1	STATE OF MISSOURI	
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	N
3	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING	S
4	Prehearing Conference August 4, 2006	
5	Jefferson City, Missour Volume 1	i
6	In the Matter of the Consideration of)	
7	Adoption of the PURPA Section 111(d)(11) Net Metering Standard as Required by	Case No.
8	Section 1251 of the Energy Policy Act) of 2005 $$	EO-2006-0493
9	In the Matter of the Consideration of)	
10	Adoption of the PURPA Section 111(d)(12)) Fuel Sources Standard as Required by	Case No.
11	Section 1251 of the Energy Policy Act) of 2005 $$	EO-2006-0494
12	In the Matter of the Consideration of)	
13 14	Adoption of the PURPA Section 111(d)(13))	Case No.
15	the Energy Policy Act of 2005)	10 2000 0490
16	In the Matter of the Consideration of) Adoption of the PURPA Section 111(d)(14))	
17	Time-Based Metering and Communications) Standard as Required by Section 1252 of) the Energy Policy Act of 2005	
18		
19	In the Matter of the Consideration of) Adoption of the PURPA Section 111(d)(15)) Interconnection Standard as Required by)	Case No
20	Section 1254 of the Energy Policy Act) of 2005	
21	NADOLD OFFICE DAY 1	
22	HAROLD STEARLEY, Presiding REGULATORY LAW JUDGE	,
23	REPORTED BY:	
24	KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES	
25		

1	APPEARANCES:						
2	L. RUSSELL MITTEN, Attorney at Law 312 East Capitol						
3	P.O. Box 456						
4	Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456 (573)635-7166						
5	FOR: The Empire District Electric Company						
6	SHELLEY WOODS, Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 899						
7	Supreme Court Building Jefferson City, MO 65102						
8	(573) 751-3321						
9	FOR: Missouri Department of Natural Resources Energy Center.						
10	JAMES M. FISCHER, Attorney at Law						
11	Fischer & Dority 101 Madison, Suite 400						
12	Jefferson City, MO 65101 (573)636-6758						
13	FOR: Kansas City Power & Light Company.						
14	JOHN COFFMAN, Attorney at Law						
15	871 Tuxedo Boulevard St. Louis, MO 63119						
16	(573) 424-6779						
17	FOR: AARP.						
18	HENRY B. ROBERTSON, Attorney at Law Great Rivers Environmental Law Center						
19	705 Olive Street, Suite 614 St. Louis, MO 63101						
20	(314) 231-4181						
21	FOR: Concerned Citizens of Platte County. Sierra Club.						
22	Audubon Missouri. Mid-Missouri Peaceworks.						
23	Heartland Renewable Energy Society. Ozark Energy Services.						
24	Ozark Energy Services.						
25							

Ţ	DAVID KURTZ, Attorney at Law
2	Smith Lewis, LLP 111 South 9th Street, Suite 200
2	P.O. Box 918
3	Columbia, MO 65205-0918
4	(573) 443-3141
4	FOR: AmerenUE.
5	
C	MICHAEL DANDINO, Deputy Public Counsel
6	LEWIS R. MILLS, JR., Public Counsel P.O. Box 2230
7	200 Madison Street, Suite 650
0	Jefferson City, MO 65102-2230
8	(573) 751-4857
9	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
1.0	and the Public.
10	STEVEN DOTTHEIM, Chief Deputy General Counsel
11	DENNIS L. FREY, Senior Counsel
1.0	P.O. Box 360
12	200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO 65102
13	(573) 751-3234
1.4	TOD. Chaff of the Missessi Dublis
14	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.
15	
16	
Τ.Ω	
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	D	D	\cap	\sim	F	F	\Box	Т	Ν	C	C
1	E	Γ	\circ		نند	نند	$^{\nu}$		ΤΛ	J	\sim

