of this adjustment is to annualize rate case expense for the costs related to this rate filing. Estimated costs related to the rate filing include legal fees, consultant's cost, travel expenses, and other expenses. It is being proposed that these costs be amortized over a three-year period. The proforma expense is \$484,806. The proforma cost includes the unamortized balance of the costs from Case No. WR-2007-0216. The details of this adjustment can be found at Schedule CAS-15, page 10.

(8) SERVICE COMPANY SUPPORT SERVICES

- 21 Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENT WAS MADE TO THE COMPANY'S TEST YEAR
 22 LEVEL OF SUPPORT SERVICES?
- A. Test year Support Services from American Water Works Service

 Company were adjusted to eliminate one-time costs associated with

Sarbanes-Oxley and American Water's divestiture in the amount of \$4,555,094. Also eliminated or reduced were the costs associated with 1) a shift of Service Company employees to MAWC (\$757,387); 2) the office rent reduction for the Craig Road Office (\$27,125); 3) annualization of the capitalization of the fixed asset function costs (\$154,898); and, 4) an increase in office rent for the Call Center \$14,964.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Two additional adjustments are also being proposed. First, an adjustment is being proposed to annualize employee wage increases and related costs of Service Company employees. This adjustment increases costs by \$416.384. And second, adjustments are being proposed to reflect adjustments for Call Center (CSC), Shared Services Center (SSC) and Information Technology (ITS) costs. These cost changes are for labor vacancies and labor related costs and adjustments for contract labor services, temporary employees, depreciation expense, telephone expense and data line costs. The total amount of this adjustment is \$1,127,156. As of January 1, 2008, the CSC had 21 vacancies, the SSC had 21 vacancies and the ITS function had 12 vacancies. Similar to MAWC's labor adjustment, the Service Company is anticipating filling the vacancies by the true-up being requested in the case. The increase in expense associated with filling the 54 vacancies is \$1,131,515. In conjunction with this adjustment, the Company is proposing to reduce temporary and contract services other costs by \$370,976. Additional the Company is proposing to increase telephone expense by \$149,260 for upgrading existing systems and for a projected increase in phone calls for the CSC.

Contract services at the CSC is being increased by \$79,543 for the implementation of a behavioral analytics tool for use in call handling. Data handling costs are increasing by \$38,619 to correct for a reversal of an over accrual that was made in 2007. And finally, depreciation expense is being increased by \$99,195 to annualize the cost. The total pro forma Support Services adjustment is a reduction of \$3,936,000 from the test year level expense. Details of this adjustment can be found at Schedule CAS-15, page 12

A.

(9) MAIN BREAK EXPENSE

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENT TO OPERATING EXPENSES
RELATED TO MAIN BREAK EXPENSE FOR THE ST. LOUIS
DISTRICT.

The purpose of this adjustment is to annualize main break expense to a normalized, pro forma level based on a review of historical main breaks and the cost to repair the breaks. The Company is proposing a reduction of test year main break expense for the St. Louis District in the amount of \$220,190. While the Company is proposing a decrease in main break incidents in the test year from 1,645 to 1,299, the cost for the paving of main breaks has increased. Actual test year paving cost per main break that requires paving was \$2,348. The Company is proposing an increase in paving cost per break that requires concrete to be \$2,670. Mr. Kartmann in his direct testimony will address the increased paving costs. The details of this adjustment can be found at Schedule CAS-15, page 14.

Α.

(10) TANK PAINTING COSTS

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENT TO OPERATING EXPENSES RELATED TO TANK PAINTING COSTS.

The Company is proposing a proforma level of tank painting costs in the amount of \$1,600,000. This represents a \$600,000 increase in the current level of expense being recovered in rates. The Company is currently recording a Tank Painting Tracker to reflect an annual level of expense in the amount of \$1,000,000. The Company is proposing to continue the tracker but increase it to the level of \$1,600,000. The Company proposes to begin recording this increased tracker effective with the receipt of a Commission's Order in this proceeding which would include \$1,600,000 of expense in the revenue requirement. Mr. Kartmann provides additional support in his direct testimony on this issue. The details of this adjustment can be found at Schedule CAS-15, page 19.

Α.

(11) HYDRANT PAINTING COSTS

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENT TO OPERATING EXPENSES RELATED TO HYDRANT PAINTING COSTS.

The purpose of this adjustment is to reflect an annual level of hydrant painting costs necessary to sand blast and paint one-third of the hydrants in the St. Louis County District that were installed prior to 1980 and should have lead paint removed. There are approximately 17,000 hydrants that were painted with a lead based paint that the Company is proposing to

remove and repaint. The cost per hydrant is \$250. The Company is 2 proposing a three year program to paint the affected hydrants. The annual expense being proposed is \$1.4 million. Mr. Kartmann will provide additional testimony on this issue in his direct testimony. The details of this adjustment can be found at Schedule CAS-15, page 25.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Α.

1

3

4

5

(12) INCOME TAXES

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE COMPANY'S CALCULATION OF ITS PRO FORMA LEVEL OF INCOME TAXES.

The Company's pro forma level of current income taxes at present rates is based on deducting from revenues all operating expenses and interest expense. Additional add-backs and deductions are reflected for tax-overbook depreciation, non-deductible meals and preferred stock expense. The resulting taxable income is then multiplied by the state and federal statutory rates of 5.21% and 35%, respectively

Deferred income taxes for the temporary timing difference related to tax-overbook depreciation were calculated at the statutory rates. The per books level of the amortization of the Deferred Investment Tax Credits ("ITC") and the Deferred Taxes associated with the amortization of the regulatory assets and liabilities was also included in the calculation of income taxes.

Income taxes at proposed rates reflect the impact of the Company's request for additional revenues.

23

24

(13) DEPRECIATION RATES

1 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS REGARDING DEPRECIATION	RATFS?
--	--------

A. The current depreciation rates were approved by the Commission in Case No. WR-2007-0216. The Company is not proposing any further changes to those rates in this proceeding.

Q.

Α.

(14) PENSION / OPEB TRACKER

MR. GRUBB, IN ONE OF THE STIPULATIONS IN THE LAST RATE CASE, THE COMPANY AGREED TO TRACK PENSION AND OPEB COSTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE LEVEL OF COST RECOVERY IN RATES AND THE LEVEL INDICATED BY THE COMPANY'S ACTUARIAL REPORTS. HAS THE COMPANY COMPLIED WITH THE STIPULATION? Yes. Since the last case, the Company has recorded a pension regulatory liability for the difference between pension costs recognized in rates and the SFAS 87 calculations for pension expense. The Company is also tracking a regulatory asset associated with the difference between OPEB costs recognized in rates and the SFAS 106 calculation for this cost. As a result of the tracker mechanism, the Company is including in rate_base a net amount of \$492,505 for the Pension/OPEB TrackerS.

(15) CORPORATE ALLOCATIONS

- Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE CORPORATE ALLOCATION FACTORS THAT
 WERE USED TO ALLOCATE MAWC'S CORPORATE COSTS.
- A. In the last rate case, there were some differences of opinion as to the proper allocators to be used to allocate various corporate MAWC costs to each of the

Districts. These allocated corporate costs were then used to calculate the revenue requirement for each district. In the last case, the Company used, the number of customers as the allocator for most expenses. Staff used payroll as its primary allocator. The City of Joplin proposed a mix of allocation factors and, initially, relied most heavily on miles of mains. The City later revised its position and proposed a mix of factors including, number of customers, payroll, miles of mains and other factors.

Q. WHAT ALLOCATION FACTORS IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING TO USE IN THIS CASE?

Α.

The Company has reviewed all of the Corporate costs for the test year and based on this review, has determined that for the most part, the number of customers is still the most appropriate allocator that should be used. In fact, the Company is proposing to use the number of customers as an allocator on 70% of the corporate costs. A number of costs have been allocated on a different basis. For example, Pension Expense is being allocated on payroll, OPEBs is being allocated based on the number of employees and Transportation Expense is being allocated based on the number of vehicles. Attached is Exhibit EJG-2 that shows the value of the Corporate Expenses and the allocator used along with the percentage of the Corporate Expenses that had the allocator applied.

21 Q. WHY DID THE COMPANY USE CUSTOMERS AS THE PRIMARY 22 ALLOCATOR OF CORPORATE MAWC EXPENSES?

A. First, the primary purpose of allocating the corporate costs of MAWC to each district is to allocate those costs to the customers that receive the benefit from

the incurrence of those costs. For example, corporate labor was allocated to
each district based on customers because the employees are devoted to
providing services that ultimately benefit the customers. Customers served
generally do not fluctuate greatly over time. Support services from American
Water Service Company are also allocated to the operating companies (such
as MAWC) based on customers because the employees of the Service
Company are providing services that provide benefit to the customers.
Second, it is important to use an allocator that is relatively stable over time
and will not cause great fluctuation between rate cases.

Q. WHAT ARE SOME OF THE COSTS THAT WERE NOT BASED ON CUSTOMERS?

Pension expense was based on payroll because an underlying factor for pension expense is the level of payroll. Another example is Group Insurance, OPEB and workers compensation expenses which are allocated based on employees. These costs are driven more by employees than they are by the number of customers. And finally, uncollectible expense is allocated by revenues. These four allocators represent over 99% of the costs allocated. Attached as Exhibit EJG-3 is a list of the corporate costs and the allocator used.

Α.

(16) COSTS TO PROVIDE BILLING DATA TO MSD

Q. THE COMPANY WAS REQUIRED TO PREPARE AN INCREMENTAL

COST STUDY FOR PROVIDING BILLING DATA TO THE ST. LOUIS

1 METROPOLITAN SEWER DISTRICT (MSD). HAS THE COMPANY

2 PREPARED SUCH A STUDY?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Α.

A. Yes. The Company contracted the services of Mr. Pat Baryenbruch to perform an analysis of the cost of providing water usage data services, to include the incremental cost of providing such services to MSD.

6 Q. WHAT ARE THE RESULTS OF THE STUDY?

The results of the study are contained in a Report prepared by Mr. Baryenbruch entitled, "Analysis of Cost for Water Usage Data Services Provided to Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2007" which is attached to my testimony as Schedule EJG-4 (Proprietary). Briefly, Mr. Baryenbruch determined that MAWC's 2007 total cost of producing this data for its own needs was * * for the St. Louis District customers. These costs include a recovery of the capital costs and operating costs associated with the Company's meter reading and processing of the data for billing purposes. Mr. Baryenbruch determined that the incremental cost of furnishing water usage and customer identification * per year. In addition, Mr. Baryenbruch determined data to MSD was * an allocation of operating costs between MAWC and MSD on the basis of which utility (i.e., MAWC and MSD) directly benefits from the data. In that

- case, the annual amount to be charged to MSD would exceed *
- 2 By way of comparison, the current amount that MAWC is charging MSD for
- these services is \$350,000 per year.

