In the Matter of:

## MISSOURI LANDOWNERS ALLIANCE, et al.

V.

## **GRAIN BELT EXPRESS, et al.**

EC-2020-0408, VOL. I

September 14, 2020



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 STATE OF MISSOURI 3 4 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Procedural Conference 5 September 14, 2020 6 7 Jefferson City, Missouri 8 Volume 1 9 10 In The Matter Of Missouri Landowners ) Alliance and Gary Mareschal, ) 11 ) Complainants, ) File No. EC-2020-0408 12 ) v. 13 Grain Belt Express Clean Line, LLC, ) 14 Invenergy Transmission, LLC, Invenergy) Investment Company, ) 15 ) Respondents. ) 16 17 PAUL GRAHAM, Presiding REGULATORY LAW JUDGE. 18 (Appearing via telephone.) 19 20 21 22 23 REPORTED BY: 24 Lisa M. Banks, CCR TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC 25

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

EC-2020-0408, Vol. I

1 APPEARANCES PAUL AGATHEN, Attorney at Law (appearing via telephone) 2 485 Oak Field Court Washington, Missouri 63090 3 636.980.6403 4 FOR: Missouri Landowners Alliance and Gary Mareschal ANNE E. CALLENBACH, Attorney at Law (appearing via telephone) 5 Polsinelli 6 900 West 48th Street Kansas City, Missouri 64112 7 816.753.1000 FOR: Grain Belt Express Clean Line, LLC Invenergy Transmission, LLC 8 Invenergy Investment Company, LLC 9 TRAVIS PRINGLE, Legal Counsel (via telephone and WebEx) Governor Office Building 10 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360 11 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360 12 573.751.1854 FOR: Staff Of Missouri Public Service Commission 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE GRAHAM: This is Judge Graham speaking.
3	It looks let the record reflect we are now on the record.
4	It's today's date is September 14th, 2020. We are conducting
5	this by WebEx on account of the ongoing pandemic. The
6	Commission has set this time for procedural conference in the
7	case captioned Missouri Landowner Alliance and Gary Mareschal
8	versus Grain Belt Express, LLC and Invenergy Transmission, LLC,
9	and Invenergy Investment Company. That is case number or
10	File Number EC-2020-0408. My name is Paul Graham. I'm the
11	regulatory law judge in this matter.
12	We will begin by the attorneys make their
13	entries of appearance. Why don't we proceed with the
14	petitioners or the complainants' attorney. Mr. Agathen, do you
<pre>14 petitioners of the comptainances accorney. Mr. Agathen, 15 want to make your appearance?</pre>	
16	MR. AGATHEN: Thank you, Judge. This is Paul
17	Agathen from Washington, Missouri representing the two
18	complainants in this case, the Missouri Landowners Alliance and
19	Gary Mareschal.
20	JUDGE GRAHAM: All right. And Ms. Callenbach,
21	do want to make your appearance and identify your client?
22	MS. CALLENBACH: Yes, Judge. This is Anne
23	Callenbach of the law firm Polsinelli, PC, representing Grain
24	Belt Express, Invenergy Transmission, and Invenergy Investment
25	Company.

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662 3

JUDGE GRAHAM: All right. Thank you and 1 2 Mr. Pringle, do you want to identify yourself and enter your 3 appearance? MR. PRINGLE: Thank you, Judge. This is Travis 4 5 Pringle from the staff counsel department. My business address 6 is 200 Madison Street, PO Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 7 65102. I'm also joined the staff manager Shawn Lange. 8 JUDGE GRAHAM: All right. Thank you very much. 9 I believe that is everyone that is going to have their appearance in this case. This case is set for a procedural 10 11 conference today. In reviewing the file and just noticing 12 things that have been coming true in the last few days, I do see there has been a motion for summary determination that has been 13 filed. I'll let you folks discuss that, but certainly it 14 15 strikes me that that procedural wrinkle at this point in the 16 case is going to affect what the procedural schedule looks like. 17 Is there anything at all that I need to address at this point or 18 anything that you are planning on talking to me about before I 19 turn this over to you folks? 20 MR. AGATHEN: Judge, not unless you want to 21 revisit or dispute over discovery. As you recall, ten days or 22 so ago we discussed a data request that the complainants 23 submitted to respondents essentially asking if the land agents 24 were incentivized by commissions or other means to sign 25 easements as quickly and as a large of number as possible. The

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

4

idea being that that would provide incentive for them to kind of 1 2 push the envelope and try to sign up as many easements as they 3 possibly could. Obviously, monetary considerations are always a 4 motivator. The respondents objected to that and that is kind of 5 where it sits now. I was hoping, if you don't want to revisit 6 this I understand, that you might give us an indication of how 7 you would rule on that. Ultimately, you're going to be the one 8 that decides whether or not they have to provide the documents 9 or the information we are asking for about incentives for the 10 land agents to sign as many as quickly as possible.

