1	STATE OF MISSOURI	
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
3		
4		
5		
6	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
7	Prehearing Conference	
8	August 21, 2006 Jefferson City, Missouri	
9	Volume 1	
10		
11		
12	<pre>In the Matter of the Resource Plan) of Kansas City Power & Light</pre>	
13	Company Pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22)	
14		
15	NANCY M. DIPPELL, Presiding,	
16	SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	REPORTED BY:	
22	KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES	
23		
24		
25		

1	APPEARANCES:		
2	JAMES M. FISCHER, Attorney at Law Fischer & Dority		
3	101 Madison, Suite 400		
4	Jefferson City, MO 65101 (573)636-6758		
5	FOR: Kansas City Power & Light Company.		
6	SHELLEY WOODS, Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 899		
7	Supreme Court Building		
8	Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573)751-3321		
9	FOR: Missouri Department of Natural Resources.		
10	DAVID WOODSMALL, Attorney at Law		
11	Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson 428 East Capitol, Suite 300		
12	Jefferson City, MO 65101 (573) 635-2700		
13	FOR: Praxair, Inc.		
14			
15	LEWIS R. MILLS, JR., Public Counsel P.O. Box 2230 200 Madison Street, Suite 650		
16	Jefferson City, MO 65102-2230 (573)751-4857		
17			
18	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel and the Public.		
19	STEVEN DOTTHEIM, Chief Deputy General Counsel P.O. Box 360		
20	200 Madison Street		
21	Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573)751-3234		
22	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.		
23			
24			
25			

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- JUDGE DIPPELL: This is EO-2007-0008, in
- 3 the matter of the resource plan of Kansas City Power &
- 4 Light Company pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22. My name is Nancy
- 5 Dippell. I'm the Regulatory Law Judge assigned to this
- 6 matter, and we've come here today for an early prehearing
- 7 conference, procedural conference really just to see
- 8 what's going on and let you-all have any discussions you
- 9 need to have.
- 10 I'll begin with entries of appearance.
- 11 Counsel have all made written entries of appearance, I
- 12 believe, so you can state your name and who you represent
- 13 if you like and leave off all the details, unless that's a
- 14 habit you can't break. Let's begin with Public Counsel.
- MR. MILLS: My name is Lewis Mills. I
- 16 represent the Office of the Public Counsel and the public.
- 17 Thank you.
- 18 MR. FISCHER: James M. Fischer for Kansas
- 19 City Power & Light Company,
- 20 MS. WOODS: Shelley Woods for the Missouri
- 21 Department of Natural Resources.
- 22 MR. WOODSMALL: David Woodsmall on behalf
- 23 of Praxair, Inc.
- MR. DOTTHEIM: Steven Dottheim appearing on
- 25 behalf of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service

- 1 Commission.
- 2 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. First I'll note
- 3 that we had a motion to late file Staff's response filed
- 4 on Friday and a preliminary response from the Staff.
- 5 Would there be any objection to me granting that motion?
- 6 MR. FISCHER: Company has no objection.
- 7 MR. MILLS: No objection.
- MS. WOODS: No objection.
- 9 JUDGE DIPPELL: I'll grant Staff's motion
- 10 to file its response. And Staff asked to be directed to
- 11 submit a status report on August 28th, so I'll order that
- 12 as well. I know there is a provision in the rules about
- 13 120 days, but I wasn't sure since this was a -- seemed
- 14 like a waiver instead of the actual filing of the report,
- 15 but I'm a little confused about the status of this
- 16 whole -- this is new to me, this whole process. I was a
- 17 little confused on it. So I apologize to Staff if I was
- 18 directing you to file something sooner than you had
- 19 intended to under the rule, but --
- 20 MR. DOTTHEIM: No. That's -- that was not
- 21 a problem. There are many who I think would say that this
- 22 entire process is not entirely settled. Of course, having
- 23 been through the process with all the companies once, in
- 24 the 1990s the various companies started seeking waivers,
- and the Commission itself after the companies sought to

- 1 even rescind the rule, waivers were granted and
- 2 semi-annual meetings involving the companies and various
- 3 entities replaced the filings every three years.
- 4 That hiatus period ended, and we are back
- 5 on the three-year cycle. So the request for waivers we
- 6 are encountering is in its own manner something new, so we
- 7 are trying to work our way through this situation also.
- 8 We are looking forward this morning to
- 9 talking with the other company about any number of
- 10 questions we have respecting the filing, and we thought we
- 11 could give the Commission a progress report, a better
- 12 indication of where we thought at least the Staff is in
- 13 the process within a week after we're able to visit with
- 14 the company this morning.
- 15 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. I think that that's
- 16 what we'll look for then is a progress report from Staff
- as to what the results were here and how we're going
- 18 forward, and if any other party wants to join in or make a
- 19 report also, you may do so on August 28th as well.
- 20 Were there any other issues that I needed
- 21 to be involved in this morning?
- (No response.)
- JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Seeing nothing
- 24 further, then this will conclude the on-the-record portion
- 25 of this conference, and I will be upstairs if you need

1	anything further. We can	go off the record.
2	WHEREUPON,	the recorded portion of the
3	prehearing conference was	concluded.
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		