4 Q. WHAT IS MAWC'S PROPOSAL IN THIS CASE?

- 5 A. MAWC is proposing no change in the existing amount it charges to MSD for
 6 the provision of water usage and customer billing data. Therefore, for
 7 purposes of this case, MAWC has included \$350,000 in annual revenue to be
 8 received from MSD.
- 9 Q. WHAT IF THE COMMISSION DETERMINES THAT MSD SHOULD BE
 10 CHARGED EITHER INCREMENTAL COSTS OR SOMETHING DIFFERENT
 11 THAN IT IS CURRENTLY BEING CHARGED FOR THESE SERVICES?
- If, for example, the Commission determines that MAWC should recover no 12 Α. 13 more than its incremental costs of providing this data to MSD (i.e., * *), then MAWC will experience a revenue shortfall of approximately * 14 15 which will have to be spread to and recovered in general water service rates 16 from customers in the St. Louis Metro Area. If, on the other hand, the Commission determines that MSD should recover an allowable share of 17 MAWC's total costs and that allocation is greater than the \$350,000, then 18 MAWC would receive more revenues than it currently receives from MSD. 19

Thus, the general water service rates for customers in the St. Louis Metro

Area should be reduced accordingly.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Α.

2

1

(17) RATE DESIGN

Q. MR. GRUBB, HAS MAWC PREPARED A COST OF SERVICE STUDY FOR

THIS RATE CASE?

Yes. MAWC has contracted the services of Paul Herbert of Gannett Fleming to prepare a cost of service and rate design analysis. Mr. Herbert has prepared and filed direct testimony and schedules to support the cost of service study and rate design. Mr. Herbert prepared his study based on the Base-Extra Capacity Method of cost allocation. The Company provided Mr. Herbert the following guidelines regarding rate design: (1) Maintain district specific pricing for each district's rate structure and taking into account a revenue contribution for several small districts as discussed below; (2) merge the rates for St. Charles and Warren County Water into the rate structure of the former St. Louis County district to form the St. Louis Metro Area district rates; (3) determine the unit cost per public fire hydrant in the St. Louis Metro Area so that public fire protection costs can be recovered from each customer in a similar manner as the current practice in St. Louis County; (4) design two sets of customer charges - one uniform structure by meter size applicable for all districts excluding the St. Louis Metro Area and one structure by meter size for the St. Louis Metro Area alone; (5) for districts other than St. Louis Metro, use a one-block structure for the residential class and two- to four-

- block structures for non-residential classes; and (6) design the customer charges and volumetric rates so that proposed revenues by customer classification move toward or approximate the indicated cost of service in each district. Attached is Exhibit EJG-5 which shows a schedule of present and proposed rates for each operating area of the Company.
- 9 PASED ON THE COMPANY'S COST OF SERVICE STUDY AND PROPOSED RATE DESIGN, WHAT ARE THE OVERALL INCREASES IN REVENUES BY DISTRICT AND CUSTOMER CLASSES WITHIN EACH DISTRICT THAT ARE BEING PROPSOED.
- 10 A. Please refer to the Company's minimum filing requirements which are
 11 attached to the direct testimony of Mr. Petry.
- 12 Q. YOU HAVE NOTED THAT THE COMPANY IS PROPOSING TO MERGE
 13 THE RATES OF THE ST. LOUIS, ST. CHARLES AND WARREN COUNTY
 14 WATER DISTRICTS. WHY IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING THIS?

15

16

17

18

19

20

Α.

The Company is proposing to merge the rates for these three districts together to reflect the fact that the three systems are either physically connected or are integrated from an operational and management perspective. Currently, the St. Louis operation provides nearly 100% of the water to the St. Charles system and thus the two systems are already connected. The Warren County Water system, while it is not physically

- connected to either the St. Charles or St. Louis systems, is operated and managed by the St. Charles and St. Louis employees.
- Q. IT APPEARS THAT AS A RESULT OF MERGING THE RATES OF ST.

 LOUIS, ST. CHARLES AND WARREN COUNTY WATER INTO ONE

 "RATE AREA", THAT THE CUSTOMERS OF ST. CHARLES WILL

 EXPERIENCE A MUCH GREATER INCREASE IN THEIR RATES THAN

 THE OTHER CUSTOMERS OF THE ST. LOUIS METRO AREA. WOULD

 YOU PLEASE COMMENT?
- Yes. The current rates for the St. Charles District currently do not include any treatment plant costs associated with the provision of water services. In the last rate case, only the incremental costs (i.e. fuel and power and chemicals) for the production of water from the St. Louis District were included in the rates for St. Charles. If the treatment plant costs were included in St. Charles' rates in the last rate case, the proposed increase in this case would be lower than the overall increase of 28.9% for the St. Louis Metro Area.
- Q. IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING A REVENUE CONTRIBUTION AMONG
 DISTRICTS AS A PART OF ITS RATE DESIGN?
- 18 A. Yes, it is. The Company has included a revenue contribution for the
 19 Brunswick District, Parkville Water District, Cedar Hill Sewer District and

Warren County Sewer District in the amounts of \$390,896, \$623,083, \$345,572 and \$669,187, respectively. The revenue contribution is being provided by the St. Louis Metro Area District. The rates being proposed for the Jefferson City, Joplin, Mexico, Warrensburg and Parkville Sewer Districts are based on each district's revenue requirement. The Company's proposal for the revenue contribution was based on the belief that the smaller districts should receive a revenue contribution if their rate increase, on a pure district specific basis, was significantly above the overall increase for the Company.

9 Q. HOW WERE THE PROPOSED RATES DEVELOPED FOR THE THREE 10 SEWER DISTRICTS?

A. The Company did not perform a cost of service study for the three sewer districts because the three operations are comprised mainly of residential and commercial customers. The Company is proposing an across the board increase separately within each sewer district based on the proposed revenue increase for the district.

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

17 A. Yes, it does.

EDWARD J. GRUBB

Edward J. Grubb is the Rates and Regulation Manager for the Central Region of American Water. Mr. Grubb is also the Assistant Treasurer for Missouri American Water Company.

As Rates and Regulation Manager, his main responsibilities are to:

- 1) Plan and oversee the preparation and presentation of all rate increase applications and supporting documents and exhibits as prescribed by management policies, guidelines and regulatory commission requirements;
- 2) Oversee rate analyses and studies to evaluate the effect of proposed rates on the revenues, rate of return and tariff structure of the company;
- 3) Oversee the implementation of rate orders, including development of the revised tariff pricing necessary to produce the proposed revenue level;
- 4) Oversee the preparation of Company budgets and analyses;
- 5) Oversee the review of Company financial statements;
- 6) Oversee employee relations in the Regional Finance Department, including the recommendation regarding personnel changes and the training and evaluation of assigned personnel;
- 7) Provide support for financial analysis of proposed acquisitions and expansion of service territory, including preparation of applicable Commission filings;
- 8) Assure that policies, procedures, programs, standards of performance, and approved objectives are adhered to and/or achieved including those involving safety, affirmative action, community relations, and labor relations.

Mr. Grubb has prepared rate cases and presented testimony before the Maryland Public Service Commission, West Virginia Public Service Commission, Tennessee Public Service Commission, Illinois Commerce Commission, Kentucky Public Service Commission, Iowa Utilities Board, Public Utility Commission of Ohio, Missouri Public Service Commission, Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission and the Virginia State Corporation Commission.

In June 1978, Mr. Grubb was awarded a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business Administration from Drexel University with a major in accounting. In May 1989, he was awarded a Masters of Business Administration from the University of West Virginia College of Graduate Studies. In September 1993, Mr. Grubb successfully completed the Certified Management Accounting program and received his certificate as a Certified Management Accountant (CMA). And, in January 1998, he successfully completed the Certified in Financial Management (CFM) program and received his certificate as a CFM from the Institute of Management Accountants.

Mr. Grubb began his career in 1978 with American Water Works Service Co., Inc. as an Internal Auditor. As an Internal Auditor, he conducted financial and procedural audits of American System operating companies. In 1983, Mr. Grubb was promoted to Rate Analyst. In 1984, he was promoted to Revenue Requirement Specialist and in 1988, Mr. Grubb was promoted to Assistant Director - Rates and Revenue. In these three positions, he has assisted, prepared and presented testimony and accounting exhibits before regulatory bodies concerning rate increase applications and other matters.

In January 1998, Mr. Grubb was promoted to the position of Comptroller of Kentucky-American Water Company. In his capacity as Comptroller, Mr. Grubb was responsible for all aspects of the accounting and regulation for the Company, including the preparation of financial statements, tax returns, and regulatory filings. In October 2000, Mr. Grubb was promoted to the Director, Rates and Planning with Missouri-American Water. In March 2008, Mr. Grubb was appointed to his current position.

Missouri American Water Test Year Ended: December 2007 Corporate Expense Allocation Factors Used

Allocator Used	Corporate Exp Test Year (1)	% of Expenses Allocated
Customers	40,069,798	70.15%
Employees	10,622,132	18.60%
Revenues	2,343,002	4.10%
Total O&M Expenses	61,728	0.11%
Total Payroll	3,731,770	6.53%
Vehicles	291,702	0.51%
Total	57,120,132	100.00%

(1) Excludes Income Taxes

[Missouri A	merican Wa	ter	
			Rate Case		
		Allocators for Corp	orate MAW(C Expenses	
			NARUC 76		5
168 Line		Description	2 1	2007 Test Year	Proposed Allocator
	501200.12	Labor Oper P	624	746 241	Customers
	501200.1305	Labor Oper WT Super/Eng	640	1,386	Customers Customers
	501200.1405	Labor Oper TD Super/Eng	660 662	78,989	Customers
l	501200.1415	Labor Oper TD Lines		893,145	Customers
	501200.16	Labor Oper AG	920 920	6,969	Customers
	501200.1601 501200.23	Labor Oper AG Dir/Off Labor Maint WT	650	422	Customers
ļ	501200.23	Labor Maint TD Mains	673	1,311	Customers
	501200.2425	Labor Maint TD Mains	676	2,549	Customers
	501711	IP-Off-Annual-P/R JE	920	4,656	Customers
	501711.16	Incen Plan-Off-Annual	920	122,208	Customers
P09	510100.11	Purchased Water-Outside	602	(519)	Total O&M Expenses
P10	515100.11	Purch Power SS	603		Total O&M Expenses
	515100.16	Purch Power AG	921	1,211	Total O&M Expenses
 P11	518000.13	Chemicals WT	641		Total O&M Expenses
P12	511100.13	Waste Disposal Exp WT	643	-	Total O&M Expenses
P13	534000.16	Contr Svc-Mgmt Oper AG	923	-	Customers
	534600.16	Mmgt Fees-Corporate	923	18,078,162	Customers
	534620.16	Mmgt Fees Bsn Change-Corp	923	(3,619)	Customers
	534650.16	Mmgt Fees P13880-Corp	923	4,454,236	Customers
ļ	534700.16	Mmgt Fees-Region	923	6,353,833	Customers
	534750.16	Mmgt Fees P13880-Region	923	197,758	Customers
P14	504100.16	Group Ins Oper AG	926	7,310,184	Employees
	505100.16	PBOP Oper AG	926	1,473,405	Employees
P15	506100.16	Pension Oper AG	926	3,731,770	Total Payroli
P16	566100.16	Reg Comm Amort Rate Case	928	79,820	Customers
	566110.16	Rate Case Exp not auth	928	525	Customers
P17	557000.16	Ins Gen Liab Oper AG	924	3,284,780	Customers
ļ	558000.16	Ins Work Comp AG	924	1,838,543	Employees
	559000.16	Ins Other Oper AG	924	786,716	Customers
P18	520100.15	M & S Oper CA	905	<u> </u>	Revenues
	570100.15	Uncollectible Accounts	904	1,386,957	Revenues
ļ	570100.16	Uncollectible Accounts-M	904	(107,289)	Revenues
	575000.15	Misc Oper CA	905	(5)	Revenues
l	575100.15	Bank Service Charges CA	903	286,139	Customers
	575200.15	Collection Agencies CA	903	72,964	Customers
L	575420.15	Forms CA	903	388,385	Customers Customers
L.	575660.15	Postage CA	903 604	1,120,276	Total O&M Expenses
P19	541000.11 541000.16	Rents-Real Prop Oper SS Rents-Real Prop Oper AG	931	(6)	Customers
	541400.16	Rents-Equipment Oper AG	931	2,878	Customers
P20	520100.16	M & S Oper AG	921	8,673	Customers
	575002.16	Misc General Office	921	1,458	Customers
	575100.16	Bank Service Charges AG	921	2,993	Customers
	575260.16	Credit Line Fees	921	4,707	Customers
I	575261.AW46	Credit Line Fees In-AW46	921	192,011	Customers
	575280.16	Dues/Membership Deduct	921	4,041	Customers
	575281.16	Dues/Membership Nondeduct	921	60	Customers
L	575340	Empl Exp AG-P/R JE	921	706	Customers
ļ	575340.16	Employee Expenses AG	921	39,748	Customers
L	575342.16	Empl Exp Conf/Registration AG	921	2,783	Customers