11 JUDGE GRAHAM: Yes, Mr. Agathen. For the court 12 reporter, that's Mr. Agathen speaking. I recall the conference 13 and the conversation. That whole thing needs to be folded into the procedural schedule I think. I can understand your concerns 14 15 particularly in light of the fact that there has now been filed 16 a motion for summary judgment determination, which one way or 17 the other, I guess, could be implicated by -- or could implicate 18 the substance of your data request and so forth.

But it's going to be my view on this that discovery and discovery disputes that arise in the course of discovery, should be part and parcel with the procedural scheduling order. And I'm going to leave it to you all to discuss that and work that out. So are there anything --MR. AGATHEN: Paul Agathen, I understand, Judge. JUDGE GRAHAM: Okay. Anything else before I

1	turn it over to you folks? Okay. I'm going to sign off and let		
2	you go well, does anyone (phone cut out) at this point?		
3	Ordinarily, I would or in many cases I would leave it to		
4	Staff to be the lead on getting the procedural schedule filed.		
5	This case is maybe not quite of that when can I anticipate		
б	seeing a proposed procedural scheduling order?		
7	MR. PRINGLE: This is Travis Pringle from Staff.		
8	Typically, usually we do it within a week of this conference,		
9	but again, this is not the typical complaint case, so I guess		
10	the usual method is a week.		
11	JUDGE GRAHAM: Well, I'm not going to issue as		
12	2 order on that. I'll look for one in about seven to ten days;		
13	it fair? Hearing nothing, I'm going to leave at this point.		
14	All right?		
15	MR. AGATHEN: Good talking to you Judge, Paul		
16	Agathen.		
17	MS. CALLENBACH: Thank you, Judge.		
18	MR. POSTON: Thank you, Judge.		
19	JUDGE GRAHAM: All right. Bye bye.		
20	(OFF THE RECORD.)		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Lisa M. Banks, CCR within and for the State of
4	Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony
5	appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that
б	the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my
7	ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my
8	direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor
9	employed by any of the parties to the action in which this
10	deposition was taken, and further, that I am not a relative or
11	employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties
12	thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome
13	of the action.
14	$\rho \rightarrow \rho $
15	Lan Bank S
16	
17	Lisa M. Banks, CCR No. 1081
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

EC-2020-0408, Vol. Index: 1081..Invenergy

1	B	<b>court</b> 5:11	fair 6:13
I		<b>cut</b> 6:2	file 3:10 4:11
<b>1081</b> 7:17	Banks 7:3,17		filed 4:14 5:15 6:4
<b>14th</b> 3:4	begin 3:12	D	financially 7:12
	Belt 3:8,24	data 4:22 5:18	firm 3:23
2	<b>Box</b> 4:6	date 3:4	folded 5:13
<b>200</b> 4:6	business 4:5	days 4:12,21 6:12	folks 4:14,19 6:1
<b>2020</b> 3:4	<b>bye</b> 6:19	decides 5:8	foregoing 7:5
		department 4:5	G
3	C	deposition 7:5,10	<u> </u>
<b>360</b> 4:6	Callenbach 3:20,22,	determination 4:13	Gary 3:7,19
	23 6:17	5:16	<b>give</b> 5:6
6	captioned 3:7	direction 7:8	<b>Good</b> 6:15
<b>65102</b> 4:7	<b>case</b> 3:7,9,18 4:10,16 6:5,9	discovery 4:21 5:20, 21	<b>Graham</b> 3:2,10,20 4:1,8 5:11,25 6:11,19
Α	cases 6:3	discuss 4:14 5:23	Grain 3:8,23
	<b>CCR</b> 7:3,17	discussed 4:22	guess 5:17 6:9
ability 7:7	CERTIFICATE 7:1	dispute 4:21	
account 3:5	certify 7:4	disputes 5:20	Н
action 7:9,13	<b>City</b> 4:6	documents 5:8	Hearing 6:13
address 4:5,17	client 3:21	duly 7:5	hoping 5:5
affect 4:16	Commission 3:6	E	
Agathen 3:14,16,17	commissions 4:24		<u> </u>
4:20 5:11,12,24 6:15, 16	<b>Company</b> 3:9,25	easements 4:25 5:2	idea 5:1
agents 4:23 5:10	complainants 3:18	EC-2020-0408 3:10	identify 3:21 4:2
Alliance 3:7,18	4:22	employed 7:9,11	implicate 5:17
Anne 3:22	complainants' 3:14	employee 7:11	implicated 5:17
anticipate 6:5	complaint 6:9 concerns 5:14	enter 4:2	incentive 5:1
appearance 3:13,15,	conducting 3:4	entries 3:13	incentives 5:9
21 4:3,10	conference 3:6 4:11	envelope 5:2	incentivized 4:24
appears 7:5	5:12 6:8	essentially 4:23	indication 5:6
arise 5:20	considerations 5:3	Express 3:8,24	information 5:9
attorney 3:14 7:11	conversation 5:13	F	interested 7:12
attorneys 3:12	counsel 4:5 7:8,11		Invenergy 3:8,9,24
		fact 5:15	

