EC-2023-0395

Brett Felber VS Ameren Missouri

Response

While I would hope information would be left confidential, it is NOT my responsibility to continously at my disposal give Respondents information numerous times. I've given Respondents a copy of a Sworn Affidavit that was notarized and in addition was also given to the **second second seco**

As for my son's medical disability paperworkfor medical doctors, this seems to be a bigger problem. When anyone requests medical paperwork from a doctors office, etc they can get a email account that is HIPAA compliant or a EFAX that is HIPAA compliant. Ameren uses a company called SendGrid. SendGrid isn't a HIPAA complaint company, therefore any email sent from a medical doctor wouldn't meet the qualification standards to receive the data.

This would also be a red flag to anyone that has had rto send in medical hardship paperwork from a Doctors office because any medical transcripts requested by a party are required to be sent to a HIPAA compliant email address or fax number. Ameren's a Company, are they implying that they can't get a provider that can provide that emailed or faxed information sent to them is secured through the process of a rebutable provider? Major red flag here.

So no, they will not get another copy of my sons medical hardship, because it is apparent they don't have a HIPAA Compliant System when collecting the data.

I would hope the PSC sees this as a major red flag and something they should talk to Ameren or Ameren looks into actually doing in the near future. When collecting anyones medical information whether by fax or email, it needs to go to a HIPAA complaint email server, that will actually safeguard the information if there was a data breach. If Ameren needs help getting one, they can call me. Being in the communications industry I know three very good companies.

Brett Felber