		Missouri Am		iter	
		2008 Ra		0.5	
		Allocators for Corpor	ate MAW	C Expenses	
400 17		Danainka	NARUC 76	2007 Test Year	Proposed Allocator
168 Line		Description Meals & Travel Deduct	921	10,619	Customers
	575350.16	Meals & Travel Nonded (Mth-end	921	8,577	Customers
	575351.16	Office & Admin Supplies AG	921	10,553	Customers
	575620.16 575660.16	Postage AG	921	1,324	Customers
	575670.16	Relocation Expenses	921	73,996	Customers
	575740.16 575740.16	Telephone AG	921	178,567	Customers
	575740.16	Cell Phone AG	921	(3,553)	Customers
	575775.16	Trade Shows AG	921	368	Customers
	575880.16	Misc Charges - P13880	921	(830,252)	Customers
21	504500.16	Other Welf Oper AG	926	18,618	Customers
	504610.16	Employee Awards AG	926	1,429	Customers
	504620.16	Employee Physical Exam AG	926	2,018	Customers
	504660.16	Tuition Aid AG	926	365	Customers
	504670.16	Training AG	926	5,592	Customers
	507100.16	401k Oper AG	926	19,689	Customers
	508101.16	DCP Oper AG	926	9,971	Customers
	508102.16	Retiree Med Oper AG	926	(2,377)	Customers
	520100.13	M & S Oper WT	643	62	Total O&M Expenses
	532000.16	Contr Svc-Acctg Oper AG	923	415,181	Customers
	533000.16	Contr Svc-Legal Oper AG	923	320,373	Customers
	535000.14	Contr Svc-Other Oper TD	923	47,195	Total O&M Expenses
	535000.16	Contr Svc-Other Oper AG	923	314,167	Customers
	550000.16	Trans Oper AG	9302	94,125	Vehicles
	550000.24	Transport Maint TD	662	65	Vehicles
	550001.16	Trans Oper AG Lease Cost	9302	6,532	Vehicles
	550002.16	Trans Oper AG Lease Fuel	9302	2,467	Vehicles
	550003.16	Trans Oper AG Lease Maint	9302	1,869	Vehicles
	556000.16	Ins Vehicle Oper AG	924	186,644	Vehicles
	575000.16	Misc Oper AG	921	220,040	Customers
	575030.16	Advertising	9301	5,203	Customers
	575120.16	Bill Inserts AG	9302	23,813	Customers
	575130.16	Brochures and Handouts	9302	16,241	Customers
	575140.16	Charitable Contrib Deduct	9302	76,160	Customers
	575220.16	Community Relations	9302	42,444	Customers
	575240.16	Co Dues/Membership Deduct	9302	230,495	Customers
	575242.16	Co Dues Deduct AWWA	9302	(4)	Customers
	575275.16	Discounts Available	921	(29,946)	Customers
	575400.16	Bus Services Proj Exp	921	39,801	Customers
	575490.16	Injuries and Damages	925	7,857	Total O&M Expenses
	575545.13	Lab Supplies WT	642	1,829	Total O&M Expenses
	575560.16	Lobbying Expenses	9302	25,365	Customers
	575625.16	Overnight Shipping AG	921	2,138	Customers
	575640.16	Penalties Nondeduct	9302	(22,347)	Customers
	575710.16	Security Service AG	921	6,526	Customers
	575711.16	Add'l Security Costs AG	921	- <u>-</u> -	Customers
	575715.16	Software Licenses & Support	9302	280,178	Customers
	575740.14	Telephone TD	665	108	Total O&M Expenses
	575780.11 575790.16	Trash Removal SS Trustee Fees AG	601 921	20 36,622	Total O&M Expenses Customers
	575998.16	PCard Undistributed	601	11,864	Customers
25	620000.21	Mat and Sup Maint SS	617		Total O&M Expenses
	620000.26	Mat and Sup Maint AG	932	248	Customers

		Missouri Am	erican V	Vater	· · · ·	
			te Case			
		Allocators for Corpo	rate MA	WC Exp	enses	
168 Line	Subaccounts	Description	NARUC 76	200	7 Test Year	Proposed Allocator
	635000.26	Contr Svc-Other Maint AG	923	1	8,991	Customers
					-,	2.0.2.0.0.0
	675000.21	Misc Maint SS	617		1,289	Total O&M Expenses
	675000.26	Misc Maint AG	932		218,012	Customers
	675050.24	Amort Def Maint TD	678		166,667	Customers
	675400.21	Instruments SS	617	 	2,553	Total O&M Expenses
	675400.23	Instruments WT	652		123	Total O&M Expenses
P27	680110	Depr Exp-General	403		1,251,323	Customers
	680111	Depr Exp-Cost Removal / Salvage	403		(1,614)	Customers
P28	680310	Amort-Intangible Fin	406		158,893	Customers
	680540	Amort-Reg Asset AFUDC	405		119,985	Customers
	680620	Amort-Reg Asset	405		6,612	Customers
P29	685100	Utility Reg Assessment Fee	4081		1,063,339	Revenues
	685320	FUTA	4081		6,736	Customers
	685325	FICA	4081		56,040	Customers
	685350	SUTA	4081		4,557	Customers
	685430	Other Taxes and Licenses	4081	<u>.</u>	80,881	Customers
P30	690210	SIT-Current	4091		615,758	Utility Operating Income
	690220.002	SIT-PrYr Adj Over Accr	4091		(78,913)	Utility Operating Income
	690720.002	Def SIT-PY Adj Liab	4101	!	82,479	Utility Operating Income
	690730.001	Def SIT-Reg Asset	4101		37,857	Utility Operating Income
	690730.002	Def SIT-Reg Liab	4101		19,660	Utility Operating Income
	690750.002	Def SIT-Other Liab	4101		1,019,250	Utility Operating Income
P31	690110	FIT-Current	4091		4,504,531	Utility Operating Income
	690120.002	FIT-PrYr Adj Over Accr	4091	<u> </u>	(247,959)	Utility Operating Income
	690620.002	Def FIT-PY Adj Liab	4101		258,483	Utility Operating Income
	690630.001	Def FIT-Reg Asset	4101		197,931	Utility Operating Income
	690630.002	Def FIT-Reg Liab	4101		(19,449)	Utility Operating Income
	690650.002	Def FIT-Other Liab	4101		4,044,657	Utility Operating Income
		ITC Restored - 3%	4122		(3,048)	Utility Operating Income
		ITC Restored - 4%	4122		(1,692)	Utility Operating Income
	695240	ITC Restored - 10%	4122		(23,748)	Utility Operating Income
			Total	\$	67,525,929	

Missouri-American Water Company

Analysis Of Costs For Water Usage Data Services Provided To **Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District**

12 Months Ending December 31, 2007

Schedule	EJG-5
Page 1 of	

Rainceick Operations			ļ		Proposed Rate	Proposed Rates for General Water Service	Water Servic			:	
DIVISATION CHARACTES	ě				Brunswick Operations	erations					
	Current										
	10/22/2007							Proposed			
Rates for General Water Service					Rates for Gene	Rates for General Water Service	8	200			
					Service Charge	AL	}				
Monthly 5/8"	\$11.48					Monthly	5/8"	\$13.00			
43	14.70						3/4"	\$16.65			
							1,	\$23.60			
77.	30.22						1-1/2"	241 88			
- N (m)	57.79						ŧ, ŧ	\$61.90			
	159.22						, t	57.01.18			
. d	312.97						† &	\$180.30			
δφ	497.44						o å	\$555.30 \$563.30			
10,	845.02						, [\$956.90			-
12"	1,393.89						2 52	\$1,578.40			
Consumption: 1000 GALLONS			i		Consumption:	ioi					
ž	Sommercial	Industriai	OPA Se sesso	OWU COO				Commercial	Industrial	OPA	OWG
1,900		\$5.01750	\$3.67150	45 83240	TST OOD GREE	00.	\$8.8300	\$8.1500	\$8,1500	\$8.1500	\$8,1500
3,000	710 \$2.50850	\$3.87210	\$2.83350	54.50100	For the Next	008,1	\$8.8300 \$8.8300	\$4.5700 \$3.5200	54.5700	\$4.5700	\$4.5700
For all over \$1.82980		\$2.60760	\$1.90800	\$3.03100		For all over	\$8.8300	\$3.5200	\$3.5200	\$3.5200	\$3.5200
	, 976 20	Q of					•	!			
Private Fire Hydrant Service	Per Annum	Per Month			Control City Control			Rate	Rate		
Each: on Private Property	\$2,032.08	\$169.34			Fivale File Dy	Fach: on Private Property	Ž	Ref Annum	Fer Month		
Fire Service 2"	291.24	24.27			Fire Service	2	5	\$291.24	\$24.07 \$24.07		
්ත	500.52	41.71				ดื่เ		\$500.52	2.52		
4	794.04	66.17				4		\$794.04	\$66.17		
(p (1,628.40	135.70				હ		\$1,628.40	\$135.70		
· -	2,801./6	233.48				å		\$2,801.76	\$233.48		
	4,306.68 6 140.64	511.72				0		\$4,306.68	\$358.89		
Public Fire Protection	0.00	000			Public Fire Protection	tection		\$6,140.64 \$0.00	\$511.72		
Coin Operated Vending Machine	Per 100 Gallon							:			
3	\$0,75					Colli Operated Vending Machine		Fer 100 Gallon \$0.75			
											F
											Scher Page 1