- JUDGE STEARLEY: We will go on the record.
- 3 Today is Friday, August 4th, 2006, and we are here for
- 4 combined prehearings in five separate but as of yet
- 5 unconsolidated PURPA cases. If you'll please bear with
- 6 me, I need to read all our case captions and case numbers
- 7 into the record.
- 8 We are here in the matter of the
- 9 consideration of the adoption of PURPA Section 111(d)(11),
- 10 Net Metering Standard as required by Section 1251 of the
- 11 Energy Policy Act of 2005, which is Case No. EO-2006-0493;
- 12 in the matter of the consideration of adoption of the
- 13 PURPA Section 111(d)(12) Fuel Sources Standard as required
- 14 by Section 1251 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, Case
- No. EO-2006-0494; in the matter of the consideration of
- 16 adoption of PURPA Section 111(d)(13) Fossil Fuel
- 17 Generation Efficiency Standard as required by Section 1251
- 18 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, Case No. EO-2006-0495;
- 19 in the matter of the consideration of adoption of the
- 20 PURPA Section 111(d)(14) Time-Based Metering and
- 21 Communication Standard as required by Section 1252 of the
- 22 Energy Policy Act of 2005, Case No. EO-2006-0496; and
- 23 finally, in the matter of the consideration of adoption of
- 24 the PURPA Section 111(d)(15) Interconnection Standard as
- 25 required by Section 1254 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005,

- 1 Case No. E0-2006-0497.
- 2 My name is Harold Stearley, and I'm the
- 3 presiding officer in these matters. Our court reporter
- 4 this morning is Kellene Feddersen. And we will take
- 5 entries of appearance. I ask that when you make your
- 6 entries, that you identify which of the cases that you are
- 7 involved in, and then we won't have to do entries five
- 8 times in a row as well as me reading all of that five
- 9 times in a row. We will begin with AARP.
- 10 MR. COFFMAN: Thank you. Appearing on
- 11 behalf of AARP, my name is John Coffman. My address is
- 12 871 Tuxedo Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri 63119. And AARP
- 13 has only intervened in the 496 case regarding time-based
- 14 metering.
- JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Coffman.
- 16 Aquila, Incorporated?
- 17 MR. MITTEN: L. Russell Mitten, Brydon,
- 18 Swearengen & England, P.O. Box 456, Jefferson City,
- 19 Missouri 65102, appearing on behalf of Aquila, Inc. and
- 20 the Empire District Electric Company, and we have
- 21 intervened in all five cases.
- JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Mitten.
- 23 Concerned Citizens of Platte County?
- 24 MR. ROBERTSON: Henry Robertson, Great
- 25 Rivers Environmental Law Center, 705 Olive, Suite 614,

- 1 St. Louis 63101. I'm here on behalf of Concerned Citizens
- 2 of Platte County, Audubon Missouri, Sierra Club, Heartland
- 3 Renewable Energy Society, Mid-Missouri Peaceworks and
- 4 Ozark Energy Services, and we've intervened in all five
- 5 cases.
- JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Robertson.
- 7 Kansas City Power & Light?
- 8 MR. FISCHER: James M. Fischer, Fischer &
- 9 Dority, PC, 101 Madison Street, Suite 400, Jefferson City,
- 10 Missouri 65101, appearing on behalf of Kansas City Power &
- 11 Light Company, and I believe we've intervened in all five
- 12 cases.
- JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Fischer.
- 14 Missouri Department of Natural Resources?
- MS. WOODS: Shelley A. Woods, Assistant
- 16 Attorney General, Post Office Box 899, Jefferson City,
- 17 Missouri 65102, appearing on behalf of the Missouri
- 18 Department of Natural Resources Energy Center, and we have
- 19 intervened in EO-2006-0493, 0495, 0497 and 0494.
- JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Ms. Woods.
- 21 Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers? No one present for
- 22 MIEC at this time.
- Okay. Union Electric Company, doing
- 24 business as AmerenUE?
- 25 MR. KURTZ: David Kurtz, law firm of Smith