EC-2020-0408, VolInđex: Investment..usual

method 6:10	<b>procedural</b> 3:6 4:10,	<b>sits</b> 5:5
Missouri 3:7,17,18		speaking 3:2 5:12
	•	staff 4:5,7 6:4,7
-		State 7:3
	•	Street 4:6
motivator 5:4	<b>push</b> 5:2	strikes 4:15
N	Q	submitted 4:23
		substance 5:18
noticing 4:11	quickly 4:25 5:10	summary 4:13 5:16
number 3:9,10 4:25	R	sworn 7:5
0		T
abiastad 5:4		
		talking 4:18 6:15
		ten 4:21 6:12
		testimony 7:4,6
-		thereto 7:12
		thing 5:13
P		things 4:12
	-	time 3:6
-		today 4:11
-	-	today's 3:4
-	5:4	Transmission 3:8,24
1 -	reviewing 4:11	<b>Travis</b> 4:4 6:7
6:15	revisit 4:21 5:5	true 4:12
PC 3:23	<b>rule</b> 5:7	turn 4:19 6:1
petitioners 3:14		typewriting 7:7
phone 6:2	S	typical 6:9
planning 4:18	schedule 4:16 5:14	Typically 6:8
<b>PO</b> 4:6	6:4	U
point 4:15,17 6:2,13	-	
Polsinelli 3:23	•	Ultimately 5:7
possibly 5:3		understand 5:6,14, 24
POSTON 6:18		usual 6:10
Pringle 4:2,4,5 6:7	sign 4:24 5:2,10 6:1	
	Missouri 3:7,17,18 4:6 7:4 monetary 5:3 motion 4:13 5:16 motivator 5:4 N noticing 4:11 number 3:9,10 4:25 O objected 5:4 ongoing 3:5 order 5:22 6:6,12 Ordinarily 6:3 outcome 7:12 P pandemic 3:5 parcel 5:21 part 5:21 part 5:21 part 5:21 part 5:21 part 5:21 part 5:21 part 5:22 PC 3:23 petitioners 3:14 phone 6:2 planning 4:18 PO 4:6 point 4:15,17 6:2,13 Polsinelli 3:23 possibly 5:3 POSTON 6:18	Missouri 3:7,17,18 15,16 5:14,21 6:4,6   wonetary 5:3 proceed 3:13   motion 4:13 5:16 provide 5:1,8   motivator 5:4 push 5:2   N Q   noticing 4:11 quickly 4:25 5:10   number 3:9,10 4:25 R   O recall 4:21 5:12   objected 5:4 record 3:3 6:20   ongoing 3:5 reduced 7:7   order 5:22 6:6,12 reflect 3:3   Ordinarily 6:3 regulatory 3:11   outcome 7:12 related 7:8   P reporter 5:12 7:1   pandemic 3:5 request 4:22 5:18   parties 7:9,11 reviewing 4:11   Puil 3:10,16 5:24 reviewing 4:11   6:15 reviewing 4:11   PC 3:23 rule 5:7   petitioners 3:14 S   phone 6:2 scheduling 5:22 6:6   planning 4:18 Schedule 4:16 5:14   PO 4:6 scheduling 5:22 6:6   possibly 5:3 possibly 5:3   POSTON 6:18 Shawn 4:7

EC-2020-0408, Vol. Index: versus..wrinkle

V	
versus 3:8	
view 5:19	
W	
Washington	3:17
<b>Webex</b> 3:5	
week 6:8,10	
work 5:23	
wrinkle 4:15	