Rates File 2008 Rate Case												
Present Rates for General Water Service Jefferson City Operations	eral Water Service					Proposed Rates for General Water Service	s for General	Water Servic				
		Current							:			
Rates for General Mater	Consider	10/22/2007							Proposed Rates			
Service Charge						Rates for General Water Service Service Charge	al Water Servi	8				
Mor	Monthly 5/8"	11.39				•	Monthly	5/8.	\$13.00			
	; -	13.36							\$14.22 \$16.68			
	1-1/2	18.29						1-1/2	\$22.84			
	. 6	24.18						Ι.	\$30.20			
	, 4	57.66						ħ ŧ	\$47.42			
	લે જે	106.85						ှိတ်တိန	\$733.43 \$260.00			
								2	000000			
Consumption: 1001	j		;	i		Consumption: 1	1000 GALLONS	ú				
1st 000 Gal 23	236.250 \$3.4323	3 -	=	OPA 83.4323	OWU COWU				Commercial	Industrial		OWO
For the Next 23	238.250 \$2.2969	9 \$2.2969	\$2.2969	\$2,2969	\$2.2969	For the Next	236.250	2 2 3	2 700	53,7700	\$3.7700	83.700
_	For all over					Forthe Next	For all over	\$0.0000	\$0.000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000 \$0.00000 \$0.0000
 ,		Rate	Rate						į	í		
Private Fire Service	:	Per Annum				Private Fire Service	iče		Per Annum	Rate Per Month		
Fire Outlets	cadi: on Private Property 2**	\$1,055.30				Each: o	Each: on Private Property		\$1,055.30	\$ 87.9 4		
		263.87				Significant in	v 61		\$263.87	\$9.77		
	i di	469.05					4		\$469.05	60.68		
	, to	1,875.96							\$1,055.30	\$87.94		
	<u>.</u>	2,931,25					ρ		\$2,831.25	\$130.33		
Public Fire Protection	4	8 8	0000 0000 0000 0000 0000			12 Public Fire Protection	12" ction		\$0.00	0000		
Coin Operated Vending Machine	Machine	Per 100 Gallon \$0.25				Coin Operated Vending Machine	fending Machin		Per 100 Gallon			
MISSOURI STATE PENITENTIARY - Interruptible Rate	HENTIARY - Intern	uptible Rate				MISSOURI STATE PENTENTIARY - Interructible Rate	TE PENITENT	IARY - Interr	uotible Rate			
Monthly Lisace Rate:	E.008, / F&	9 includes 5,598	'000 Gallons			Minimum Amuai Rate	Rote S	20,806.88 ir	\$20,808.88 includes 5,598 000 Gallons	d Gallons		
İ			RATE F MFGs &	LARGE OUAN	TITY USERS	Monthly Usage Rate:	tate: 'One Co.	ý	i			
9	236.25 \$4.04	•	Monthly Service Charge per Meter Size:	Charge per Mete	ır Size:	For the 1st 0 to	236.25	2400	\$ }	MANTE F MFGS & LARGE QUANTITY USERS	OUANTITY USERS	
For all over		_	64 fo	\$1,065.99 1,069.79		For all over	236.25	\$2.4300		2. 2.	\$1,319.99	
CAPITOL COMPLEX - Intermiseble Base	oten methle Date		+ 6	1,089.47						o i t	\$1,381,84 \$1,381,84	
Minimum Annual Rate	\$7,128.34	0 includes 1,530	9 000.	738.57 000 Gal		CAPITOL COMPLEX - Informptible Rate	LEX - Interru	ptible Rate	public Rate 6"		\$1,423.34	
Monthly Usage Rate:	,	First 450	First 450	900	\$2.010000	Monthly Usage Rate:		(7·7cc'ca	Courses 1,335 CA	First 450	000 Gal	£2 54
		-	All over		\$2.010000		1000 Gal		Al over	Ver	}	\$251
127.5 - 238.25	108.75 \$3.7900					0 - 127.5	127.50	2 8800				
	For all over \$2,310	6					For all over	\$2.4300				·
DATE BIG IS INTERESTED STATES												
Rate per '000 gallons	S1.88	20. NO. 4				RATE E - PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY DISTRICT NO. 2	IC WATER SU	PPLY DISTR	ICT NO. 2			
						עפוב לכם מעט מפט	tions		2.32			

Schedu		
Page3	þf	13

The state of the following services The state of the	Rates File	f.,,,,,,											
Commentaria	2008 Rate Case												
Current Curr	Present Rates for General W	ater Service					Pronord Ped beautiful	les for George	Section Control				
Percent Perc	Joplin Operations						Joblin Operat	tions	MAISC ISMA				
Second Color Parts			Current										
10222007 10222007			Rates							Proposed			
1.1 1.2 1.2 2.5		;	10/22/2007							Rates			-
1-12 1-12	Service Charge	<u>8</u>					Rates for Gen	eral Water Serv	.g.	•			
1-17" 21 08 21 08 21 08 22 08 23 08 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 2		2/8	\$11.62				service Charg	<u>.</u>		,			
1-17 20 to 8 to 9		3/4"	14.87					Monthly	8/9	\$13.00			-
1-177 58.66 1-172 1-17	_	!-	21.08						, S. S.	\$15.65			
The color of the		1-1/2"	36.66						- 1	923.90			
1 11 12 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15		. 7	55.34						7.7.	9.148			
16112 1611		က်	98.89							41070			
Fig. 15,12 Fig		.4	161.12						, ‡	20.70			
Fig. 1, 10, 10 Fig. 1, 10		ō	316.72						r to	\$354 40			
10 885.15 14.10.59 10 256.80 17 256.80 17 256.80 17 256.80 18.00.50 19.00		δο	503.40						i to	\$563.30			
12		5	855,15						, <u>c</u>	\$956 90			
No. Consumption: 1000 GALLONS Residential Commercial Industrial Consumption: 1000 GALLONS Residential Consumption: 1000 GALLONS Residential Consumption: 1000 GALLONS Residential Reside		12	1,410.59						<u> 5</u>	\$1,578.40			
National Commercial Commercial Commercial Consumption: 1000 GALLONS Consum													
Rate opening Sulface Su		TONS	•				Consumption:	1000 GALLON	হ				•
Strate	Mon	ăi X	Commercial	Industrial	OPA	OWO	•	Monthly	sidential	Commercial	Industrial	OPA	OWN
St. 1786			97.0003 94.4200	20.23.24	\$2.8661	\$3.6170	1st 000 Gal	100	\$3.9300	\$3,7000	\$3,7000	\$3,7000	\$3,7000
\$0.7717 \$0.7675 \$0.9564 \$0.9544 \$1.0531 For the Next For all over \$3.9300 \$2.00000 \$2.0000 \$2.0000 \$2.0000 \$2.00000 \$2.0000 \$2.0000 \$2.0000 \$2			1396	\$1.0460	\$1.6055	\$2.0262	For the Next	1,900	\$3.9300	\$2.4200	\$2.4200	\$2,8800	\$2.8800
Park Rate Rate Per Annum Per Month Per Annum Per Ann			\$0.7675	\$0.9594	SD 8343	64.0634	For the Next	9,000	\$3.9300	\$2,0000	\$2.0000	\$2.0000	\$2.0350
Rate Rate Rate Rate Per Annum Per Annum <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>For all over</td><td>\$3.9300</td><td>\$2.0000</td><td>\$1,9380</td><td>\$2.0000</td><td>\$2.0350</td></th<>								For all over	\$3.9300	\$2.0000	\$1,9380	\$2.0000	\$2.0350
Per Annum Per Month Frade Rate Operly \$1,098.60 \$91.55 Fire Outlets 2" \$1,098.60 \$91.55 17.44 13.12 Each: on Private Property \$1,098.60 \$91.55 \$91.55 17.44 2.34 \$2.74 \$13.12 \$13.12 \$13.12 17.46 2.24 \$2.70.48 \$13.74 \$13.25 \$15.14 \$13.25 1.26.22 2.328.24 \$1.514.64 \$1.514.64 \$126.22 \$15.02 \$15.02 \$15.02 \$15.02 \$15.02 \$15.02 \$15.02 \$15.02 \$15.00 \$0.00 <td></td> <td></td> <td>Rate</td> <td>Rate</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td></td>			Rate	Rate						1	i		
Per 100 Gallon Per 100 Set 55 Fire Outlets 2" Fire Outlets 2" 157.44 13.12 157.44 13.12 157.44 13.12 157.44 13.12 157.44 13.12 157.44 13.12 157.44 13.12 157.44 13.12 157.44 13.12 157.48 \$13.12 157.58 \$13.12 157.684 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$13.16 \$12.564 10" \$2.16 \$1.564 10" \$2.17 \$1.564 10" \$2.17 \$1.564 10" \$2.18 \$1.564 10"	Private Fire Service		Per Annum	Per Month			Private Fire Se	Philip		rate Dor Applica	Rate		
157.44 13.12 Fire Outliets 2" \$157.44 \$13.12 270.48 22.54 \$2.54 4" \$429.24 \$13.12 4.29.24 \$35.77 880.32 73.36 1.514.64 128.22 2,328.24 194.02 3,319.68 276.64 Public Fire Protection Coin Operated Vending Machine Per 100 Gallon \$0.25		Property	\$1,098.60	\$91.55			Each	on Private Pro	À C	741 Allanum 54 098 60	rer Monta		
270.48 22.54 51.12.25.4 51.12.25.4 51.12.25.4 520.24 520.24 535.77 51.36 51.36.22 51.37.36 51.37.37.36 51.37.37.36 51.37.36 51.37.36 51.37.36 51.37.36 51.37.36 51.37.36 51.37.36 51.37.36 51.37.36 51.37.36 51.37.36 51.37.36 51.37.36 51.37.37.37.37.37.37.37.37.37.37.37.37.37.			157.44	13.12			Fire Outlets		?	£157.44	00.109		
4.29.24 35.77 4.29.24 535.77 4.80.32 4.80.32 4.80.32 5.80.32			270.48	22.54				ı m		\$270.48	21.5.12		
880.32 73.36 53.27 53.27 53.27 53.27 53.27 53.27 53.27 57.37	4		429.24	35.77				4		\$420.24	25.55 235.73		
1,514.64 126.22			880.32	73.36				· †0		\$880.32	473 3E		
2,328.24 194,02 2,328.24 \$194,02 3,319.68 276.64 0.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 80.00 \$0.25	io i		1,514.64	126.22				ģ		\$1 514 64	\$126.22		•
\$3,319.68 \$276.64 0.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Per 100 Gallon \$0.25 \$0.25	5 6		2,328.24	194.02				10,		\$2,328.24	\$194.02		
0.00 6.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Per 100 Gallon \$0.25 \$0.25			50.815.5	2/6.64				12,		\$3,319,68	\$276.64		
Per 100 Gallon \$0.25	י פטיי ביו פיני ביוסי		800	00.0			Public Fire Pro	stection		\$0.00	\$0.00		
\$0.25	Coin Operated Vending Machi		Per 100 Gallon				Coin Operator	Monding Mach		000			•
Sch Pag			\$0.25					E PRINCIPAL		\$0.25			
- Cra Tag													P
													'ag