- 1 Lewis, LLP, 111 South 9th Street, Suite 200 in Columbia,
- 2 appearing on behalf of AmerenUE in all five cases.
- JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Kurtz.
- 4 Staff?
- 5 MR. FREY: Dennis L. Frey and Steve
- 6 Dottheim, Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri
- 7 65102. I have a strong suspicion that we're in all five
- 8 cases.
- 9 JUDGE STEARLEY: I do, too. Thank you,
- 10 Mr. Frey. And Office of Public Counsel?
- 11 MR. DANDINO: Thank you, your Honor. Lewis
- 12 Mills, Public Counsel, Michael Dandino, Deputy Public
- 13 Counsel, Post Office Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri
- 14 65102, representing the Office of the Public Counsel and
- 15 the public. And I believe with Denny, we're also in all
- 16 cases.
- 17 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Dandino.
- 18 We also have present today two other attorneys
- 19 representing companies Itron and Cellnet who have not yet
- 20 intervened in these matters but are considering
- 21 intervention. If there are no objections, we have
- 22 Mr. Scott DeBroff by telephone today listening in, and we
- 23 have Mr. Ken Boyd who's in the room present with us now
- 24 for Itron sitting in.
- 25 MR. BOYD: Your Honor, it's Ben Boyd. I'm

- 1 not an attorney.
- JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you for that
- 3 correction, Mr. Boyd.
- 4 Is there any objections to them
- 5 participating or listening in on the prehearings this
- 6 morning? Okay. Hearing none.
- 7 Are there any pending motions or matters
- 8 that the Commission needs to address at this time?
- 9 Hearing none.
- 10 There are a couple matters I would like to
- 11 bring up with regard to these cases. Just for clarity's
- 12 sake, while we do have five sequential PURPA sections,
- 13 they do span three different sections of EPAct, and I know
- 14 from generating my orders in these cases and all the
- 15 cutting and pasting going on with these, it's real easy to
- 16 put down the wrong section of EPAct that your case belongs
- 17 to. So just take a note that PURPA 111(d)(11), (12) and
- 18 (13) should always reference EPAct Section 1251,
- 19 111(d)(14) is 1252, and 111(d)(15) is 1254.
- 20 If any of my earlier Orders in this case
- 21 came out referencing the wrong sections, I'll issue
- 22 notices of corrections on those right now for those.
- 23 You all know the purpose of our
- 24 prehearings. This gives you an opportunity to discuss the
- 25 issues, plan any discovery, put together procedural

- 1 schedules, which are due next Friday on August 11th,
- 2 formulate any stipulations you may have in these cases,
- 3 and it gives an opportunity to talk about settlement.
- 4 Now, these cases are somewhat unique in that at this
- 5 moment I'm not sure any of us know which direction they're
- 6 going to go in.
- 7 Staff has recommended in their motion to
- 8 open the case several different -- several different
- 9 options. So I don't know if we're going to end up in a
- 10 rulemaking, if we're going to decide current rules and
- 11 regs are satisfactory, if these types of provisions will
- 12 be incorporated in future ratemaking cases, but this will
- 13 be your opportunity to sort those out.
- 14 If there's any possible -- if the parties
- 15 decide it's possible to consolidate any of these cases,
- 16 the Commission would certainly entertain motions to
- 17 consolidate. My understanding from Staff is potentially
- 18 PURPA 111(d)(11), (15) could be consolidated, and 111(d)
- 19 (12) and (13) could be consolidated. So those are things
- 20 you might look at with your prehearing today.
- 21 Also of note, we do have different
- 22 procedural deadlines in a couple of these cases. PURPA
- 23 111(d)(14) and (15) requires that the Commission act by
- 24 August 8th of 2007, the other three by August 8th of 2008.
- 25 So we are in a little bit shorter time crunch on those two

sections. Just to keep that in mind when you're putting 1 2 together procedural schedules. 3 I have brought a couple of calendars down for you-all from our hearings board upstairs. Our board 4 5 is rapidly filling, and we're starting to double up on 6 some hearings. So if you-all want to consult these as 7 you're putting together your procedural schedules. Also, 8 I will not be available between September 11th and 15th in 9 terms of any procedural schedule that you have. 10 Are there any other matters that need to be addressed this morning? I saw a couple people enter late. 11 12 Were there any other entries of appearance? 13 (No response.) JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Well, if 14 15 there's nothing further, I will leave you all to have your 16 discussions. I will be available in my office upstairs if you should need to talk to me regarding scheduling. At 17 this point we'll go off the record. 18 19 WHEREUPON, the recorded portion of the

prehearing conference was concluded.

2122

20

23

24