Rates File 2008 Rate Case											
Present Rates for General Water Service					Proposed Rat	Proposed Rates for General Water Service	Water Service	*			
	Tage Co.				Mexico Operations	tions					
	Rates 10/22/2007							Proposed			
Rates for General Water Service Service Charge					Rates for General	Rates for General Water Service	.8	Sales			
Monthiy 5/8" 3/4"	\$9.42 12.05					Monthly	5/8.	\$13.00			,
1.172	17.08						3/4"	\$16.65 \$23.60			
i v	48.4 48.4 48.4						1-1/2" 2"	861.90 09.18			
0.4	80.13 130.56							\$110.70			
ē 0	256.63						o di	\$354.40			
10,	407.89 692.91						. %	\$563.30			
12"	1,142.97						<u> </u>	\$1,578.40			
Consumption: 1606 GALLONS	N	Misc &	į	į	Consumption:	IC TO	ফ		Misc	,	
100 \$4.8698	Ē.	56.2344	0FA 84 5066	OWO Se seo	000	Monthly		Commercial	Industrial	OPA	OWC
For the Next 1,900 \$2,7073		\$3.4925	\$2.5246	\$3.8993	For the Next	1,900 1,900	\$5.3400	\$3.1800	\$3.5000	\$4.4000 \$3.1800	\$3.9500
For all over	\$1.2475	\$2.833 \$1.8150	\$1.348Z \$1.3121	\$3.0092 \$2.0265	For the Next	3,000 For all over	\$5,3400 \$5,3400	\$3.1800 \$3.1800	\$2.9900 \$2.9900	\$3,1800 \$3,1800	\$2.9900
	9	ojc O									
Private Fire Service		Per Month			Private Fire Service	غرارة		Rate	Rate		
Each: on F		\$119.41			Each	Each: on Private Property	Serty	\$1.432.92	rer Montin \$119.41		
rice Outers 2:	205.44	17.12			Fire Outlets	74	•	\$205.44	\$17.12		
ກ ຳ	352.92	29 41				ë		\$352.92	\$29.41		_
٠ أن	1,148.16	95,68				4 1		\$559.80	\$46.65		
, og	1,975.80	164.65				o io		\$1,148,16 \$1,975,80	\$95.68		
- 12	3,036.96	253.08 360.85				.		\$3,036.96	\$253.08		
Public Fire Protection	0.00	0.0			12" Public Fire Protection	12" tection		\$4,330.20 \$0.00	\$360.85 \$0.00		
Coin Operated Vending Machine	Per 100 Gallon				Coin Operated	Coin Operated Vending Machine		Per 100 Gallon			
	\$0.45					•		\$0.45			-
											Page

chedu	ıle	EJG-5
age5	þf	13

Content Proposed Pates for Gamera Water Springs	Commercial Com	Missoun-American Water Company Rates File	пралу											
Cutters Cutt	Comment Comm	2008 Rate Case												•
Current Proposed States for General Water Service Proposed States for General Water Service Proposed States Pr	Cummit Proposed States P	Present Rates for General Wat	ter Service											
Service Change Service Change Mare Ser	Currout Curr	Parkville Water Operations						Parkville Water	s for General V	Vater Servic	đ)			-
Framesa Proposed	1,102 1,10			Current					Spelatoris					
10222207 1024 1024 1024 1024 10252207 1024 10252207 1024 1022 10	10222007 10222007 10222007 10222007 10222007 1022200 10222007 10222007 10222007 10222007 1022207 102220 1022207 102220			Rates										
1.12 1.5	1.12 1.5	Rates for General Water Securing	,	10/22/2007							Proposed Bates			
1-17 1.057 1.05	1.12 1.15	Service Charge	ı,					Rates for Genera	al Water Servic	Q.	Nation			
1,102 1,10	1.17 1.55 1.17 1.55 1.17 1.17 1.15 1.17 1.17 1.15 1.17 1.17 1.15 1.17 1.17 1.15 1.17		5/8"	33 0				Service Charge)	,				_
1-17 26.5 2.	1-15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1		3/4"	10.03				×	lonthly	5/8"	\$13.00			
1-1/2 26 9 6 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	1-1/2 26 9 1-1/2 25 10 1 1 25 10 1 1 25 10 1 1 25 10 1 1 25 10 1 1 25 10 1 1 25 10 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		; =-	, t.						3/4"	\$16.65			
1-16 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	1-1/2 541.00 2.00		1-1/2"	26.96						+	\$23.60			
17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	17274 1822 1810		į,	40.71						1-1/2"	\$41.00			_
1	1182 228		'n	72.74						Ņ	\$61.90			
Fig. 1037 Fig.	Fig. 222.57 1,027.62 1		4	118.52						ę,	\$110.70			
Fig.	Fig. 103762 Fig. 103762 Fig. 103762 Fig. 103763	-	.	232.97						. 4	\$180,30			-
107 523 04 107 02 107	10 529 04 10 528 53.0 10 558 53.0 17 558 53.0 17 558 53.0 17 558 53.0 17 558 53.0 17 558 53.0 17 558 53.0 17 558 53.0 17 558 53.0 17 558 53.0 12 558 53.0 14 558 53.0		ģ	370.30						o,	\$354.40			
12	12		-01	629.04						ço	\$563.30			
12	12		12"	1,037,62						<u>.</u> 0	\$956.90			
Per-titor Per-	Performancial Industrial OPA OVU									12	\$1,578.40			
Park	Per 100 Callon Per 100 Callon Per 100 Cansumpton: 1000 GalL ONS Per 100 Cansumpton: 1000 GalL ONS Per 1000 Callon Per 100 Callon													
Monthly Mont	Month Mont		SNC					Consumption: 10	000 GALLONS					·
\$2.636600 \$2.63600 \$2.6	\$2.63660 \$2.63660 \$4.28630 \$4.28630 \$4.28630 \$4.28630 \$4.7700 \$4.770 \$4.		\$4 296500	Commercial C4 296500	Industrial	OPA	OWO	₹	orthly Re	Sidential	ommercial	Contraction	ć	
### Note	## Part		\$2,636600	\$2,636600	94.280300	54.296500	\$4.296500		8		\$4,7700	CA 7700	4. A	OWO
### Rate Rate Rate Private Fire Service Private Fire Service S.5.200 S.2.5000 S.2.500	### Rate		\$2.034600	\$2.034600	\$2,034500	\$2.636600 \$2.034600	\$2.536600	For the Next	1,900	\$5.5200	\$3.1500	\$3,1500	83.1500	\$4.7700
Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Per Annum Per Month P	Parte Rate Parte Private Fire Service Parte Rate Parte Private Fire Service Per Annum Per Month Per Mont	For all over	\$1.370100	\$1.370100	\$1.370100	\$1.370100	\$2.034000 64.370400		3,000	\$5.5200	\$2.5000	\$2.5000	\$2 5000	93.000
Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Per Month P	Rate Rate Rate Rate Per Annum Per Annum Per Annum Per Annum Per Annum 164.16 \$98.68 14.14 \$98.68 Per Annum Per Month 165.68 14.14 \$98.68 Per Annum Per Month Per Month 165.68 14.14 \$98.68 \$14.14 \$11.84.16 \$14.14 2.30 6 24.30 \$7.184.16 \$14.14 \$280.68 \$14.14 2.20 6 38.56 \$24.30 \$24.30 \$24.30 \$24.30 948 84 79.07 \$280.58 \$291.60 \$24.30 \$24.30 1532.72 1156.06 \$6.7 \$146.25 \$156.06 \$24.30 2.509.56 2.509.56 \$2.509.13 \$2.509.13 \$2.509.13 \$2.509.13 10 0.00 0.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Per 100 Gallon \$0.425 \$50.425 \$0.425 \$0.425 \$0.425						001076:14	Ē.	or all over	\$5.5200	\$2.5000	\$2.0000	\$2.5000	\$2.5000
Paralle Rate Rate Rate Por Month Private Fire Service Per Annum Per Month 169.68 14.14 \$98.68 Per Annum Per Month 169.68 14.14 \$98.68 \$14.14 \$98.68 169.68 14.14 \$14.14 \$98.68 \$14.14 291.60 24.30 \$14.14 \$14.14 \$14.14 462.72 38.56 \$14.14 \$14.14 \$14.14 462.72 38.56 \$14.14 \$14.14 \$14.14 462.72 38.56 \$14.14 \$14.14 \$14.14 462.72 38.56 \$14.24 \$14.14 \$14.14 462.72 38.62 \$24.30 \$24.30 \$24.30 1632.72 16 \$2.50.56 \$2.50.56 \$2.50.56 \$2.50.56 \$2.50.56 \$2.50.56 \$2.50.56 \$2.50.56 \$2.50.56 \$2.50.56 \$2.50.56 \$2.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	Per Annum Rate Rate Rate Per Annum Per Annum Per Month Per Annum Per Annum 1184.16 \$96.88 Per Annum Per Annum Per Month 169.68 14.14 \$1.184.16 \$98.68 291.60 24.30 \$1.184.16 \$98.68 291.60 24.30 \$24.30 \$24.30 46.27 38.56 \$4.27 \$1.84.14 52.90.56 948.84 79.07 \$24.30 1,532.72 136.06 \$7.40 \$7.00 2,508.56 209.13 \$7.80.06 \$2.09.13 1,532.72 136.06 \$2.09.13 \$2.508.56 \$2.09.13 2,508.56 2.99.18 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Per 100 Gallon \$0.425 \$0.425 \$0.425 \$0.00			i										
Private Fire Service Per Annum Per Month 169.68 14.14 Fire Outlets 2" \$148.16 \$98.68 291.60 24.30 \$24.30 462.72 38.56 \$14.14 Fire Outlets 2" \$169.68 \$14.14 Fire Outlets 2" \$169.68 \$14.14 Fire Outlets 2" \$169.68 \$14.14 5.291.60 \$24.30 4" \$462.72 \$38.56 6" \$484.84 \$79.07 8" \$462.72 \$136.06 5.40.07 \$1.632.72 \$136.06 5.508.56 \$209.13 5.508.56 \$209.13 For 100 Gallon Per 100 Gallon \$0.425 \$16.425	Private Fire Service Per Annum Per Month Fire Outlets 2: \$186.88 \$14.14 \$98.68 \$14.14 \$98.68 \$14.14 \$98.68 \$14.14 \$98.68 \$14.14 \$98.68 \$14.14 \$98.68 \$14.14 \$98.68 \$14.14 \$98.84 \$1.184.16 \$98.88 \$14.14 \$94.88 \$1.184.16 \$98.68 \$14.14 \$94.88 \$1.184.16 \$1.184.16 \$1.184.16 \$1.184.16 \$1.184.16 \$1.184	Private Fire Service		Per Annum	Kate Per Month						Rate	Q3.0		_
Each: on Private Property \$1,184.16 \$18.68 \$14.14 \$18.68 \$14.14 \$18.68 \$14.14 \$18.68 \$14.14 \$18.00 \$291.60 \$24.30 \$24.30 \$291.60 \$24.30 \$24.30 \$291.60 \$24.30 \$24.30 \$291.60 \$24.30 \$291.60 \$291.60 \$24.30 \$291.60 \$24.30 \$291.60 \$2.09.13 \$1.7 \$2.99.18 \$1.6 \$2.99.18 \$2.00 \$2.00 \$20.00	Each: on Private Property \$1,184.16 \$98.68 291.60 24.30 \$7 \$14.14 291.60 24.30 \$7 \$184.16 \$14.14 291.60 24.30 \$7 \$14.14 37 \$169.68 \$14.14 \$2,20.6 47 \$2,20.7 \$1,184.16 \$98.68 \$14,14 \$2,20.6 \$2,20.7 \$1,184.16 \$98.68 \$14,14 \$2,20.6 \$2,20.7 \$1,63.27 \$1,63.27 \$1,63.27 \$1,63.27 \$1,63.27 \$1,63.27 \$1,63.27 \$1,63.27 \$1,63.27 \$1,63.27 \$1,63.27 \$1,63.27 \$1,60.27 \$2,50.9 \$2,50.9 \$2,50.9 \$2,00		roperty	\$1 184 18	100 and 100 an			Private Fire Servit	8		er Annum	Per Month		
Fire Outlets 2" \$100.00 29.30 47. 24.30 48.34 462.72 38.65 948.84 79.07 1,532.72 1,532.72 1,532.72 2,509.56 2,509.56 2,509.13 Public Fire Protection 80.425 Coin Operated Vending Machine Per 100 Gallon \$0.425	Fire Outlets 2" \$10.00 24.30 4" \$20.00 4" \$20.00 524.30 4" \$20.00 524.30 4" \$20.00 52.72 584.84 \$79.07 52.509.56 5.09.13 57.86.06 57.652.72 \$1.652.72 \$1.652.72 \$2.509.13 57.86.06 \$2.00 Per 100 Gallon \$0.425 \$0.425		,	160.69	90.00			Each: on	Private Prope		11 184 16	0000		-
25.72 24.30 31.144 46.2.72 36.56 52.430 948.84 79.07 67. 838.56 1,632.72 136.06 2,509.56 209.13 10° \$5,509.56 \$5,09.13 0.00 0.00 Per 100 Gallon \$0.425 \$0.425	25.72 24.30 37 5.20.00 5.24.30 47 5.20.00 5.24.30 5.27.2 5.30.55 67 5.20.30 5.27.2 5.30.55 67 5.20.50.50 5.24.30 5.20.50.50 5.20.50 5.			201.60	4 4			Fire Outlets			\$169.68	430.00		•
## \$40.425 ### \$40.425 ### \$40.425 ### \$40.425 ### \$40.425 ### \$40.425 ### \$40.425 ### \$40.425 ### \$40.425 ### \$40.425 ### \$40.425 ### \$40.00 ### \$40.00 ### \$40.00 ### \$40.00 ### \$40.00 ### \$40.00 ### \$40.00 ### \$40.00 ### \$40.00 ### \$40.00 ### \$40.00 ### \$40.00 #### \$40.00 #### \$40.00 #### \$40.00 #### \$40.425	# \$40.77 \$3.50 \$2.72 \$38.50 \$243.77 \$3.65 \$20.50 \$2.72 \$38.55 \$20.50 \$2.50 \$3.55 \$20.50 \$3.57 \$3.50 \$3.57 \$3.50 \$3.57 \$3.50 \$3.57 \$3.57 \$3.50 \$3.57 \$3	4		467.73	05.45				<i>م</i>		\$291.60	414.14		
5.4.2.7 136.06 5.4.2.7 5.30.7 5.30.50 16.3.2.7.2 136.06 5.30.50 2.508.56 208.18 10° \$2.509.13 1.0° \$2,509.56 \$209.13 1.0° \$2,509.56 \$209.13 1.0° \$2,509.56 \$209.13 1.0° \$2,509.13 1.0° \$2,509.56 \$209.13 1.0° \$2,509.13 1.0° \$3,578.16 \$298.18 1.0° \$3,578.16 \$298.18 1.0° \$0.00 1.	For the state of t			402.72	38.35				.4		\$462.77	00.424		
8" \$1,502.72 \$73.07 2,509.56 209.13 10" \$2,509.56 \$209.13 12" \$2,578.16 \$298.18 0.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Per 100 Gallon \$0.425	8" \$1,502.72 \$135.07 2,508.56 \$209.13 10" \$2,509.56 \$209.13 3,578.16 \$298.18 \$0.00 0.00 \$0	- č o		40.04	79.07				.9		\$948 84	430.30		
\$2,509.56 \$209.13 \$3,578.16 \$298.18 0.00 0.00 \$0.00 Per 100 Gallon Coin Operated Vending Machine Per 100 Gallon \$0.425	\$2,503.55 \$209.13 \$3,578.16 \$298.18 0.00 0.00 \$0.00 Per 100 Gallon \$0.425	-0-		27.250,1	36.06						1 632 72	10.874 44.90.00		
12 \$3,578.16 \$295.15 0.00 0.00 0.00 Public Fire Protection \$0.00 \$0.00 Per 100 Gallon \$0.425 \$0.425	12 \$3,578.16 \$298.18 0.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Per 100 Gallon \$0.425 \$0.425			3.578 16	209.13				10"		2,509.56	\$200.43		_
Public Fire Protection \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	Public Fire Protection \$0.00 \$	Public Fire Protection		000	0.00				12"	•	3,578.16	\$208.13		-
Per 100 Gallon \$0.425 Coin Operated Vending Machine Per 100 Gallon \$0.425	Per 100 Gallon \$0.425 Coin Operated Vending Machine Per 100 Gallon \$0.425			9	9			Public Fire Protect	tion		80.00	20.00		
Con Operated Vending Machine Per 100 Gallon \$0.425	Con Operated Vending Machine Per 100 Gallon \$0.425	Coin Operated Vending Machine		er 100 Gallon				1						
				\$0.425				Con Operated Ve.	inding Machine		r 100 Gallon			
	Se Pa										\$0.425			
	Sec. Sec. Sec. Sec. Sec. Sec. Sec. Sec.													

States to Chemical Name Service Chimped N	Curr Rat 10/22			Proposed St Joseph	I Rates for Genera A Operations	Water Servi	93			
Secretary Commercial Falses for General Water Service Change and Wa	Cun Rat 10/22 Monthly 5/8" 3/4" 1" 1-1/2" 2" 3"			N-200	Cherations					
Parties Part	Raf 10/22 Monthly 5/8" 1" 1-1/2" 2" 3"									
14.12.10.01.14.12.00.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.	10/22 Monthly 5/8" 3.4" 1" 1-1/2" 2" 3"						Proposed			
1177 1819 1170 1170	Monthly 5/8" 3/4" 1" 1-1/2" 2"						Rates			
11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	Monthly 5/8" 3/4" 1" 1-1/2" 2"			Rates for a	General Water Sen	vice				
1.17 1.15	3.4" 1-172" 2", 8			Service CI	harge					
1-17 28 64 1-17					Monthly	5/8"	\$13.00			
1-1/2 25.84 1.00						3/4"	\$16.65			
1.17 1.10						;	\$23.60			
17. 17.						1-1/2	\$41.00			
1.25 1.102.85 1.						ħ ā	\$61.90			
Fig. 11,108.56 Fig.						? ?	07.0114			
17 1108.86 120.80 120.						t to	\$180.30			
17 1708 B8						ò	S563 30			
1.109.20 1.20	•					, ţ	\$956.90			
Consumption: 1000 GALLONS Statement Fortube Manufacture Industrial OPA OWU T3TR1 Consumption: 1000 GALLONS Statement Industrial OPA OWU Statement						12	\$1,578.40			
National Industrial Indus										
Commercial Industrial OPA	1000 GALLONS			Consumpti	ion: 1000 GALLOR	ÿ				
St. 7286 St. 7289	Monthly Residential Commercial In				Monthly	Residential	Commonder	in the second second	į	
St. 2728 St. 34860 St. 3728 St. 34860 St. 34	100 \$4.2233 \$4.2233			4	100	Ca peno	Commercial	industrial	OPA 	OWC
State	3.000 \$2.2798 \$3.2798 3.000 \$2.2398 \$2.2398		\$3.2798	For the Ne	**	\$3.9600	53 .1500	\$3.9600	\$3.9600	\$3.9600
\$0.000000 \$0.000000 \$0.000000 \$0.000000 \$2.500	all over \$1,8638 \$1,8638		\$2.7328	For the Ne		\$3.9600	\$2.8500	\$2.8500	\$3.1500	\$3,1500
Per Annum Per Month Per	\$0.000000 \$0.000000 \$0.0	\$0.000000		\$0,0000 Pmoenty T	For all over	\$3.9600	\$2.8500	\$2.5200	\$3.1500	\$2.3400
Frate Rate Rate Rate France Frate Per Annum Per Annum Rate Operty \$594.12 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.51 \$49.52 \$49.51 \$49.52 \$41.51 \$49.52 \$41.51 \$49.52 \$41.51 \$40.52 \$41.51 \$40.52 \$41.51 \$40.52 \$41.51 \$40.52 \$41.51 \$40.52 \$41.51 \$40.52 \$41.51 \$40.52 \$41.52				funda .	an out of a second	90.0600	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.0000	\$0,0000
Operty \$554.12 Fire Outlets Private Fire Service Per Annum Per Annum Per Month 146.28 7.10 Fire Outlets 2" \$554.12 \$49.51 146.28 12.19 \$524.12 \$7.10 \$7.10 146.28 12.19 \$7.10 \$7.10 \$7.10 15.20 19.35 \$7.10 \$7.10 \$7.10 4 6.28 \$7.10 \$7.10 \$7.10 \$7.10 4 76.16 \$8.26 \$47.10 \$19.35 \$68.26 1,259.16 \$1.04.93 \$1.259.16 \$149.62 \$149.62 1,795.44 \$1.259.16 \$1.259.16 \$0.00 <t< td=""><td>Per April 18</td><td>. #</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Rate</td><td>Rate</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Per April 18	. #					Rate	Rate		
85.20 7.10 Each: on Private Property \$594.12 \$49.51 146.28 12.19 2" \$85.20 \$7.10 146.28 12.19 2" \$85.20 \$7.10 146.28 12.19 2" \$85.20 \$7.10 12.22.0 19.35 \$7.10 12.25.16 104.93 \$1.259.16 \$104.93 1.795.44 149.62 \$1.795.44 \$149.62 0.00 0.00 Per 100 Gallon \$0.475 Coin Operated Vending Machine Per 100 Gallon \$0.475	on Private Property \$594.12	3.55.		Private Fin	e Service		Per Annum	Per Month		
146.28 12.19 Fire Outlets 2" \$85.20 \$7.10 232.20 19.35 47.29 476.16 39.68 \$12.19 476.16 319.35 476.28 \$12.19 476.28 \$12.19 476.28 \$12.19 476.28 \$12.19 476.28 \$12.19 476.28 \$12.19 476.28 \$12.19 476.28 \$12.19 476.28 \$12.19 476.28 \$12.19 476.28 \$12.19 476.28 \$12.19 476.28 \$12.19 476.28 \$10.35 476.16 \$10.35 476.16 \$10.35 47795.44 \$149.62 476.16 \$10.00 476.179 476.28 \$11.29 476.28 \$10.29 476.28 \$1.795.44 \$149.62 476.28 \$1.795.44 \$149.62 476.28 \$1.795.44 \$149.62 476.28 \$1.795.44 \$149.62 476.28 \$1.795.44 \$149.62 476.28 \$1.795.44 \$149.62 476.28 \$1.795.44 \$149.62 476.28 \$1.795.44 \$149.62 476.28 \$1.795.44 \$149.62 476.28 \$1.795.44 \$149.62 4779.475	2" 85.20	7.10		ய் <u>`</u>	ach: on Private Pro	perty	\$594.12	\$49.51		
232.20 19.35 \$146.28 \$12.19 4 \$232.20 \$19.35 476.16 39.68 819.12 68.26 1,259.16 104.93 1,795.44 149.62 1,795.44 149.62 1,795.44 149.62 1,795.44 149.62 1,795.44 \$1,795.44 \$1,49.62 1,795.44 \$1,795.44 \$1,49.62 1,795.44 \$1,795.44 \$1,49.62 1,795.44 \$1,795.44 \$1,49.62 1,795.44 \$1,795.44 \$1,49.62 1,795.44 \$1,795.44 \$1,49.62 1,795.44 \$1,795.44 \$1,49.62 1,795.44 \$1,795.44 \$1,49.62 1,795.475 \$1,795.44 \$1,49.62 1,795.475	146.28	916		Tire Outlet			\$85.20	\$7.10		
476.16 39.68 \$19.35 \$19.35 \$19.35 \$19.35 \$19.12 \$8.26 \$19.35 \$19.12 \$8.26 \$19.25 \$19.25 \$19.25 \$19.25 \$19.25 \$19.25 \$10.2	232.20	30			ხ.		\$146.28	\$12.19		
819.12 68.26 \$39.68 \$476.16 \$439.68 \$1,259.16 \$104.93 \$104.93 \$104.93 \$104.93 \$1,259.16 \$1,259.1	476.16	99			(-)		\$232.20	\$19.35		
1,259.16 104.93 \$68.26 1,795.44 149.62 \$104.93 1,795.44 149.62 \$104.93 0.00 0.00 \$1,259.16 \$104.93 Public Fire Protection \$0.00 \$0.00 Per 100 Gallon \$0.475	819.12	1.26			lo i		\$476.16	\$39.68		
1,795.44 149.62 \$104.93	1,259.16	1.93			. ţ		\$819.12	\$68.26		
0.00 0.00 Public Fire Protection \$1,95.44 \$149.62 \$0.00 \$0.0	12" 1,795,44 14	3.62			5 5		\$1,259.16	\$104.93		
Per 100 Gallon \$0.475 \$0.475	00.00	00.0		Public Fire	Protection		\$1,795,44	\$149.62		
Colfi Operated Vending Machine Per 100 Gallon \$0.475					:			00.00		
	\$0.475			rado circo	ated Vending Mach		Per 100 Gallon			
) :			
										Pa

Rates File 2008 Rate Case	K ingdings											
Present Rates for General Water Service	Water Service					Proposed Rat	Proposed Rates for General Water Service	Water Servic	4			
Warrensburg Operations						Warrensburg Operations	Operations					
_		Current										
		Rates 10/22/2007							Proposed			
Rates for General Water Service	stvice					Rates for Gens	Bates for General Water Service	.8	Rates			
Service Charge						Service Charge		3				
Monthly		\$8.73				•	Monthly	.8/8	\$13.00			
	3/4"	11.17						3/4"	\$16.65			
	Ļ	15.83						<u>-</u> -	\$23.60			
	1-1/2"	27.52						1-1/2"	\$4100			
	Ņ	41.55						5	\$61.90			
	'n	74.25						i in	\$110.70			
	4	120.97						4	\$180.30			
	o.	237.78						å9	\$354.40			
	် ဝ	377.94							\$563.30			
	10.	642.03						õ	\$956.90			
	12"	1,059.05						12.	\$1,578.40			
Consumption: 1000 G	7		:	į		Consumption:	Consumption: 1000 GALLONS	ম				•
Vincential Co.	ii K	Commercial	Industrial	OPA	OWO				Commercial	Industrial	OPA	OWO
•	1000 \$2.6/1/	63.14/b	92.1039	\$3.5042	\$5.1780	1st 000 Gal	6	\$3.0900	\$2.7000	\$2,7000	\$2.7000	\$2.7000
		\$1,7633	\$1.7400 \$1.2408	61.8631	\$2.9018	For the Next	1,900	\$3.0900	\$1.9600	\$1.9600	\$2.6000	\$2.6000
For		ST 9453	60.0042	9 6	92.223	ror die Next	000.5	\$3.0900	\$1.9600	\$1.9600	\$1.9600	\$2,6000
				2020.1 6	0/28:14		For all over	\$3.0900	\$1.9600	\$1,7500	\$1.9600	\$1.9600
		Rate	Rate						i			
Private Fire Service		Per Annum	Per Month			Delicate Circles	4		Kate	Kate		
Each: on Private Property	ate Property	\$1,193.40	\$99.45			TIVALE THE SE	The Selvice Each: On Drivate Brooks.	į	rer Annum	Fer Month		
Fire Outlets 2"	•	171 12	14.26			Fire Outlete	GI TIVALE TIQ	<u>ئ</u>	04.780.40	4.99%		
		293.88	24 49			Signo and	, ř.		21.171.6	\$74.26		
4		466.32	38 86			_) [‡]		\$295,00 \$466.90	\$24.49		
9.		956.28	79.69				rů		##00.32 #000.00	\$38.86 \$10.00		
		1,645,56	137.13				o å		#300.20 61 646 56	89.674		
10.		2,529.24	210.77				, <u>†</u>		67,045,00	\$107.13		
		3,606.24	300.52				<u>, </u>		47.87¢,2¢	\$210.77		
Public Fire Pratection		0.00	0.00			Public Fire Protection	tection		\$0.00	\$0.00		
Coin Operated Vending Machine	chine	Per 100 Gallon				0			:			
•	<u>.</u>	\$0.35					Coin Operaled Vending Machine		Per 100 Gallon \$0.35			
												Pa
												ige
									ĺ			7

Schedule EJG-5

							Proposed Rates for General Water Service	TOF General vea	M Service	3							
Cummit Retea		Rets A	Rets B	Rela G-1	Rane	1 8	4.8	,	St Louis Courty Operations	rations							П
Rates for General Water Service	mica	Current	Current	i i	į				Proposed Rates			Rate A	Rete B	Rate G	Rate F	Rests H	1
Service Charge		Rates	Ratte	Rates	Rates	Rates	Rafas	Current	Rates for General Water Service	tor Service		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Personal	Denotes
		8 24 8 86 8 86	00.05 00.05	9 .9	\$0.00 80.00 80.00	90.00	95.55 55.55	\$10.80	Monthly		in	Ruties	Rates	Rates		Rathes	Rates
-	٠. :	\$9.44	90.03	\$0.00	8 8	3 8	58.73	29.15		34.	•.	\$10.42	8 8	90.00	8 5	8 8	\$10.00
	2/1-1	\$13.51	8 8	80.00 80.00 80.00	\$0.05 50.05	\$0.00	\$12.69	\$17,30		,	٠.	\$12.10	00 C	80.08	20.00		2 2
	h	551.43	3 3	8 8	8 5	8 9	\$17.28	\$22.24			. Ն	\$72.85	8 8	8 8	8 8		5
	÷	\$46.09	\$0.00	8	} 8	3 5	77.77	\$35.24 240.00				17.2	3 8	3 5	9 9		ß
	61	\$86.84	\$0.00	\$0.00	80.00	80.00	3 3	**************************************			L	\$177.08	9	8	3 S	8 8	į
	ь \$	\$135.73	80.0	80.00	\$0.00	90.00	\$127.48	\$139.55			Į,	\$324.91	20.00	20.03	90.0		27.2
	Şģ	220023	20.00	8	80.08	\$0.00	\$188.71	5204.75			L. 3	124.07	\$0.00	00'0	90.00		Š
Quarterly		2022	90.00	8.8	20.00	00.0	2249.92	26,6923			s k	2619.23	\$0.00	00 05 05	D0.04		\$613
	ž	\$12.68	88	3 5	3 5	00.00	8	\$21.89	Quarterty		مد ا	748 E3	3 8	8	80.03		2868
_	÷	517.58	0008		3 5	3 6	8 9	\$24.14				1	8.6	20.00	8.0		9
	1-1/2	\$29.79	\$0.00	80.5	5	9.5	8 8	23.03			÷	22.83	3 5	000	8.5		5
	ħ	FEL.45	20.03	\$0.00	8 8	8 6	50.0	8 2		21-1		200	8 8	8 8	3 5		
	h i	\$63.58	80.08	\$0.00	8	\$0.00	8.05	403.34 405.04			١.	\$55.06	000	8	88		Ĺ.
	4	\$127.58	89.	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	00.05	5139 Dt				\$248.85	90.00	900	900		
	о Б	2.44.2	8	8	80.8	\$0.00	\$0.00	23.27		•	<u>.</u>	\$517.76	\$0.00	80.00	\$0.00		5
	þ	2592.05	8 8 8 8 8	8 8	800	800	80.08	\$407.86		-	h 1	5861.25	80.03 (30.03	\$0.00	80.00		8
	Ņ	\$787.82	9.00	8 8	8	800	\$ 0.00	\$603,51		•	. :	256.73	20.00	9	\$0.00		8
	!		3	8	8	00.00	80.0 3	\$799.DB		5 52		52,582,53	8 8	8 8	90.00		7
Morably Costomers (Morably Costomers)	tomera									;					3		2,552
All Water 1.000 Sel	Culertery All Winners	4		;					Consumption - Rate A Customers	A Customers							
All Water CCF		51,731900	\$1,257,500	50.596533	\$2,309200	\$0.646700	\$1,071200		All Wate	Morrany Quarterly All Water 1,000 Sal All Water 1,000 Gs		40					
1st Attemate Rate 1,000 Ga		e 1,000 Ger		00141400		0.485000	\$0.803400		All Weter CCF	CCF ALM	All Webs CCF	22.0960	2 2 2	50.5965	\$2,3092	\$0.5467	\$1,3074
1st Attendate Rate CCF		A COF							1st Aller	å.	Rematte Rate 1,00	100 Cen	200	\$0.00000	51,73190	\$0.4850	80.08
2nd Attements Rate CCF	and Allomate Rate 1,000 Gall 2nd Allomate Bess CCC	1,000 GE							Sort Aller	hate Ratio C 1st A	formate Rate CC			\$0.00000			
First 200 Cubic Ft		ا ا							2nd Ala	and Authoritie Rate (2nd Authoritie Rate 1,000 Gal 2nd Allamate Rate (2nd Allamana Bala C.C.)	Vernate Rale 1.0 Jenate Pale CC			\$0.00000			
IN Excess of 200 Cu Ft	All Weder 600 + Cu Ft	Œ R					•••	0.00000	First 200	First 200 Cubic Pt First 600 Cubic Pt	200 Cubic Fi			\$0.000000			
First 1500 Gallons in Expass of 1500 Gallons	First 4500 Gallons	, i					<i>~</i> •	000000	in Decar	in Excess of 200 C All Water 600 + Cu Ft	Mer 600 + Cu Ft						
Annual Fixed Charge					•		•	\$2.544900	in Exces	Frail 1900 Genoris - Frail 4500 Gellons In Excess of 1500 GAI Water 4500 + Gellons	KSOC Gallons Iffer 4500 + Relic						
					6	31,422,125			Annual	Annual Fixed Charge		•			•	100	
Tro Stre	Quarter	Semi-Annual	Annual						1						•	21.22.12	
2" or Less 1.5		1	,							Month	Quarter	Serzi-Annuel	Amuai				
		46.38	52.25						2" or Lass	ă	8 9	5	į				
		10.10	208.68						÷	7.7	23.19	7 F	25.53				
		185.52	37.03						6	17.39	52.17	20	208.FB				
		289.88	579.76							30.92	92.76	185.52	371.D3				
20" 184 18	208.74	417.47	7.75							F 5	144.94	289.88	579.76				
		1.104.98	2.209.92							69.58	208.74	417 47	834.94				
Private Fire Servic Month	Outrier	Semilanous	America						3	2	552.48	1.0	2,209.92				
p)	i								Private Fire: N	Month	Quarter	Same Apparen	7				
		58.50	117.00						A Meters								
10.51	1. 2.5. 2.5.	83	126.12						1 0 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	2.75	23.25	58.50	117.00				
1.		72.24	1						, :	10.51	31.53	80.08	126.12				
		2.65 7.65	190.08						71.	4 4 4 4	36.12	22	144.48				
3. 32.57		196.42	300						kı	8	3,5	8 5	380.08				
¥ .		277.50	555.00						h	32.57	97.71	3 5	9 9 9 9				
		505.68	1,011.36						ų.	46.25	138.75	18.12	565.00				
Private Fire Hydrans	e)ca								ė,	84.28	252.84	505.58	1,011,36				
Annuel	208.68								Private Fire Hydrant		100						
Sem-Annual	104.34								Annual		349.05						

Schedule	EJG-5
D = = = 0 = 4	40

A Office Operations					Proposed Rate	Proposed Rates for General Water Service	Water Servic	<u> </u>			
					St Charles Op	erations					
	Rates							Proposed			
Rates for General Water Service	1007/77/01							Rates			
Service Charge					Service Charge	Kates for General Water Service Service Charge	8				
Monthly 5/8"	\$7.70				5 3 5	Monthly	ğ	0.00			
3/4"	9.85					200	0/0	00.014			
	13.96						t :	\$10.42			
1-1/2"	24.28							\$12.10			
7	36.65						7/L-1	\$19.14			
ņ	65.50						i r	\$22.85			
	106.72						ho :	587 44			
ţ,	209.78						4 (\$177.08			
60	333.44						o ā	\$324.91			
10*	566.43						o Ç	\$424.07			
12"	934.34						2 5	\$619.23			
							ĭ	\$858.50			
Consumption: 1000 GALLONS Monthly Residential		1 1	į	:	Consumption:	Consumption: 1000 GALLONS	ম				
100	σ	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	0FA	OWU \$2 1255	000			Commercial	Industrial	OPA	OWO
1,900		\$1 1338	\$1.0003	61 1908	157 000 Gal	300	\$2.7946	\$2.7946	\$2.7946	\$2.7946	\$1.4230
3,000	4 \$0.9378	\$0.8747	\$1,1244	\$0.9180	For the Next	1,800	\$2.7946	\$2.7946	\$2.7946	\$2.7946	\$1.4230
For all over \$0.5983		\$0.5892	\$0.7571	\$0.6188	מים מים	S,uou For all over	\$2.7846	\$2.7946 \$2.7946	\$2.7946 \$2.7946	\$2.7946 \$2.7946	\$1.4230
								!		1	1074-10
	Rate	Rate						ŭ ĝ	Sate Sate		
Private Fire Service	Per Annum	Per Month			Private Fire Service	Nice		Per Annum	Per Month		
Each; on Private Property	\$894.00	\$74.50			Each	Each: on Private Property		S894 OO	S74 50		
	128.16	10.68			Fire Outlets	7		\$128.16	\$10.68		
٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠	220.08	18.32				ę		\$220.08	\$18.34		
4 [349.32	29 11				<u>.</u> 4		\$349.32	\$29.11		
ρð	/16.40	59.70				ŗ,		\$716.40	\$59.70		
0 6	1,232.64	102.72				ő		\$1,232,64	\$102.72		
2 F	7,834.58	157.89				. 0		\$1,894.68	\$157.88		
Public Eire Protection	\$10/3	21.622				12"		\$2,701.44	\$225.12		
	00.00	900			Public Fire Protection	tection		\$349.05	\$29.08		
Coin Operated Vending Machine	Per 100 Gallon				Coin Operated	Coin Operated Vending Machine		Per 100 Gallon			
	67.04							\$0.75			

Schedule EJG-5	
age10 of 13	

Missouri-American Water Company Rates File 2008 Rate Case	ter Company										;	
Present Rates for General Water Service	aral Water Service					Proposed Rates	Proposed Rates for General Water Service	Sonoico				
region County Water	Operations	, and the				Warren County	Warren County Water Operations					
Rates for General Water Service Service Chame	Service	Rates 10/22/2007				Rates for General Water Service	Water Service	Propose Rates	Proposed Rates 40.50%			
Service Charge Monthly	11-172 344 1-172 23: 24: 44: 100 120	\$12.17 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00				Service Charge	Monthly 52 4 4 4 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	25. 24. 24. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27	\$10.00 \$10.42 \$12.10 \$19.14 \$87.44 \$17.08 \$224.07 \$619.23			
Consumption: 1000 ist 000 Gal For the Next For the Next For the Next	Monthly Residential 1,000 \$3,9277 3,000 \$3,9277 For all ove: \$3,9277	S3.9277 \$3.9277 \$3.9277 \$3.9277	\$3.9277 \$3.9277 \$3.9277 \$3.9277 \$3.9277	S3.9277 \$3.9277 \$3.9277 \$3.9277	0WU \$3.9277 \$3.9277 \$3.9277 \$3.9277	Consumption: 1000 GALLONS Monthly Re 1st 000 Gal 100 For the Next 3,000 For the Next 3,000		VS Residential Commercial \$2.7946 \$2.7946 \$2.7946 \$2.7946 \$2.7946 \$2.7946		Industrial \$2.7946 \$2.7946 \$2.7946 \$2.7946	OPA \$2.7946 \$2.7946 \$2.7946 \$2.7946	OWU \$2.7946 \$2.7946 \$2.7946 \$2.7946
Private Fire Service Each: on P. Fire Outlets Public Fire Protection	Fach: on Private Property 27: 37: 44: 6: 10* 12* Otection	Rate \$0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	Rate Per Month			Private Fire Service Each: on Priv Fire Outlets 3: 4* 10* 12* Public Fire Protection	fire Service Each: on Private Property 27 37 47 67 107 127 ire Protection	Rate Per Annum Per Annum Per Annum Per Annum Per Annum S0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00		Rate Month \$0.00 \$0.00 \$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00		
												Schedul Page 10

Contact Hill Sweet Closured Water Service Contact Hill Sweet Closured Water Service Contact Hill Sweet Closured Water Service Contact Hill Sweet Closured Service Contact Hill Sweet Closured Service Change Proposed Pro	Missoun-American Water Company Rates File 2008 Rate Case	Water Company											
Rates Current Rates Current Rates Content Rates Co	Present Rates for Ge	eneral Water Service				ı	Proposed Rat	es for General	Water Cond	5			
Proceed Proc	O IDAGO III.	Siduping	Current				Cedar Hill Sev	ver Opertions	MAISC ISIEM	R			
Charge Monthly 518 \$23.52 Monthly 519 \$23.52 Monthly 519 \$23.52 Monthly 519 \$23.52 Monthly 519 \$23.52 Monthly \$24 \$20.00 Monthly \$24 \$20.00 Monthly \$24.777 \$22.7			Rates							Proposed			
Morthly 5/6" \$235.2 Service Charge Monthly 5/6" \$30.35 1-17	Rates for General Sev Service Charge	wer Service	1003.02 E001				Rates for Gene	eral Sewer Servi	8	Rates			
1-1/2 24- 25			\$23.52				Service Charg	do .	!				
1-107 1-10		3/4"						Monthly	3/4"	\$30.36			
1-1/2' 50.00	**	1-1/2"	, ,						: -	\$0.00			
100 GALLONS 107 100 GALLONS 107 100 GALLONS 107 107 100 GALLONS 107 10		3 15	•						1-1/2" 2"	\$0.00			_
10		· & ·							М	80 00			•
10° 50.00 10° 50.00 10° 50.00 10° 50.00 10° 50.00 10° 50.00 10° 50.00 10° 50.00 10° 50.00 10° 50.00 50.00		i d							4 6	\$0.00			
1000 GALLONS		0 0	• •						o go	8008			•
Monthly Residential Commercial Industrial OPA OWU		t.	•						.0. .2.	\$0.00 \$0.00			
Monthly Residential Commercial Industrial OPA OWU Consumption: 1000 GALLONS Consum		000 GALLONS					:						
Fixed Charge Rate Code \$23.52 C2MS1 \$23.52 C1MS1, C2MS1 \$30.36 C2MS1 \$30.36 C2MS1 \$30.36 C1MSA \$24.30 \$21.17 \$30.36 C1MSM \$27.33		ur.	Ö	Industriat \$0.0000 \$2.7707 \$2.7707	OPA \$0.0000 \$2.7707 \$2.7707	OWU \$0.000 \$2.7707 \$2.7707	Consumption: 1st 000 Gal For the Next For the Next	1000 GALLON: Monthly R 100 1,900 3,000 For all over	sidential \$0.0000 \$3.5770 \$3.5770 \$3.5770	Summercial \$0,000 \$3,5770 \$3,5770	Industrial \$0.0000 \$3.5770 \$3.5770	0PA \$0.0000 \$3.5770 \$3.5770	0WU \$0.000 \$3.5770 \$3.5770
\$23.52 C2MS1 \$23.52 C1MS1, C2MS1 \$30.36 C2MS1 \$21.17 \$23.52 C1MSM \$27.33	Fixed Charge Rate Co						E control	, i					
	C1MSM	\$23.5 \$18.8 \$21.1		CZMS1	\$23.52		C1MS1, C2MS- C1MSA C1MSM	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 9	\$20.36 \$24.30 \$27.33	CZN	IS1	\$30.36	

	Proposed Rates for General Water Service Partwille Sewer Operations Proposed Rates Partwille Sewer Operations Per Bill Monthly \$56.74
Missoun-American Water Company Rates File 2008 Rate Case	Present Rates for General Water Service Parkylle Sewer Operations Current Rates Parkylle Sewer Operations Monthly \$46.70

	Proposed Rates for General Water Service	Warren County Sewer Per Bill Residential Commercial \$38.17 \$38.17
	ice	Current Rates Residential Commercial \$29.43 \$29.43
Missouri-American Water Company Rates File 2008 Rate Case	Present Rates for General Water Service Warren County Sewer Operations	Warren County Sewer Per Bill